

The
Technical Bulletins
of
Dianetics and Scientology

by
L. Ron Hubbard


FOUNDER OF DIANETICS AND SCIENTOLOGY

Volume
XII
1978-1979

*I will not always be here on guard.
The stars twinkle in the Milky Way
And the wind sighs for songs
Across the empty fields of a planet
A Galaxy away.*

*You won't always be here.
But before you go,
Whisper this to your sons
And their sons —
“The work was free.
Keep it so. “*

L. RON HUBBARD



L. Ron Hubbard
Founder of Dianetics and Scientology

EDITOR'S NOTE

This is Volume XII of *The Technical Bulletins of Dianetics and Scientology* by L. Ron Hubbard. It contains the many fantastic technical breakthroughs achieved by Ron during the latter part of 1978 up to the end of 1979, carrying on directly from where Volume XI ends.

As in other Technical Volumes, if an issue has been revised, replaced, or canceled, this has been indicated in the upper right-hand corner along with the date of the issue which should be referred to.

The Chronological Contents shows at what point on the time track each issue in this volume was released, and the Long Contents gives you a breakdown of the subject content of each separate HCOB or issue.

In the Subject Index at the back of this volume, main entries appear in boldface type to make it easy to find any subject.

If the title of a bulletin is known but not the date of issue, the Alphabetical List of Titles may be consulted to locate the issue fast.

Lastly, the Cancellations and Revisions lists show you which issues in previous Technical Volumes have been canceled or revised by issues presented in Volume XII.

The Editor

TECHNICAL BULLETINS 1978-1979

CONTENTS

1961

8	June R	E-Meter Watching (revised 22 Feb. 1979)	1
---	--------	---	---

1965

16	Nov. R	E-Meter Sensitivity Setting (revised 22 Feb. 1979)	4
----	--------	---	---

1966

10	Feb. R	Tech Recovery (HCO PL) (revised 22 Feb. 1979)	5
11	Feb. R	Free Needles, How to Get Them on a Pc (revised 22 Feb . 1979)	9

1967

12	Feb.	The Responsibilities of Leaders (corrected and reissued 4 Sept. 1979)	11
----	------	--	----

1969

19	May RB	Drug and Alcohol Cases—Prior Assessing (re-revised 14 Nov. 1978)	22
16	Aug. R	Handling Illness in Scientology (revised 25 Sept. 1978)	24

1970

7	Apr. RB	Green Form (HCO PL) (revised 8 Dec. 1978)	27
11	Apr. R	Auditing Past Exterior (Int. RD. Series 6) (revised 23 Sept. 1978)	32
6	May R	Blows—Auditing Past Exterior (Int. RD. Series 7) (revised 24 Sept. 1978)	34
27	May R	Unreading Questions and Items (revised 3 Dec. 1978)	36
30	May R	Interiorization Intensive—2-Way Comm (Int. RD. Series 3) (revised 23 Sept. 1978)	38
20	Aug. R	Interiorization Rundown Musts (Int. RD. Series 8) (revised 23 Sept. 1978)	40

1971

4	Jan. R	Exteriorization and High TA—The Interiorization Rundown Revised (Int. RD. Series 2) (revised 24 Sept. 1978)	43
---	--------	--	----

1971 (cont.)

13	Jan. R	Exteriorization (Int. RD. Series 9) (revised 24 Sept. 1978)	51
7	Mar. R	Use of Quadruple Dianetics (C/S Series 28RA-1RA) (revised 12 Dec. 1978)	53
15	Mar. R	Data Series Auditing (HCO PL) (Data Series 21R) (revised 6 Jan. 1979)	56
4	Apr. R	Use of Quad Dianetics (C/S Series 32RA-1RC) (revised 12 Dec. 1978)	57
30	June RB	Expanded Green Form 40RD—EXGF 40RD (revised 4 Dec. 1978)	60
3	July R	Auditing by Lists (revised 22 Feb. 1979)	70
15	July RC	Drug Handling (C/S Series 48RD) (NED Series 9RB) (re-revised 31 Jan. 1979)	73
24	Sept. R	Interiorization Rundown—Correction Drill: Date to Blow/ Locate to Blow (Int. RD. Series 11) (revised 24 Sept. 1978)	79
29	Oct. RA	Int Rundown Correction List Revised (Int. RD. Series 12) (re-revised 24 Sept. 1978)	84
9	Dec. RC	PTS Rundown, Audited (re-revised 8 Dec. 1978)	90
16	Dec. RB	Interiorization Errors (C/S Series 35RB, Int. RD. Series 10) (re-revised 24 Sept. 1978)	98
17	Dec. RB	Interiorization Summary (Int. RD. Series 15, C/S Series 23RB) (re-revised 24 Sept. 1978)	102

1972

1	Jan. RB	LIX Hi-Lo TA List Revised (revised 10 Feb. 1977)	107
20	Jan. R	PTS RD Addition (revised 8 Dec. 1978)	124
3	June RA	PTS Rundown, Final Step (re-revised 8 Dec. 1978)	126

1973

30	Jan. RC	Method 9 Word Clearing the Right Way (W/C Series 46RC) (revised 19 Dec. 1979)	128
7	Apr. RA	Gradients in TRs (revised 22 Feb. 1979)	142
15	Oct. RB	Nulling and F/Ning Prepared Lists (C/S Series 87RB) (re-revised 4 Dec. 1978)	144
24	Nov. RD	Short Hi-Lo TA Assessment C/S (C/S Series 53RL SF) (revised 30 Oct. 1978)	147
24	Nov. RE	C/S Series 53RL LF (Long Form) (revised 30 Oct. 1978)	150

1974

18	Mar. R	E-Meters—Sensitivity Errors (revised 22 Feb. 1979)	154
----	--------	--	-----

1975

29	Mar. R	Antibiotics, Administering of (revised 23 Oct. 1978)	156
----	--------	--	-----

1977

14	June -1	Paid Comps Simplified—Addition I —C/S Series 81RA—Auditor's Rights Modified—Addition I (addition of 12 June 1979)	162
----	---------	---	-----

1978

6	Feb. RA	The Purification Rundown Replaces the Sweat Program (re-revised 4 Dec. 1979)	163
6	Feb.RA-1	The Purification Rundown—Errata and Additions (addition of 20 Dec. 1979)	180
23	Mar. RA	Clearing Words (W/C Series 59RA) (revised 14 Dec. 1979)	182
19	Sept. R	The End of Endless Drug RDs (revised 31 Jan. 1979)	185
19	Sept. R	The End of Endless Drug Rundowns Repair List (revised 31 Jan. 1979)	187
24	Sept. RA	The End of Endless Int Repair Rundown (Int. RD. Series 4RA) (revised 21 Feb. 1979)	189
24	Sept.	Preassessment, AESPs and Int (Int. RD. Series 13)	199
24	Sept.	Dianetic Clear	200
25	Sept.	Quad Commands for Int Buttons (Int. RD. Series 5)	201
25	Sept.	Starrate Checkouts for Interiorization Rundown (Int. RD. Series 14)	206
29	Sept.	FESing of Folders and Full Flow Tables	209
3	Oct.	NED Rule	210
4	Oct.	Interiorization Handling Simplified (Int. RD. Series 1)	211
16	Oct.	Repair Correction List	214
16	Oct.	C/S Checklist of Int Errors (Int. RD. Series 16, C/S Series 102)	217
30	Oct.	C/S Series 53, Use of	220
30	Oct.	Courses—Their Ideal Scene	223
10	Nov. R	Proclamation—Power to Forgive (revised 3 Dec. 1978)	225
10	Nov. R-1	Proclamation: Power to Forgive Addition (addition of 26 Nov. 1978)	227
15	Nov.	Dating and Locating	228
19	Nov.	L & N Lists—The Item “Me”	237
27	Nov.	Word Clearing Correction List (W/C Series 35RF)	238
28	Nov.	Auditors Who Miss Withholds, Penalty	242
29	Nov.	Dianetic Clear Attests (C/S Series 104)	243
30	Nov.	Confessional Procedure	245
	Dec.	<i>What Is Scientology?</i>	251
1	Dec.	Programming the Dianetic Clear for His Next Step	252
3	Dec.	Unreading Flows	253
4	Dec.	How to Read through an F/N	254
5	Dec.	Dianetic Clear Attests—Additional Data (C/S Series 105)	256
6	Dec.	Revivification	259

1978 (cont.)

7	Dec.	HCOB 1 October 1978, HCOB 6 October 1978 on Bright Think Rundown Withdrawn	260
8	Dec.	Green Form and Expanded Green Form 40RDs Use of	261
9	Dec.	HCO PL 23 Oct. 76 and BPL 29 Nov. 1976 Canceled (HCO PL)	263
13	Dec. R	Pc Set-Ups and C/S 53 (revised 24 Sept. 1979)	264
17	Dec.	Ron's Journal 30—1978—The Year of Lightning Fast New Tech (LRH ED 301 INT)	265
29	Dec.	The Suppressed Person Rundown	276
30	Dec. R	Suppressed Person Rundown Problems Processes (revised 6 Jan. 1979)	279
31	Dec.	Outline of PTS Handling	290
31	Dec.	Educating the Potential Trouble Source, the First Step Toward Handling: PTS C/S-1	293

1979

6	Jan.	HCOB 24 July 1970 and HCOB 28 August 1970RA Canceled (<i>canceled—see</i> HCOB 28 Aug. 1970RB Vol. XIII)	300
6	Jan.	Correction to Ron's Journal 30—Requirements for Super Power Auditors (LRH ED 301-1 INT)	301
9	Jan.	BTB Cancellation	302
17	Jan.	A New Type of Crime (HCO PL)	303
19	Jan.	Cancellation (HCO PL) (Data Series 47)	304
28	Jan.	C/S Qualifications	304
31	Jan.	Mood Drills	305
3	Feb.	Change the Civilization Eval (corrected and reissued 8 Feb. 1979)	307
3	Feb.	Confront Tech Has to Be Part of the TR Checksheet	311
7	Feb. R	E-Meter Drill 5RA—Can Squeeze (revised 15 Feb. 1979)	312
9	Feb.	How to Defeat Verbal Tech	317
15	Feb.	Verbal Tech: Penalties	318
21	Feb.	E-Meter Essentials Errata Sheet (HCO PL) (corrected and reissued 6 May 1979)	319
22	Feb.	Errata—P.A.B. No. 147 (HCO PL)	324
	Mar.	<i>Group Auditor's Handbook</i>	325
4	Mar. R	Art in Its Basics (Art Series 6) (revised 30 Dec. 1979)	326
5	Mar. R	Dianetic Clear False Declares (revised 6 Mar. 1979)	328
26	Mar. RB	Misunderstood Words and Cycles of Action (Esto Series 35RB, W/C Series 60RB, Product Debug Series 7R) (revised 2 Sept. 1979)	329
15	Apr.	Fine Arts versus Illustrations (Art Series 7)	331
30	Apr.	Auditing the Dianetic Clear (C/S Series 106)	332

1979 (cont.)

2	May	Dianetic Clear Special Intensive	333
2	May	Dianetic Clear Special Intensive Assessment List	339
3	May	Dianetic Clear Special Intensive—C/S and Auditor Requirements	344
10	June	A Professional (Art Series 8)	346
17	June	Crashing Mis-Us: The Key to Completed Cycles of Action; and Products (W/C Series 61, Product Debug Series 3)	347
18	June	The Crashing Mis-U Repair List—LC1 (Product Debug Series 4, W/C Series 62)	357
7	July	Crashing Mis-U Definition	360
16	July	The “Elusive” Mis-U or Crashing Mis-U (Product Debug Series 5, W/C Series 63)	361
17	July	The Misunderstood Word Defined (W/C Series 64)	363
7	Aug.	False Data Stripping (Product Debug Series 8, Esto Series 36)	367
19	Aug.	High Crime—Addition—High Crime Checkouts and Word Clearing	375
21	Aug.	Twinning	377
23	Aug.	Crashing Mu’s Blocks to Finding Them (W/C Series 659 Product Debug Series 6)	386
23	Aug.	Product Debug Repair List (Product Debug Series 10)	391
23	Aug.	Debug Tech (HCO PL) (Product Debug Series 1, Esto Series 37)	400
23	Aug.	Debug Tech Checklist (HCO PL) (Esto Series 389 Product Debug Series 2)	408
23	Sept.	Cancellation of Destructive BTBs and BPLs on TRs	417
24	Sept.	Flying Ruds in Cramming (Cramming Series 19)	421
13	Oct.	Conceptual Understanding (W/C Series 66)	425
29	Oct.	SSO Responsibility for Standard Staff Courses (HCO PL)	426
21	Dec.	Auditor Assignment Policies, Cramming Assignment Policies (C/S Series 107, Cramming Series 20, Qual Corrective Actions on OTs Series 1)	431
24	Dec.	TRs Basics Resurrected	433
30	Dec.	How to Build a Sauna	439
		Subject Index	449
		Alphabetical List of Titles	507
		Cancellations and Revisions	509

CHRONOLOGICAL CONTENTS

The Chronological Contents is a full contents list showing the chronology of the issues in this volume. It lists the issues under the date when each was last revised or reissued. If the issue has never been revised or reissued then it is simply listed under its original issue date. Persons who wish to study the HCOBs in chronological sequence will find the Chronological Contents especially useful.

1977

10 Feb.	LIX Hi-Lo TA List Revised (revision of HCOB I Jan. 1972)	107
---------	--	-----

1978

23 Sept.	Auditing Past Exterior (Int. RD. Series 6) (revision of HCOB 11 Apr. 1970)	32
23 Sept.	Interiorization Intensive—2-Way Comm (Int. RD. Series 3) (revision of HCOB 30 May 1970)	38
23 Sept.	Interiorization Rundown Musts (Int. RD. Series 8) (revision of HCOB 20 Aug. 1970)	40
24 Sept.	Blows—Auditing Past Exterior (Int. RD. Series 7) (revision of HCOB 6 May 1970)	34
24 Sept.	Exteriorization and High TA—The Interiorization Rundown Revised (Int. RD. Series 2) (revision of HCOB 4 Jan. 1971)	43
24 Sept.	Exteriorization (Int. RD. Series 9) (revision of HCOB 13 Jan. 1971)	51
24 Sept.	Interiorization Rundown—Correction Drill: Date to Blow/ Locate to Blow (Int. RD. Series 11) (revision of HCOB 24 Sept. 1971)	79
24 Sept.	Int Rundown Correction List Revised (Int. RD. Series 12) (re-revision of HCOB 29 Oct. 1971)	84
24 Sept.	Interiorization Errors (C/S Series 35RB, Int. RD. Series 10) (re-revision of HCOB 16 Dec. 1971)	98
24 Sept.	Interiorization Summary (Int. RD. Series 15, C/S Series 23RB) (re-revision of HCOB 17 Dec. 1971)	102
24 Sept.	Preassessment, AESPs and Int (Int. RD. Series 13)	199
24 Sept.	Dianetic Clear	200
25 Sept.	Handling Illness in Scientology (revision of HCOB 16 Aug. 1969)	24
25 Sept.	Quad Commands for Int Buttons (Int. RD. Series 5)	201
25 Sept.	Starrate Checkouts for Interiorization Rundown (Int. RD. Series 14)	206
29 Sept.	FESing of Folders and Full Flow Tables	209
3 Oct.	NED Rule	210
4 Oct.	Interiorization Handling Simplified (Int. RD. Series 1)	211
16 Oct.	Repair Correction List	214
16 Oct.	C/S Checklist of Int Errors (Int. RD. Series 16, C/S Series 102)	217

CHRONOLOGICAL CONTENTS

23 Oct.	Antibiotics, Administering of (revision of HCOB 29 Mar. 1975)	156
30 Oct.	Short Hi-Lo TA Assessment C/S (C/S Series 53RL SF) (revision of HCOB 24 Nov. 1973)	147
30 Oct.	C/S Series 53RL LF (Long Form) (revision of HCOB 24 Nov. 1973)	150
30 Oct.	C/S Series 53, Use of	220
30 Oct.	Courses—Their Ideal Scene	223
15 Nov.	Dating and Locating	228
19 Nov.	L & N Lists—The Item “Me”	237
26 Nov.	Proclamation: Power to Forgive Addition (addition to HCOB 10 Nov. 1978R)	227
27 Nov.	Word Clearing Correction List (W/C Series 35RF)	238
28 Nov.	Auditors Who Miss Withholds, Penalty	242
29 Nov.	Dianetic Clear Attests (C/S Series 104)	243
30 Nov.	Confessional Procedure	245
Dec.	<i>What Is Scientology?</i>	251
1 Dec.	Programming the Dianetic Clear for His Next Step	252
3 Dec.	Unreading Questions and Items (revision of HCOB 27 May 1970)	36
3 Dec.	Proclamation—Power to Forgive (revision of HCOB 10 Nov. 1978)	225
3 Dec.	Unreading Flows	253
4 Dec.	Expanded Green Form 40RD—EXGF 40RD (revision of HCOB 30 June 1971)	60
4 Dec.	Nulling and F/Ning Prepared Lists (C/S Series 87RB) (re-revision of HCOB 15 Oct. 1973)	144
4 Dec.	How to Read through an F/N	254
5 Dec.	Dianetic Clear Attests—Additional Data (C/S Series 105)	256
6 Dec.	Revivification	259
7 Dec.	HCOB 1 October 1978, HCOB 6 October 1978 on Bright Think Rundown Withdrawn	260
8 Dec.	Green Form (HCO PL) (revision of HCO PL 7 Apr. 1970)	27
8 Dec.	PTS Rundown, Audited (re-revision of HCOB 9 Dec. 1971)	90
8 Dec.	PTS RD Addition (revision of HCOB 20 Jan. 1972)	124
8 Dec.	PTS Rundown, Final Step (re-revision of HCOB 3 June 1972)	126
8 Dec.	Green Form and Expanded Green Form 40RD, Use of	261
9 Dec.	HCO PL 23 Oct. 76 and BPL 29 Nov. 1976 Canceled (HCO PL)	263
12 Dec.	Use of Quadruple Dianetics (C/S Series 28RA-1RA) (revision of HCOB 7 Mar. 1971)	53
12 Dec.	Use of Quad Dianetics (C/S Series 32RA-1RC) (revision of HCOB 4 Apr. 1971)	57
17 Dec.	Ron’s Journal 30—1978—The Year of Lightning Fast New Tech (LRH ED 301 Int)	265

CHRONOLOGICAL CONTENTS

29 Dec.	The Suppressed Person Rundown	276
31 Dec.	Outline of PTS Handling	290
31 Dec.	Educating the Potential Trouble Source, The First Step Toward Handling: PTS C/S-1	293

1979

6 Jan.	Data Series Auditing (HCO PL) (Data Series 21R) (revision of HCO PL 15 Mar. 1971)	56
6 Jan.	Suppressed Person Rundown Problems Processes (revision of HCOB 30 Dec. 1978)	279
6 Jan.	HCOB 24 July 1970 and HCOB 28 August 1970RA Canceled (<i>canceled—see</i> HCOB 28 Aug. 1970RB Vol. XIII)	300
6 Jan.	Correction to Ron's Journal 30—Requirements for Super Power Auditors (LRH ED 301-1 INT)	301
9 Jan.	BTB Cancellation	302
17 Jan.	A New Type of Crime (HCO PL)	303
19 Jan.	Cancellation (HCO PL) (Data Series 47)	304
28 Jan.	C/S Qualifications	304
31 Jan.	Drug Handling (C/S Series 48RD, NED Series 9RB) (revision of HCOB 15 July 1971)	73
31 Jan.	The End of Endless Drug RDs (revision of HCOB 19 Sept. 1978)	185
31 Jan.	The End of Endless Drug Rundowns Repair List (revision of HCOB 19 Sept. 1978)	187
31 Jan.	Mood Drills	305
3 Feb.	Confront Tech Has to Be Part of the TR Checksheet	311
8 Feb.	Change the Civilization Eval (correction and reissue of HCOB 3 Feb. 1979)	307
9 Feb.	How to Defeat Verbal Tech	317
15 Feb.	E-Meter Drill 5RA—Can Squeeze (revision of HCOB 7 Feb . 1979)	312
15 Feb.	Verbal Tech: Penalties	318
21 Feb.	The End of Endless Int Repair Rundown (Int. RD. Series 4RA) (revision of HCOB 24 Sept. 1978)	189
22 Feb.	E-Meter Watching (revision of HCOB 8 June 1961)	1
22 Feb.	E-Meter Sensitivity Setting (revision of HCOB 16 Nov. 1965)	4
22 Feb.	Tech Recovery (HCO PL) (revision of HCO PL 10 Feb. 1966)	5
22 Feb.	Free Needles, How to Get Them on a Pc (revision of HCOB 11 Feb . 1966)	9
22 Feb.	Auditing by Lists (revision of HCOB 3 July 1971)	70
22 Feb.	Gradients in TRs (revision of HCOB 7 Apr. 1973)	142
22 Feb.	E-Meters—Sensitivity Errors (revision of HCOB 18 Mar. 1974)	154
22 Feb.	Errata—P.A.B. No. 147 (HCO PL)	324
Mar.	<i>Group Auditor's Handbook</i>	325

CHRONOLOGICAL CONTENTS

6 Mar.	Dianetic Clear False Declares (revision of HCOB 5 Mar. 1979)	328
15 Apr.	Fine Arts versus Illustrations (Art Series 7)	331
30 Apr.	Auditing the Dianetic Clear (C/S Series 106)	332
2 May	Dianetic Clear Special Intensive	333
2 May	Dianetic Clear Special Intensive Assessment List	339
3 May	Dianetic Clear Special Intensive—C/S and Auditor Requirements	344
6 May	E-Meter Essentials Errata Sheet (HCO PL) (correction and reissue of HCO PL 21 Feb. 1979)	319
10 June	A Professional (Art Series 8)	346
12 June	Paid Comps Simplified—Addition I —C/S Series 81 RA—Auditor’s Rights Modified—Addition I (addition to HCOB 14 June 1977 and HCOB 16 June 1972RA)	162
17 June	Crashing Mis-Us: The Key to Completed Cycles of Action and Products (W/C Series 61, Product Debug Series 3)	347
18 June	The Crashing Mis-U Repair List—LC1 (Product Debug Series 4, W/C Series 62)	357
7 July	Crashing Mis-U Definition	360
16 July	The “Elusive” Mis-U or Crashing Mis-U (Product Debug Series 5, W/C Series 63)	361
17 July	The Misunderstood Word Defined (W/C Series 64)	363
7 Aug.	False Data Stripping (Product Debug Series 8, Esto Series 36)	367
19 Aug.	High Crime—Addition—High Crime Checkouts and Word Clearing	375
21 Aug.	Twinning	377
23 Aug.	Crashing MUs, Blocks to Finding Them (W/C Series 65, Product Debug Series 6)	386
23 Aug.	Product Debug Repair List (Product Debug Series 10)	391
23 Aug.	Debug Tech (HCO PL) (Product Debug Series 1, Esto Series 37)	400
23 Aug.	Debug Tech Checklist (HCO PL) (Esto Series 38, Product Debug Series 2)	408
2 Sept.	Misunderstood Words and Cycles of Action (Esto Series 35RB, W/C Series 60RB, Product Debug Series 7R) (revision of HCOB 26 Mar. 1979)	329
4 Sept.	The Responsibilities of Leaders (correction and reissue of HCOB 12 Feb. 1967)	11
23 Sept.	Cancellation of Destructive BTBs and BPLs on TRs	417
24 Sept.	Pc Set-Ups and C/S 53 (revision of HCOB 13 Dec. 1978)	264
24 Sept.	Flying Ruds in Cramming (Cramming Series 19)	421
13 Oct.	Conceptual Understanding (W/C Series 66)	425
29 Oct.	SSO Responsibility for Standard Staff Courses (HCO PL)	426
14 Nov.	Drug and Alcohol Cases—Prior Assessing (re-revision of HCOB 19 May 1969)	22

CHRONOLOGICAL CONTENTS

14 Nov.	Clearing Words (W/C Series 59RA) (revision of HCOB 23 Mar. 1978)	182
4 Dec.	The Purification Rundown Replaces the Sweat Program (re-revision of HCOB 6 Feb. 1978)	163
19 Dec.	Method 9 Word Clearing the Right Way (W/C Series 46RC) (revision of HCOB 30 Jan. 1973)	128
20 Dec.	The Purification Rundown—Errata and Additions (amendment to HCOB 6 Feb. 1978RA)	180
21 Dec.	Auditor Assignment Policies, Cramming Assignment Policies (C/S Series 107, Cramming Series 20, Qual Corrective Actions on OTs Series 1)	431
24 Dec.	TRs Basics Resurrected	433
30 Dec.	Art in Its Basics (Art Series 6) (revision of HCOB 4 Mar. 1979)	326
30 Dec.	How to Build a Sauna	439
	Subject Index	449
	Alphabetical List of Titles	507
	Cancellations and Revisions	509

LONG CONTENTS

HCO B 8 June 1961R E-METER WATCHING, 1

Reactive mind responds instantly, 1
Pc response, analytical vs. reactive, 1
Why assessments can be wrong, 1
E-Meter responds instantly, 2
How to assess Pre-Hav Scale, 2
Don't think you have to wait for a needle response, 3

HCO B 16 Nov. 1965R E-METER SENSITIVITY SETTING, 4

Setting up an E-Meter for session, 4
Determining pc's sensitivity setting, 4

HCO PL 10 Feb. 1966R TECH RECOVERY, 5

Rehabbing lower grades, 5
Overrunning free needles, 5
Rehab by rehab procedure, don't use another process, 6
Don't use unusual solutions, 6
When SP is discovered, give an S & D to his associates, 6
After SP is removed, if stats are still down, look for another SP, 6
Case Supervisor lives in an Ivory Tower, 7
Primary indication of the presence of an SP, 8

HCO B 11 Feb. 1966R FREE NEEDLES, HOW TO GET THEM ON A PC, 9

Rehabbing several overruns, 9
Free needle and sensitivity setting, 9
Pcs are most apt to go free needle after a big cog, 9
Three causes of dirty needles, 10

HCO B 12 Feb. 1967 THE RESPONSIBILITIES OF LEADERS, 11

Comments on power, 11
Bolivar's errors, 11
The tragedy of Manuela Saenz, 16
Seven things about power, 19

HCO B 19 May 1969RB DRUG AND ALCOHOL CASES—PRIOR ASSESSING, 22

Characteristics of drug cases, 22
Prior assessment steps, 22
Noting and handling reads, 22

HCO B 16 Aug. 1969R HANDLING ILLNESS IN SCIENTOLOGY, 24

Sickness is the result of engram chains in restimulation, 24
Illness handling steps, 24
Life ruds, 25
Procedure for three S & Ds, 25
Handling a resistive illness situation, 26

HCO PL 7 Apr. 1970RB GREEN FORM, 27

Interiorization Rundown Series 6

HCO B 11 Apr. 1970R AUDITING PAST EXTERIOR, 32

"Audited over exterior" symptoms, 32
Clears, OTs, Dianetic Clears and the Int Rundown, 32
Handling pcs who have exteriorized in auditing, 33

Interiorization Rundown Series 7

HCO B 6 May 1970R BLOWS—AUDITING PAST EXTERIOR, 34

Causes of blows, 34
Blow as an effort to exteriorize, 34

HCO B 27 May 1970R UNREADING QUESTIONS AND ITEMS, 36

Never list a listing question that doesn't read, 36
Never prepcheck an item that doesn't read, 36
What is a read, 36
Listing errors, 36
Dianetic "list" is not a listing action, 36
Things that don't read won't run, 37
Suppress and Invalidate buttons, 37

Interiorization Rundown Series 3

HCO B 30 May 1970R INTERIORIZATION INTENSIVE—2-WAY COMM, 38

Interiorization Rundown is always followed by a final session with "2-way comm
on interiorization-exteriorization," 38
Cognition on Int RD, 38

Interiorization Rundown Series 8

HCO B 20 Aug. 1970R INTERIORIZATION RUNDOWN MUSTS, 40

Interiorization Rundown rules, 40
Completing the rundown on an intensive basis, 40
Int Rundown must be flubless, 41
Int Rundown must be followed by a two-way comm session, 41

Interiorization Rundown Series 2

**HCO B 4 Jan. 1971R EXTERIORIZATION AND HIGH TA—THE
INTERIORIZATION RUNDOWN REVISED, 43**

Auditing trouble after exteriorization, 43
Exteriorization defined, 44
Engram behavior, 44
The missed beginning of an exteriorization, 45
Remedy is to audit out interiorizations, 45
Int Rundown Revised by steps, 45
Int buttons, 46
Running Int with R3RA, 48
Vital data on Int RD end phenomenon 48
Future auditing, 49
Warning, 49
The end of endless Int repair, 49
Summary, 50

Interiorization Rundown Series 9

HCO B 13 Jan. 1971R EXTERIORIZATION, 51

Interiorization Rundown flubs, 51
No auditor may audit materials or apply HCOBs on which he has not been
starrated, 52

C/S Series 28RA-1RA

HCO B 7 Mar. 1971-1RA USE OF QUADRUPLE DIANETICS, 53

How to C/S a case for Quad Dianetics, 53
Dianetic Full Flow Table, 54
Auditing the fourth flow on Int, 54
Flubs, 54
Requirements to audit Quad Dianetics, 55
Dianetics is its own field of C/Sing, 55 Results of Quad Dianetics, 55

Data Series 21R

HCO PL 15 Mar. 1971R DATA SERIES AUDITING, 56

Handling a student who cannot grasp or retain the data of the Data Series
policy letters, 56

C/S Series 32 RA-1 RC

HCO B 4 Apr. 1971-1RC USE OF QUAD DIANETICS, 57

Triple Dianetics, 57
Quad, 57
Int RD, 57
Run only flows that instant read when called, 57
Full Flow Table, 58
The four flows, 58
Multiple somatic items, 58
Repair, 58
Result, 59
Offering Full Flow Dianetics, 59
Warning, 59

HCO B 30 June 1971RB

EXPANDED GREEN FORM 40RD— EXGF 40RD, 60

HCO B 3 July 1971R AUDITING BY LISTS, 70

Auditing by Lists, Method 3, 70
Going earlier similar in Auditing by Lists, 71
Auditing by Lists procedure, 71
Big win, 71
GF and Method 3, 72
GF is best done by Method 5, 72
L1C, L3RF, L7 and other such lists are best done Method 3, 72

C/S Series 48RD

New Era Dianetics Series 9RB

HCO B 15 July 1971RC DRUG HANDLING, 73

Person who has been on drugs is one of the “seven types of resistive cases,” 73
Effects of drugs, 73
Drug engrams, 74
Those on drugs, 74
Drugs are done first, 75
Full Drug Rundown steps, 75

Interiorization Rundown Series 11

**HCO B 24 Sept. 1971R INTERIORIZATION RUNDOWN—CORRECTION
DRILL: DATE TO BLOW/LOCATE TO BLOW, 79**

Unnecessary Int Rundowns, 79
Flubbed R3RA, 79
Overrun Int Rundown, 79
Reason for errors on Int Rundown, 80
Headaches and Int Rundown, 80
Correction Drill for an Int Rundown, 80
Auditor requirements to do Int RD correction, 80
Failed Int/Ext RD repair, date to blow—locate to blow procedure, 81

Interiorization Rundown Series 12

HCO B 29 Oct. 1971RA INT RUNDOWN CORRECTION LIST REVISED, 84

HCO B 9 Dec. 1971RC PTS RUNDOWN, AUDITED, 90

Cause of roller-coaster is PTS, 90
Who does PTS handlings, 90
Clears, OTs, Dianetic Clears and the PTS Rundown, 91
The only PTS situation that is serious and lasting and can cause a roller-coaster comes from
 having known the person before this life, 91
Four points of breakdown of the PTS Rundown, 91
Behavior of the PTS Rundown, 92
PTS Rundown end phenomena, 92
Description of flows on PTS Rundown, 92
Re-dos on PTS Rundown, 93
Commands and steps of the PTS Rundown, 93
Do not run unreading flows, 96
Repair, 96

C/S Series 35RB

Interiorization Series 10

HCO B 16 Dec. 1971RB INTERIORIZATION ERRORS, 98

Correct an Int RD error as a first action, 98
Int Rundown errors, 98
Int Rundown auditor requirements, 99
Repair of Int RD, 99
Ext in session, 99
Dianetic C/S- 1, 100

Interiorization Rundown Series 15

C/S Series 23RB

HCO B 17 Dec. 1971RB INTERIORIZATION SUMMARY, 102

References covering the subject of interiorization/exteriorization, 102
Unnecessary Int RD being done, 103
Quads or Triples, 103
Int RD overrun, 103
Repair of Int RD, 104
Two-way comm step of Int RD, 104
Preassessments, AESPs not used on Int RDs 104
C/Sing Int RD, 104
Int RD is a remedy, 105
C/S wins, 106

HCO B 1 Jan. 1972RB LIX HI-LO TA LIST REVISED, 107

HCO B 20 Jan. 1972R PTS RD ADDITION, 124

The only reasons a PTS RD does not work, 124
Rules of PTS, 124
Remedies for PTS Rundown errors, 124

HCO B 3 June 1972RA PTS RUNDOWN, FINAL STEP, 126

“Can’t have” steps and commands, 126
SPs are SPs because they deny Hav and enforce unwanted Hav, 127
L & N questions and the PTS RD, 127

Word Clearing Series 46RC

HCO B 30 Jan. 1973RC METHOD 9 WORD CLEARING THE RIGHT WAY, 128

History of Method 9 Word Clearing, 128
How to learn Method 9, 129
Misunderstood words, 129
Why Method 9 works, 130
The glib student, 131

Method 9 on common reading materials, 132
How to do Method 9, 132
Method 9 caution, 134
Examples of student reactions and their correct handling, 135
TRs and M9, 140
Quarrels or upsets, 140
Worksheets, 140
Teaching the student to do Method 0 140

HCO B 7 Apr. 1973RA GRADIENTS IN TRs, 142
[CANCELED]

Purpose of TRs, 142
How to do TRs, 142
Cycling through the TRs, 143

C/S Series 87RB

HCO B 15 Oct. 1973RB NULLING AND F/Ning PREPARED LISTS, 144

To F/N a list, you do it Method 3, 144 “Non-reading, non-F/Ning” lists, 144
Reading through an F/N, 145
The “rabbit buttons, “ 145

C/S Series 53RL SF

HCO B 24 Nov. 1973RD SHORT HI-LO TA ASSESSMENT C/S, 147

C/S Series 53RL LF

HCO B 24 Nov. 1973RE C/S SERIES 53 RL LF (LONG FORM), 150

HCO B 18 Mar. 1974R E-METERS—SENSITIVITY ERRORS, 154

An auditor must set the sensitivity of an E-Meter exactly right for each pc and each session,
154
Too low sensitivity, 154
Too high sensitivity, 154
Set the sensitivity for the pc for 1/3 of a dial drop on a correct can squeeze per E-Meter Drill
5RA, 155

HCO B 29 Mar. 1975R ANTIBIOTICS, ADMINISTERING OF, 156

Definition of antibiotics, 156
Germs and virus, 156
Bringing down temperature with antibiotics, 156
How to administer antibiotics, 157
Antibiotics and temperature, 157
The general rule when administering antibiotics, 158
Antibiotics taking effect, 158 Past maladministration of antibiotics, 158
Person on antibiotics is given vitamins before session, 158
Key procedure, 159
Side effects of antibiotics, 159
Disease cycles, 159
Sulfa drugs, 159
Penicillin, 160
Other antibiotics, 161
Vitamins and antibiotics, 161
Intestinal bacteria, 161

**HCO B 14 June 1977-1 PAID COMPS SIMPLIFIED—ADDITION 1— C/S
SERIES 81RA—AUDITOR’S RIGHTS MODIFIED—
ADDITION 1, 162**

Paid Completion points for Dianetic Clear Special Intensives, 162

**HCO B 6 Feb. 1978RA THE PURIFICATION RUNDOWN REPLACES THE
SWEAT PROGRAM, 163**

Biochemical factors, 164
Why “Purification”? 164
The Purification Rundown, 166
Warning, 167
Exercise and sauna, 167
Personal schedule, 168
Nutrition, 169
Niacin, 172
Minerals, 174
Cal-Mag, 174
Manifestations that turn up on the Purification Program, 175
Having trips during the program, 176
Administration of the Purification Rundown, 176
End phenomena, 178
Length of program, 179
Summary, 179

**HCO B 6 Feb. 1978RA-1 THE PURIFICATION RUNDOWN—ERRATA AND
ADDITIONS, 180**

When to wear a rubberized or vinyl-type sweat suit, 180
The 5-hour period is NOT 50% exercising and 50% sauna, 180
Getting too warm or feeling faint in the sauna, 180
Steam baths, 181
Eucalyptus oil, 181

Word Clearing Series 59RA

HCO B 23 Mar. 1978RA CLEARING WORDS, 182

How to clear a word, 182
Example of how to clear a word, 183
Context unknown, 183
“Word chains, “ 183
Cleared word defined, 183

HCO B 19 Sept. 1978R THE END OF ENDLESS DRUG RDs, 185

Reason for endless Drug Rundowns, 185
Data on the End of Endless Drug Rundowns Repair List, 185
New Era Dianetics Drug Rundown a separate action, 186

**HCO B 19 Sept. 1978R THE END OF ENDLESS DRUG RUNDOWNS REPAIR
LIST, 187**

Interiorization Rundown Series BRA

HCO B 24 Sept. 1978RA THE END OF ENDLESS INT REPAIR RUNDOWN, 189

When to run an End of Endless Int Rundown, 190
Int Rundown Table, 191
The End of Endless Int Rundown procedure, 192
The Int buttons, 193
Cautions and C/S tips, 194
Vital data on Int RD end phenomena, 195
Repairing repair, 196

Interiorization Rundown Series 13

HCO B 24 Sept. 1978 PREASSESSMENT, AESPs AND INT, 199

When handling Int you address only Int, nothing else, 199
You do not run preassessment or AESPs on Int, 199

HCO B 24 Sept. 1978 DIANETIC CLEAR, 200

The state of Clear can be achieved on Dianetics, 200
Definition of the state of Dianetic Clear, 200
Auditing the Dianetic Clear, 200

Interiorization Rundown Series 5

HCO B 25 Sept. 1978 QUAD COMMANDS FOR INT BUTTONS, 201

R3RA Quad Flows commands for each of the Int buttons on the Interiorization Rundown
Revised, 201
Recall Quad Flows commands for each of the Int buttons on the End of Endless Int Repair
Rundown, 203

Interiorization Rundown Series 14

**HCO B 25 Sept. 1978 STARRATE CHECKOUTS FOR INTERIORIZATION
RUNDOWN, 206**

Int must be run flawlessly, 206
Starrate checkouts for “Okay to Audit Int RD,” 207

HCO B 29 Sept. 1978 FESing OF FOLDERS AND FULL FLOW TABLES, 209

The name of the auditor should be clearly noted on the FFT as well as the FES, 209
Full Flow Table format, 209

HCO B 3 Oct. 1978 NED RULE, 210

NED auditor must understand the function and purpose of each of the R3RA commands in a
Dianetic session, 210

Interiorization Rundown Series 1

HCO B 4 Oct. 1978 INTERIORIZATION HANDLING SIMPLIFIED, 211

Definition of exteriorization, 211
Two very effective new tools for handling Int, 211
Further data on the subject of Int and flows, 212 More on Recalls, 213

HCO B 16 Oct. 1978 REPAIR CORRECTION LIST, 214

Interiorization Rundown Series 16

C/S Series 102

HCO B 16 Oct. 1978 C/S CHECKLIST OF INT ERRORS, 217

Two major errors that occur most frequently on Int handling, 217
C/S checklist for detecting errors on Int, 217

HCO B 30 Oct. 1978 C/S SERIES 53, USE OF, 220

What the C/S Series 53 Short Form is, 220
What the C/S Series 53 Long Form is, 220
Two ways of using a C/S 53, 220
Clears, OTs and the C/S 53, 221
F/Ning a C/S 53, 221
C/S 53 to F/Ning list, 221
Pcs that have gotten bugged by C/S 53 being done on them, 222

HCO B 30 Oct. 1978 COURSES—THEIR IDEAL SCENE, 223

An in-ethics vs. an out-ethics course, 223
Out-ethics activities in course rooms, 223

HCO B 10 Nov. 1978R PROCLAMATION—POWER TO FORGIVE, 225

The power to forgive sins, 225
The purpose of any Confessional, 225

HCO B 10 Nov. 1978R PROCLAMATION: POWER TO FORGIVE ADDITION, 227

Handling any adverse reaction to the proclamation of forgiveness, 227
Errors that can cause an incomplete confession, 227

HCO B 15 Nov. 1978 DATING AND LOCATING, 228

Definitions of dating and locating, 228
Why you date and locate, 228
Date/Locate not a rote procedure, 229
Definition of blow, 229
Metering during Dating/Locating, 229
You can use any system of time measurement in dating, 230
Implant dates vs. actual dates, 230
Purpose of dating, 230
Locations and distance, 231
Purpose of locating, 231
There are two separate drills for dating and locating, 232
Preliminary step before dating and locating on a pc for the first time, 232
Dating to blow/locating to blow—procedure by steps, 233
Liability, 236
Summary, 236

HCO B 19 Nov. 1978 L & N LISTS—THE ITEM “ME,” 237

Rules regarding the item “Me” on an L & N list, 237

Word Clearing Series SERF

HCO B 27 Nov. 1978 WORD CLEARING CORRECTION LIST, 238

HCO B 28 Nov. 1978 AUDITORS WHO MISS WITHHOLDS, PENALTY, 242

Penalty for missing a withhold on a pc, 242

C/S Series 104

HCO B 29 Nov. 1978 DIANETIC CLEAR ATTESTS, 243

Warning to NED and Scn auditors, 243
Note for C/Ses, 243
Clear in last life, 243
Inval/eval and the state of Clear, 244
Comm-eval offense to coach the pc with data about Clear in any way, 244
The power of auditing, 244

HCO B 30 Nov. 1978 CONFESSIONAL PROCEDURE, 245

What withholds add up to, 245
Basic procedure for a Confessional, 246
Auditor attitude and TRs, 250

HCO B 1 Dec. 1978 PROGRAMMING THE DIANETIC CLEAR FOR HIS NEXT STEP, 252

Guidelines for programming a Dianetic Clear, 252
“Super Power” for Dianetic Clears, 252

HCO B 3 Dec. 1978 UNREADING FLOWS, 253

Don’t run unreading items or flows, 253

HCO B 4 Dec. 1978 HOW TO READ THROUGH AN F/N, 254

When taking a list to F/Ning assessment an auditor must know how to read through an F/N,
254
Training an auditor to see a read through an F/N, 255

HCO B 5 Dec. 1978 DIANETIC CLEAR ATTESTS—ADDITIONAL DATA, 256

Unacknowledged Dianetic Clears, 256
Ethics, 256
Meter phenomena, 257
Prior Dianetic Clears and Keyed-Out Clears, 257
The person who hasn't made it, 257
Next step for Dianetic Clears, 258
Summary, 258

HCO B 6 Dec. 1978 REVIVIFICATION, 259

Definition of revivification, 259
Revivification is apt to occur on the End of Endless Int Repair, 259

HCO B 7 Dec. 1978 HCOB 1 OCTOBER 1978, HCOB 6 OCTOBER 1978 ON BRIGHT THINK RUNDOWN WITHDRAWN, 260

HCO B 8 Dec. 1978 GREEN FORM AND EXPANDED GREEN FORM 40RD, USE OF, 261

How to use the Green Form, 261
Resistive cases, 261
Handling reads, 261
Clears, OTs, and Dianetic Clears, 262
Unhandled drugs reading on the EXGF 40RD, 262
End phenomena of Green Form and EXGF 40RD, 262

HCO PL 9 Dec. 1978 HCO PL 23 OCT. 76 AND BPL 29 NOV. 1976 CANCELED, 263

HCO B 13 Dec. 1978R PC SET-UPS AND C/S 53, 264

Every major action does not require a C/S 53 to F/Ning list as a set-up, 264
A pc must be set up before a major action, 264

LRH ED 301 INT RON'S JOURNAL 30—1978—THE YEAR OF LIGHTNING FAST NEW TECH, 265

Discoveries and advancements in tech in 1978, 266
Training, 269
Class IV auditing action that can be run, 269
Services that are given at Saint Hill Orgs, 271
Advanced Org services, 272
Flag Ship Org services, 273
Scientology in 1978, 274

HCO B 29 Dec. 1978 THE SUPPRESSED PERSON RUNDOWN, 276

A magical new rundown, 276
When the rundown is done, 277
How the rundown is done, 277
Who the rundown can be done on, 278
Auditor and C/S note, 278

HCO B 30 Dec. 1978 SUPPRESSED PERSON RUNDOWN—PROBLEMS PROCESSES, 279

Definition of problem, 279
Problems Processes, 280
End phenomena of the Suppressed Person Rundown, 280
List of Problems Processes and commands, 280

HCO B 31 Dec. 1978 OUTLINE OF PTS HANDLING, 290

Educating the PTS person, 290
Use of the PTS C/S-1, 290
PTS interview, 290
Handling, 290
The book *What Is Scientology?* is a very useful tool, 291
Can We Ever Be Friends? cassette, 291
PTS person is given a program to do, 291
Flying ruds and overts Triple or Quad Flow on the antagonistic terminal, 291
When the PTS Rundown is done, 292
Use and EP of the Suppressed Person Rundown, 292
Rest, quiet and a safe environment, 292

HCO B 31 Dec. 1978 EDUCATING THE POTENTIAL TROUBLE SOURCE, THE FIRST STEP TOWARD HANDLING: PTS C/S-1, 293

PTS C/S- 1, 294
PTS C/S-1 procedure, 295
Issues to be read by the PTS student or pc, 295
PTS C/S- 1 definitions sheet, 296

HCO B 6 Jan. 1979 HCOB 24 JULY 1970 AND HCOB 28 AUGUST 1970RA [CANCELED] CANCELED, 300

Use of the HC Out-Point Plus-Point Lists, 300

LRH ED 301-1 INT CORRECTION TO RON'S JOURNAL 30—REQUIREMENTS FOR SUPER POWER AUDITORS, 301

Requirements for Super Power auditors, 301

HCO B 9 Jan. 1979 BTB CANCELLATION, 302

No auditor may audit in an HGC who has not done a Hard TRs Course, 302
Once an auditor's TRs are "IN" they don't go out, 302

HCO PL 17 Jan. 1979 A NEW TYPE OF CRIME, 303

Technical perversions, 303
New type of crime: to alter and pervert tech or procedure to prevent discovery of withholds, 303

Data Series 47

HCO PL 19 Jan. 1979 CANCELLATION, 304

BTB 2 Sept. 72R Issue II, Why Finding Drill—Two, is canceled, 304

HCO B 28 Jan. 1979 C/S QUALIFICATIONS, 304

C/S must not C/S for a level for which he has not been trained, 304

HCO B 31 Jan. 1979 MOOD DRILLS, 305

Beings can be fixed or stuck in a chronic mood, 305
Why Mood Drills were developed, 305
Patter for Mood Drills, 305
50 Foot Mood Drills, 306

HCO B 3 Feb. 1979 CHANGE THE CIVILIZATION EVAL, 307

Evaluation to handle TRs and tech being out internationally, 307

**HCO B 3 Feb. 1979 CONFRONT TECH HAS TO BE PART OF THE TR
CHECKSHEET, 311**

Inability to confront is basically caused by withholds, 311
The world begins anew by regaining the ability to confront, 311

HCO B 7 Feb. 1979R E-METER DRILL 5RA—CAN SQUEEZE, 312

Procedure for E-Meter Drill 5RA, 312
How a can squeeze can be done incorrectly, 312
What a correct can squeeze is, 313
Setting the sensitivity for a 1/3 of a dial drop of the needle on the can squeeze, 315
Why one has to use a sensitivity setting that gives 1/3 of a dial drop, 315

HCO B 9 Feb. 1979 HOW TO DEFEAT VERBAL TECH, 317

HCO B 15 Feb. 1979 VERBAL TECH PENALTIES, 318

Penalty for verbal tech, 318
What constitutes verbal tech, 318

HCO PL 21 Feb. 1979 E-METER ESSENTIALS ERRATA SHEET, 319

Corrections to be made in *E-Meter Essentials* 319

HCO PL 22 Feb. 1979 ERRATA—P.A.B. No. 147, 324

Typo in P.A.B. No. 147 in Technical Volume III, 324

Art Series 6

HCO B 4 Mar. 1979R ART IN ITS BASICS, 326

Every separate sector of artistic creations has its own basic rules, 326
The distance between amateur junk and an effective product, 326
Be a professional in whatever you do, 327

HCO B 5 Mar. 1979R DIANETIC CLEAR FALSE DECLARES, 328

Natural Clear, 328
Falsely declaring a person a Dianetic Clear is actionable, 328
Other practices do not produce Dianetic Clears, 328
Any and all false declares are canceled, 328

Esto Series 35RB

Word Clearing Series 60RB Product Debug Series 7R

**HCO B 25 Mar. 1979RB MISUNDERSTOOD WORDS AND CYCLES OF ACTION,
329**

Misunderstood words and no product, 329
Misunderstoods and perception, 329
Misunderstoods and complexity, 329
Misunderstoods and total organize, 330
Misunderstoods and no organize, 330
References for handling Crashing Mis-Us, 330

Art Series 7

HCO B 15 Apr. 1979 FINE ARTS VERSUS ILLUSTRATIONS, 331

The division between fine arts and illustrations, 331

C/S Series 106

HCO B 30 Apr. 1979 AUDITING THE DIANETIC CLEAR, 332

Giving Quad Grades to the Dianetic Clear without making full use of the Expanded Grades,
332
Ensuring that the Dianetic Clear has the opportunity to attain all the benefits of the grades,
332

HCO B 2 May 1979 DIANETIC CLEAR SPECIAL INTENSIVE, 333

Situations the Dianetic Clear Special Intensive is designed to sort out, 333
Purchase of auditing for the Dianetic Clear Special Intensive, 334
Use of the Dianetic Clear Special Intensive, 334
FES and folder study, 334
Alternative choices to handle certain pcs, 334
Procedure, 335
End phenomena, 337
D/L caution, 338

HCO B 2 May 1979 DIANETIC CLEAR SPECIAL INTENSIVE ASSESSMENT, LIST, 339

HCO B 3 May 1979 DIANETIC CLEAR SPECIAL INTENSIVE—C/S AND AUDITOR REQUIREMENTS, 344

List of requirements for every C/S and auditor delivering this intensive, 344

Art Series 8

HCO B 10 June 1979 A PROFESSIONAL, 346

What a professional is, 346
What separates a member of the audience from a professional, 346

Word Clearing Series 61

Product Debug Series 3

HCO B 17 June 1979 CRASHING MIS-Us: THE KEY TO COMPLETED CYCLES OF ACTION AND PRODUCTS, 347

Incomplete cycles and misunderstands, 347
Application of Crashing Mis-U tech, 347
Theory, 348
Crashing Mis-U finding, 348
Procedure, 348
End phenomena, 350
Running into O/Ws when doing Crashing Mis-U finding, 350
Defense mechanism, 351
The Word Clearer's Mis-Us, 351
Crashing Mis-U finding is an integral part of debug tech, 352
When to stop debugging, 352
Gradient approach, 352
Examples, 352
Case histories to show how Crashing Mis-U finding goes, 353
Cautions, 356
Repair, 356
Effects of Crashing Mis-Us, 356
Summary, 356

Product Debug Series 4

Word Clearing Series 62

HCO B 18 June 1979 THE CRASHING MIS-U REPAIR LIST—LC1, 357

HCO B 7 July 1979 CRASHING MIS-U DEFINITION, 360

Product Debug Series 5

Word Clearing Series 63

HCO B 16 July 1979 THE “ELUSIVE” MIS-U OR CRASHING MIS-U, 361

M9 Word Clearing does not uncover false data, Crashing Mis-Us, overts, withholds or PTSness, 361
Handling the Crashing Mis-U in situations where M9 is really not getting much done, 361

Word Clearing Series 64

HCO B 17 July 1979 THE MISUNDERSTOOD WORD DEFINED, 363

“Mis-understood” and “not-understood,” 363

Types of misunderstood words, 363

Product Debug Series 8

Esto Series 36

HCO B 7 Aug. 1979 FALSE DATA STRIPPING, 367

Verbal hating is the main source of false definitions and false data, 367

Primary reason people cannot be hatted, 368

Sources of false data, 368

Use of False Data Stripping, 368

Socratic thesis-antithesis-synthesis philosophical equation, 369

Anatomy of the “glib student,” 369

People prone to accepting false data, 370

Procedure for False Data Stripping, 370

Steps for False Data Stripping, 370

EP of False Data Stripping, 373

Misunderstoods can come up during False Data Stripping, 373

Repeated use of False Data Stripping, 373

Cautions, 374

Summary, 374

**HCO B 19 Aug. 1979 HIGH CRIME—ADDITION—HIGH CRIME CHECKOUTS
AND WORD CLEARING, 375**

Consequences of no Word Clearing, 375

Word Clearing requirements, 375

Former High Crime checkouts, 376

Technical results, 376

HCO B 21 Aug. 1979 TWINNING, 377

This HCOB reinstates twinning firmly and with emphasis, 377

Background, 378

Why twinning? 378

Twinning versus modern permissiveness, 378

Cause and effect, 379

When to twin, 379

Assigning twins, 380

Twinning is done on a “turn-about” basis, 380

The twin’s responsibility, 381

The Supervisor’s responsibility, 382

Emphasis on no verbal data, 382

Handling bugs on twinning, 383

Handling bogged students and their twins, 384

Word Clearing Series 65

Product Debug Series 6

HCO B 23 Aug. 1979 CRASHING MUs, BLOCKS TO FINDING THEM, 386

What Crashing MUs can be buried by, 386

References for full handling of a Crashing MU, 387

Definition of Crashing MU, 387

Other MUs obscuring the Crashing MU, 387

Overts and withholds blocking Crashing MU finding, 387

Person who justifies having a Crashing MU, 388

Service facsimiles getting in the way of Crashing MU finding, 389

Cautions, 389

Summary, 389

Product Debug Series 10

HCO B 23 Aug. 1979 PRODUCT DEBUG REPAIR LIST, 391

Product Debug Series 1

Esto Series 37

HCO PL 23 Aug. 1979 DEBUG TECH, 400

History, 400
The tech, 401
What to handle, 406
The EP of debug, 407
Evaluation and programming, 407

Esto Series 38

Product Debug Series 2

HCO PL 23 Aug. 1979 DEBUG TECH CHECKLIST, 408

Production is the basis of morale, 408
Use of the Debug Checklist, 408
The EP of debug, 409
The first action in debugging an area is an inspection, 409
The checklist, 409

**HCO B 23 Sept. 1979 CANCELLATION OF DESTRUCTIVE BTBs AND BPLs
ON TRs, 417**

List of issues canceled and reasons for cancellation, 417
Summary, 420

Cramming Series 19

HCO B 24 Sept. 1979 FLYING RUDS IN CRAMMING, 421

How to fly ruds in cramming, 421
Previously mis-done cramming, 421
Cramming Officer qualifications, 422
Worksheets, 422
A C/S is not needed before flying ruds in cramming, 422
Folder check before cramming, 423
FESing, 423
Use the tech, 423
Attachment 1, 424
Attachment 2, 424

Word Clearing Series 66

HCO B 13 Oct. 1979 CONCEPTUAL UNDERSTANDING, 425

People who have no idea of concept get bogged into terms and mechanics, 425
Understanding is conceptual, 425

**HCO PL 29 Oct. 1979 SSO RESPONSIBILITY FOR STANDARD STAFF
COURSES, 426**

Putting staff courses there, 426
Getting staff courses attended, 427
Executive support, 427
People who don't study, 428
SSO authority, 428
Some advice for SSOs, 428

C/S Series 107

Cramming Series 20

Quay Corrective Actions on OTs Series 1

**HCO B 21 Dec. 1979 AUDITOR ASSIGNMENT POLICIES, CRAMMING
ASSIGNMENT POLICIES, 431**

Rule that auditor-pc assignments must be by comparable grade and class, 431
Policy not to assign non-OT Cramming Officers to OTs, 431
Actions which are not OK and which are OK to do in Cramming, 432

HCO B 24 Dec. 1979 TRs BASICS RESURRECTED, 433

Omitted checksheet and false data, 434
The communication formula in clay, 435
Use of the ARC triangle, 435
TRs the Hard Way, 436
End phenomenon of TRs, 437
Prerequisite, 437
Summary, 437

HCO B 30 Dec. 1979 HOW TO BUILD A SAUNA, 439

Sauna: definition of, 439
Building a sauna, 439
Sources of heat, 441
Sauna stones, 443
Construction of the sauna, 445

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

Remimeo
Tech
Qual

HCO BULLETIN OF 8 JUNE 1961R
REVISED 22 FEBRUARY 1979

(Revisions in this type style)

E-METER WATCHING

ARE YOU WAITING FOR THE METER TO PLAY DIXIE?

I have been a bit surprised by the length of time it is taking people to do assessments on the Prehav, on Security Checks and goals.

A query into this, which may reveal more, has discovered that students wait patiently for the meter to react, which Mary Sue has noticed.

It dawns on me that auditors believe they are doing an *analytical* assessment on the Prehav, etc. *This is wrong.*

The Prehav Scale is not a picture of analytical thought. It is in the order it is in because it is a picture of reactive thought. It is how the reactive mind is stacked up. (See *Dianetics: The Modern Science of Mental Health* for the chapter on the reactive mind.)

Now an E-Meter reacts only on the reactive mind. A Clear doesn't react because he is able to be conscious. An aberree reacts because he can't think without thought exciting the reactivity of the reactive mind. This, being composed of mass, energy, space, time and thought, responds to tiny electrical impulses.

If your auditing was not aimed at reactivity it would not register on a meter. Thus, you run what reacts because it reacts and is therefore part of the reactive mind.

The reactive mind responds instantly on data a billion years ago. How is this? Time in the reactive mind is out of order. So is space. So is matter, so is energy. Pin a sign on the reactive mind: "Out of Order." It connects wrong connections. Hence, the E-Meter.

What is wrong with the pc is not known to the pc. Therefore if a pc knows all about it, it isn't wrong with him.

That's why you never run what the pc says. You run *only* what the meter says. Example: pc *is sure* his current general Prehav Level that should be run now is "Order or Command." "Order" rapidly vanishes. "Command" follows suit. CONQUER stays in. This is an actual example. I just assessed it a few minutes ago on a pc who is in pretty good shape. He didn't like CONQUER. He said Order and Command were long track. Somebody running a Q and A on his assessment would have said, perhaps, the pc knows best, so we'll run Order. Even if it doesn't fall. But when I said it was CONQUER that we were going to run as only *it* now fell, the pc sighed and gave in. Finding the Conquer level questions produced a very responsive meter needle. It was wrong with the pc because he didn't know about it. It was part of his reactive mind. Order and Command were analytical responses prompted by an entirely different thing CONQUER. If Order or Command had been run the pc would have had a lot of auditing time wasted on him.

Now, why are assessments wrong sometimes? Because the auditor is persuaded by the pc, not the meter. If the pc and the meter agree, so what. You can still run it. But only if the meter says so, for only then is it reactive.

Now, what about *slow* assessments? Well, the auditor thinks the pc must consider things before he answers, waits for the pc to answer and waits for the question to sink in so the meter will react.

This is entirely wrong. Based on a misunderstanding of assessment, the meter and the reactive mind.

1. The pc does not have to be given a chance to think before the needle responds.
2. The pc does not have to answer or say one word to make the needle respond.
3. All needle response is reactive.
4. There is no time in the reactive mind.
5. If the pc knew what was wrong with him it wouldn't be wrong.
6. Only the meter knows.
7. The auditor has more control over the pc's reactive mind than the pc since the pc is influenced by the reactive mind responses and the auditor is not so influenced.

The meter responds instantly. The reaction you will get on the needle starts to occur on the needle *instantly* after you utter it.

There is no need to sit there afterwards waiting for the needle to respond again, for it won't until you push that button again.

The only wait is caused by letting the needle come back at the end of a fall. This may take one second.

Therefore: TO WAIT MORE THAN *ONE* SECOND BEFORE UTTERING THE NEXT WORD ON THE LIST IS A COMPLETE WASTE OF AUDITING TIME.

All the response you want will begin to occur instantly after you utter a goal, terminal, level or security question. Thus the maximum time between questions on the Prehav Level is at most a three-second interval of silence while you digest the data.

Further, on an assessment for a Prehav run on the General Scale (as in Routine 2, HCOB 5 June 1961), you do not now say, "Do you . . ." or any other dunnage. You just say the level itself, note response, put a pencil point down on the level if it responds, say the next word, etc., etc. Takes about 5 minutes to run the Primary Scale up and down to find its level. You start at the bottom. You just say the word. If it responds you dot the sheet (using different symbols to tell them apart like dots, X's, lines). Then go back down the scale touching only those you marked going up. Add another dot if they still fall or react. Then play off those left one against the other, saying a level only once each time. The remaining level is now the only one that reacts. So you assemble your 5-way bracket and carry on with auditing.

The pc doesn't have to say a word throughout the whole assessment. You can even ask him politely not to, as breath going in and out in speech can vibrate the needle.

When you assess over into the Secondary Scale of the level you found, you do exactly the same as above. You read them all off once, then only those that reacted, eliminate them and you've got it. (And, by the way, if you go over the Secondary Scale, you then don't only run levels on that Secondary forever; in each new assessment you use the Primary Scale again to find a new Secondary Level to assess.)

This is also true of a Joburg. If you're going to get a reaction on the needle, it will come fast. No waiting. If you get a reaction you clear that reaction, not the pc's whole life. The moment the needle is null, you go on to the next question. Of course, in a Joburg, the pc talks. He better!

All auditing actions except the CCHs are now done in Model Session.

And all auditing actions and questions are done effectively, neither frantically rushed nor slowly.

So it boils down to this. Weeks can be added to Joburgs and assessments if you think you have to wait for a needle response.

What are you waiting for? The whole action only requires a second.

Don't wait for the E-Meter to play Dixie. It was made in the Nawth.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:imj.rd.jk
Copyright © 1961, 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 16 NOVEMBER 1965R
REVISED 22 FEBRUARY 1979
(Revisions in this type style)
(Ellipsis indicates deletion)

Remimeo
Tech
Qual
All Auditors
E-Meter
Checksheets

E-METER SENSITIVITY SETTING

(Ref: HCOB 4 Dec 77 CHECKLIST FOR SETTING UP SESSIONS AND AN
E-METER
HCOB 24 Jan 77 TECH CORRECTION ROUND-UP
HCOB 7 Feb 79R E-METER DRILL 5RA—CAN SQUEEZE)

4. When preparing for a session, an auditor sets up his E-Meter as per E-Meter Drill

The sensitivity is set for 1/3 of a dial drop on a correct can squeeze per HCOB 7 Feb 79R E-METER DRILL 5RA CAN SQUEEZE. This is done for each individual pc and at the beginning of each session before starting the session, and with the pc on the cans, per HCOB 4 Dec 77 CHECKLIST FOR SETTING UP SESSIONS AND AN E-METER.

There is no set sensitivity setting for a pc at any grade level. It is determined by the pays can squeeze at each session.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:kim
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO POLICY LETTER OF 10 FEBRUARY 1966R
ISSUE II

Remimeo
Tech Hats
Qual Hats
Ethics Hats

REVISED 22 FEBRUARY 1979
(Revisions in this type style)

TECH RECOVERY

(Ref: HCOB 7 Feb 79R, E-METER DRILL 5RA—CAN SQUEEZE)

My study of a Nov 1965 plummeting HGC Completion Statistic indicates certain policies are necessary in all HGCs and Qual Divisions.

The following errors were found:

1. The HGC ceased to look for former release grades to rehabilitate and ignored opportunities to do so on the basis that “outer orgs have rehabbed them already.” This came out in the Comm Ev held on a D of P of that period. Of course, if the HGC failed to rehab earlier grades (or earlier life overruns) it could achieve no later grades or Grade V. This alone would have ended completions promptly on all grades and wiped out the graph.

2. Invalidation of the appearance of a free needle and invalidating any auditor who “thought he saw one.” This wiped out all Release attainments and made for total overrun of all pcs of all grades. This error existed for 15 years so it is not surprising that it got back in again.

3. Whenever an overrun occurred, “rehabilitation of it” was done by running *different* new processes instead of standard rehab routine as in HCOBs, i.e. doing ARC break PPS, rudiments anything but a real rehab of that process that was overrun.

4. Abandonment of standard tech in favor of unusual solutions. This is always present when a collapse of tech occurs.

5. One SP was found in the middle of all this but after his departure the statistic did not recover so one can assume another SP was in the middle of it still or that the HGC remained PTS and didn’t separate from the SP found because he was so convincing, so reasonable and so persuasive as to why a tech statistic must remain down.

It is interesting that (1) above—Ceasing to rehab lower grades—would be absolutely fatal to any upper grades. Therefore this becomes policy:

NO UPPER GRADE OF RELEASE MAY BE BEGUN NEWLY ON A PC UNTIL ALL LOWER GRADES ARE FULLY REHABBED TO FREE NEEDLE. THIS APPLIES TO ALL GRADES 0 TO VII.

Regarding (2)—Invalidation of what a free needle is—and thus running past all free needless let it be noted that this is an Auditor’s Code break—continuing a process that has ceased to produce change—and is therefore a crime. This was wrong too long to be allowed to go wrong again. Thus we get the policy:

AN AUDITOR WHO HAS BEEN FOUND TO HAVE OVERRUN A FREE NEEDLE ON A PERCALE MUST BE GIVEN AN ETHICS CHIT; AND IF THE ACTION IS SEVERAL TIMES REPEATED, ETHICS MUST ORDER A FULL REVIEW OF THE AUDITOR’S CASE INCLUDING AN EYESIGHT TEST AND CONDUCT A THOROUGH ETHICS INVESTIGATION AND HEARING.

Note that a meter run with too high a sensitivity setting does not give a marked change when *the* needle floats. *A meter cranked up to 128 sensitivity looks like a floating needle all of the time at a casual glance on most pcs. On the other hand, if the sensitivity is set too low then the free needle may not be seen. Thus the sensitivity must be set for 1/3 of a dial drop on the can squeeze for each session. Then a free needle will be plainly visible.*

Also, meters go out of 5,000 ohm calibration and don't read on the M and F "Clear" reads and change of electrodes can change M and F "Clear" reads.

A free needle, if a process is overrun, vanishes with just one extra command so an auditor must be alert.

Please also note that this has been part of the Auditor's Code for ages—running past a flat point of a process has been forbidden since the first formulations of the Auditor's Code.

Regarding (3)—Rehabilitation by using other processes—the HCOBs on rehabs are very explicit. To run *another* process would clobber the pc. Thus we get the policy:

REHABILITATIONS MUST BE DONE BY REHABILITATING THE PC ONLY ON THE PROCESS OVERRUN AND ONLY BY STANDARD HCOBs ON REHAB PROCEDURE.

Re (4)—Unusual solutions—we get the policy:

ANY AUDITOR ACCEPTING AN UNUSUAL SOLUTION WITHOUT FILING A JOB ENDANGERMENT CHIT OR FOUND USING AN UNUSUAL SOLUTION MUST BE CHARGED WITH A CRIME AND GIVEN AN ETHICS HEARING. FAILING TO REPORT AN UNUSUAL SOLUTION ADVISED OR USED IS ALSO SO HANDLED. AN UNUSUAL SOLUTION IS ONE EVOLVED TO REMEDY AN ABUSE OF EXISTING TECHNOLOGY.

On (5)—Statistic failing to recover after an SP is spotted in a department gives us the 2 policies:

WHENEVER AN SP IS DISCOVERED AND DECLARED IN AN ORGANIZATION ALL HIS ASSOCIATES IN THAT PORTION OF THE ORG MUST BE CHECKED OUT FOR OR GIVEN AN S & D.

And

WHEN AN SP IS DISCOVERED IN AN ORGANIZATION, IS DISMISSED OR REMOVED AND THE STATISTIC DOES NOT RECOVER, ANOTHER SP MUST BE LOOKED FOR.

It is noted that the general condition of the Completion Statistic of Dec 65 to Jan 66 could be attributed to the above gross errors.

It is now certain that (1) rehabilitation of earlier grades, (2) free needle and (3) rehabilitation by standard practice are primary targets in our technology for anyone seeking to mess it up and that unwitting tampering with these three things and lack of HCO enforcement on them will reduce HGC statistics and prevent their recovery.

Of course one could also go mad in the opposite direction—(1) rehabilitate earlier grades endlessly on a pc regardless of how many times a free needle had been obtained, (2) call any loosening up of a needle a free needle and (3) refuse to even 2-way comm with a pc under repair for overrun for fear it violates standard procedure for rehab.

The middle course is the correct course in this case. Relax and just be *very* sure the pc has been *properly* rehabbed to free needle on each grade up to the one one is going to start by demanding the awards of Release that were granted and if these

weren't ever awarded, then do the rehabs necessary grade by grade. The only sticky point in this is that if a pc had ever been run on a higher grade without rehab of a lower, one must rehab "from the top down" at times, tackling the highest overrun first, but nevertheless doing all of them that were bypassed eventually.

The way to recognize a free needle is watch for one. When it happens you will see one. Then you will never afterwards wonder. The free needles available on a case can *all* be swallowed up by a failure to rehab all grades ever bypassed or overrun. If no free needles show up on a case at all then partially rehab any grade available for rehab back and forth until one has one of them go free needle and then get a free needle on the remainder. Life can also be an overrun and a pc never audited will respond to a rehab of "something overdone." This doesn't mean the pc went release before Scientology—it means that *purpose* overrun then jams—rehab of life situations of overrun consists of hitting the *purpose* that was overrun and when this is hit, the pc goes Release in PT and was not a Release in the past. An example is an overrun located in 20 AD when the person, alert to Christianity, decided to be good, made it and then *overran* it for 1945 years. When the *purpose* was found (to be good) and dated and the overrun spotted the needle went free. Rough auditing, bad TRs, "letting the pc itsa," etc., can swallow up free needles. Also a totally ARC Broke meter that won't read at all with bad indicators all over the place won't record a read, looks sometimes like a floating needle, the difference being the pc has total bad indicators—sour, mean, sad, etc. A free needle occurs most often after a big cognition and the unskilled auditor looks *at the pc* who is being bright and interesting and just doesn't see the needle float, asks more questions and overruns. and the free needle vanishes—when a pc is cogniting, *look at the meter* not the pc. And the instant the TA starts up and the needle goes sticky suspect an overrun and check.

As for doing something else rather than standard procedure for rehab, plain ignorance can cause it. The auditor's desire to help the pc if unaccompanied by solid tech background leads to wild efforts, new processes and anything but cool standard procedure.

When the person checking out pcs is also the Case Supervisor, unusual solutions creep in. The most errors I've seen made by a Case Supervisor were made after he had seen the pc or talked with the auditor. Cases have to be run by report only and auditors have to be supervised and their sessions listened to by somebody else besides the Case Supervisor. Tech is tech. There is such a thing as standard tech. Pc wild tales and hollow eyes and auditor hobbyhorses have to be kept off Case Supervisor lines. So there must be a person who checks out pcs and supervises auditors *and* their auditing *performance* but who never opens his or her face to suggest instructions about the pc and only writes down that the auditor is rough or the process is flat or the process is overrun. The Case Supervisor lives in an Ivory Tower. Sounds strange but unless it's done that way, wild departures from standard rehab procedure and from standard tech in general will occur. Hell, all psychiatry went down that drain—the desperate patient, the desperate measures. Squirreling stems from the Case Supervisor being the auditor supervisor and the pc interviewer. Oil, water, being in two divisions, Commies and Fascists, dogs and cats. won't mix. Neither will the personal contactor of auditors and pcs and the Case Supervisor ever successfully stay crossed. The individual practitioner breaks down only because he does both auditing and case supervision. Auditing is an organization action which is why today we have field staff members and HGCs.

Additional notes of things discovered in the investigation of the plummeted statistic on completions were:

1. Auditors rabbiting out of uncertainty and so stumbling past end phenomena and floating needles.
2. Case Supervisor getting auditors to ask leading questions on Pr Pr 2—"Ask the pc if he is interested in Medical Practices."
3. D of P: "Find out what the needle is floating on."

4. Case Supervisor: Told auditor that a floating needle was not the end phenomenon of a process in which “the TA had to be run out.”
5. Lack of knowledge and understanding of the technology and not knowing the difference between such things as anaten, secondaries and engrams by Case Supervisor, D of P. and so confusing auditors.

Of course the one thing one can't technically overcome is an SP keeping an area messed up. His case doesn't improve because of his intentions and overts and fear of people getting better or being bigger than he. When an SP dominates an area, only ethics actions can handle.

The *primary* indicator of the presence of an SP in an org is a plummeting statistic immediately after he starts handling a portion of it.

Indifferent leadership, even inaction, can't drive a statistic down. Only active suppression can.

So watch the statistics and don't get reasonable when they fall. Either outside the org suppression has been brought down on that portion of the org. making it PTS or there is an SP there. The final answer is what happened just before the statistic fell. If a new appointment was made and it fell, unappoint it fast. If nothing cures the down statistic find the SP or handle the PTS situation because one or the other is *there*.

Completions stayed down for 15 years. Then we found auditors never noticed free needles. Now for heaven's sakes, 15 years was enough. Don't repeat the error!

It *does* work you know.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH: ml.rd.jh.jk
Copyright © 1966, 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 11 FEBRUARY 1966R
REVISED 22 FEBRUARY 1979
(Revisions in this type style)
(Ellipsis indicates deletion)

Remimeo
Qual
All Auditors

**FREE NEEDLES, HOW TO
GET THEM ON A PC**

(Ref: HCOB 7 Feb 79R E-METER DRILL 5RA—CAN SQUEEZE
Rev. 15.2.79
HCOB 4 Dec 77 CHECKLIST FOR SETTING UP SESSIONS
AND AN E-METER
HCOB 3 Sep 78 DEFINITION OF A ROCK SLAM)

Free needles can be obscured *only* by overruns and auditor goofs in the rehab session and ARC breaks in past auditing.

When a TA goes up or is up it means an overrun in life or on a process or grade of release.

The only place you can't get an overrun is at Grade VII. All grades below that are subject to overrun.

Life subjects are subject to overrun before Scientology. The mechanism is this: one conceived a purpose. He or she succeeded in it, then kept on and overran it. In auditing one hits the purpose and the overrun of it and gets a free needle on it. That doesn't mean the person was a Release then. It means that the spotting of the purpose and the overrun by auditing produces a free needle *today*.

It may be necessary to find whole track overruns on some pcs in rehabilitation of grades. If a lot of levels have been run past free needle it may be necessary to take apart the mess like a bundle of yarn to get the first free needle. In such a case one rehabs any grade *the pc has been run on* that the pc can remember. One handles this briefly until the pc is happy but not necessarily to free needle. One then finds another overrun, does the same. One goes on and on looking for moments the pc felt good about processing at one or another time. If you keep this up, suddenly you will see a free needle on the pc! Establish what grade it is free on, then quickly get the needle free on the remaining overrun grades (but not grades pc was never run on). It may be necessary to take into account a whole track overrun of a purpose or even the purpose to get Release, Clear or OT.

It is all very quick, deft auditing, very much *on* procedure using standard rehab tech—but no repetitive grind.

If you set the sensitivity too low you won't see the free needle (floating needle) and if you set the sensitivity too high it will obscure every free needle as the needle is too loose already for the auditor to see any change. So you always set the sensitivity for each session for a 1/3 of a dial drop when the pc squeezes the cans and you will be able to easily recognize free needles when they appear.

Pcs are most apt to go free needle after a big cog. So don't be so engrossed in looking at the pc during cognitions. Keep an eye on that needle. And if it goes free, don't ask anything else. Just gently give the pc a "That's it" and *without a chop* of comm, ease the pc off to "Declare?" in Qual. (Or if a field auditor, start the next grade.)

Gently, gently. smooth TRs get you free needles.

A dirty needle is caused by one of three things: 1. The auditor's TRs are bad. 2. The auditor is breaking the Auditor's Code. 3. The pc has withholds he does not wish known. If a needle goes dirty in a rehab session, do an LIC right now and quickly find why.

Rehabs are not a substitute for processes. If a grade hasn't been *run*, *you* can't rehab it of course.

In rehab, never use a new process to cure an overrun. Rehab the process that was overrun, not new ruds.

And see HCO Pol Ltr 10 Feb 1966 on this subject.

You can get free needles on pcs. It just requires standard TRs, standard tech. standard rehab *and wanting to get one* and letting a pc have one.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH: ml.rd.jk
Copyright © 1966, 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

Org Exec
Course

HCO BULLETIN OF 12 FEBRUARY 1967
REISSUED 22 JULY 1979
CORRECTED & REISSUED 4 SEPTEMBER 1979

(HCO PL 12 Feb 67 Admin Know-How
THE RESPONSIBILITIES OF LEADERS
reissued as an HCOB; as well as
existing in HCO PL form.)

ADMIN KNOW-HOW

THE RESPONSIBILITIES OF LEADERS

A few comments on POWER, being or working close to or under a power, which is to say a leader or one who exerts wide primary influence on the affairs of men.

I have written it this way, using two actual people to give an example of magnitude enough to interest and to furnish some pleasant reading. And I used a military sphere so it could be seen clearly without restimulation of admin problems.

The book referenced is a fantastically able book by the way.

THE MISTAKES OF SIMON BOLIVAR
AND MANUELA SAENZ

Reference: The book entitled:

The Four Seasons of Manuela by
Victor W. von Hagen, a biography.

A Mayflower Dell Paperback. Oct 1966. 6/-

Simon Bolivar was the liberator of South America from the yoke of Spain.

Manuela Saenz was the liberatress and consort.

Their acts and fates are well recorded in this moving biography.

But aside from any purely dramatic value the book lays bare and motivates various actions of great interest to those who lead, who support or are near leaders.

Simon Bolivar was a very strong character. He was one of the richest men in South America. He had real personal ability given to only a handful on the planet. He was a military commander without peer in history. Why he would fail and die an exile to be later deified is thus of great interest. What mistakes did he make?

Manuela Saenz was a brilliant, beautiful and able woman. She was loyal, devoted, quite comparable to Bolivar, far above the cut of average humanoids. Why then did she live a vilified outcast, receive such violent social rejection and die of poverty and remain unknown to history? What mistakes did she make?

BOLIVAR'S ERRORS

The freeing of things is the reverse unstated dramatization (the opposite side of the coin) to the slavery enjoined by the mechanisms of the mind.

Unless there is something to free men *into*, the act of freeing is simply a protest of slavery. And as no humanoid is free while aberrated in the body cycle, it is of course a gesture to free him politically as it frees him only into the anarchy of dramatizing his aberrations with NO control whatever and without something to fight exterior and with no exteriorization of his interest he simply goes mad noisily or quietly.

Once as great a wrong as depraving beings has been done there is of course no freedom short of freeing one from the depravity itself or *at least* from its most obvious influences in the society. In short one would have to de-aberrate a man before his whole social structure could be de-aberrated.

If one lacked the whole ability to free man wholly from his reactive patterns, then one could free man from their restimulators in the society at least. If one had the whole of the data (but lacked the Scientology tech), one would simply use reactive patterns to blow the old society apart and then pick up the pieces neatly in a new pattern. If one had no inkling of how reactive one can get (and Bolivar of course had no knowledge whatever in that field), there yet remained a workable formula used “instinctively” by most successful practical political leaders.

If you free a society from those things you see wrong with it and use force to demand it do what is right, and if you carry forward with decision and thoroughness, and without continual temporizing you can, in the applications of your charm and gifts, bring about a great political reform or improve a failing country.

So Bolivar’s first error, most consistent it was, too, was contained in the vital words “you see” in the above paragraph. He didn’t look and he didn’t even listen to sound intelligence reports. He was so *sure* he could *glow* things right or fight things right or charm things right that he never looked for anything wrong to correct until it was too late. This is the ne-plus-ultra of personal confidence, amounting to supreme vanity. “When he appeared it would all come right” was not only his belief but his basic philosophy. So the first time it didn’t work, he collapsed. All his skills and charm were channeled into this one test. Only that could he observe.

Not to compare with Bolivar but to show my understanding of this:

I once had a similar one. “I would keep going as long as I could and when I was stopped I would then die.” This was a solution mild enough to state and really hard to understand until you had an inkling of what I meant by keeping going. Meteors keep going—very, very fast. And so did I. Then one day ages back I finally *was* stopped after countless little stoppings by social contacts and family to prepare me culminating in a navy more devoted to braid than dead enemies and literally I quit. For a while I couldn’t get a clue of what was wrong with me. Life went completely unlivable until I found a *new* solution. So I know the frailty of these single solutions. Not to compare myself but just to show it happens to us all, not just Bolivars.

Bolivar had no personal insight at all. He could only “outsight” and even then he did not look or listen. He *glowed* things right. Pitifully it was his undoing that he could. Until he no longer could. When he couldn’t glow he roared and when he couldn’t roar he fought a battle. Then civic enemies were not military enemies so he had no solution left at all.

It *never* occurred to him to do more than personally *magnetize* things into being right and victorious.

His downfall was that he made far too heavy use of a skill simply because it was easy. He was too good at this one thing. So he never looked to any other skill and he never even dreamed there was any other way.

He had no view of any situation and no idea of the organizational or preparatory steps necessary to political and personal victory. He only knew military organization which is where his organizational insight ceased.

He was taught on the high wine of French revolt, notorious in its organizational inability to form cultures, and that fatally by a childhood teacher who was intensely impractical in his own private life (Simon Rodriguez, an unfrocked priest turned tutor).

Bolivar had no personal financial skill. He started wealthy and wound up a pauper, a statistic descending from one of the, if not the, richest man in South America down to a borrowed nightshirt to be buried in as an exile. And *this* while the property of Royalists was wide open, the greatest land and mine valuables of South America wide open to his hand and that's not believable! But true. He never collected his own debt of loans to governments even when the head of those governments.

So it is no wonder we find two more very real errors leading to his downfall. He did not get his troops or officers *rewarded* and he did not aim for any solvency of the states he controlled. It was all right if there were long years of battle ahead for them to be unpaid as no real riches were yet won, but not to *reward* them when the whole place was at his disposal! Well!

The limit of his ability consisted of demanding a bit of cash for current pay from churches—which were not actively against him at first but which annoyed them no end—and a few household expenses.

He could have (and should have) set aside all Royalist property and estates for division amongst all officers, their men and his supporters. It had no owners now. And this failure cost the economy of the country the tax loss of all those productive estates (the whole wealth of the land). So it is no wonder his government, its taxable estates now inoperative or at best lorded by a profiteer or looted by Indians, was insolvent. Also, by failing to do such an obvious act he delivered property into the hands of more provident enemies and left his officers and men penniless to finance any support for their own stability in the new society and so for his own.

As for state finance the great mines of South America, suddenly ownerless, were overlooked and were then grabbed and worked by foreign adventurers who simply came in and took them without payment.

Spain had run the country on the finance of mine tithes and general taxes. Bolivar not only didn't collect the tithes, he let the land become so worthless as to be untaxable. He should have gotten the estates going by any shifts and should have state operated all Royalist mines once he had them. To not do these things was complete, but typically humanoid, folly.

In doing this property division he should have left it all up to officers' committees operating as courts of claim without staining his own hands in the natural corruption. He was left doubly open as he not only did not attend to it, he also got the name of corruption when anybody did grab something.

He failed as well to recognize the distant widespread nature of his countries despite all his riding and fighting over them and so sought tightly centralized government, not only centralizing states but also centralizing the various nations into a federal state. And this over a huge land mass full of insurmountable ranges, impassable jungles and deserts and without mail, telegraph, relay stages, roads, railroads, river vessels or even foot bridges repaired after a war of attrition.

A step echelon from a pueblo (village) to a state, from a state to a country and a country to a federal state was only possible in such huge spaces of country where candidates could never be known personally over any wide area and whose opinions could not even be circulated more than a few miles of burro trail, where only the pueblo was democratic and the rest all appointive from pueblo on up, himself the ratifier of titles if he even needed that. With his own officers and armies controlling the land as owners of all wrested from Royalists and the crown of Spain, he would have had no revolts. There would have been little civil wars of course but a court to settle their final claims could have existed at federal level and kept them traveling so much over those vast distances it would have crippled their enthusiasm for litigation on the one hand and

on the other, by dog eat dog settlements, would have given him the strongest rulers—if he took neither side.

He did not step out and abdicate a dictatorial position. He mistook military acclaim and ability for the tool of peace. War only brings anarchy, so he had anarchy. Peace is more than a “command for unity,” his favorite phrase. A productive peace is getting men busy and giving them something to make something of that they *want* to make something of and telling them to get on with it.

He never began to recognize a suppressive and never considered anyone needed killing except on a battlefield. There it was glorious. But somebody destroying his very name and soul, and the security of every supporter and friend, the SP Santander, his vice-president, who could have been arrested and executed by a corporal’s guard on one one-hundredth of available evidence, could suborn the whole treasury and population against him, without Bolivar, continually warned, loaded with evidence, ever even reprimanding him. And this brought about his loss of popularity and his eventual exile .

He also failed in the same way to protect his military family or Manuela Saenz from other enemies. So he weakened his friends and ignored his enemies just by oversight.

His greatest error lay in that while dismissing Spain he did not dismiss that nation’s most powerful minion, the Church, and did not even localize it or reward a South American separate branch to loyalty or do anything at all (except extort money from it) to an organization which continually worked for Spain as only it could work—on every person in the land in a direct anti-Bolivar reign of terror behind the scenes. You either suborn such a group or you take them out when they cease to be universal and become or are an enemy’s partner.

As the Church held huge properties and as Bolivar’s troops and supporters went *unpaid* even of the penny soldiers’ pay, if one was going to overlook the Royalist estates, one could at least have seized the Church property and given it to the soldiers. General Vallejo did this in 1835 in California, a nearly contemporary act, with no catastrophe from Rome. Or the penniless countries could have taken them over. You don’t leave an enemy financed and solvent while you let your friends starve in a game like South American politics. Oh no.

He wasted his enemies. He exported the “godos” or defeated Royalist soldiers. They mostly had no homes but South America. He issued no amnesties they could count on. They were shipped off or left to die in the “ditch”—the best artisan in the country among them.

When one (General Rodil) would not surrender Calloa fortress after Peru was *won*, Bolivar after great gestures of amnesty failed to obtain surrender and then fought the fort. Four thousand political refugees and four thousand Royalist troops died over many months in full sight of Lima, fought heavily by Bolivar only because the *fort* was fighting. But Bolivar had to straighten up Peru urgently not fight a defeated enemy. The right answer to such a foolish commander as Rodil as Bolivar did have the troops to do it, was to cover the roads with cannon enfilade potential to discourage any sortie from the fort, put a large number of his own troops in a distant position of offense but ease and comfort and say, “We’re not going to fight. The war’s over, silly man. Look at the silly fellows in there, living on rats when they can just walk out and sleep home nights or go to Spain or enlist with me or just go camping,” and let anybody walk in and out who pleased, making the fort Commander (Rodil) the prey of every pleading wife and mother without and would-be deserter or mutineer within until he did indeed sheepishly give up the pretense—a man cannot fight alone. But battle was glory to Bolivar. And he became intensely disliked because the incessant cannonade which got nowhere was annoying.

Honors meant a great deal to Bolivar. To be liked was his life. And it probably meant more to him than to see things really right. He never compromised his principles

but he lived on admiration, a rather sickening diet since it demands in turn continuous “theatre.” One is what one is, not what one is admired or hated for. To judge oneself by one’s successes is simply to observe that one’s postulates worked and breeds confidence in one’s ability. To have to be *told* it worked only criticizes one’s own eyesight and hands a spear to the enemy to make his wound of vanity at his will. Applause is nice. It’s great to be thanked and admired. But to work only for that? And his craving for that, his addiction to the most unstable drug in history—fame—killed Bolivar. That self offered spear. He told the world continually how to kill him—reduce its esteem. So as money and land can buy any quantity of cabals, he could be killed by curdling the esteem, the easiest thing you can get a mob to do.

He had all the power. He did not use it for good or evil. One cannot hold power and not use it. It violates the power formula. For it then prevents *others* from doing things if *they* had some of the power so they then see as their only solution the destruction of the holder of the power as he, not using power or delegating it, is the unwitting block to all their plans. So even many of his friends and armies finally agreed he had to go. They were not able men. They were in a mess. But bad or good they had to do *something*. Things were desperate, broken down and starving after 14 years of civil war. Therefore they either had to have *some* of that absolute power or else nothing could be done at all. They were not great minds. He did not need any “great minds,” he thought, even though he invited them verbally. He saw their petty, often murderous solutions and he rebuked them. And so held the power and didn’t use it.

He could not stand another *personality* threat.

The trouble in Peru came when he bested its real conqueror (from the Argentine), La Mar, in a petty triumph over adding Guayaquil to Columbia. Bolivar wished to look triumphant again and didn’t notice it really cost him the support and Peru the support of La Mar—who understandably resigned and went home, leaving Bolivar *Peru to conquer*. Unfortunately, it had already been in his hands. La Mar needed some troops to clean up a small Royalist army that was all. La Mar didn’t need Peru’s loss of Guayaquil—which never did anybody any real good anyway!

Bolivar would become inactive when faced with two areas’ worth of problems—he did not know which way to go. So he did nothing.

Brave beyond any general in history on the battlefield, the Andes or in torrential rivers, he did not really have the bravery needed to trust inferior minds and stand by their often shocking blunders. He feared their blunders. So he did not dare unleash his many willing hounds.

He could lead men, make men feel wonderful, make men fight and lay down their lives after hardships no army elsewhere in the world has ever faced before or since. But he could not *use* men even when they were begging to be used.

It is a frightening level of bravery to use men you know can be cruel, vicious, and incompetent. He had no fear of their turning on him ever. When they finally did only then he was shocked. But he protected “the people” from authority given to questionably competent men. So he really never used but three or four generals of mild disposition and enormously outstanding ability. And to the rest he denied power. Very thoughtful of the nebulous “people” but very bad indeed for the general good. And it really caused his death.

No. Bolivar was theatre. It was all theatre. One cannot make such errors and still pretend that one thinks of life as life, red-blooded and factual. Real men and real life are full of dangerous, violent, live situations and wounds *hurt* and starvation is desperation itself especially when you see it in one you love.

This mighty actor, backed up with fantastic personal potential, made the mistake of thinking the theme of liberty and his own great role upon the stage was enough to interest all the working, suffering hours of men, buy their bread, pay their whores,

shoot their wives' lovers and bind their wounds or even put enough drama into very hard pressed lives to make them want to live it.

No, Bolivar was unfortunately the only actor on the stage and no other man in the world was real to him.

And so he died. They loved him. But they were also on the stage too, where they were dying in his script or Rousseau's script for liberty but no script for living their very real lives.

He was the greatest military general in any history measured against his obstacles, the people and the land across which he fought.

And he was a complete failure to himself and his friends.

While being one of the greatest *men* alive at that. So we see how truly shabby others in leaders' boots amongst men must be.

MANUELA SAENZ

The tragedy of Manuela Saenz as Bolivar's mistress was that she was never *used*, never really had a share and was neither protected nor honored by Bolivar

Here was a clever, spectacular woman of fantastic fidelity and skill, with an enormous "flaire," capable of giving great satisfaction and service. And only her satisfaction ability was taken and that not consistently nor even honestly.

In the first place, Bolivar never married her. He never married anybody. This opened up a fantastic breach in any defense she could ever make against her or his enemies who were legion. So her first mistake was in not in some way contriving a marriage.

That she had an estranged husband she had been more or less sold to was permitted by her to wreck her life obliquely.

She was too selfless to be real in all her very able plotting.

For this marriage problem she could have engineered any number of actions.

She had the solid friendship of all his trusted advisers, even his old tutor. Yet she arranged nothing for herself.

She was utterly devoted, completely brilliant and utterly incapable of really bringing off an action of any final kind.

She violated the power formula in not realizing that she had power.

Manuela was up against a hard man to handle. But she did not know enough to make her own court effective. She organized one. She did not know what to do with it.

Her most fatal mistake was in not bringing down Santander, Bolivar's chief enemy. That cost her everything she had before the end and after Bolivar died. She knew for years Santander had to be killed. She said it or wrote it every few days. Yet never did she promise some young officer a nice night or a handful of gold to do it in a day when *dueling* was in *fashion*. It's like standing around discussing how the plainly visible wolf in the garden that's eating the chickens must be shot, even holding a gun, and never even lifting it while all one's chickens vanish for years.

In a land overridden with priests she never got herself a tame priest to bring about her ends.

She was a fantastic intelligence officer. But she fed her data to a man who could not act to protect himself or friends, who could only fight armies dramatically.

She did not see this and also quietly take on the portfolio of secret police chief. Her mistake was waiting to be asked—to be asked to come to him, to act. She voluntarily was his best political intelligence agent. Therefore she should have also assumed further roles.

She guarded his correspondence, was intimate with his secretaries. And yet she never collected or forged or stole any document to bring down enemies either through representations to Bolivar or a court circle of her own. And in an area with that low an ethic, that's fatal.

She openly pamphleteered and fought violently as in a battle against her rabble.

She had a great deal of money at her disposal. In a land of for-sale Indians she never used a penny to buy a quick knife or even a solid piece of evidence.

When merely opening her lips she could have had any sequestered Royalist estate she went to litigation for a legitimate legacy never won and another won but never paid.

They lived on the edge of quicksand. She never bought a plank or a rope.

Carried away by the glory of it all, devoted completely, potentially able and a formidable enemy, she did not *act*.

She waited to be told to come to him even when he lay dying and exiled.

His command over her who never obeyed any other was too absolute for his own or her survival.

Her assigned mistakes (pointed out at the time as her caprice and play acting) were not her errors. They only made her interesting. They were far from fatal.

She was not ruthless enough to make up for his lack of ruthlessness and not provident enough to make up for his lack of providence.

The ways open to her for finance, for action, were completely doorless. The avenue stretched out to the horizon.

She fought bravely but she just didn't take action.

She was an actress for the theatre alone.

And she died of it. And she let Bolivar die because of it.

Never once did Manuela look about and say, "See here, things mustn't go this wrong. My lover holds half a continent and even I hold the loyalty of battalions. Yet that woman threw a fish!"

Never did Manuela tell Bolivar's doctor, a rumoured lover, "Tell that man he will not live without my becoming a constant part of his entourage, and tell him until he believes it or we'll have a new physician around here."

The world was open. Where Theodosius, the wife of Emperor Justinian II of Constantinople, a mere circus girl and a whore, ruled harder than her husband but for her husband behind his back—and made him marry her as well, Manuela never had any bushel basket of gold brought in to give Bolivar for his unpaid troops with a "Just found it, dear" to his "Where on Earth . . . ?" after the Royalist captives had been carefully ransomed for gaol escapes by her enterprising own entourage and officer friends. She never handed over any daughter of a family clamoring against her to Negro troops and then said, "Which over-verbal family is next?"

She even held a colonel's rank but only used it because she wore man's clothing afternoons. It was a brutal, violent, ruthless land, not a game of musical chairs.

And so Manuela, penniless, improvident, died badly and in poverty, exiled by enemies and deserted by her friends.

But why not deserted by her friends? They had all been poverty-stricken to a point quite incapable of helping her even though they wanted to—for she once had the power to make them solvent. And didn't use it. They were in poverty before they won but they did eventually control the land. After that why make it a bad habit?

And so we see two pathetic, truly dear, but tinsel figures, both on a stage, both *far* removed from the reality of it all.

And one can say, "But if they had not been such idealists they never would have fought so hard and freed half a continent," or "If she had stooped to such intrigue or he had been known for violent political actions they would never have had the strength and never would have been loved."

All very idealistic itself. They died "in the ditch" unloved, hated and despised, two decent brave people, almost too good for this world.

A true hero, a true heroine. But on a stage and not in life. Impractical and improvident and with no faintest gift either one to use the power they could assemble.

This story of Bolivar and Manuela is a tragedy of the most piteous kind.

They fought a hidden enemy, the Church; they were killed by their friends.

But don't overlook how impractical it is not to give your friends power enough when you have it to give. You can always give some of it to another if the first one collapses through inability. And one can always be brought down like a hare at a hunt who seeks to use the delegated power to kill you—if you have the other friends

Life is not a stage for posturing and "Look at me!" "Look at me." "Look at me." If one is to lead a life of command or a life near to command one must handle it as life. Life bleeds. It suffers. It hungers. And it has to have the right to shoot its enemies until such time as comes a golden age.

Aberrated man is not capable of supporting in his present state, a golden declared age for three minutes, given all the tools and wealth of the world.

If one would live a life of command or one near to a command, one must then accumulate power as fast as possible and delegate it as quickly as feasible and use every humanoid in long reach to the best and beyond his talents if one is to live *at all*.

If one does not choose to live such a life then go on the stage and be a real actor. Don't kill men while pretending it isn't real. Or one can become a recluse or a student or a clerk. Or study butterflies or take up tennis.

For one is committed to certain irrevocable natural laws the moment one starts out upon a conquest, either as the man in charge or a person near to him or on his staff or in his army. And the foremost law, if one's ambition is to win, is of course to win.

But also to keep on providing things to win and enemies to conquer.

Bolivar let his cycle run to "freedom" and end there He never had another plan beyond that point He ran out of territory to free Then he didn't know what to do with it and didn't know enough, either, to find somewhere else to free But of course all limited games come to end. And when they do their players fall over on the field and become rag dolls unless somebody at least tells them the game has ended and they have no more game nor any dressing room or houses but just that field.

And they lie upon the field, not noticing there can be no more game since the other team has fled and after a bit they have to do *something* and if the leader and his consort are sitting over on the grass being rag dolls too, of course there isn't any game. And so the players start fighting amongst themselves just to have a game And if the leader then says, "No, no" and his consort doesn't say, "Honey, you better phone the Baltimore Orioles for Saturday," then of course the poor players, bored stiff, say, "He's out." "She's out." "Now we're going to split the team in half and have a game."

And that's what happened to Bolivar and Manuela. They *had* to be gotten rid of for there was no game and they didn't develop one to play while forbidding the only available game—minor civil wars.

A *whole continent* containing the then major mines of the world, whole populations were left sitting there, "freed." But none owned any of it though the former owners had left. They weren't given it. Nor were they made to manage it. No game.

And if Bolivar had not been smart enough for that he could at least have said, "Well! You monkeys are going to have quite a time getting the wheels going but that's not my job. You decide on your type of government and what it's to be. Soldiers are my line. Now I'm taking over those old estates of mine and the Royalist ones near by and the emerald mines just as souvenirs and me and Manuela we're going home." And he should have said that 5 minutes after the last Royalist army was defeated in Peru.

And his official family with him, and a thousand troops to which he was giving land would have moved right off smartly with him. And the people after a few screams of horror at being deserted would have fallen on each other, sabered a state together here and a town there and gotten busy out of sheer self protection in a vital new game, "Who's going to be Bolivar now?"

Then when home he should have said, "Say those nice woods look awfully Royalist to me, and also those 1,000,000 hectares of grazing land, Manuela. Its owner once threw a Royalist fish, remember? So that's yours."

And the rest of the country would have done the same and gotten on with the new game of "You was a Royalist."

And Bolivar and Manuela would have had statues built to them by the TON at once as soon as agents could get to Paris with orders from an adoring populace.

"Bolivar, come rule us!" should have gotten an "I don't see any unfree South America. When you see a French or Spanish army coming, come back and tell me."

That would have worked. And this poor couple would have died suitably adored in the sanctity of glory and (perhaps more importantly) in their own beds, not "in a ditch."

And if they had *had* to go on ruling they could have declared a new game of "Pay the soldiers and officers with Royalist land." And when that was a gone game, "Oust the Church and give its land to the poor friendly Indians."

You can't stand bowing back of the footlights forever with no show even if you are quite an actor. Somebody else can make better use of any stage than even the handsomest actor who will not use it.

Man is too aberrated to understand at least 7 things about power:

1. Life is lived by lots of people. And if you lead you must either let them get on with it or lead them on with it actively.
2. When the game or the show is over, there must be a new game or a new show. And if there isn't somebody else is jolly well going to start one and if you won't let *anyone* do it the game will become "getting you."

3. If you have power use it or delegate it or you sure won't have it long.
4. When you have people use them or they will soon become most unhappy and you won't have them any more.
5. When you move off a point of power, pay all your obligations on the nail, empower all your friends completely and move off with your pockets full of artillery, potential blackmail on every erstwhile rival, unlimited funds in your private account and the addresses of experienced assassins and go live in Bulgravia and bribe the police. And even then you may not live long if you have retained one scrap of domination in any camp you do not now control or if you even say, "I favour Politician Jiggs." Abandoning power *utterly is* dangerous indeed.

But we can't all be leaders or figures strutting in the limelight and so there's more to know about this:

6. When you're close to power get some delegated to you, enough to do your job and protect yourself and your interests, for you can be shot, fellow, shot, as the position near power is delicious but dangerous, dangerous always, open to the taunts of any enemy of the power who dare not really boot the power but can boot you. So to live at all in the shadow or employ of a power you must yourself gather and USE enough power to hold your own—without just nattering to the power to "kill Pete," in straightforward or more suppressive veiled ways to him as these wreck the power that supports yours. He doesn't have to know all the bad news and if he's a power really he won't ask all the time, "What are all those dead bodies doing at the door?" And if you are clever, you never let it be thought HE killed them—that weakens you and also hurts the power source. "Well, boss, about all those dead bodies, nobody at all will suppose you did it. *She* over there, those pink legs sticking out, didn't like me." "Well," he'll say if he really is a power, "why are you bothering me with it if it's done and you did it. Where's my blue ink?" Or "Skipper, three shore patrolmen will be along soon with your cook, Dober, and they'll want to tell you he beat up Simson." "Who's Simson?" "He's a clerk in the enemy office downtown." "Good, when they've done it, take Dober down to the dispensary for any treatment he needs. Oh yes. Raise his pay." Or "Sir, could I have the power to sign divisional orders?" "Sure."
7. And lastly and most important, for we all aren't on the stage with our names in lights, always push power in the direction of anyone on whose power you depend. It may be more money for the power, or more ease, or a snarling defense of the power to a critic, or even the dull thud of one of his enemies in the dark, or the glorious blaze of the whole enemy camp as a birthday surprise.

If you work like that and the power you are near or depend upon is a power that has at least some inkling about how to be one, and if you make others work like that, then the power-factor expands and expands and expands and you too acquire a sphere of power bigger than you would have if you worked alone. Real powers are developed by tight conspiracies of this kind pushing someone up in whose leadership they have faith. And if they are right and also manage their man and keep him from collapsing through overwork, bad temper or bad data, a kind of juggernaut builds up. Don't ever feel weaker because you work for somebody stronger. The only failure lies in taxing or pulling down the strength on which you depend. All failures to remain a power's power are failures to contribute to the strength and longevity of the work, health and power of that power. Devotion requires active contribution outwards from the power as well as in.

If Bolivar and Manuela had known these things they would have lived an epic, not a tragedy. They would not have "died in the ditch," he bereft of really earned praise for his real accomplishments even to this day. And Manuela would not be unknown even in the archives of her country as the heroine she was.

Brave, brave figures. But if this can happen to such stellar personalities gifted with ability tenfold over the greatest of other mortals, to people who could take a rabble in a vast impossible land and defeat one of Earth's then foremost powers, with no money or arms, on personality alone, what then must be the ignorance and confusion of human leaders in general, much less little men stumbling through their lives of boredom and suffering?

Let us wise them up, huh? You can't live in a world where even the great leaders can't lead.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:jp.rd.gal
Copyright © 1967, 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 19 MAY 1969RB
REVISED 21 JULY 1978
RE-REVISED 5 OCTOBER 1978
RE-REVISED 14 NOVEMBER 1978

Remimeo
New Era Dn
Checksheet

(Revisions in this type style)

**DRUG AND ALCOHOL CASES
PRIOR ASSESSING**

Those cases which have been long and habitually on drugs, medicine and alcohol sometimes suffer from a "SOMATIC SHUT-OFF." They appear anaesthetized (unfeeling) and sometimes have "nothing troubling them" whereas they are on drugs, drink or medicine and are in reality in a suppressed physical condition and cannot cease to take drugs or drink or medicine.

One can find, in such a case, a very high TA which doesn't seem to reduce. The TA can be brought down by auditing the drug and alcohol engrams as a chain.

Any such case took up drugs, alcohol or medicine because of unwanted pain or sensation or misemotion. You can use that as a stable datum which resolves the situation.

All it requires is a special assessment called a PRIOR ASSESSMENT. For the person looked on drugs, alcohol or medicine as a cure for unwanted feelings. One has to assess what was wrong *before* or prior to the cure.

(NOTE: Prior assessment is done after narrative running and preassessment with R3RA running of the drug, medicine or alcohol.)

Using the drug list obtained on the Original Assessment, take up the largest reading this lifetime drug, medicine or alcohol and ask the pc the following preassessment question:

"Prior to taking (the drug, medicine or alcohol) were there (preassessment item)?"

Take the largest reading item from the preassessment and ask the pc:

"What (item) did you have prior to taking (the drug, medicine or alcohol)?"

Continue with a full handling of the preassessment per HCOB 18 Jun 78R, New Era Dianetics Series 4R, ASSESSMENT AND HOW TO GET THE ITEM and HCOB 15 Jul 71RB, New Era Dianetics Series 9RA, DRUG HANDLING.

In doing this assessment, you must grab the read and mark it plainly as it occurs. If you just list and then go over the list the person may be back in present time and, as these are now cut off by the masses of drug or alcohol engrams on top of them, they won't read again. So you must catch the read as the person first mentions it.

You choose the longest read and find and run the chain by R3RA as in any other New Era Dianetics auditing.

The only difference is the assessment time period. You are listing for a time before they went on drugs, alcohol, or medicine.

The above prior assessment steps are done on each drug, medicine or alcohol that has read. They are handled in order of largest read. (Ref: HCOB 15 Jun 78R, NED Series 9RA, DRUG HANDLING.)

The running out of the chain of unwanted feelings they had before going on drugs or alcohol or medicine removes the reason they started taking drugs, or medicine, smoking marijuana or drinking. The compulsion to still use drugs or drink is lessened and they can come off it.

This can also be used as a working rule to get earlier than any “curative” activity. Almost anything which comes later is a cure for something earlier. It could be said that the present time being is a compound of past cures. To handle, the action would be the same as for drugs, alcohol, or medicine. Preassess the unwanted pains or feelings before the cure and run the longest reads by R3RA.

As there will be more than one chain involved, you of course take your next longest read and run that next, just as in any assessment.

The general term for this type of assessment is PRIOR assessing, not because it is done before auditing but to determine what the pc was suffering from before he used a harmful “cure.”

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:an.rd.lfg.mdf.dr
Copyright © 1969, 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 16 AUGUST 1969R
REVISED 25 SEPTEMBER 1978

Class VIII
Class VIIIs
Class VIII
C/S Book
Class IV Grad
Checksheet

(Revisions in this type style)
(Ellipses indicate deletions)

HANDLING ILLNESS IN SCIENTOLOGY

Sickness is of course the result of engram chains in restimulation.

One has to ask, however, what causes restimulation to occur?

The answer is out-ruds plus a suppressive environment or situation.

Therefore, obviously, if one wanted to really handle handle handle sickness and do some miracles, one would use the lot of one's weapons.

Don't mistake that Dianetics (HCOB 24 July 1969R *SERIOUSLY ILL PCS*) can all by itself practically bring the dead to life to all intents and purposes and it can be used all by itself.

However, when that doesn't work completely, then the Class VIII Case Supervisor and well-trained Scientology auditors can step in.

Let us examine the basic full dress parade routine of what Scientology and Dianetics could be used.

1. Put in life ruds (as given below).
2. 3 S & Ds.
3. *Narrative handling and full preassessment on the sick area, run Triple or Quad, plus other Dianetic Assist actions and any needed medical treatment. (See HCOB 2 April 69RA, Rev. 28.7.78, DIANETIC ASSISTS, which cautions against overwhelming a sick pc with too much restim.)*
4. *NED for OTs (on OT III and above).*

Obviously this illness hasn't a chance at all. It disappears in 1. Or in 2. Or in 3. Or in 4....

The system is obvious. You take away the current out-ruds and the illness can destimulate. You take away the suppressions and destimulation is more positive.

You erase all the engrams and the source is gone.

You do the second, . . . third, *and zero flows* and the overts and sympathies are also vanished.

On ruds alone you can of course get a recurrence.

You also risk a recurrence on the S & Ds.

The motivators go on the engram chains.

The overts and sympathy for like illness goes on the second, . . . third *and zero*

LIFE RUDS

As the person with out-ruds makes no real gain it is wise to put ruds in "In life."

This is done with

"In life have you had an ARC break?"

"In life have you had a problem?"

"In life have you had a withhold?"

If the person has had much auditing you ask after each of the "In life" questions
"Was that present in an auditing session?"

S & Ds

The full parade for three S & Ds (as given in HCOB 19 January 1968 in the Class VIII pack) is as follows:

3 item S & D

Fly a rud.

Assess

Withdraw from

Stop

Unmock

Suppress

Invalidate

Make nothing of

Suggest

Been careful of

Fail to reveal

Take the 3 that read best (null to 3 items). Use the one that read most first.

Test one of these items in these two questions to see which question then reads best.

"Who or what has attempted to _____ you?"

"Who or what have you tried to _____?"

List the best reading question by the laws of listing and nulling. BE EXACT IN FOLLOWING THOSE LAWS or you'll make the person even sicker!

Use each of the 3 this way.

Prepcheck any item that does not F/N until it F/Ns or proves not to be the correct one in which event correct the list. If the list item does not F/N on being found and indicated, you prepcheck it to F/N.

DIANETICS

The New Era Dianetics HCOBs fully cover assists and Dianetic handling of body problems and illnesses.

This rundown is what could be known as beating an illness to death.

Handling it medically and spiritually should bring home a winner every time.

This full approach is recommended only when one has encountered a resistive situation.

Very often a Dianetic Assist precedes all this.

Usually the Dianetic handling is done without the ruds or S & Ds.

But when you have somebody whose “lumbosis” has not surrendered to Dianetics, you have this full approach to fall back on.

It’s nice to have a full arsenal.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:ldm.ei.rd.rk
Copyright © 1969, 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO POLICY LETTER OF 7 APRIL 1970RB
REVISED 8 DECEMBER 1978

Remimeo
Class IV Grad
Checksheet
Class VI
Checksheet
Class IV Grad
Auditors
C/Ses

(Revisions not printed in a different type style)

GREEN FORM

The Green Form is used to detect the peculiarities and elements of a pc's life which are causing case trouble or preventing gains. (It is not used to cure high or low TA.)

You can assess it Method 3 and handle, not going beyond the first F/N, but its real use is Method 5 and send to the C/S for programming.

It can also be used in combination with the Expanded Green Form 40RD to precisely locate and solve any resistiveness of a pc's case.

Directions for use of the Green Form and the Expanded Green Form 40RD are given in HCOB 8 December 78 11, GREEN FORM AND EXPANDED GREEN FORM 40RD, USE OF. It is vital, before using these lists, that any auditor or C/S first checks out on the above issue.

PC NAME: _____ DATE: _____

AUDITOR: _____

- 1A. HAVE YOU NOT HAD SUFFICIENT SLEEP? _____
- 1B. ARE YOU PHYSICALLY TIRED? _____
- 1C. HAVE YOU NOT HAD ENOUGH FOOD? _____
- 1D. ARE YOU HUNGRY? _____
- 1E. HAVE YOU DRUNK ALCOHOL? _____
- 1F. HAVE YOU TAKEN ASPIRIN? _____
- 1G. HAVE YOU TAKEN TRANQUILIZERS? _____
- 1H. HAVE YOU TAKEN DRUGS? _____

Do not audit a pc who has not had sufficient food or rest or who has taken aspirin or drugs. If one of the above questions reads, assess no further; take the question up with the pc. If he is tired, send him home to rest, if he is hungry, send him to get *well* fed, and if he has taken drugs, he will have to dry out for the time specified in HCOB 17 Oct 69RA, DRUGS, ASPIRIN AND TRANQUILIZERS.

- 2A. HAVE YOU GONE EXTERIOR IN AUDITING? _____
If the pc is Clear, Dianetic Clear or OT and has not had an Int RD, do the End of Endless Int Repair RD per Int Series 4R. Do not run any Dianetics. Otherwise, if the pc has never had an Int RD, give him a standard Int RD per Int Series 2.

- 2B. HAS YOUR INT RD BEEN MESSED UP? _____
Do an Int RD Correction List Revised (HCOB 29 Oct 71RA). If Int Correction has already been done on the pc get an FES of the Int RD *and* its corrections. When all errors are corrected the C/S may order the End of Endless Int Repair RD per Int Series 4R.
3. HAS THERE BEEN A LIST ERROR? _____
Find out which and handle with an L4BRA.
- 4A. DO YOU HAVE AN ARC BREAK? _____
Handle with ARCU CDEINR E/S to F/N.
- 4B. DO YOU HAVE AN ARC BREAK WITH THE ENVIRONMENT? _____
ARCU CDEINR E/S to F/N or Remedy B if ordered by the C/S. (Ref: BTB 14 Aug 68R, REMEDY B—ENVIRONMENT AND “NEW STYLE.”)
- 4C. DO YOU HAVE A PRESENT TIME PROBLEM? _____
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
- 4D. HAS A WITHHOLD BEEN MISSED? _____
Get what, who nearly found out, what they did to miss it, E/S M/W/H to F/N.
- 4E. WAS THERE A WITHHOLD THAT KEPT COMING UP? _____
Who wouldn’t accept it, who said it still read. Indicate it was a false read. 2WC the concern.
- 4F. HAVE YOU COMMITTED AN OVERT? _____
Pull it, E/S to F/N.
5. ARE YOU EXPERIMENTING? _____
Get time, place, form and event E/S to F/N.
6. ARE YOU ALTERING TECH? _____
Get time, place, form and event E/S to F/N.
7. ARE YOU DOING SOMETHING ELSE WITH TECH? _____
Get time, place, form and event E/S to F/N.
8. HAVE YOU TYPED, HANDWRITTEN OR TAPED COPIES OF ANY CONFIDENTIAL MATERIALS? _____
Get time, place, form and event E/S to F/N.
9. ARE YOU HERE TO GET DATA FOR SOMEONE ELSE? _____
Get what, when, all, who E/S to F/N.
10. DO YOU HAVE A CRIMINAL RECORD OR CRIMES FOR WHICH YOU COULD BE ARRESTED? _____
Note all crimes, with what, when, all and who and handle with E/S to F/N.
11. ARE YOU HERE TO BE CURED OF SOMETHING NOT MENTIONED? _____
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
12. DO YOU HAVE UNPAID DEBTS TO ORGS? _____
Get time, place, form and event E/S to F/N.
13. DO YOU HAVE KNOWLEDGE OF A CRIME AGAINST SCIENTOLOGY? _____
Get time, place, form and event E/S to F/N.

14. ARE THERE IGNORED ORIGINATIONS?
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N. _____
15. HAVE YOU BEEN SELF-AUDITING!
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N or L1C on the prior upset. If prior upset was
in auditing, use the appropriate correction list. _____
- 16A HAVE YOU BEEN AUDITED BY A NONSTANDARD
AUDITOR?
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N. _____
- 16B. HAS THERE BEEN A NONSTANDARD PROCESS?
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N. _____
- 16C. HAS THERE BEEN A BAD AUDITING COMM CYCLE? Itsa
E/S itsa to F/N. _____
L1C if necessary.
- 16D HAVE THERE BEEN CODE BREAKS?
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N. _____
- 17A IS THERE AN ENGRAM IN RESTIMULATION?
L3RF and handle. (On a Clear, OT or Dianetic Clear, indicate the
read. You may do an L3RF if needed, however. do no handling
beyond indicating the read. See HCOB 30 Oct 78 C/S Series 53,
USE OF for further data on handling reading Dianetic items on
Clears, OTs and Dianetic Clears.) _____
- 17B. IS A PICTURE NOT ERASED?
Handle as in 17A above. _____
18. IS THERE AN ENGRAM EXACTLY MATCHING PT
DANGERS?
Run it out Triple or Quad. (On Clears, OTs and Dianetic Clears,
handle as in 17A above.) _____
19. ARE YOU CONNECTED TO A SUPPRESSIVE PERSON?
2WC to F/N. Return to C/S for instructions on further handling if
needed. _____
20. ARE YOU CONNECTED TO A SUPPRESSIVE GROUP?
2WC to F/N. Return to C/S for instructions on further handling if
needed. _____
21. IS THERE AN ENVIRONMENTAL MENACE?
2WC to F/N. Return to C/S. _____
22. ARE YOU HERE BECAUSE SOMEONE ELSE DEMANDED
IT?
2WC to F/N. Return to C/S. _____
- 23A. DO YOU HAVE A HIDDEN STANDARD?
L&N "What hasn't been handled?"
L&N "Who or what would have (item above) ?"
Run O/W on the item. _____
- 23B. WHAT WOULD HAVE TO HAPPEN FOR YOU TO KNOW
SCIENTOLOGY WORKS?
Handle as in 23A above. _____
24. WHAT WOULD HAPPEN IF SCIENTOLOGY WORKED?
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N. _____

25. CAN'T YOU STUDY? _____
Assess and handle a Study Green Form.
26. HAS ANYTHING BEEN SUPPRESSED? _____
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
27. HAS ANYTHING BEEN INVALIDATED? _____
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
28. HAS ANYTHING BEEN EVALUATED? _____
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
29. HAS ANYTHING BEEN RUSHED? _____
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
30. HAS ANYTHING BEEN MISSED? _____
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
31. HAS A PROCESS BEEN LEFT UNFLAT? _____
2WC for data to F/N. Return to C/S.
32. HAS A PROCESS BEEN OVERRUN? _____
Rehab.
33. HAS A RELEASE BEEN BYPASSED? _____
Rehab.
34. HAVE YOU BEEN OVERREPAIRED? _____
Repair Correction List.
35. HAVE YOU GONE DIANETIC CLEAR? _____
Date/Locate.
36. IS THERE ANYTHING UPSETTING ABOUT THIS REVIEW? _____
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
37. IS THIS LIST UNNECESSARY? _____
Indicate. If no F/N rehab or Date/Locate.
38. IS THERE SOMETHING THAT HASN'T BEEN HANDLED? _____
Find out what and handle or return to the C/S.
39. IS THERE SOMETHING ELSE WRONG? _____
Find out what and handle or return to C/S.

RESISTIVE CASES ASSESSMENT

Assess Method 5 the following resistive cases. If any item reads, go to its corresponding section on the Expanded Green Form 40RD and assess Method 5 all the items in that section. Assess the section on the Expanded Green Form 40RD that corresponds to *each* reading item.

When all sections corresponding to the reading resistive cases items are assessed you will have a full picture of the pc's resistiveness.

Then, if you have C/S okay, take up each reading section on the EXGF 40RD in the order in which they are listed below and handle reads per the instructions given.

Otherwise, return to the C/S for programming.

- A-1. WENT DIANETIC CLEAR AND NEVER ATTESTED _____
- A-2. HAD ENGRAMS RUN AFTER BEING DIANETIC CLEAR _____
- B. DOESN'T WANT AUDITING _____
- C. AUDITED WITH RUDIMENTS OUT _____
- D. OVERWHELMED _____
- E. CONTINUOUSLY COMMITTING OVERTS ON SCIENTOLOGY _____
- F-1. SUPPRESSED _____
- F-2. CONNECTED TO AN ANTAGONISTIC PERSON _____
- G. SERIOUSLY PHYSICALLY ILL _____
- H. HAS NOT HAD AUDITING _____
- I-1. SEEKING THE SAME THRILL ATTAINED FROM DRUGS _____
- I-2. HAS TAKEN DRUGS _____
- J. FORMER THERAPY BEFORE SCIENTOLOGY _____
- K. HAS BEEN PART OF EARLIER PRACTICES _____
- L-1. OUT OF VALENCE _____
- L-2. ARE YOU BEING SOMEONE ELSE _____
- M. PRETENDING TRAINING OR GRADES NOT ATTAINED _____
- N. AUDITED WITH PRIOR GRADES OUT _____
- O. MISUNDERSTOODS IN AUDITING _____

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:nc
Copyright (c) 1970, 1974, 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 11 APRIL 1970R
REVISED 23 SEPTEMBER 1978

Remimeo
Class IV
Grad
C/Ses
Tech Secs
Qual Secs

(Revisions in this type style)

(Ellipsis indicates deletion)

Interiorization Rundown Series 6

AUDITING PAST EXTERIOR

(Ref: HCOB 4 Jan 71R	Int RD Series 2, EXTERIOR IZATION AND HIGH TA, THE INTERIORIZATION RUNDOWN REVISED
HCOB 24 Sep 78 I	Int RD Series 4, URGENT IMPORTANT, THE END OF END LESS INT REPAIR RUNDOWN
HCOB 26 Jun 78RA Issue II	New Era Dianetics Series 6RA, URGENT IMPORTANT, ROUTINE 3RA ENGRAM RUNNING BY CHAINS
HCOB 7 Mar 75	EXT AND ENDING SESSION.)

On Flag where we do a lot of auditing on pcs when I took over C/Sing after 8 months off C/S lines, I found a very high percentage of cases had been audited past exterior. It was a very high percentage.

Many of these pcs (most of them VAs or on OT levels) had various symptoms:

Headaches Body aches and pains Effort Pressures from environment.

The common denominator was "audited over exterior."

The main symptom of this was high TA at session start or TA up at Examiner after F/Ns, cog, VGIs at session end. Not all however suffered from high TA but all who had high TA after lots of auditing had been audited past exterior.

The first (1970) version of the above-referenced HCOB (now HCOB 4 Jan 71R) re exteriorization and interiorization was tested and written as the breakthrough which permits auditing after exteriorization and going on up the grades.

The check even after this showed such a high percent of cases had been audited past exterior on Dianetics, Scn, Power, Clearing or OT grades that I wish to bring the point home emphatically to C/Ses that it is of major importance to handle this situation by checking for it and running interiorization.

NOTE: Per HCOB 12 Sep 78, URGENT IMPORTANT, DIANETICS FORBIDDEN ON CLEARS AND OTs, Clears and OTs and Dianetic Clears would not now be audited on the routine Interiorization RD (Int RD Series 2), as they are not to be run on Dianetics. Dianetic Clears, Clears and above may be audited on the End of Endless Int Repair RD, which runs Int by Recall. (Ref: HCOB 24 Sep 78, Issue I, Int RD Series 4, URGENT IMPORTANT, THE END OF ENDLESS INT REPAIR RD.) A C/ear or OT who then has any further unresolving Int problems should, as soon as possible, be handled at an AO.

The standard C/S for any other pc who has exteriorized in auditing, has high TA, headaches, body aches, heavy pressures or discomfort (any of these), is to order a check on interiorization, exactly per the steps given on HCOB 4 Jan 71R,

*EXTERIORIZATION AND HIGH TA, THE INTERIORIZATION RUNDOWN
REVISED. Then, if Int is found to be charged, the person is given the Int Rundown.*

When the Int Rundown steps are completed, in a separate session some time within the next few days, this C/S must be done:

1. Two-way comm on interiorization and exteriorization.

This pushes the cognition further. The pc may not have added it all up yet. Don't evaluate. Just question and listen with no Q and A.

Pcs or pre-OTs can go up to higher grades after exteriorization if interiorization is run. This is even true of Dianetic Clears. *For Clears, OTs and Dianetic Clears, however, Int can only be run using the End of Endless Int Repair RD as referenced above.*

We are far more successful in early auditing (such as Dianetics and lower grades) than we think!

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:nt.ei.rd.kjm
Copyright © 1970, 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 6 MAY 1970R
REVISED 24 SEPTEMBER 1978

Remimeo
Class IV Grad
Checksheets
Tech/Qual
All Auditors
C/Ses

(Revisions in this type style)

(Ellipsis indicates deletion)

Interiorization Rundown Series 7

**BLOWS
AUDITING PAST EXTERIOR**

(Ref: HCOB 4 Jan 71R Int RD Series 2, EXTERIOR
IZATION AND HIGH TA, THE
INTERIORIZATION RUNDOWN REVISED
HCOB 24 Sep 78 I Int RD Series 4, URGENT
IMPORTANT, END OF ENDLESS
INT REPAIR RD
HCOB 7 Mar 75 EXT AND ENDING SESSION)

I have found a major cause of blows from classes, orgs and Scientology.

Overts are of course a primary cause but many have overts and *don't* blow, so why do such people blow?

A case audited past exterior, particularly if it is not acked, tends to get stuck on exteriorizing. This can (but doesn't always by any means) cause the person to take himself away!

Three recent "blows" all fell in this category. One who was trying to blow, when audited on interiorization, changed his mind.

An amazing number of pcs go exterior on modern auditing. Modern processes, Dianetics and Scientology are very fast.

Some haven't even realized it, didn't know what it was.

When they go exterior and you keep on auditing them without running interiorization as per HCOB 4 Jan 71R, Int RD Series 2, EXTERIORIZATION AND HIGH TA, THE INTERIORIZATION RUNDOWN REVISED, they become stronger as thetans while being reinteriorized and they get odd somatics, particularly in the head.

Uncomfortable they want OUT. Trying and failing to get out (since interiorization has not been run) in desperation they *leave* an org or class, body and all, without being exterior as a thetan.

If you rehab exterior and run an Interiorization *Rundown* the dramatization ceases.

The excuses such "blows" give you would fill a large book. Yet it is only that they are seeking to exteriorize, can't, so they "exteriorize" by leaving, body and all.

The Interiorization *Rundown* is given in HCOB 4 Jan 71R.

For Clears, OTs and Dianetic Clears, the handling is the End of Endless Int Repair Rundown. (HCOB 24 Sep 78, Issue I.)

A person couldn't be audited past exterior, you know.

But if given an Interiorization Rundown he or she can be.

When they have been audited past exterior without an Interiorization *Rundown* you will have trouble with the case, the TA and with blows. So use the interiorization tech.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:nt.ei.rd.kjm
Copyright © 1970, 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 27 MAY 1970R
REVISED 3 DECEMBER 1978

Remimeo

(Revisions in this type sty/e)

UNREADING QUESTIONS AND ITEMS

Reference: HCOB 3 Dec 78 UNREADING FLOWS

Never list a listing question that doesn't read.

Never prepcheck an item that doesn't read.

These rules hold good for all lists, all items, *all flows, including Dianetics*.

A "tick" or a "stop" is not a read. Reads are small falls or falls or long falls or long fall blowdown (of TA).

A preclear's case can be gotten into serious trouble by listing a list that doesn't read or prepchecking *an item that doesn't read* or running an item or flow that doesn't read.

On a list, this is the sort of thing that happens:

The list is "Who or what would fly kites?" The C/S has said to "List this to a BD F/N item." So the auditor *does* list it without checking the read at all. The list can go on 99 pages with the pc protesting, getting upset. This is called a "Dead horse list" because it gave no item. The reason it didn't was that the list question itself didn't read. One does an *L4BRA* on the pc to correct the situation and gets "unnecessary action."

On a list that is getting no item you don't *extend*. *You* correctly use *L4BRA* or any subsequent issue of it. If you extend a "dead horse list" you just make things worse. Use an *L4BRA* and it will set it right.

This weird thing can also happen. C/S says to list "Who or what would kill buffaloes?" The auditor does, gets a BD F/N item "A hunter." The C/S *also* says to list as a second action "Who or what would feel tough?" The auditor fails to test the question for read and lists it. Had he tested it, the list would not have read. But the list comes up with an item, "A mean hunter." It has stirred up charge from the first question and the item "A mean hunter" is a wrong item as it is a misworded variation of the first list's item! Now we have an unnecessary action *and* a wrong item. We do an *L4BRA* and the pc is still upset as maybe only one or the other of the *two* errors read.

In a Dianetic "list" one is not doing a listing action. One is only trying to find a somatic or sensation, etc. that will run. The item must read well. Or it won't produce a chain to run. In actual fact the Dn list Q does usually read but one doesn't bother to test it.

But an item or flow that doesn't read will produce no chain, no basic and the pc will jump around the track trying but just jamming up his bank.

The moral of this story is:

ALWAYS TEST A LISTING QUESTION BEFORE LETTING THE PC LIST.

ALWAYS MARK THE READ IT GAVE (SF, F, LF, LFBD) ON THE WORKSHEET.

ALWAYS TEST AN ITEM FOR READ BEFORE PREPCHECKING A *ND*
ALWAYS CHECK AN ITEM AND FLOW BEFORE RUNNING RECALLS OR
ENGRAMS.

ALWAYS MARK THE READ AN ITEM GAVE (SF, F, LF, LFBD) ON THE
WORKSHEET.

CHARGE

The whole subject of “charge” is based on this. “Charge” is the electrical impulse
on the case that activates the meter.

“Charge” shows not only that an area has something in it. It also shows that the
pc has possible *reality* on it.

A pc can have a broken leg, yet it might not read on a meter. It would be *charged*
but below the pc’s reality. So it won’t read.

THINGS THAT DON’T READ WON’T RUN.

The Case Supervisor always counts on the AUDITOR to test questions and items
and flows for read before running them.

The auditor, when a question or item or flow doesn’t read, can and should always
put in “Suppress” and “Invalidate.” “On this (question) (item) (flow), has anything
been suppressed?” “On this (question) (item) (flow), has anything been invalidated?” If
either one read, the question or item or flow will also read. The Case Supervisor also
counts on the AUDITOR to use Suppress and Invalidate on a question or item or flow.
If after this there is still no read on the question or item or flow, that’s it. Don’t use it,
don’t list it. Go to the next action on the C/S or end off.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:dz.ka.rd.jk
Copyright © 1970, 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 30 MAY 1970R
REVISED 23 SEPTEMBER 1978

Remimeo
C/Ses
Tech/Qual
Auditors
C/ass IV Grad
Checksheet

(Revisions in this type style)

(Ellipsis indicates deletion)

Interiorization Rundown Series 3

**INTERIORIZATION INTENSIVE
2-WAY COMM**

The Interiorization Rundown *Revised* (HCOB of 4 Jan 71R, EXTERIORIZATION AND HIGH TAs *THE INTERIORIZATION RUNDOWN REVISED*) is ALWAYS followed by a *final* session with "2-way comm on interiorization-exteriorization."

If the interiorization auditing has to be repaired, that is done first of course.

BUT AN INTERIORIZATION RUNDOWN IS NEVER COMPLETE UNTIL AN ADDITIONAL LATER SESSION IS GIVEN ON 2-WAY COMM ON INTERIOR EXTERIOR.

A C/S in repairing cases should always look to see if a pc:

1. Has exteriorized at some time during auditing.
2. If the pc has exteriorized and has not had an Int RD, Int must be checked, per HCOB 4 Jan 71R, and if charged the only C/S that can now be done is the Interiorization Rundown (except on C/ears or above, who get the Recall version).
3. If an Int Rundown has been given, then the C/S must check to see if a later session was given on "2-way comm Int-Ext."
4. If this 2-way comm was omitted, or not in a separate session, then "2-way comm on Int-Ext" must be ordered even if there have been several intervening sessions.

THE PROCESS

As an auditor you would give the pc an R-Factor that you are going to go over the subject of interiorization and exteriorization with him.

Get him to tell you how he feels about these, based on the Int button(s) that was assessed and run, and on the subject of Int in general.

The two-way comm session is always taken to F/N.

COG

It is *usually* the case that the pc did not fully cognite when he had the Int Rundown. His TA may stay high after an Int session. O/R is of little use to get it down. What's missing is the 2-way comm session. In it the pc usually cognites and things then go right.

The current C/S and auditor error is trying to do everything briefly and all at once. Ordering an Int Rundown and 2-way comm on it in the same session would be part of such an erroneous trend.

The 2-way comm must be *another* session preferably on another later day.

It is a flagrant C/S error to omit “2-way comm Int-Ext” after an Interiorization Rundown session.

The signal to order *a check on interiorization per HCOB 4 Jan 71R* is pc went exterior in auditing or has been found to have gone exterior. Auditing will not run well when the pc is audited past or after exteriorizing.

If charged, an Int Rundown must now be ordered.

If unsuccessful it must be repaired.

Successful or repaired, an Int Rundown must be followed by the 2-way comm session.

Two-way comm must be done with exact TRs. The auditor must not Q and A. He must not evaluate (tell the pc what it’s all about).

Two-way comm is a precision process. The pc is kept talking, not by giving him commands. He is kept on the subject of Int-Ext (or the 2-way comm subject), not encouraged to leap about by Q and A.

You cannot consider an Interiorization Rundown complete unless followed by 2-way comm.

If the case hasn’t had it following his Int Rundown he must be ordered to it.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:dz.ka.rd.kjm
Copyright © 1970, 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 20 AUGUST 1970R
REVISED 23 SEPTEMBER 1978

Remimeo
Chksht
C/S Chkshts
CIV Grad
Chkshts
Tech/Qual

(Title has been changed from
*Exteriorization RD Musts to
Interiorization Rundown Musts*)

(Revisions in this type style)

(Ellipses indicate deletions)

Interiorization Rundown Series 8

INTERORIZATION RUNDOWN MUSTS

(Reference: HCOB 4 Jan R, Int RD Series 2
EXT AND HIGH TA, THE INT RD REVISED)

An Interiorization Rundown must be:

1. *COMPLETED IN AS FEW SESSIONS AS POSSIBLE, WITH EACH SUCCEEDING SESSION GIVEN ON THE NEXT CONSECUTIVE DAY.*
2. *RUN SO AS TO COMPLETE ANY FLOW ON ANY READING BUTTON IN ONE SESSION. (This means you do not leave a chain half run.)*
3. *RUN WITHOUT FLUBS.*
4. *FOLLOWED BY A FINAL SESSION OF 2-WAY COMM RUN TO F/N, COG, VGIs.*

COMPLETING THE RUNDOWN ON AN INTENSIVE BASIS

Originally, when only "went in" and "go in" were assessed on the Int Rundown, the rule was that the entire rundown was to be given in one session.

The reason for this was that there is a frequent chance of ruds going out between sessions and of course they cannot be put in until the Int Rundown is complete as it's "auditing a pc past exterior."

This is still true.

However, with the full array of Int buttons now to be assessed and any reading button run on Quad or Triple Flows, and with re-assessment of the Int buttons, the one session rule may not be workable without "quickie-ing" the rundown, which must not be done.

Thus, allowance must be made for sufficient time to get the rundown done fully while still completing it as rapidly as possible, to safeguard against out-ruds situations cropping up before it is complete.

The safest way to accomplish this is to ensure that the Int Rundown:

1. *Is completed in as few sessions as possible.*
2. *After the first session each succeeding session is given on the next consecutive day.*
3. *Plenty of time (2 to 5 hours) must be allowed for each daily session.*
4. *The auditor must make sure the pc DOES have the necessary time for this before starting the rundown.*
5. *Any one flow on any reading Int button MUST be completed in one session. (You do not end a session with a chain only half or partially run.)*
6. *There are no session breaks taken. (Unless pc has a physical PTP, in which case he can be given a MINIMAL break to handle it and return right back to session.)*

FLUBLESS

Auditors who have occasional flubs—Dn failures to flatten chains or run them to chopped EP instead of a correct F/N *postulate off* and VGIs at basic HAVE NO BUSINESS RUNNING INT RUNDOWNS.

Flubs in any event are just corny.

They are particularly messy when they occur in the *INT* RUNDOWN.

The *Int* Rundown is auditing by the book!

(Ref: HCOB 4 Jan 71R *Int RD Series 2 EXTERIORIZATION & HIGH TA, THE INT RD REVISED*
HCOB 26 Jun 78RA *NED Series 6RA URGENT IMPORTANT ROUTINE 3RA, ENGRAM RUNNING BY CHAINS*
HCOB 16 Sep 78 *POSTULATE OFF EQUALS ERASURE*)

Flubs mar any auditing result. They make a real mess on an *Int* Rundown as review auditing over an *exteriorization* if the rundown is not complete, is difficult and results in high TA.

Yet one franchise invalidated the pc's cog, made the pc do it all in clay, left chains incomplete and took a week over it! And then wondered why the pc was unhappy!

NO FLUBS!

FOLLOW WITH 2-WAY COMM

A day or two or a week after the *Int* Rundown (not less than a day nor more than a week), an *Int* Rundown MUST BE FOLLOWED BY A TWO-WAY COMM SESSION.

The reason for this is that there is a cognition delay on almost all cases. The 2way comm blows off locks, etc. and the pc usually gets a big cog and never afterwards worries about exteriorization.

If the *Int* Rundown is *not* done in *DAILY* sessions, flubbed, not followed by 2-way comm in a later session, the pc can get hung up on the subject.

The auditor doing 2-way comm must have experience and know-how on 2-way comm. (See HCOB 21 April 70, "2-WAY COMM C/Ses," HCOB 3 July '70, "C/Sing 2-WAY COMM," *BTB* 10 July '70, "2-WAY COMM—A CLASS III ACTION.")

All 2-way comm sessions go to end phenomena of an F/N.

It *is often* found the subject of interiorization-exteriorization *is still* charged. But it should be checked for read as in all items and subjects used in auditing. The rule is you don't audit things that don't read. Suppress and Inval buttons can be put in to get a read. If you audit things that don't read, the TA is liable to go up.

A nicely done 2-way comm on interiorization and exteriorization blows the pc to present time and cleans him up nicely.

The Interiorization Rundown is to be done when it is found the pc has been audited past exterior, providing of course one of the Int buttons reads on checking. If reading, the RD HAS to be done before review auditing, ruds or anything else. So it's dicey—a delicate proposition.

An . . . Interiorization . . . Rundown is about the hottest thing that's come along for some time. It solves, for instance, the total goal of Buddhism. It is the key to immortality. It's pure theta gold.

So respect it by running by the book, exactly, perfectly and to a total win.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:rr.rd.dr
Copyright © 1970, 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 4 JANUARY 1971R
REVISED 24 SEPTEMBER 1978

Remimeo
HGC Auditors
Scn Chkshts
CI VIII
Chkshts
CI IV Grad
Chksht

(Revises and replaces HCOB
22 Mar 70 of same title by
changing clearing of commands
and wording of commands in
Exteriorization Intensive .)

(Revisions in this type style)
(Ellipsis indicates deletion)

Interiorization Rundown Series 2

**EXTERIORIZATION AND HIGH TA
THE INTERIORIZATION RUNDOWN REVISED**

(This bulletin has been revised 24 Sep 78 to give the new, simplified Int Rundown Revised, which deletes the Recall and Secondary steps, includes the full array of Int buttons and the New Era Dianetics Int command. It corrects and replaces all previous issues on the original Int Rundown and all previously issued Int Rundown commands. It includes notes on the new "End of Endless Int Repair" Rundown.)

Ref: HCOB 25 Sep 78 I Int RD Series 5
QUAD COMMANDS FOR INT BUTTONS
HCOB 24 Sep 78/ Int RD Series 4
URGENT IMPORTANT, THE END OF
ENDLESS INT REPAIR RUNDOWN
HCOB 4 Oct 78 Int RD Series 1
INTERIORIZATION HANDLING SIMPLIFIED
HCOB 12 Sep 78 URGENT IMPORTANT, DIANETICS
FORBIDDEN ON CLEARS AND OTs
Cancels:
BTB 30 Dec 71 IMPORTANT, INTERIORIZATION RD
COMMANDS
BTB 10 Jul 69R II EXTERIORIZATION REMEDY
BTB 15 Feb 72 I AN OPTIONAL INT RD STEP
BTB 13 Mar 73R HANDLING INT/EXT

NOTE: Clears, OTs and Dianetic Clears are NOT audited on this Int Rundown as they are not to be audited on Dianetics. The reference for handling repair of out-Int on these pcs and pre-OTs is HCOB 24 Sep 78I, Int RD Series 4 URGENT IMPORTANT, THE END OF ENDLESS INT REPAIR RUNDOWN.

For a long while we have known that if you audit a person after he or she has exteriorized, you often get a high tone arm, somatics and an upset case.

The answer has *been* to cease to audit a person after exteriorization has occurred.

This is so much a fact that five out of five "in trouble" cases I recently examined had every one of them been audited for some time after they exteriorized. The TA had or had not gone high but the cases were bogged. They revived at once when the fact of exteriorization was located. F/N, VGIs and when rehabbed (by counting number of times) somatics ceased.

The rule has been—don't audit after a pc has exteriorized.

This is one of those very fundamental things that seems to defy research and yet if not solved will keep things messed up. Persons who exteriorize on lower grades need their upper grades and yet if audited further may mess up. This places a limit on auditing and yet the person may still have aberrations and somatics. But the fact of having exteriorized bars the road.

So I got to work and made a breakthrough on it. Hurrah!

It has now been fully vindicated by long tests and is now released for general use.

EXTERIORIZATION

Exteriorization is defined as the act of moving out of the body with or without full perception.

It is the fact of this act which proves that the individual is not a body but an individual. This discovery in 1952 proved beyond any question the existence of a thetan, that the individual *was* a thetan, not a body, and disproved that Man was an animal and that he was a spiritual being timeless and deathless.

Techniques have existed since 1952 that exteriorize a person. These are not now used because (a) the person, still being aberrated and not Clear, soon returns to his body and (b) when audited thereafter has trouble.

This is a major problem a thetan sometimes has at death. How to exteriorize? He makes it eventually of course but he should be able to do so at once.

But, in my research, I found it unreasonable that a person would be hard to audit just because he had exteriorized and had re-interiorized. For he has obviously done just that at every death and birth and must have done so hundreds of billions of times. So why should a recent exteriorization then make him hard to audit? Yet it did.

My asking of that question was the first breakthrough. The rest soon followed.

ENGRAM BEHAVIOR

We know in Dianetics that if you continue to run the last part of an engram which has in fact an earlier beginning which isn't being run and is ignored the TA will go up.

The reason for this is that the first of a chain or the first part of an experience or a first experience (basic on a chain of incidents) has to be run for the chain or incident to erase.

If you only ran the end of incidents you would get a high TA and no erasure.

If you only ran incidents late on the chain you would get a high TA.

Pcs are uncomfortable, feel under pressure, when their TA is high (above 3.5 or up).

If you don't erase incidents or chains of incidents when auditing (or key them out as in release) you get a perpetually high TA.

High TA cases have been "overrun" on something. That however is a very oversimplified explanation. The truth is that they have been run on something that didn't erase. The something has an earlier beginning than was detected or an earlier incident. In life one, having engrams about it, adds new incidents in living until something is "overrun" or done too often. The TA is therefore high.

A TA records MASS. Mental mass has a higher electrical resistance and so measures more "ohms" of resistance, an electrical term for the trouble electricity has in

passing through something. The more resistance the more units of resistance are recorded on the meter. The TA actually measures resistance.

Thus, the end of an incident can be restimulated. If the beginning of it is never touched then one will just accumulate more and more mass.

THE MISSED BEGINNING

What has happened here, as regards exteriorization is that we have concentrated on EXTERIORIZATION.

If one is IN something, he must have gotten into it.

Therefore the beginning of an exteriorization is the INTERIORIZATION.

The being went *into* something before he went out of it.

Exteriorization occurs at death. That's an engram. Interiorization occurs at birth, that's an engram.

So when somebody goes exterior he is actually liable to key-in having gone interior in the first place.

Get it?

So when you exteriorize somebody or he exteriorizes during auditing he gets keyed-in a bit and without having audited earlier INTERIORIZATIONS, he has been put in the last part (exteriorization) of an incident which began with interiorization.

Not only are you touching on something (exteriorization) late on a chain (which has hundreds of billions of like incidents ahead of it), you are also touching something which is late in the incident (which began with interiorization).

On both counts then, the TA may go high.

THE REMEDY

The remedy is to audit out *interiorizations* (i.e., times the person *went in*) using the correctly assessed *Int* button.

If this is done, then the pc can be audited all you want after exteriorization.

Auditing the interiorizations *with R3RA, Quad or Triple Flows*, restores the possibility of auditing a pc after an exteriorization has occurred in auditing.

INT RUNDOWN REVISED BY STEPS

Based on recent researches, the original Int Rundown has been newly revised and simplified.

A full array of Int buttons has been added.

The Recall and Secondary steps have been deleted, so the pc gets to the basic of any Int trouble on a faster route.

Int chains are run using a simpler R3RA command for Int, and each chain taken to full New Era Dianetics EP.

The revised rundown follows.

THE PROCESS

THE INTERIORIZATION RUNDOWN REVISED

The case supervision directions for an Interiorization RD are to be done by a Scientology auditor *who is also a Hubbard New Era Dianetics Auditor.*

He must have an excellent command of metering, TRs, R3RA, the theory of Int and the Int RD commands, and he must know and be able to recognize an F/N, a postulate and full Dianetic EP when these occur.

1. Omit ruds of any kind and do NOT attempt a rapid LIC. The TA will just go up out the roof on any type of ruds or list. Simply start the session and go right onto the following steps.
2. With the pc on the meter, have him read pages 1-3 of this bulletin (HCOB 4 Jan FIR), through the section entitled "The Remedy." C/ear up any confusion. Handle any misunderstood words. Help the pc do a simple demo of the theory that: "In" is the earlier beginning or the earlier similar incident of "Out. "

(This is *not* to be a clay demo nor complex. Keep it simple, just ensure the pc gets it.)

3. Clear EXTERIORIZATION with the pc as *THE ACT OF MOVING OUT OF THE BODY WITH OR WITHOUT FULL PERCEPTION*. Make certain he's got it. Demo it, if necessary.
4. Check for having been audited after exteriorization. (TA should come down and F/N, cog, VGIs.)
5. Rehab (rehabilitate) this condition by getting or counting the number of times exteriorized. You should get F/N, cog, VGIs.
6. Assess the following list of Int buttons. (Do NOT clear the buttons first.)

INT BUTTONS

GO IN

WENT IN

PUT IN

INTERIORIZED INTO SOMETHING

WANT TO GO IN

CAN'T GET IN

KICKED OUT OF SPACES

CAN'T GO IN

BEING TRAPPED

FORCED IN

PULLED IN.

If none of the Int buttons read on this assessment, get in Suppress, Invalidate and Misunderstood on the Int button list. (Do not omit this basic rule of assessment. Ref: HCOB 15 Oct 73RA, C/S Series 87RA, NULLING AND F/NING PREPARED LISTS.)

7. Then clear and demo ONLY the button that reads.

If the pc seems disinterested or unhappy with the button that reads, check False.

CAUTION: The pc may have an MU which caused a particular button to read. Thus ensure the button is not reading on an MU, and if a button has read on an MU then clear it and reassess it. Don't give the pc a wrong item or even monkey around with a wrong item. The above actions help you ensure getting the CORRECT Int button.

It is important, in clearing the reading buttons, that the pc understands you will be auditing times he WENT IN or WAS BEING TRAPPED, etc. and NOT "was in" or "was already trapped" or "was stuck in," etc. You will be auditing the actual times the action of moving in occurred.

THE ABOVE CLEARING STEPS ARE VITAL, AS THE PC WILL NOT BE ABLE TO DO THE INT RD OVER MISUNDERSTOODS OR ON A MISASSESSED INT BUTTON. TO AUDIT HIM OVER MUs CONSTITUTES A BREACH OF THE AUDITOR'S CODE. ON THE OTHER HAND, DO NOT OVERDO THESE CLEARING ACTIONS, AS YOU ALREADY HAVE A PC ON YOUR HANDS WHO IS IN TROUBLE.

NOTE: If none of the Int buttons read even when Suppress, Invalidate and Misunderstood are applied, do NOT clear them and do NOT continue the Int Rundown steps.

8. *When the largest reading button has been cleared per Step 7, take that button and run it R3RA Quad. (TRIPLE IF PC IS ONLY TRIPLE.) Each flow is taken to full Dianetic EP, using the command:*

"Locate a time when you (Int button)."

EXAMPLE:

Int button with largest read: FORCED IN

Run:

Fl 1: Locate a time when you were forced in. (To full Dn EP)

Fl 2: Locate a time when you forced another in. (To full Dn EP)

Fl 3: Locate a time when others forced others in. (To full Dn EP)

Fl 0: Locate a time when you forced yourself in. (To full Dn EP)

(NOTE: The Quad command wordings for each of the Int buttons are listed on: HCOB 25 Sep 781, Int RD Series 5, QUAD COMMANDS FOR INT BUTTONS.)

DO NOT EVER RUN A PC ON FLOW ZERO FOR THE FIRST TIME ON INT. A TRIPLE PC CAN BE QUADED UP AFTER INT HANDLING IS COMPLETE, BUT IT IS NEVER DONE ON INT HANDLING OR INT REPAIR.

9. *When all four flows on the reading button have each been run to full EP, reassess the Int button list per Step 6. If another button now reads, repeat Steps 7 and 8.*

Should you have a persistent F/N after the four flows on the first button have been run, reassess the next day per Step 6 and if any button then reads, repeat Steps 7 and 8. If, on the other hand, you now have an F/Ning Int button list, it is safe to end off the Int Rundown.

10. *Otherwise, you continue to assess the Int button list per Step 6 and to run any reading item R3RA Quad (or Triple) per Steps 7 and 8, until the entire Int button list is F/Ning on assessment.*

DO NOT OVERRUN THE INT RUNDOWN. See section below on “Vital Data on Int RD End Phenomena.”

CAUTION: ANY FLOW ON ANY READING BUTTON MUST BE RUN TO EP IN ONE SESSION, AND THE INT RUNDOWN MUST BE COMPLETED IN AS FEW SESSIONS AS POSSIBLE.

11. *The final step, which is done after the final session, preferably on another later day, is a 2-way comm session on Int/Ext. (Ref: HCOB 30 May 70R, Int RD Series 3, INTERIORIZATION INTENSIVE 2-WAY COMM.)*

RUNNING INT WITH R3RA

The R3RA steps and procedure are standard except that they address the subject of “interiorization” (expressed as any one of the buttons on the Int button list).

Note that New Era Dianetics preassessment is not included in the revised Int Rundown, nor is the running of AESPs. (See HCOB 24 Sep 78 II, Int RD Series 13, PREASSESSMENT, AESPs AND INT.)

In running the Int chain (or chains) it is important to run the actual “going in” action which would be near or at the beginning of the incident. Thus, if the pc is running an incident where he is “already in,” ensure you check for an earlier beginning to the incident to pick up the “going in” type of action.

The questions to find an earlier beginning when running R3RA are:

“Is there an earlier beginning to this incident?” or

“Does the one we’re running start earlier?” or

“Does there seem to be an earlier starting point to this incident?”

The earlier similar command when running R3RA is:

“Is there an earlier incident when you (Int button)?”

Each flow must be taken to basic and the full Dianetic EP of: F/N, postulate (postulate off = erasure), and VGIs.

The auditor must have an excellent command of New Era Dianetics tech.

(Reference for New Era Dianetics R3RA commands and procedure is: HCOB 26 Jun 78RA 11, NED Series 6RA, URGENT IMPORTANT, ROUTINE 3RA, ENGRAM RUNNING B Y CHA INS.)

VITAL DATA ON INT RD END PHENOMENA

Exteriorization is not the EP of the Int Rundown. If it happens that the pc goes exterior during the RD, you end off gently as in any other auditing. But that is not the EP, and you may have to pick him up again later and complete the Int RD or handle it with the End of Endless Int Repair Rundown.

THE EP OF THE INT RUNDOWN IS NO MORE CONCERN OR TROUBLE WITH EXTERIORIZATION OR INTERIORIZATION.

This is generally accomplished by auditing the pc to an F/Ning Int button list.

But there is another phenomenon that can occur while running Int. IT IS VITAL THAT AN AUDITOR DOES NOT MISS THIS SHOULD IT HAPPEN.

It goes like this: You're auditing along and suddenly some mass discharges, down comes the TA, you suddenly have a floating TA, and that's it. The pc has hit the EP.

If you proceed past that point you're in trouble. You DON'T then reassess the Int button list and you DON'T continue running Quad Flows, even if all the flows have not yet been run on one reading button.

You do nothing but take your paws off the meter and gently end the session. If you do otherwise you can mess up a case.

It isn't exteriorization. Exteriorization could occur at the same time; however we could not care less because exteriorization is not the EP of the process.

But at ANY point at which the above phenomenon occurs on the Int RD—mass moves off, the TA comes crashing down and you can't keep the needle on the dial because the TA itself is floating—you end off the rundown because you have the EP.

What has happened here is that you've blown the stuck flow of "going in."

Int sends the TA up because the person has plowed deeper into more and more mass and come out of less and less mass. You have been auditing the pc on what has been, for eons, a stuck flow of obsessively going in. At any point in the auditing that stuck flow can suddenly give way. It heaves in the opposite direction, and the stuck flow of "going in" vanishes.

When that happens it's the end of the process, as that is all you want to accomplish with the Int Rundown.

If you were then to check the Int button list (which you DO NOT DO AT THIS POINT) you would find the Int buttons all F/Ning.

FUTURE AUDITING

When the pc has attained the EP of Int, either on the above phenomenon or by reassessing the Int buttons and running them on the flows to an F/Ning Int button list, one should now be able to audit the pc even after exteriorization.

However, HCOB 7 Mar 75, EXT AND ENDING SESSION would still be applied.

WARNING

The Int Rundown is a major case action and should only be run when the pc is rested and in good physical shape.

THE END OF ENDLESS INT REPAIR

The End of Endless Int Repair Rundown (HCOB 24 Sep 781, Int RD Series 4, URGENT IMPORTANT, THE END OF ENDLESS INT REPAIR RUNDOWN) is the superbly workable new process just developed to handle any needed Int repair.

It resolves any Int troubles that might persist even after a pc has had an Int Rundown done totally standardly.

It does not replace the Int Rundown; rather, it complements it, when necessary, as it runs Int by Recalls. We audit out the Int engrams on the Int Rundown. Then if repair is needed, the End of Endless Int Repair RD can be used to clean it up smoothly with Recalls. It is the answer to overrepair of Int on any pc.

Additionally, it can be used for handling Int repair on Clears, OTs and Dianetic Clears.

The above HCOB, 24 Sep 78 I, fully covers the purpose and use of this valuable new repair rundown.

SUMMARY

If a pc goes exterior on Dianetics or any Scientology auditing you must, as his next session, check the Int buttons for read, and if any read, clear them and do the new, vastly simplified and revised Int Rundown using the above C/S. With this done the pc . . . can go on being audited. And if repair is needed, the End of Endless Int Repair Rundown is the answer.

These new developments and refinements give us a simpler, more thorough tech for resolving Int than we have ever had before.

The way is open to more powerful OTs.

All fundamental discoveries are essentially simple ones.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:dr
Copyright © 1971, 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 13 JANUARY 1971R
REVISED 24 SEPTEMBER 1978

Remimeo
Class IV
Grad
Checksheet

(Revisions and Additions in this type style)

(Ellipses indicate deletions)

(Revised 24 Sep 78 to give current data on
references covering exteriorization and its handling.)

Interiorization Rundown Series 9

EXTERIORIZATION

On reviews of field-given exteriorization intensives during the past year, I found many cases had been flubbed.

On researching this I found the following:

1. The remedy was being used as an effort to exteriorize people. People exteriorize in any normal auditing. What was needed was a *remedy* to be able to audit them thereafter without driving their TAs up.
2. Auditors evidently skimmed their HDC Courses and did not know WHY one went to an earlier beginning or earlier incident. Thus they didn't know why you had to run interiorization.
3. HCOB 22 Mar 70 EXTERIORIZATION AND HIGH TA, (*now HCOB 4 Jan 71R, EXTERIORIZATION AND HIGH TA, THE INTERIORIZATION RUNDOWN REVISED*) must have been studied only for the rote commands. The theory of it was *not* studied.
4. Common Dianetic goofs got in the road of the rundown, the auditor failing to repeat the chain in asking for an earlier incident.... (*Ref: HCOB 26 Jun 78RA, Issue II, New Era Dianetics Series 6RA.*)
5. The exteriorization intensive was being stretched over several sessions. As only it can be audited, between sessions PPS, etc., would intervene.... (*Current ref: HCOB 20 Aug 70R, Int RD Series 8, INT RD MUSTS.*)
6. Clearing the command was flubby and the pc often thought interiorization meant "being in and trying to get out" and so the wrong end of the incident (the end) was being run.
7. People who hadn't been exterior at all and whose TAs were normal—not high—were being run on it.
8. It was being sold as a special rundown to exteriorize people, not just to enable them to go on being audited.

The number of Exteriorization Rundown flubs is excessive.

Therefore new HCOBs and a new pack have been issued. These include:

HCOB 4 Jan 71, EXTERIORIZATION AND HIGH TA, REVISED, (*now HCOB 4 Jan 71R EXTERIORIZATION AND HIGH TA, THE INTERIORIZATION RUNDOWN REVISED*) which contains the theory and NEW COMMANDS nobody can goof.

HCOB 6 Jan 71, STARRATE CHECKOUTS FOR EXTERIORIZATION INTENSIVE. (*This data is now in HCOB 25 Sep 78, Issue II, Int RD Series 14 STARRATE CHECKOUTS FOR INTERIORIZATION RUNDOWN.*)

HCOB 5 Jan 71, GOING EARLIER IN R3R AND EXTERIORIZATION INTENSIVES. (*This issue has been canceled by BTB 10 Dec 74, Issue VIII.*)

Commands and procedure for running New Era Dianetics in an Interiorization RD are now contained in:

HCOB 4 Jan 71R, Int RD Series 2, EXTERIORIZATION AND HIGH TA, THE INTERIORIZATION RUNDOWN REVISED.

HCOB 26 Jun 78RA, Issue II, New Era Dianetics Series 6RA, URGENT IMPORTANT, ROUTINE 3RA, ENGRAM RUNNING BY CHAINS.

The glaring outness is Dianetic . . . skill..A *Dianetic auditor* who can't run a flubless Dianetic session and get all . . . flows down to F/N studied in doubt, audits in doubt and ought to be in a condition of doubt until he retreads and actually reads the text and does the drills. Dianetics . . . is just too easy to be flubbed.

After patching up many of these done in the field I became very alert to the state of training. A new Course Supervisor course has been done and is being issued.

Obviously, auditors are no longer required to be starrated on new materials before they audit them. This omission must be remedied at once. NO AUDITOR MAY AUDIT MATERIALS OR APPLY HCOBs ON WHICH HE HAS NOT BEEN STARRATED .

No HGC or C/S may order an auditor to run a process if that auditor has not been starrated on its theory and practical first.

As for Dianetics, an auditor who cannot routinely carry a chain to an F/N VGI cog and erasure . . . may not retain his certificate unless retreaded and his *certificate* is suspended until he is retreaded. (*full New Era Dianetics EP is covered in HCOB 16 Sep 78, POSTULATE OFF EQUALS ERASURE.*)

For people to goof up using these clean positive tools is inexcusable.

The results are there to be obtained. WE OBTAIN SPECTACULAR POSITIVE RESULTS WITH THESE SAME MATERIALS DAILY WHEREVER THEY ARE ACTUALLY STUDIED AND APPLIED.

Please correct flubby auditing wherever you find it. Auditors must be checked out and drilled on new materials. Courses must be precisely taught. People who flub must be crammed until they don't. And those who still flub must be retreaded.

The materials when applied produce great results. When they are not applied they don't.

SO APPLY THEM!

CORRECTLY.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:nt.rd.kim
Copyright © 1971, 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 7 MARCH 1971-1RA
ADDITION OF 13 JANUARY 1975
REVISED 12 DECEMBER 1978

Remimeo
NED Grad
Checksheet
C/Ses

(Revisions in this type style)
(Ellipses indicate deletions)

C/S Series 28RA-1RA

USE OF QUADRUPLE DIANETICS

With the introduction of QUADRUPLE DIANETICS the problems of how to C/S it arise.

This rule is followed:

... THE FOURTH FLOW—0—MUST BE RUN ON ALL ITEMS FORWARD FROM THE FIRST DIANETIC ITEM EVER RUN ON THE CASE IF THE PC IS QUAD AND THE FLOW 0 READS.

Where a case has already had Flows 2 and 3 run on Singles, one goes back and runs Flow 0 on those items if it reads.

Where a case has only been run on Single Flow Dianetics (Flow 1) one goes back to the first Dianetic item ever run of which record can be found and does F2, F3, F0 in that order checking the command for read before running it, and then verifying the F1.

If you fail to "quad up" a pc and start with the first item never run on that flow and if you suddenly begin to run Quad on a pc who is Single or Triple without picking up and running the original items which were Single or Triple into Quad form, you will stir up and bypass all the charge that was on that flow originally. To suddenly begin Quad without catching the pc up is to invite catastrophe as the charge bypassed will kick the pc in the teeth, and hard.

Example: Joe was run on Single Flow for six months. His auditor finds a new item and suddenly decides to run it Quad. Six months worth of Flow 2, Flow 3 and Flow 0 will now restim because it is bypassing that charge. The auditor's proper correction action is to indicate to the pc what has happened and catch up the missing flows on all the earlier items run THAT NOW READ.

To C/S a case for Quad Dianetics it is best to first lay out a Scientology repair, making sure the case is flying, then list out the items already run on Single and Triple. Then get them run so that all four flows are complete on each item in sequence from first to last.

This includes any LX items, former practice, drugs or any other engram running. These, like Dianetic items, are listed in their correct sequence of former running.

Then the missing flows are run if they read.

A rehab step of the flows already run is not necessary. This rehab of a flow already run to EP is usually used only when there is question about its having gone to *postulate off, F/N and VGIs (erasure)*.

In C/Sing for Quadruple one COMPLETES any flow of an item found that did not erase. This is indicated on the item list.

DOING THE LIST

The item list is done by the auditor in his admin time for well done time credits.

All former Dianetic items ever run are listed and what flows have been run on them and to what end phenomena.

Example:

Engram List

3 Sept 69	Sadness (exact wording that was used)	F1
4 Sept 69	A Bored Feeling	F1 Bogged
6 Sept 69	An Apathetic Outlook	F1 Bogged
6 Nov 69	LX Agonized	F1 F2 F3
7 Nov 69	Former Therapy	F1 F2 F3
		F2 Bogged
9 Nov 69	Earlier Practices	F1 Bogged
10 Nov 69	A Horrible Sadness	F1 Bogged
5 July 70	Int RD	F1 F2 F3
		F3 Bogged
6 July 71	An Awful Pressure	F1 Bogged

Such a list is then handled from the earliest forward by:

- (a) Completing the bogged flow and
- (b) Completing the missing flow, if it reads.

INT RUNDOWN

If the TA on the pc is currently high or *the pc is having Int troubles*, Int is handled before any other action is done. *The fourth flow is never suddenly introduced on Int if the pc has been run on Triples.*

The fourth flow on Int is then audited in its proper sequence when one gets to it in Quading, IF IT READS.

Note that a drug chain also makes a high TA if in existence or unflat.

AUDITOR CHECKOUT

BEFORE RUNNING NEW ERA DIANETICS QUADRUPLE EVERY AUDITOR HDC, . . . , IV, VI, VII, VIII AND C/Ses MUST BE A *GRADUATE OF THE HUBBARD NEW ERA DIANETICS ... COURSE*....

FLUBS

If any auditor has a poor record of getting Dianetics results, of bogged flows, etc., he needs a New Era Dianetics retreat. His drills and TRs are out or he is committing gross auditing errors.

Dianetics gives remarkable results only when flawlessly done.

The commands must be precisely given and *all* commands are used. It is NEVER shorted "because the pc did it."

THUS ANY NEW ERA DIANETICS AUDITOR TO. . . QUAD A *PC'S UN-RUN FLOWS MUST*:

- (A) HAVE A RECORD OF GOOD FLUBLESS *NEW ERA* DIANETIC AUDITING or
- (B) MUST HAVE A RETREAD UNDER A COMPETENT SUPERVISOR and
- (C) MUST HAVE COMPLETED THE HUBBARD NEW ERA DIANETICS GRADUATE (CASE SUPERVISOR) COURSE *OR HAVE CHECKED OUT FULLY ON THE QUAD ISSUES.*

C/Sing

Quad Dianetics, with the above, otherwise C/Ses the same as NEW ERA DIANETICS.

It should be realized Dianetics is its own field of C/Sing. This remains the same in Quad Dianetics.

PROMOTION

Quad Dianetics should be promoted only when you have Dianetic auditors, the auditors checked out and okayed to audit as above and when you CAN DELIVER.

IVs or VIs should be available to do the Progress Pgms and steps.

UPPER LEVELS

When the IVs, VIs, VIIs, VIIIs or IXs are checked out as above, they should use Quad Dianetics to handle any and all engram steps called for in general auditing.

That they are upper level auditors does not make it less necessary to do the above.

RESULTS

Quad Dianetics, including the rerun actions, produces some very startling new gains.

Well done Dianetics always has produced fine results.

Quad Dianetics almost doubles the gain.

REMEDIES

Any and all Dianetic Remedies and general technology remain in full use. They are not changed at all. Only the Zero Flow is added in each case.

Good luck.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:nt.jh.rb/lfg.jk
Copyright © 1971, 1975, 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO POLICY LETTER OF 15 MARCH 1971R
Issue II
REVISED 6 JANUARY 1979

Remimeo
Admin Students

(Revisions in this type style)
(Ellipses indicate deletions)

Data Series 21R

DATA SERIES AUDITING

*HCOB 24 July 1970, DATA SERIES, and HCOB 28 August 1970RA, HC
OUTPOINT PLUS-POINT LISTS RA are CANCELED.*

Whenever a student cannot grasp or retain the data of the DATA SERIES policy letters, he must be *programmed for Method One Word Clearing, the PRD, any of the various student repairs, New Era Dianetics, etc. He can also be given Super Power.*

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:clb
Copyright © 1971, 1974, 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

Remimeo
NED Grad
Checksheet

HCO BULLETIN OF 4 APRIL 1971-1RC
ADDITION OF 13 JANUARY 1975
REVISED 25 JULY 1978
REVISED 2 OCTOBER 1978
REVISED 12 DECEMBER 1978

(Revisions in this type style)

C/S Series 32RA-1RC

USE OF QUAD DIANETICS

With the introduction of Quadruple Dianetics it is mandatory important urgent that one does not audit four flow items until one has brought *all* earlier Dianetic items into four flows, *checking each flow for a read, of course, before running it.*

TRIPLE

This also applies to Triple Dianetics. On a case where only Flow One (Single) has been run, you don't suddenly run a Triple (F1, F2, F3) such as on the LX Class VIII Lists until one has run the earliest Dn item ever run (or that can be found) on Dn Triple and then on forward on Triple up to the LX.

QUAD

However, one would now not bother to run only Triples forward. He would locate the earliest Single or Triple (if no Single Flow) item and run it Quadruple by now running the missing flows if *reading*.

INT RD

In doing an INTERIORIZATION RUNDOWN one mustn't suddenly introduce the 4th flow (F Zero).

If the case has only had Triples in Dianetics one mustn't suddenly introduce a Flow Zero on INT. The case should be done on Triple Flow INT.

THEN all earlier Dn items in sequence run are:

- (a) Listed from W/S or Folder Summaries.
- (b) Brought up to current by running in all the missing flows of Quad if *reading*.
- (c) The INT RD fourth flow is audited in when one gets to it IF IT READS.

The moral of the story is: RUN ONLY FLOWS THAT INSTANT READ WHEN CALLED.

REASON

Auditing additional flows while earlier items remain Single or Triple restimulates the missing flows and stacks them up as mass. They can make a pc uncomfortable until run.

All the missing flows (that were not run) are still potential mass.

This mass restimulates like something too late on the chain when a flow not run on earlier items is run on later items.

Auditing itself is a sort of time track. The earliest session blows the later sessions.

FULL FLOW TABLE

Before running Quad Dianetics one makes a table of earlier items run. Like this:

FULL FLOW TABLE

Date	Item	Flow Previously Run	Must Run
2.3.62	Guf Shoulder	F1	F2, 3, 0
3.3.67	Gow in Foot	F1	F2, 3, 0
30.4.67	Chow in Chump	F1	F2, 3, 0
29.9.68	LX Anger	F1, 2, 3	F0
	LX Peeved	F1, 2, 3	F0
4.10.69	Feeling Numb	F1, 2, 3	F0
5.9.70	Int RD	F1, 2, 3	F0
9.10.70	Feeling of Goof	F1, 2, 3	F0
10.10.71	Dn Assist on Head	F1	F2, 3, 0

FLOWS

F1 is FLOW ONE, something happening to self.

F2 is FLOW TWO, doing something to another.

F3 is FLOW THREE, others doing things to others.

F0 is FLOW ZERO, self doing something to self.

R3RA COMMANDS

Standard R3RA commands are used on Quad Dianetics.

They are the subject of another HCOB.

MULTIPLE SOMATIC ITEMS

The question will come up, do we Triple or Quad multiple somatic items.

The test is, did the flows already run go to EP when they were originally run. If they did, include them. If they didn't run exclude them.

This does not mean you omit everything that didn't run.

REPAIR

While auditing this FULL FLOW DIANETICS you will find various chains that did not go to EP when originally run.

These are included and should be concluded to EP. This means one has to find out if they bypassed the F/N, went too early, jumped the chain, etc. Usually an L3RF assessed on that faulty action will give the answer. It is easy to take these old flubbed chains to EP unless you work at it too hard. Usually the reason they didn't is visible on the old worksheet. The auditor forgot to ask for earlier beginning or jumped the chain or tried to run it twice forgetting he'd run it before. Corny errors.

RESULT

The result of doing a FULL FLOW DIANETIC ACTION on a case is quite spectacular. The shadowy remains of somatics blow, mass blows and the pc comes up shining.

OFFERING FFD

Offering the public Full Flow Dianetics must include the cost of FESing, FF Table making, and C/S work since it is sometimes lengthy. The auditing can be remarkably brief. The greatest amount of time is usually spent on the C/Sing and the table making.

FFD is offered to the public in intensives as per HCOB 31 May 1971R, C/S Series 39R, STANDARD 12/2 HOUR INTENSIVE PROGRAMS. Admin time spent on C/Sing, FESing and FF Table making should be deducted from the intensive hours purchased by the pc. This must be made known to the public when purchasing the service.

When offering FFD it should be called Quadruple Dianetics—4 times more powerful than previous auditing.

A C/S must liaise with the Dissem Sec and Treasury Sec on selling it or he'll find the org is losing money doing the C/Sing and tables.

WARNING

When doing Quadruple Dianetics on some cases it may be found that many chains are now missing or are just copies of the original. Don't be disturbed. Pc says they're gone now they're gone. Just F/N the fact and carry on with the next flow or item.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:nt.rd.lfg.kjm.jk
Copyright © 1971, 1975, 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 30 JUNE 1971RB

REVISED 1 DECEMBER 1974

CANCELS HCOB 3 DEC 71 HANDLING SHEET

REVISED 15 FEBRUARY 1977

REVISED 4 DECEMBER 1978

Remimeo
Class IV
Grad Check-
sheet

Class VI

Checksheet

Class IV Grad and
above Auditors

C/Ses

(Revisions not printed in a different type style)

**EXPANDED GREEN FORM 40RD
EXGF 40RD**

The Expanded Green Form 40RD is used with the Resistive Cases Assessment on a resistive case to precisely locate and solve its resistiveness.

The assessment of the resistive cases will direct the auditor to the type of the pc's resistiveness. Further assessment is then done in the section of the Expanded Green Form 40RD appropriate to what has read on the Resistive Cases Assessment and handlings are given for what has been found.

This list provides a fast and direct method for solving resistive cases.

Before using this list on any pc the auditor must have first checked out on HCOB 8 Dec 78 Iss II GREEN FORM AND EXPANDED GREEN FORM 40RD, USE OF.

RESISTIVE CASES ASSESSMENT

(If this assessment has just been done on the Green Form No. 40 question, it is not repeated. Go right into the Expanded Green Form 40RD assessments.)

Assess Method 5 the following resistive cases. If any item reads, go to its corresponding section on the Expanded Green Form 40RD and assess Method 5 all the items in that section. Assess the section on the Expanded Green Form 40RD that corresponds to *each* reading item.

When all sections corresponding to the reading resistive cases items are assessed you will have a full picture of the pc's resistiveness.

Then, if you have C/S okay, take up each reading section on the EXGF 40RD in the order in which they are listed below and handle reads per the instructions given.

Otherwise, return to the C/S for programming.

- | | | |
|-----|---|-------|
| A-1 | WENT DIANETIC CLEAR AND NEVER ATTESTED | _____ |
| A-2 | HAD ENGRAMS RUN AFTER BEING DIANETIC CLEAR | _____ |
| B | DOESN'T WANT AUDITING | _____ |
| C | AUDITED WITH RUDIMENTS OUT | _____ |
| D | OVERWHELMED | _____ |
| E | CONTINUOUSLY COMMITTING OVERTS ON SCIENTOLOGY | _____ |
| F-1 | SUPPRESSED | _____ |
| F-2 | CONNECTED TO AN ANTAGONISTIC PERSON | _____ |
| G | SERIOUSLY PHYSICALLY ILL | _____ |

- H HAS NOT HAD AUDITING _____
- I-1 SEEKING THE SAME THRILL ATTAINED FROM DRUGS _____
- I-2 HAS TAKEN DRUGS _____
- J FORMER THERAPY BEFORE SCIENTOLOGY _____
- K HAS BEEN PART OF EARLIER PRACTICES _____
- L-1 OUT OF VALENCE _____
- L-2 ARE YOU BEING SOMEONE ELSE _____
- M PRETENDING TRAINING OR GRADES NOT ATTAINED _____
- N AUDITED WITH PRIOR GRADES OUT _____
- O MISUNDERSTOODS IN AUDITING _____

SECTION A—WENT DIANETIC CLEAR AND
NEVER ATTESTED

If item A-1 reads, Date/Locate. If item A-2 reads, 2WC to F/N and return to the C/S.

SECTION B—DOESN'T WANT AUDITING

- B-1 DO YOU NOT WANT AUDITING? _____
2WC to find out why not. It will be an out-rud or an out-list.
Handle appropriately.
- B-2 ARE YOU REFUSING AUDITING? _____
2WC to find out why. It will be an out-rud or an out-list. Handle
appropriately.
- B-3 ARE YOU PROTESTING AUDITING? _____
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
- B-4 DO YOU DISLIKE TALKING TO AN AUDITOR? _____
If so, run "Look at me. Who am I?" to F/N. Then "What could
you say?" to F/N.
- B-5 HAS NO ONE ASKED WHAT YOU REALLY WANT? _____
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
- B-6 HAS THERE BEEN ANYTHING WRONG WITH F/NS? _____
Find the fault and handle with false TA HCOBs. Rehab any
overruns due to false TA.

SECTION C—AUDITED WITH RUDIMENTS OUT

- C-1 HAVE YOU BEEN AUDITED WITH RUDIMENTS OUT? _____
Find out which and handle to F/N.
- C-2 HAVE YOU BEEN AUDITED OVER AN ARC BREAK? _____
ARCU CDEINR E/S to F/N.
- C-3 HAVE YOU BEEN AUDITED OVER A PROBLEM? _____
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
- C-4 HAVE YOU BEEN AUDITED OVER A WITHHOLD? _____
What was the withhold? Who missed it? E/S to F/N.
- C-5 HAVE YOU BEEN AUDITED OVER AN OVERT? _____
What was the overt? E/S overt to F/N.

- C-6 ARE YOU LYING TO PEOPLE? _____
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
- C-7 DO YOU HAVE SECRETS? _____
2WC what secrets E/S to F/N.
- C-8 ARE YOU HERE FOR REASONS NOT DISCLOSED? _____
If so, L&N “What was your original reason for coming here?”
R3RA Triple or Quad if an evil purpose. Program for EX DN. (On
a Clear, OT or Dianetic Clear, do the L&N step only.)
- C-9 DO YOU HAVE AN EVIL PURPOSE? _____
L&N “What evil purpose do you have?” R3RA Triple or Quad.
Program for EX DN. (On a Clear, OT or Dianetic Clear, do the
L&N step only.)

SECTION D—OVERWHELMED

- D-1 HAVE YOU BEEN OVERWHELMED BY AUDITING? _____
Run out the incident of overwhelm R3RA Narrative Triple or Quad.
(On Flow 1, acknowledge what the pc says and continue with R3RA
Narrative commands 2-9 A-EYE.)
- F2: Return to the time you caused another to be overwhelmed
by auditing and tell me when you are there.
- F3: Return to the time others caused others to be overwhelmed
by auditing and tell me when you are there.
- F0: Return to the time you caused yourself to be overwhelmed
by auditing and tell me when you are there.
(Progress Program .)
- (On a Clear, OT or Dianetic Clear 2WC for data and use the appro-
priate correction list to locate and indicate the bypassed charge)
- D-2 HAVE YOU BEEN OVERWHELMED BY LIFE? _____
Handle as in D-1 with Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad, substituting
“by life.” (Progress Program.) 2WC and the appropriate
correction list on Clears and above.
- D-3 HAVE YOU BEEN OVERWHELMED BY FAMILY
CONNECTIONS ? _____
Handle as in D-1 with Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad, substituting
“by family connections.” (Progress Program.) 2WC and the
appropriate correction list on Clears and above.
- D-4 HAVE YOU BEEN OVERWHELMED ON YOUR POST? _____
(ON YOUR JOB?)
Handle as in D-1 with Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad, substituting
“on your post” or “on your job” whichever is appropriate and
has read. (Progress Program.) 2WC and the appropriate correction
list on Clears and above.
- D-5 ARE YOU RESTIMULATED IN YOUR CURRENT
ENVIRONMENT? _____
Run out the time he felt restimulated in his environment R3RA
Narrative Triple or Quad. (Progress Program.) 2WC and the
appropriate correction list on Clears and above.

SECTION E—CONTINUOUSLY COMMITTING OVERTS ON SCIENTOLOGY

- E-1 ARE YOU CONTINUOUSLY COMMITTING OVERTS ON
SCIENTOLOGY? _____
L&N “What are you trying to prevent?” R3RA Triple/Quad
preventing (item). 2WC committing continuous overts and pull
them, E/S to F/N. On a Clear, OT or Dianetic Clear the handling is:
L&N “What are you trying to prevent?” 2WC committing
continuous overts and pull them, E/S to F/N.

- E-2 DO YOU KEEP ON GOOFING? _____
Handle as in E-1.
- E-3 ARE YOU COMMITTING CONTINUOUS OVERTS IN LIFE? _____
Handle as in E-1.

SECTION F—SUPPRESSED
CONNECTED TO AN ANTAGONISTIC PERSON

- F-1 ARE YOU CONNECTED TO SOMEONE HOSTILE OR _____
ANTAGONISTIC TO SCIENTOLOGY?
PTS interview. C/S to program as needed for further PTS handling.
- F-2 ARE OTHERS ANTAGONISTIC TO WHAT YOU ARE DOING? _____
PTS interview. C/S to program as needed for further PTS handling.
- F-3 HAVE YOU BEEN SUPPRESSED BY ANOTHER? _____
2WC to F/N. C/S to program as needed for further PTS handling.
- F-4 DO YOU MAKE GAINS AND THEN LOSE THEM? _____
PTS interview. C/S to program as needed for further PTS handling.
- F-5 DO YOU RECEIVE GAINS OR BENEFITS FROM BEING ILL _____
OR DISABLED?
2WC to F/N. Return to C/S.

SECTION G—SERIOUSLY PHYSICALLY ILL

- G-1 ARE YOU SERIOUSLY PHYSICALLY ILL? _____
2WC to find out what the illness or symptoms are. Return the folder
to the C/S. Program per HCOB 24 Jul 69R SERIOUSLY ILL PCs
and BTB 28 May 74RB FULL ASSIST CHECKLIST FOR
INJURIES AND ILLNESSES.
- G-2 IS YOUR BODY ILL? _____
2WC “What seems to be wrong with your body?” to F/N. Program
per BTB 28 May 74RB FULL ASSIST CHECKLIST FOR
INJURIES AND ILLNESSES.
- G-3 ARE YOU MENTALLY ILL? _____
Handle as a withhold. E/S “Is there an earlier time you were
mentally ill?” to F/N. R3RA Narrative Triple/Quad. Then do a full
preassessment on it.
- G-4 DO YOU HAVE ANY BROKEN BONES? _____
2WC to F/N. Medical treatment followed by a program per BTB 28
May 74RB FULL ASSIST CHECKLIST FOR INJURIES AND
ILLNESSES .
- G-5 DO YOU HAVE ANY INFECTIOUS DISEASE? _____
2WC to get the data on what it is to F/N. Medical treatment followed
by a program per BTB 28 May 74RB FULL ASSIST CHECKLIST
FOR INJURIES AND ILLNESSES.
- G-6 DO YOU HAVE ANY HIDDEN ILLNESSES? _____
2WC to F/N. Program per BTB 28 May 74RB FULL ASSIST
CHECKLIST FOR INJURIES AND ILLNESSES.
- G-7 DO YOU HAVE ANY TOOTH DECAY? _____
2WC to F/N. Dental treatment followed by a program per BTB 28
May 74RB FULL ASSIST CHECKLIST FOR INJURIES AND
ILLNESSES .
- G-8 DO YOU HAVE ANY PHYSICALLY DAMAGED PARTS? _____
2WC to find out what, to F/N. Program per BTB 28 May 74RB
FULL ASSIST CHECKLIST FOR INJURIES AND ILLNESSES.

- G-9 DO YOU HAVE ANY BODY PARTS MISSING? _____
2WC to find out what, to F/N. Program per BTB 28 May 74RB
FULL ASSIST CHECKLIST FOR INJURIES AND ILLNESSES.
- G-10 HAVE YOU HAD ANY BODY PARTS REMOVED? _____
2WC to find out what, to F/N. Program per BTB 28 May 74RB
FULL ASSIST CHECKLIST FOR INJURIES AND ILLNESSES.

SECTION H—HAS NOT HAD AUDITING

- H-1 HAVE YOU NOT HAD AUDITING? _____
L&N “Who or what would prevent auditing?” Triple or Quad ruds
and overts on the item.
- H-2 HAVE YOU BEEN SELF-AUDITING? _____
2WC to find out when the pc first started self-auditing. Do an L1C
on the prior upset. If the prior upset was in auditing, use the
appropriate correction list.
- H-3 HAVE YOU BEEN AUDITED IN AN EARLIER LIFE? _____
2WC to F/N. C/S to program to handle any overrun or other diffi-
culties with past auditing, if needed.

SECTION I—SEEKING THE SAME THRILL ATTAINED FROM DRUGS HAS TAKEN DRUGS

- I-1 ARE YOU SEEKING THE SAME THRILL ATTAINED FROM _____
DRUGS?
2WC to F/N. (E/S if needed “Is there an earlier time you were seek-
ing the same thrill attained from drugs?”) Advance Program for a
Drug RD or to complete it. (On Clears, OTs and Dianetic Clears,
indicate the item. Do no further handling.)
- I-2 HAVE YOU TAKEN DRUGS? _____
2WC to F/N. If pc has had his Drug RD do a Drug RD Repair List.
L3RF if needed. Advance Program for a Drug RD or to complete
it. (On Clears, OTs and Dianetic Clears, handle as in I-1.)
- I-3 DO YOU WANT TO CONTINUE TO TAKE DRUGS? _____
2WC to F/N. If pc has had his Drug RD do a Drug RD Repair List.
L3RF if needed. Advance Program for a Drug RD or to complete
it. (On Clears, OTs and Dianetic Clears, handle as in I-1.)
- I-4 HAVE YOU NEVER TAKEN DRUGS? _____
2WC to F/N. (E/S if needed “Is there an earlier time you never took
drugs?”)
- I-5 ARE YOU CURIOUS ABOUT DRUGS? _____
2WC to F/N. (E/S if needed “Is there an earlier time you were
curious about drugs?”)
- I-6 HAS MEDICINE ACTED AS DRUGS? _____
2WC to F/N. If pc has had a Drug RD do a Drug RD Repair List.
L3RF if needed. Advance Program to handle all reading drugs,
medicine and alcohol with a full Drug RD or to complete it. (On
Clears, OTs and Dianetic Clears, handle as in I-1.)
- I-7 HAVE YOU DRUNK ALCOHOL? _____
2WC to F/N If pc has had a Drug RD do a Drug RD Repair List.
L3RF if needed. Advance Program for a Drug RD or to complete
it. (On Clears, OTs and Dianetic Clears, handle as in I-1.)

SECTION J—FORMER THERAPY BEFORE SCIENTOLOGY

(If any item in this section reads on a Clear, OT or Dianetic Clear, indicate the reading item, let the person tell you about it if he wishes, and indicate the F/N. Do no further handling.)

J-1 HAVE YOU HAD A FORMER THERAPY BEFORE
SCIENTOLOGY?

Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad on having a former therapy.

F1: Return to the time you had a former therapy and tell me
when you are there.

F2: Return to the time you gave a former therapy to another and
tell me when you are there.

F3: Return to the time others gave a former therapy to others
and tell me when you are there.

F0: Return to the time you gave a former therapy to yourself
and tell me when you are there.

J-2 HAVE YOU HAD MEDICAL THERAPY?

Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad, as in J-1, substituting “medical
therapy.”

J-3 HAVE YOU HAD PSYCHIATRIC THERAPY?

Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad, as in J-1, substituting “psychiatric
therapy.”

J-4 HAVE YOU HAD PSYCHOLOGY THERAPY?

Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad, as in J-1, substituting “psychology
therapy.”

J-5 HAVE YOU HAD DENTAL THERAPY?

Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad, as in J-1, substituting “dental
therapy.”

J-6 HAVE YOU HAD ELECTRIC SHOCK?

2WC to F/N. Return to C/S for okay to run out the electric shock
Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad, as in J-1, followed by a preassess-
ment of the electric shock.

SECTION K—HAS BEEN PART OF EARLIER PRACTICES

(If any item in this section reads on a Clear, OT or Dianetic Clear, indicate the reading
item, let the person tell you about it if he wishes, and indicate the F/N. Do no further
handling.)

K-1 ARE YOU CURRENTLY DOING ANY BODY PRACTICES?

Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad on body practices.

F1: Return to the time you took part in body practices and tell
me when you are there.

F2: Return to the time you caused another to take part in body
practices and tell me when you are there.

F3: Return to the time others caused others to take part in body
practices and tell me when you are there.

F0: Return to the time you caused yourself to take part in body
practices and tell me when you are there.

K-2 ARE YOU CURRENTLY DOING ANY EXERCISES?

Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad, as in K-1, substituting
“exercises.”

K-3 ARE YOU CURRENTLY PRACTICING ANY RITES?

Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad, as in K-1, substituting “rites.”

K-4 ARE YOU CURRENTLY PRACTICING YOGA?

Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad, as in K-1, substituting “yoga.”

K-5 DO YOU HOLD ANY EASTERN BELIEFS?

Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad, as in K-1, substituting “eastern
beliefs.”

K-6 ARE YOU DOING ANY MENTAL EXERCISES?

- Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad, as in K-1, substituting “mental exercises . “
- K-7 DO YOU CURRENTLY PRACTICE MEDITATION?
Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad, as in K-1, substituting “meditation.”
- K-8 HAVE YOU TAKEN PART IN EARLIER PRACTICES BEFORE SCIENTOLOGY?
Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad, as in K-1, substituting “earlier practices before Scientology.”
- K-9 HAVE YOU TAKEN PART IN EARLIER RELIGIONS?
Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad, as in K-1, substituting “earlier religions.”
- K-10 HAVE YOU TAKEN PART IN EARLIER RITES?
Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad, as in K-1, substituting “earlier rites.”
- K-11 HAVE YOU TAKEN PART IN EARLIER EXERCISES?
Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad, as in K-1, substituting “earlier exercises.”
- K-12 HAVE YOU TAKEN PART IN HYPNOTISM?
Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad, as in K-1, substituting “hypnotism.”
- K-13 HAVE YOU HELD EASTERN BELIEFS?
Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad, as in K-1, substituting “eastern beliefs . “
- K-14 HAVE YOU TAKEN PART IN EARLIER INDOCTRINATIONS?
Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad, as in K-1, substituting “earlier indoctrinations . “
- K-15 HAVE YOU TAKEN PART IN EARLIER SCIENTIFIC PRACTICES?
Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad, as in K-1, substituting “earlier scientific practices.”
- K-16 HAVE YOU TAKEN PART IN EARLIER ELECTRONIC PRACTICES?
Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad, as in K-1, substituting “earlier electronic practices.”
- K-17 HAVE YOU TAKEN PART IN EARLIER THOUGHT PRACTICES?
Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad, as in K-1, substituting “earlier thought practices.”
- K-18 HAVE YOU TAKEN PART IN EARLIER SPIRITUAL PRACTICES?
Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad, as in K-1, substituting “earlier spiritual practices.”
- K-19 HAVE YOU TAKEN PART IN EARLIER EASTERN RITES?
Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad, as in K-1, substituting “earlier eastern rites.”
- K-20 HAVE YOU TAKEN PART IN EARLIER EASTERN PRACTICES?
Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad, as in K-1, substituting “earlier eastern practices.”
- K-21 HAVE YOU TAKEN PART IN EARLIER IMPLANTING TECHNIQUES?

Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad, as in K-1, substituting “earlier implanting techniques.”

K-22 HAVE YOU PRACTICED WITCHCRAFT? _____

Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad on practicing witchcraft.

- F1: Return to the time you had witchcraft practiced on you and tell me when you are there.
- F2: Return to the time you practiced witchcraft on another and tell me when you are there.
- F3: Return to the time others practiced witchcraft on others and tell me when you are there.
- F0: Return to the time you practiced witchcraft on yourself and tell me when you are there.

K-23 HAVE YOU CAST SPELLS? _____

Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad on using spells.

- F1: Return to the time a spell was used on you and tell me when you are there.
- F2: Return to the time you used a spell on another and tell me when you are there.
- F3: Return to the time others used spells on others and tell me when you are there.
- F0: Return to the time you used a spell on yourself and tell me when you are there.

K-24 ARE YOU DOING SOME EXERCISE BETWEEN SESSIONS? _____

Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad, as in K-1, substituting “exercises.”

SECTION L—OUT OF VALENCE
ARE YOU BEING SOMEONE ELSE

If items L-1 or L-2 read, the handling is LX3, LX2, LX1 and 220H if necessary.

Ref: HCOB 2 Aug 69R “LX” LISTS
HCOB 5 Nov 69R V LX3 (ATTITUDES)
HCOB 3 Aug 69R LX2 (EMOTIONAL ASSESSMENT LIST)
HCOB 9 Aug 69R LX1 (CONDITIONS)
HCOB 20 Sep 78 II LX LIST HANDLING

(If one of these items read on a Clear, OT or Dianetic Clear, indicate the item, let the person tell you about it if he wishes, and indicate the F/N. Do no further handling.)

SECTION M—PRETENDING TRAINING OR GRADES
NOT ATTAINED

(If any item in this section reads on a Clear, OT or Dianetic Clear, indicate the reading item, let the person tell you about it if he wishes, and indicate the F/N.)

M-1 ARE YOU PRETENDING? _____

Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad on pretending.

- F1: Return to the time another pretended to you and tell me when you are there.
- F2: Return to the time you pretended to another and tell me when you are there.
- F3: Return to the time others pretended to others and tell me when you are there.
- F0: Return to the time you pretended to yourself and tell me when you are there.

M-2 ARE YOU PRETENDING TRAINING NOT ATTAINED? _____

Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad on pretending as in M-1.

M-3 ARE YOU PRETENDING ATTAINMENTS IN LIFE NOT
REALLY ATTAINED? _____

Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad on pretending as in M-1.

M-4 ARE YOU PRETENDING GRADES NOT ATTAINED? _____
Narrative R3RA Triple or Quad on pretending as in M-1.

SECTION N—AUDITED WITH PRIOR GRADES OUT

N-1 HAVE YOU BEEN AUDITED WITH PRIOR GRADES OUT? _____
2WC to find out what grades the pc feels are out. Indicate it. If no F/N, “Is there an earlier time you were audited over that/those out-grade(s)?” Note for C/S.

N-2 IS YOUR DIANETICS INCOMPLETE? _____
2WC to F/N. Note for C/S.

N-3 DO ENGRAMS FAIL TO ERASE? _____
L3RF Rundown. (R-Factor: “We are looking for engrams contacted in your early auditing and not fully handled.” Assess L3RF Method 5 with the preface “In your early Dianetics ?” Handle with R3RA over and over until the entire list F/Ns)

(On a Clear, OT or Dianetic Clear, indicate the read If no F/N you may do an L3RF if needed, however do no handling beyond indicating the reading questions, to F/N.)

N-4 IS YOUR COMMUNICATION GRADE OUT? _____
2WC to F/N. Program for Expanded or Quad Grade 0

N-5 IS YOUR PROBLEMS GRADE OUT? _____
2WC to F/N Program for Expanded or Quad Grade I.

N-6 IS YOUR OVERT/WITHHOLD GRADE OUT? _____
2WC to F/N. Program for Expanded or Quad Grade II.

N-7 DO YOU HAVE PERSISTING ARC BREAKS? _____
2WC to F/N. Program for Expanded or Quad Grade III.

N-8 ARE YOU ANXIOUS ABOUT CHANGE? _____
2WC to F/N. Program for Expanded or Quad Grade III.

N-9 DO YOU HAVE SERVICE FACSIMILES? _____
2WC to F/N. Program for Expanded or Quad Grade IV.

N-10 DO YOU HAVE FIXED IDEAS? _____
2WC to F/N. Program for Expanded or Quad Grade IV.

N-11 ARE YOU CONCERNED ABOUT BEING RIGHT OR WRONG? _____
2WC to F/N. Program for Expanded or Quad Grade IV.

N-12 HAVE YOU FAILED TO ATTAIN OTHER GRADES? _____
2WC to F/N. Note for C/S.

N-13 HAVE WINS ON GRADES BEEN BYPASSED? _____
Rehab each to F/N.

SECTION O—MISUNDERSTOODS IN AUDITING

O-1 HAVE YOU HAD MISUNDERSTOODS IN AUDITING? _____
Find and clear the misunderstands or do a WCCL prefaced with “In auditing.” Dianetic C/S-1 and/or Scientology C/S-1 if needed.

O-2 HAVE YOU HAD TROUBLE UNDERSTANDING WHAT WAS GOING ON IN A SESSION? _____
Clear this up with Word Clearing on the action that wasn’t understood. Dianetic C/S-1 and/or Scientology C/S-1 if needed.

O-3 HAVE YOU HAD TROUBLE UNDERSTANDING AN AUDITOR? _____

2WC to F/N. Handle any MUs with Word Clearing on the area the pc didn't understand. Dianetic C/S-1 and/or Scientology C/S-1 if needed.

O-4 HAVE YOU HAD TROUBLE IN AUDITING BECAUSE OF MISUNDERSTOODS? _____

Find the misunderstands and clear them up.

Note what actions were done over misunderstood words and handle with the proper repair list if needed. Dianetic C/S-1 and/or Scientology C/S-1 if needed.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH: jk
Copyright © 1971, 1974, 1977, 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 3 JULY 1971R
REVISED 22 FEBRUARY 1979

Remimeo
Franchise
Level III
Checksheets
Tech
Qua/
C/Ses

(Revisions in this type style)
(Ellipsis indicates deletion)
Replaces HCOBs 22 May 65 and 23 April 64,
and cancels HCOB 27 July 65 all on the
same subject.

SCIENTOLOGY III

AUDITING BY LISTS

(Note: We now F/N everything. We do NOT
tell the pc what the meter is doing. This
changes "Auditing By Lists" in both respects.
We do not say to the pc. "That's clean" or
"that reads.")

Ref:	HCOB 14 Mar 71	F/N EVERYTHING
	HCOB 4 Dec 77	CHECKLIST FOR
		SETTING UP SESSIONS
		AND AN E-METER
	HCOB 24 Jan 77	TECH CORRECTION
		ROUND-UP
	HCOB 7 Feb 79R	E-METER DRILL 5RA CAN SQUEEZE
	HCOB 8 Dec 78II	GREEN FORM AND EXPANDED GREEN FORM
		40RD, USE OF

Use any authorized published LIST. (Green Form for general review, L1C for
ARC Brks, L4BRA for *list errors*.)

METHOD 3

*Set the sensitivity for 1/3 of a dial drop on a correct can squeeze per E-Meter Drill
5RA (Reference: HCOB 7 Feb 79R E-METER DRILL 5RA CAN SQUEEZE).*

Have your meter in a position (line of sight) so you can see the list and the needle
or you can see the needle and the pc. The meter position is important.

Hold the mimeoed list close beside the meter. Have your worksheet more to the
right. Keep record on your worksheet. Mark the pc's name and date on it. Mark what
list it is on the W/S with time. It remains in the folder stapled to the W/S.

Read the question on the list, note if it reads. Do NOT read it while looking at the
pc, do NOT read it to yourself and then say it while looking at the pc. These are the
L10 actions and are called Method 6, not Method 3. It is more important to see the pc's
cans than his face as can fiddle can fake or upset reads.

TR 1 must be good so the pc clearly hears it.

You are looking for an INSTANT READ that occurs at the end of the exact last
syllable of the question.

If it does not read, mark the list X. If the list is being done through an F/N and
the F/N just continues, mark the question F/N.

If the question reads, do *not* say “That reads.” Mark the read at once (tick, SF, F, LF, LFBD, R/S), transfer the number of the Q to the W/S and look expectantly at the pc. You can repeat the Q by just saying it again if pc doesn’t begin to talk. He has probably already begun to answer as the Q was live in his bank as noted by the meter.

Take down the pc’s remarks in shortened form on the W/S. Note any TA changes on the W/S.

If the pc’s answer results in an F/N (cog VGIs sometimes follow, GIs always accompany a real F/N), mark it rapidly on the W/S and say, “Thank you. I would like to indicate your needle is floating.”

Do NOT wait endlessly for the pc to say more. If you do he will go into doubt and find more, also do NOT chop what he is saying. Both are TR errors that are very bad.

If there is no F/N, at the first pause that looks like the pc thinks he has said it, ask for an earlier similar whatever the question concerned. Do NOT change the Q. Do NOT fail to repeat what the question is. “Was there an earlier similar restimulation of ‘rejected affinity’?” This is the “E/S” part of it. You do *not* leave such a question merely “clean.”

It does not matter now if you look at the pc when you say it or not. But you can look at the pc when you say it.

The pc will answer. If he comes to a “looks like he thinks he said it” and no F/N, you ask the same Q as above.

You ask this Q “Was there an earlier similar “ until you finally get an F/N and GIs. You indicate the F/N.

That is the last of that particular question.

You mark “F/N” on the list and call the next question on the list. You call this and other questions without looking at the pc.

Those that do not read, you X as out.

The next question that reads, you mark it on the list, transfer the question number to the W/S.

Take the pc’s answer.

Follow the above E/S procedure as needed until you get an F/N and GIs for the question. Ack. Indicate and return to the mimeoed list.

You keep this up until you have done the whole list in this fashion.

If you got no read on the list question but the pc volunteers some answer to an unreading question, do NOT take it up. Just ack and carry on with your mimeoed list.

BELIEVE YOUR METER. Do not take up things that don’t read. Don’t get “hunches.” Don’t let the pc run his own case by answering nonreading items and then the auditor taking them up. Also don’t let a pc “fiddle the cans” to get a false read or to obscure a real one. (Very rare but these two actions have happened.)

BIG WIN

If halfway down a prepared list (the last part not yet done) the pc on some question gets a wide F/N, big cog, VGIs, the auditor is justified in calling the list complete and going to the next C/S action or ending the session, *except in the case*

where an F/Ning list is C/Sed for, e.g. C/S 53RL. The auditor does not violate C/S Series 20 PERSISTENT F/N. If he is intending to F/N the list, and the pc is on a big win, the auditor would end off, let the pc have his win, and then in another later session, continue with the list.

There are two reasons for this—one, the F/N will usually just persist and can't be read through and further action will tend to invalidate the win.

The auditor can also carry on to the end of the prepared list if he thinks there may be something else on it, if *it does not violate C/S Series 20 PERSISTENT F/N*.

GF AND METHOD 3

When a GF is taken up Method 3 (item by item, one at a time) *one ends it at the first F/N (Reference: HCOB 8 Dec 78 II GREEN FORM AND EXPANDED GREEN FORM 40RD, USE OF)*. If the auditor were to continue, it can occur that the TA will go suddenly high. The pc feels he is being repaired, that the clearing up of the first item on the GF handled it and protests. It is the protest that sends the TA up.

Thus a GF is best done by Method 5 (once through for reads, then the reads handled) .

L1C, L3RF, L7 and other such lists are best done Method 3.

The above steps and actions are exactly how you do Auditing by List today. Any earlier data contrary to this is canceled. Only 2 points change—we F/N everything that reads by E/S or a process to handle (L3RF requires processes, not E/S to get an F/N) or *else check for false read if the pc shows manifestations of this*, and we never tell the pc that it read or didn't read, thus putting his attention on the meter.

We still indicate F/Ns to the pc as a form of completion.

L1C and Method 3 are NOT used on high or very low TAs to get them down or up.

The purpose of these lists is to clean up bypassed charge.

An auditor also indicates when he has finished with the list.

An auditor should dummy drill this action both on a doll and bullbait.

The action is very successful when precisely done.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:nt.rd.jk
Copyright © 1971, 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 15 JULY 1971RC
Issue III
RE-REVISED 31 JANUARY 1979

Remimeo
Dianetics
Checksheet
All Dn
Auditors

(Revisions in this type style)
(Ellipsis indicates deletion)

(This bulletin has been revised only to delete THE END OF ENDLESS DRUG RUNDOWNS from its title. The New Era Dianetic Drug RD given in this bulletin is its own rundown and a completely separate action from The End of Endless Drug Rundowns Repair List, which is a repair rundown for pcs who were run endlessly on old style drug handling. Ref: HCOB 19 Sep 78R, Iss I, Rev. 31.1.79, THE END OF ENDLESS DRUG RDs, and HCOB 19 Sep 78R, Iss II, Rev. 31.1.79, THE END OF ENDLESS DRUG RUNDOWNS REPAIR LIST.)

IMPORTANT

URGENT

C/S Series 48RD

New Era Dianetics Series 9RB

DRUG HANDLING

See: HCOB 28 Aug 68 II	DRUGS
HCOB 29 Aug 68	DRUG DATA
HCOB 23 Sep 68	DRUGS & TRIPPERS
Refer: HCOB 19 May 69RB	DRUG AND ALCOHOL CASES
	PRIOR ASSESSING
BTB 12 Aug 69R	(HCOB 10 Dec 68 Updated).
	CONFIDENTIAL—CASE SUPERVISOR
	ACTIONS (Page 24 Resistive Case 220D)
	AN OBJECTIVE RUNDOWN
HCOB 2 Nov 57RA	
Rev. 10.5.74,	
Rev. 22.2.75	
HCOB 3 Jul 59	GENERAL INFORMATION
HCOB 11 Jun 57	TRAINING AND CCH PROCESSES
Reiss 12 May 72	
HCO Training	
Bulletin of 6 Feb 57,	
Pg 5, Tech Vol III	
HCOB 19 Sep 78R	THE END OF ENDLESS DRUG
Issue I	RUNDOWNS
Rev. 31.1.79	

A person who has been on drugs is one of the “seven types of resistive cases.”
(These types are found on the Scientology Green Form No. 40.)

A person who has been on drugs, alcohol or medicines seldom runs on any other type of engram, seldom goes backtrack well, and is subject to somatic, emotional and perceptic shut-offs making any other type of Dianetic running a vain activity.

Drugs since 1962 have been in very widespread use. Before then they were rare. A worldwide spread of drugs occurred. A large percentage of people became and are drug takers.

By drugs (to mention a few) are meant—tranquilizers, opium, cocaine, marijuana, peyote, amphetamine and the psychiatrist's gifts to Man, LSD and Angel Dust, which are the worst. Any medical drugs are included. Drugs are drugs. There are thousands of trade names and slang terms for these drugs.

ALCOHOL is included as a drug and receives the same treatment in auditing.

By alcohol (to mention a few) is meant whiskey beer, wine, vodka, rum, gin, etc.—in other words any fermented or distilled liquor or drink of any kind or fumes of such with some percentage of alcohol content.

Drugs are supposed to do wonderful things but all they really do is ruin the person.

Even someone off drugs for years still has “blank periods.” The abilities to concentrate or to balance are injured.

The moral part of it has nothing to do with auditing. The facts are that:

- (a) People who have been on drugs can be a liability until the condition is handled in auditing.
- (b) A former drug user is a resistive case that does not make stable gains until the condition is handled.
- (c) Auditing is the only successful means ever developed for handling drug damage.

DRUG ENGRAMS

People who have been on drugs are sometimes afraid of running engrams.

In fact, it is almost a way to detect a “druggie.”

The drugs, particularly LSD and even sometimes antibiotics or other medicines to which the person has an allergy, can turn on whole track pictures violently.

These tend to overwhelm the person and make him feel crazy. Some of these people are afraid to confront the bank again.

If a person “doesn't like Dianetics” and doesn't want to be run on engrams, it is necessary to put him through the Hard TRs Course and Objectives. If Dianetics *has* been run but poorly, it should of course be repaired fully with an L3RF (list used to correct Dianetic errors). But if the person still flinches, the Hard TRs Course and Objectives successfully completed will handle.

THOSE ON DRUGS

Objective Processes are numerous. It may be necessary to run these on a person still on drugs and even put the person through a Hard TRs Course to get the person off drugs. Doing this usually avoids the painful “withdrawal symptoms,” particularly present in coming off heroin or psychiatric “treatment” drugs. (Note, some persons have been put on some therapeutic drug by an M.D.—such as insulin and possibly should remain on it until well advanced into auditing. But these are not the usual drug. It is up to the pc, the auditor and the doctor what should be done in such cases. Tranquilizers are not acceptable, however.)

DONE FIRST

Drugs are done *first*.

Why? Because drugs make a resistive case! Other Dianetic actions and Scientology as well will get loses if drugs are not handled first.

Any current Dianetic case failures are from flubby Dianetic auditing or the person has been on drugs or alcohol which were not handled by Dianetics.

It hasn't harmed anyone to omit drug handling. But it made it hard or impossible to get stable case gain.

THUS ANY DIANETIC PC WHO HAS HAD DRUG HANDLING OMITTED MUST BE RUN ON DRUGS AS SOON AS POSSIBLE BEFORE MORE AUDITING IS GIVEN.

I repeat, drugs or alcohol in most instances make a resistive case so the point must be handled before the case will attain and hold case gain.

ANY PC WHO IS NOT MAKING IT IN AUDITING SHOULD BE CHECKED FOR A DRUG OR ALCOHOL HISTORY.

DISCOVERY

In investigating a series of cases who were not making it. I found in each one that the person had been on drugs or alcohol and that drugs or the alcohol had not been run out.

Drug data was not covered fully enough in the Dianetics pack. Only Prior Assessment to Drugs was given.

Thus I have found several Dianetic pcs were only run on the Prior Assessment to Drugs. This is not good enough as it is only a partial handling.

FULL DRUG RUNDOWN

Here is the full New Era Dianetics Drug Rundown.

0. The Original Assessment Sheet. Ask the pc each question on the Original Assessment Sheet. Mark all reads. Make sure you get specific and complete answers to your questions.

NOTE: On Item E, do not ask the pc for whole track drugs. You want only drugs medicine or alcohol he has taken this lifetime.

1. Objective ARC.

(Ref: HCOB 19 Jun 78 New Era Dianetics Series 3, OBJECTIVE ARC.)

2. Sweat Out Program if LSD or Angel Dust has been taken. This step can be done concurrently with other drug handling.

(Ref: HCOB 30 Apr 78 SWEAT PROGRAM FURTHER DATA
HCOB 6 Feb 78R LSD AND THE SWEAT PROGRAM
HCOB 6 Feb 78R-1 LSD AND THE SWEAT PROGRAM ADDITION)

3. A battery of Objective Processes. This includes CCHs 1-10, SCS on an Object and SCS.

(SOP 8C and Op Pro by Dup are included in later steps of the Drug Rundown.)

4. Hard TRs Course for ex-drug users or alcoholics. A Hard TRs Course consists of TRs 0-9.

Ref: HCOB 16 Aug 71R TRAINING DRILLS REMODERNIZED
HCOB 7 May 68 UPPER INDOC TRs
BPL 18 Sep 78 NEW ERA DIANETICS HARD TRs COURSE)

5. Full Dianetic C/S-1 to educate the pc so he fully understands Dianetic procedure and is able and willing to be audited successfully.

(Ref: HCOB 9 Jul 78 DIANETIC C/S-1)

6. Narrative Handling on Drugs—First.

All drugs, medicine and alcohol which the pc has taken in this lifetime have been listed on the Original Assessment Sheet.

At this point, choose the best reading drug, alcohol or medicine from the Original Assessment Sheet and run it out R3RA Quad Narrative. (For example: “Return to the time you took whiskey and tell me when you are there.”)

YOU DO NOT CHECK INTEREST ON DRUG ITEMS.

RUN OUT EACH READING DRUG, ALCOHOL OR MEDICINE ON THE DRUG LIST (IN ORDER OF READ) BY R3RA QUAD NARRATIVE *FIRST*. Otherwise, you can end up spinning the pc way down the track.

In running narrative on this lifetime drug, medicine or alcohol individual items you will find that it is easier to do if you run earlier beginning and earlier incident rather than attempt to limit him to the first this lifetime incident he comes up with, as there will usually be more than one incident when he took whiskey, for example. So you always ask earlier beginning but if it is necessary you ask earlier incident with the question, “Is there an earlier incident when you took whiskey?”

Pcs commonly tend to wind up way back down the whole track at this stage of their auditing and that is not what you’re aiming for here either. What you’re interested in is this lifetime, this body. But this doesn’t mean you don’t run track on the Drug Rundown; just don’t push it. And never insist the pc run any type of chain when he says there’s nothing there.

When all reading drugs, medicines, alcohols on the list have been run to EP by R3RA Narrative Quad, go on to the next step.

7. Preassessment on Each Reading Drug, Medicine or Alcohol Taken in This Lifetime.

- A) Choose the best reading drug, alcohol or medicine from the Original Assessment Sheet and do a *preassessment* on it.

“Are (preassessment item) connected with taking (the drug, medicine or alcohol)?”

is the preassessment question.

- B) Take the best reading preassessment item off the preassessment and ask the pc:

“What (best reading preassessment item) are connected with taking (the drug, medicine, or alcohol)?”

This is the running item list question for that particular drug. You write this question at the top of the page and write down exactly what the pc said, noting any read that occurred when he said it.

- C) Take up the best reading running item (make sure you noted reads as the pc gave you the items) and run it R3RA Quad.

DO NOT CHECK INTEREST ON DRUG ITEMS.

- D) Handle all reading running items found in Step B in order of read with R3RA Quad.
- E) Using that same original drug item repeat Step A.
- F) Repeat Steps B to E.
- Fa) Using the first original item continue Steps A. B. C, D, E until the Preassessment List simply F/Ns.
- Fb) Take the next individual drug, medicine, or alcohol item that read on the original list and repeat Steps A to Fa on it until you have handled every item that read on the Original Assessment Sheet.
- G) When there are no more items unhandled on the original list that read and no further items reading, but there are some unrun original items on the list, null with Suppress and Invalidate buttons.
- H) Run any now reading items with Steps A to Fb.
- I) Use up the whole list of drugs in this way, doing the preassessment and Steps B to H on all reading drugs. Reassess the drug list. Handle per above instructions any drug which now reads. This is done until the entire drug list F/Ns when called. (Note: If, during the rundown the pc thinks of other drugs he has taken in this lifetime, add them to the original list with their reads noted, and handle them in turn according to size of read, ensuring you run them R3RA Quad Narrative *first*.)

8. The Prior Assessment.

- A) Using the drug list obtained on the Original Assessment, take up the largest reading drug, medicine or alcohol, ask the pc the following preassessment question:

“Prior to taking (the best reading drug, medicine or alcohol), were there (preassessment item)?”
- B) Take the best reading preassessment item and ask:

“What (preassessment item) did you have prior to taking (the drug, medicine or alcohol)?”
- C) Use full preassessment steps and run out all reading running items R3RA Quad.
- D) Reassess any remaining unrun items found in Step B to see if they now read. If they do, run them. Also check for any more items the pc has to add to the list, and mark down their reads as the pc gives them.
- E) Repeat above steps on any items that now read.
- F) When there are no more items to add and no more items reading, but there are some unrun items on the list, null with Suppress and Invalidate buttons.
- G) Run any now reading items R3RA Quad.

- H) Reassess the Preassessment List, using the drug, medicine or alcohol in Step A. Follow remaining steps until all reading items are taken to EP and there are no further reads on reassessment of the Preassessment List.
- I) Take up the next best reading drug, medicine or alcohol from Step A. Repeat Steps B to I.

The above prior assessment steps are done on each drug, medicine or alcohol that has read. They are handled in order of largest read.

9. More Objectives.

The final step of the Drug Rundown, when all above steps are fully complete. is to run another set of Objectives on the pc.

These are:

A) SOP 8C

B) OP PRO BY DUP

run in that order, each to its complete EP.

This is done to bring the pc fully into present time. and it will be a present time which he is now far better able to confront.

This completes the Drug Rundown.

The Sweat Out Program, if not yet complete by this time, is carried through to completion .

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:lfg.dr.jk
Copyright © 1971, 1978,1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 24 SEPTEMBER 1971R
REVISED 24 SEPTEMBER 1978

Remimeo
Interiorization
Pack
Class IV Grad
Checksheet

(Revisions in this type style)

Interiorization Rundown Series 11

URGENT

**INTERIORIZATION RUNDOWN—
CORRECTION DRILL: DATE TO BLOW/LOCATE TO BLOW**

It *usually* happens that an Interiorization Rundown (also known as Int-Ext RD for Interiorization-Exteriorization Rundown) is—

1. Done when not necessary.
2. Is flubbed in R3RA.
3. Is overrun.

UNNECESSARY

The Int buttons must be assessed FIRST, then any reading button or buttons cleared. If a button has read on an MU it must be cleared, then reassessed. If an Int button is validly reading, one does the Int Rundown, per HCOB 4 Jan 71R, Int RD Series 2, EXTERIORIZATION AND HIGH TA, THE INT RD REVISED.

(Clears, OTs and Dianetic Clears would be run instead on The End of Endless Int Repair RD as they cannot be run on Dianetics. This rundown is also well suited as a preliminary action for weak or ill pcs who may be unable to run engrams or secondaries. Ref: HCOB 24 Sept 78, Issue 1, Int RD Series 4, URGENT IMPORTANT, THE END OF ENDLESS INT REPAIR RD.)

If there aren't any reads *on the Int buttons even after Suppress, Inval, Misunderstood and False have been applied to the Int button list*, one does NOT do an Int Rundown on the pc as it is unnecessary and classifies as "running an unreading item."

When this test is omitted you get an unnecessary Int RD being done on a pc.

This will eventually have to be repaired.

FLUBBED R3RA

When the auditor does not do flubless auditing, errors occur in the auditing itself. These will hang up an Int RD.

OVERRUN

It *usually* happens that an Int RD is overrun. *The EP is reached on Flow 2*, let us say. The auditor keeps on going past the win.

This will hang up the rundown.

One of the ways an overrun occurs is the pc goes exterior during it. Yet the auditor keeps on.

Another way is pc has a big cog, big win. Auditor keeps going on with the RD.

REASON FOR ERRORS

The Int RD is a simple and precise REMEDY which stabilizes a pc after exteriorizing and permits him to be further audited.

When a pc exteriorizes in session it is the end phenomena for that process or action. One gently ends off in any case. If the pc has not had an Interiorization Run-down, it is vital, in his next session, to check Int (as above) as the first action. All manner of physical and emotional upsets can result, including a high TA, if this step is omitted.

INT MUST BE CHECKED AS THE NEXT ACTION AFTER THE FACT OF THE PC'S FIRST EXTERIORIZATION.

No other auditing is to be done before Int is handled fully or proves to be uncharged upon checking.

One reason unnecessary Int RDs get done is that the Registrar sells one. That makes the Reg a C/S. So the C/S and auditor run it.

Maybe it wasn't needed.

So if it wasn't needed it will eventually have to be repaired.

HEADACHES

Headaches are a symptom (not *every* headache is) of a needed or an incorrect Int RD.

CORRECTION DRILL

The following is *the* Correction Drill for an Int RD.

Ninety percent of the pcs run on Int need it.

REQUIREMENTS

An auditor before being allowed to go near a pc Int RD correction must have:

1. Word cleared the Int RD pack.
2. Must have good TRs.
3. Must be good with a meter.
4. Must know and use the Auditor's Code.
5. *Must have completed the starrate checkouts per Int RD Series 14.*
6. **MUST DO THIS DRILL ON A DOLL UNTIL HE IS FLAWLESS.**

Then he can be trusted to do an Int RD correction.

This is the drill (written by a Class XII auditor for use on Flag):

*FAILED INT/EXT RD REPAIR
DATE TO BLOW—LOCATE TO BLOW*

1. *By C/S or prepared list read, Int appears overrun.*
2. *Auditor: “We’re going to take a look at the subject of going into things and your Int RD.”*
3. *Auditor: “What was the first time in your auditing that you were willing to go into things?”*
4. *Auditor establishes by pc answer to above and any further 2WC if (a) a flat point exists in or as a result of auditing (or training), (b) the pc feels the Int RD is unflat (c) the pc has misunderstands on the RD, or (d) the pc never had any trouble with going in and out of things or being audited after exterior. The pc and auditor are satisfied with what they establish above.*
5. *If (a) flat point, auditor establishes what the point was. If (b) unflat, the auditor does an Int RD Correction List or L3RF, if needed. If it does turn out that the Int RD was overrun or unnecessary the auditor proceeds per this drill. If (c) misunderstands, the auditor clears them up with the pc and then finds out if it was overrun, unflat or unnecessary and handles per this drill. If (d) unnecessary, the auditor indicates it was an unnecessary action and gets an F/N.*
6. *The Int RD was overrun and the flat point has been established per Step 5. The auditor tells the pc, “We’re going to date that point in years, months, etc., ago until something blows off—some mass or energy, etc. I want you to tell me as soon as that happens. Alright?”*
7. *If the pc is confused about “blow” the auditor can do a demo by putting his hand on the pc’s arm and taking it away suddenly.*
8. *When the pc understands what’s expected of him, the auditor establishes the order of magnitude by asking the pc, “Was it years or months ago?”*
9. *The auditor gets the years, months, days, hours, minutes, seconds and fractions of seconds ago to a point when something blows and F/Ns. If the pc gives up on this only then does the auditor meter date the flat point to a blow-F/N.*
10. *If a big BD occurs and the auditor suspects a blow but the pc will not originate it, the auditor can ask the pc if it blew.*
11. *If no blow occurs the auditor verifies each part of the date and corrects where necessary to a blow-F/N. If still no blow-F/N the auditor then checks for an earlier flat point. If there is one, the auditor dates that point to a blow-F/N. If still no blow-F/N the auditor does an L3RF “On your Int RD” and handles fully.*
12. *When the date has gone to a blow-F/N and the F/N has been indicated the auditor tells the pc, “Now we’re going to spot the exact location where that flat point occurred, until something blows off. I want you to tell me when that happens. Alright?” The auditor is getting the PAST physical universe location.*
13. *The auditor clears the words stars, planet, galaxy, location, point, if this is the first time Date/Locate is being done on the pc.*
14. *When the pc understands what’s expected of him the auditor begins the Locate steps.*

15. The auditor says, "Point to that location." The pc points with his finger until he is satisfied he has the exact direction. Then the auditor goes down the rest of the steps to a blow-F/N.

Distance?

Exact?

What galaxy?

What star?

What planet?

What country?

What city?

What street?

What house?

Position on street?

What room?

Distance from front of house?

Where in the room?

How far from *each wall*?

How far off the floor?

How far from the ceiling?

(NOTE: This step is not rote. Use the questions that apply. For example, if it occurred "next door" you wouldn't ask "What galaxy?", etc.)

16. If, while locating, the pc starts running the incident or gives too much "scene" the auditor has the pc point again then continues from where he left off on the locate steps.
17. If at some point on these steps the location turns out to be in the middle of the ocean or in a field, etc., the auditor uses available landmarks or reference points to get the location (i.e. distance from nearest point of land? or distance from the big rock?) down to a blow-F/N.
18. If no blow-F/N, the auditor verifies each *part* of the Locate step and corrects any necessary to a blow-F/N.
19. If the auditor suspects a blow but the pc doesn't originate it, the auditor asks, "Did something blow?" If the auditor suspects he's gone past a blow he can check "Did it blow previously?" If so and no F/N the auditor rehabs by asking the pc how long ago that happened and gets the F/N.
20. If no blow after verifying the location, or after checking for an earlier location blow, the auditor then has to do an *L3RF* "On your Int RD" and handle fully.

NOTE: A blow is a definite manifestation and the pc must say "something blew" or "it disappeared" or "it's gone" or "it vanished," not "I feel lighter."

IMPORTANT

The Date/Locate steps must NOT be done robotically. One has to understand the mechanics of how it's done and why.

If the pc says "two years ago" on dating, one doesn't then ask "What galaxy?" on the Locate step, as of course it's this one. Or what star, etc., either. If you start asking "What galaxy?" on an incident on Earth the pc is thrown back track.

If it happened outside a town in the open you wouldn't ask what city, house, or street or room, either.

On dating, it's AGO or it is an actual date. When the pc has it, the auditor doesn't then alter-is it in sequence. Found by years—months—days—hours— minutes— seconds and fractions, one doesn't then call it by day, year, month, as it tangles the pc. It's called back in the same order.

AND in dating one calls the date found back to the pc if there was no instant blow while it was being found. It usually blows on the call of it after it's known.

AND in locating the same thing occurs. If no blow and it seems correct then the location is called back to the pc.

The essence of the drill is to bring a pc to PT by erasing the date by spotting and the location by spotting, as the pc is out of PT fixed by both date and location.

If the theory is not understood nobody could do it rotely.

This is a highly precise action to be done smoothly with good TRs. Its results are phenomenal.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:nt. jh.k jm
Copyright © 1971, 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 29 OCTOBER 1971RA
REVISED 14 MAY 1974
RE-REVISED 24 SEPTEMBER 1978

Remimeo
Auditors CI IV
and above
C/Ses
Int RD Chksht
CI IV Grad
Chkshts

(Revisions NOT printed in a different type style)

Interiorization Rundown Series 12

**INT RUNDOWN CORRECTION LIST
REVISED**

PC: _____ DATE: _____

PC GRADE: _____ AUDITOR: _____

The purpose of this list is to correct an unflat, overrun or otherwise messed up Int RD.

This list is used when:

- A) The subject of Int/Ext reads on a repair list and the Int RD has already been run.
- B) A bog occurs on the Int RD itself. An L3RF would first be used to detect any Dianetic errors.
- C) The pc is upset after the Int RD or the End of Endless Int Repair RD, has head somatics, high or low TA, or is not VGIs on the subject of going into things.

NOTE 1: Per HCOB 12 Sep 78, URGENT. IMPORTANT, DIANETICS FORBIDDEN ON CLEARS AND OTs, Dianetic Clears, Clears and OTs are not to be audited on the Int RD as it uses Dianetics. They may be given the End of Endless Int Repair RD as it is a Recall Process.

The following list may be assessed on Dianetic Clears, Clears and OTs, however, as: 1) it also applies to the End of Endless Int Repair RD and 2) the pc may have been run on the Int RD before the above HCOB was issued.

If a Dianetic Clear, Clear or OT reads on any question which calls for a Dianetic handling (Ex: Questions 2, 3, 4, 7, 9, 29) the Dianetic handling is not done. Do NOT engage in any activity that brings about further engram running.

The correct action, if you get a read on one of the above questions, is to *indicate* it and let the pc tell you about it if he wishes, to bring it to an F/N.

Where the handling calls for an L3RF, an L3RF could be assessed, but you must not do more than *indicate* the reading questions. You must *not* engage in engram running.

Otherwise, the handlings given on the Int RD Correction List may be done on Clears and OTs. A Clear or OT who then has any further unresolving Int trouble would be referred to an AO for handling.

NOTE 2: If the pc has had only the End of Endless Int Repair RD do not assess those questions marked with an asterisk (*) (Questions 2, 3, 4, 29) or do any repair action that calls for Dianetics.

Assess this list Method 3.

Take up each item that reads and get the reading item fully repaired to F/N. Suppress and False can be used as needed to take a question to F/N. Handle each read to F/N. Do not go on to another question leaving one that has not F/Ned.

If at any time while doing the list the pc has a big win with F/N, VGIs, acknowledge, indicate the F/N and end off. Do not take the list beyond a major win for the pc.

R-FACTOR: We are going to do an assessment concerning the subject of interiorization. (If pc does not understand this R-Factor clear up what the Int RD or the End of Endless Int Repair RD was as he may not have recognized it.)

1. ON YOUR INT HANDLING IS A RECALL FLOW UNFLAT? _____

Assess the following, using the Int button the pc has been run on.

Is recall a time when you (Int button) unflat? _____

Is recall a time when you caused another (Int button) unflat? _____

Is recall a time when others caused others (Int button) unflat? _____

Is recall a time when you caused yourself (Int button) unflat?
(Assess on Quad pcs only.) _____

For Int RD: Flatten the unflat flow(s) to F/N, cog, VGIs.

For End of Endless Int Repair RD: Pick up the unflat flow and handle to four F/Ning flows on that Int button. Then reassess the Int buttons, as the entire RD may not have been flattened and will now need to be.

*2. ON YOUR INT RD WAS A CHAIN OR INCIDENT LEFT UNFLAT? _____

Find out which one(s). Handle with an L3RF.

*3. (NOTE: ASSESS No. 3 ONLY ON PCs WHO'VE HAD THE ORIGINAL INT RD, NOT THE REVISED INT RD.)

IS A SECONDARY FLOW UNFLAT? _____

L3RF and handle.

*4. IS AN ENGRAM FLOW UNFLAT? _____
L3RF and handle.

5. WAS SOME PART OF YOUR INT HANDLING MISRUN? _____
Find out what.

For Int RD: handle with an L3RF any messed up chains.

For End of Endless Int Repair RD: straighten out any messed up recall flows and handle per No. 1 above.

6. DID YOU RUN THE CONCEPT OF "BEING IN" OR "BEING STUCK IN" INSTEAD OF THE CONCEPT OF "GOING IN?" _____

Sort it out. Find out what was run. Handle any confusions. If it is established that he didn't run the concept of "going in" on *whatever* the running button was, check the button for read. If it reads, run the Int RD or End of Endless Int Repair RD properly. Do not run the RD if the button doesn't read.

7. WERE YOU RUNNING AN ITEM THAT WAS DIFFERENT THAN THE ONE ASSESSED? _____

Indicate it. Get the item the pc was actually running and take it to full EP if not yet flat. Then recheck the item that was assessed, put in Suppress and Inval as necessary, and if charged run the item that was assessed (on whichever RD the pc had).

8. DID THE INT BUTTON ASSESSED HAVE NO CHARGE ON IT? _____

Indicate the button was uncharged and should not have been run and all actions connected with it should not have been run. D/L if necessary.

9. WAS THERE ANOTHER INT BUTTON THAT SHOULD HAVE READ? _____

Get what it was and note its read as the pc gives it. Find out if the Int button that was taken up instead is charged. If so, complete any handling on it to F/N. If not, handle as in No. 8 above. Then handle the new item, if charged, on Int RD or End of Endless Int Repair RD, whichever applies.

10. ON YOUR INT HANDLING WERE YOU RUN ON A RECALL FLOW THAT HAD NO CHARGE ON IT? _____

Find out which one and indicate that that flow should not have been run.

11. CAN'T YOU GET IN? _____

If so, L&N to BD F/N item "Who or what was afraid to go into things?" Then run alternate repetitively "What did (item found) do?" "What did (item found) withhold?" to an F/N and a blow.

12. DO YOU HAVE AN OUT-LIST? _____

Handle with L4BRA.

13. WAS THE RUNDOWN DONE OVER AN ARC BREAK? _____

PROBLEM? _____

WITHHOLD? _____

OVERT? _____

Indicate and handle to F/N.

14. WAS THE RUNDOWN DONE OVER SOME OTHER BYPASSED CHARGE? _____

Find out what and handle.

15. WAS THE WORDING OF THE RUNDOWN BADLY CLEARED? _____

Fully clear all MUs to F/N.

16. ON YOUR INT HANDLING WAS THERE A MISUNDERSTOOD WORD? _____
Fully clear all MUs to F/N.
17. WERE YOU CONFUSED ABOUT SOMETHING? _____
Clear it up with the correct references. Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
18. DIDN'T YOU UNDERSTAND WHAT THE RD WAS FOR? _____
Clear this up with correct references. Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
19. WERE YOU THINKING OF LEAVING DURING INT? _____
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
20. WERE YOU LEAVING A POST? _____
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
21. WERE YOU TRYING TO GET A POST? _____
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
22. ANYTHING TO DO WITH JAILS? _____
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
23. ARE YOU WANTED ANYWHERE? _____
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
24. ARE YOU AFRAID THAT IF YOU GET OUT YOU WILL CAUSE DAMAGE? _____
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
25. WOULD LETTING YOU OUT BE AN OVERT? _____
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
26. HAVE YOU FAILED TO GET OUT IN AN EARLIER RELIGION OR PRACTICE? _____
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N. Note for C/S to handle earlier practices on program.
27. DO YOU JUST MOVE BACK INTO THE BODY AND PUSH AGAINST IT? _____
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
28. WERE THERE AUDITOR ERRORS? _____
Indicate. Sort it out and clean up BPC. If R3RA errors, use an L3RF (except for Clears, etc.), L1C if necessary.
- *29. WERE THERE ERRORS ON ENGRAMS? _____
Find out what and handle with an L3RF.

30. (ASSESS ONLY ON DN CLEARS, CLEARS OR OTs.) WERE YOU AUDITED ON DIANETICS AFTER GOING DIANETIC CLEAR OR SCN CLEAR? _____
- Indicate that he should not have been run on Dianetics after Clear. If no F/N, D/L when he went Clear.
31. HAS INT BEEN NEGLECTED FOR A LONG TIME? _____
- Indicate. Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
32. ARE YOU WORRIED BECAUSE INTERIORIZATION CONTINUES TO READ? _____
- Indicate. Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
33. ARE YOU CONCERNED BECAUSE YOU HAVE TO BE REVIEWED? _____
- Indicate. Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
34. WAS THE INT RD (END OF ENDLESS INT REPAIR RD) ALREADY FLAT? _____
- Indicate. Date/Locate the flat point.
35. WAS YOUR INT HANDLING OVERRUN? _____
- Indicate. Date/Locate the flat point.
36. WAS INT HANDLING UNNECESSARY IN THE FIRST PLACE? _____
- Indicate. If no F/N, Date/Locate the point he felt good about going into things.
37. DID YOU FEEL FINE ABOUT GOING INTO THINGS TO BEGIN WITH? _____
- Indicate it. If no F/Ns Date/Locate that point.
38. IS YOUR INT HANDLING PERFECTLY OKAY? _____
- Indicate. If no F/N, Date/Locate the point he felt good about going into things.
39. HAS YOUR INT HANDLING BEEN OVERREPAIRED? _____
- Indicate. Date/Locate the flat point.
40. HAS INT BEEN RUN SEVERAL TIMES OVER? _____
- Indicate. Date/Locate the flat point.
41. HAS THE INT RD CORRECTION LIST BEEN OVERDONE? _____
- Indicate. If no F/N Date/Locate the point he felt his Int RD was repaired.
42. ON YOUR INT HANDLING DID YOU GO PAST A WIN?

Indicate. Rehab the win to F/N VGIs. If no F/N, Date/Locate that point.

43. DURING YOUR INT HANDLING DID YOU GO EXTERIOR? _____

Indicate. Rehab to F/N VGIs. If no F/N, Date/Locate that point.

44. IS THIS ACTION UNNECESSARY? _____

Indicate. If no F/N itsa E/S itsa to F/N.

45. IS THERE SOMETHING ELSE WRONG? _____

Find out what and handle.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:dr
Copyright © 1971, 1974, 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 9 DECEMBER 1971RC

REVISED 29 JULY 1976

RE-REVISED 8 DECEMBER 1978

Remimeo
Class IV Grad
Checksheet
Checksheet
Class IV Grad
and above
auditors
C/Ses
Ethics Officers

(Revisions in this type style)

(Ellipses indicate deletions)

PTS RUNDOWN, AUDITED

References:

BPL 5 Apr 72RC I
BPL 31 May 71RG
HCOB 20 Jan 72R
HCOB 16 Apr 72
HCOB 17 Apr 72

HCOB 3 Jun 72RA
HCOB 24 Apr 72 I

HCOB 10 Aug 73
HCOB 9 Nov 67

HCOB 19 Jan 68
HCOB 16 Aug 69R

PTS TYPE A HANDLING

PTS/SP CHECKSHEET

PTS RD ADDITION

PTS RD CORRECTION LIST

C/S Series 76

C/SING A PTS RD

PTS RD, FINAL STEP

C/S Series 79

PTS INTERVIEWS

PTS HANDLING

REVIEW AUDITORS BOOK OF CASE REMEDIES,

REVISION OF REMEDY A, REMEDY B AND S AND Ds

S&Ds BY BUTTON

HANDLING ILLNESS IN SCIENTOLOGY

CASES

One remaining problem in cases was "PTS phenomena."

PTS means potential trouble source. When someone is suppressed he becomes a potential trouble source.

There are numerous HCOBs and PLs on this subject. All of them are true observations and predictions.

The cause of ROLLER-COASTER is PTS. Roller-coaster means a slump after a gain. Pcs who do not hold their gains are PTS.

S and Ds (for Search and Discovery) was the earlier approach. These are still valid and "3 S&Ds" as a rundown is used in the PTS Rundown without change.

Now with the PTS Rundown, this common and all too frequent case condition can be handled.

WHO DOES IT

There are actually three PTS handlings:

1. This is essentially an ethics action done by the Ethics Officer. Its substance is contained in BOARD POLICY LETTER 5 Apr 72RC Issue I, PTS TYPE A HANDLING. It is usually the first step at any sign of trouble. It is not an audited action, it is an interview. The PTS person is given a program in order to handle the scene.

2. *This is the PTS RUNDOWN, AUDITED as covered in this HCOB, in HCOB 20 Jan 72R, PTS RD ADDITION and in HCOB 3 Jun 72RA. It is done by an auditor in regular session as described in these HCOBs.*

. . . It can be done by Class IV Grads who are also HNEDAs, HGC Okay to Audits.

For an auditor who is not HNEDA, Class IV Grad Okay to Audit HGC by competent internship to attempt a PTS Rundown would be very risky for the pc as it needs exact listing, exact TRs, exact metering, exact Code keeping and very honest auditing and competent C/Sing.

3. *The third handling is THE SUPPRESSED PERSON RUNDOWN, HCOB 29 Dec 78, and HCOB 30 Dec 78R—the SUPPRESSED PERSON RD PROBLEMS PROCESSES. It is done by a qualified auditor in regular session. Essentially, it handles the OTHER person connected to the pc.*

Therefore, what you have here is THREE stages of handling PTSness. The first above, the interview, cools off the scene and in many cases that is that. But when the pc is further affected by the connection and roller-coasters, he is given the audited rundown as in 2 above. This usually handles the case of PTSness as a case. But there sometimes remains another person, the SP person or group and its relationship in the real universe to the pc. And this is handled with 3 above.

CLEARs, OTs AND DIANETIC CLEARs

The Dianetic steps of the PTS Rundown, Audited are not run on Clears, OTs or Dianetic Clears. (Ref: HCOB 12 Sep 78, DIANETICS FORBIDDEN ON CLEARs AND OTs.)

Clears, OTs and Dianetic Clears can of course be given the interview step (1 above) and can be given the Suppressed Person Rundown as in 3 above as neither contains any handling of engrams.

DEVELOPMENT

Early discovery and development of the PTS theory is extensively covered.

The recent wrap-up came about through my OT research in November 1971.

The principle breakthrough was realizing one should NOT invalidate having known certain people before.

This is similar to the past life discovery in 1950. Some people thinking this was “unpopular” frowned on it. Some others were only famous characters so flagrantly that past lives were easily invalidated. But people who don’t go past track in Dianetics don’t recover. Even running them as “imaginary” as in *Science of Survival* advices suddenly breaks through for a stalled Dianetic case.

In this same way with young men and girls using “I knew you when you were “ for 2D advantage tended to invalidate having known certain individuals before this life.

But now it turns out that the ONLY PTS situation that is serious and lasting and can cause a roller-coaster comes from having known the person *before* this life.

Possibly in the last life or earlier lives one knew persons before *that* life too. This however shows up in the 3 S&Ds.

BREAKDOWN

There are only four points of breakdown of the PTS Rundown.

1. Improperly audited. Auditor not able to always do a correct list. TRs out, metering out, poor R3RA, just plain untrained or not totally familiar with this rundown.
2. Pc not completely set up. Like: Has TA trouble but no C/S 53 done, is a no change case but no EXGF 40RD done, old auditing not repaired by . . . proper programing or . . . *pc* too tired or too ill for the R3RA.
3. The rundown not fully and completely done, but chopped or left incomplete (*pc* will still roller-coaster).
4. People who “can’t run engrams”—which means a druggie who hasn’t had a full Drug Rundown.

There is nothing especially tricky about the auditing of the PTS Rundown except that all auditing should be of flubless quality and when the PTS RD is flubbed by bad lists or poor R3RA or out-TRs or poor metering it really IS a mess. The RD is so powerful that errors in C/Sing and auditing it are especially rough.

Currently sick pcs should not be run on the PTS Rundown as a standard practice. It IS what they need BUT you can easily overwhelm a sick *pc* with engram running.

The time to run a PTS RD is when the *pc* is set up and when it is noted the *pc* roller-coasters, not when he collapses with a temperature.

Roller-coaster can also be caused by a bad Interiorization RD or Int repair, out-lists, bypassed charge of other descriptions. These should be gotten rid of before a PTS RD is attempted.

The prerequisites for a PTS RD are covered in 2 and 4 above. It is not restricted to Ex Dn but is a separate RD developed before Ex Dn.

BEHAVIOR OF RD

Valence shifts occur rapidly and frequently in PTS RDs and should be noted on the worksheet.

The R3RA can sometimes be a bit of a long haul on a basic incident. Be sure with an L3RF. But get *the postulate off the basic* no matter how hard you have to work at it. In the PTS RD incidents can “develop.” Missing pieces can appear. A whole new slant can occur on the subject when one goes to F2 after finishing F1.

Chronic somatics are likely to appear and be handled on this rundown. And case conditions not previously remedied by other means can be remedied by this rundown.

END PHENOMENA

There is a point where the *pc* is absolutely sure he knew the person before this life. This is NOT the EP.

A *pc* can exteriorize on this RD. That is NOT the EP (but *can require* an Int RD if none has been done before . . .).

THE EP IS A PC WHO IS GETTING AND KEEPING CASE GAINS AND NEVER AGAIN ROLLER-COASTERS.

FLAWS

You cannot use Flow 1 as any old direction to or from pc. To do this fouls it up.
Flow 1 is to the pc.

Flow 2 is pc to the person (or place).

Flow 3 is the person (or place) to others.

Flow 0 is the pc to himself because of the person (or place).

If you did F1 R3RA as "Locate a time you knew " you might get to the pc, pc to the person or the person to others. You would not get a clean motivator F1. This would leave the PTS chain partially run.

This is also true of the ruds.

RE-DOS

If the pc does *not* recover, then reasons for failure 1 to 4 above should be checked into.

Then the lists and R3RA should be handled with L4BRA and L3RF.

Then an overlooked item or person or place should be scouted for and handled. There is no question of the validity of the rundown. It might have missed. "True love" might have been passed over as unlikely but such obsessive attraction is always based on having known (and probably done in) the other person.

Then the true EP will be attained where it only appeared to be before.

SUMMARY OF REFERENCES

Here are the issues that directly cover the rundown:

<i>BPL</i>	<i>5 Apr 72RC</i>	<i>PTS TYPE A HANDLING</i>
HCOB	9 Dec 71RC	PTS RUNDOWN, <i>AUDITED</i>
HCOB	20 Jan 72R	PTS RD ADDITION
HCOB	16 Apr 72	PTS RD CORRECTION LIST
HCOB	17 Apr 72	C/S Series 76
		C/Sing A PTS RD
HCOB	3 Jun 72RA	PTS RD, FINAL STEP
HCOB	19 Jan 68	S&Ds BY BUTTON
HCOB	16 Aug 69R	HANDLING ILLNESS IN SCIENTOLOGY
HCOB	20 Apr 72 II	C/S Series 78
HCOB	15 Dec 68RA	L4BRA
HCOB	24 Apr 72 I	C/S Series 79
		PTS INTERVIEWS
HCOB	10 Aug 73	PTS HANDLING

THE RUNDOWN

A. PAST S&Ds:

1. Collect up past S&D items (which should have already been verified on set-ups) or get the pc to tell you them if no folder.
-

2. On the earliest one ask if known before. If it so reads handle per steps 3-6. If not, pick next item and repeat this check for validity. _____
3. R3RA Triple/Quad the item using these commands:
 - F1. Locate a time when _____did something to you. R3RA.
 - F2. Locate a time when you did something to _____. R3RA.
 - F3. Locate a time when_____did something to others. R3RA.
 - F0. Locate a time when you did something to yourself because of _____. R3RA. _____
4. Triple/Quad ruds and overts on the item using these commands:
 - (a) Did _____ARC break you? ARCU CDEINR. E/S to F/N.
 - (b) Did you ARC break _____? ARCU CDEINR. E/S to F/N.
 - (c) Did _____ARC break others? ARCU CDEINR.
 - (d) Did you ARC break with yourself because of_____? ARCU CDEINR. _____

ALWAYS DO A FRESH ARCU CDEINR ON EACH E/S.

 - (e) Did _____give you a problem? E/S to F/N.
 - (f) Did you give_____ a problem? E/S to F/N.
 - (g) Did _____give others problems? E/S to F/N.
 - (h) Did you give yourself problems because of_____? E/S to F/N. _____
 - (i) Did you withhold anything from _____? E/S to F/N.
 - (j) Did _____withhold anything from you? E/S to F/N.
 - (k) Did _____withhold anything from others? E/S to F/N.
 - (l) Did you withhold anything from yourself because of _____? E/S to F/N. _____
 - (m) Did _____commit an overt (harmful act) on you? E/S to F/N.
 - (n) Did you commit an overt (harmful act) on _____? E/S to F/N.
 - (o) Did _____commit an overt on others? E/S to F/N.
 - (p) Did you commit an overt on yourself because of _____? E/S to F/N. _____

5. Run "Can't Hav/Enforced Hav" with these steps:

- (a) Clear "can't have," "couldn't have" as DENIAL OF SOMETHING TO SOMEONE ELSE. Clear "enforced have" as MAKING SOMEONE ACCEPT WHAT THEY DIDN'T WANT. Have pc get the idea of these with an example or two.
- (b) Run on the SP items "can't have/enforced have" as motivator repetitive, then overt repetitive, the Flow 3 terminal to others, others to terminal (four flows of two commands each, or five if pc Quad).
- (c) After EACH item is handled with the four flows, Objective Havingness should be run.

THE COMMANDS:

F1. *Did _____run a can't have on you? Tell me about it.*

Did _____force something on you you didn't want? Tell me about it. (Alternate/repetitive to EP.)

F2. *Did you run a can't have on _____? Tell me about it.*

Did you try to force something on _____that he (she, it) didn't want? Tell me about it. (Alternate/repetitive to EP.)

F3. *Did _____run a can't have on others? Tell me about it.*

Did _____force something on others they didn't want? Tell me about it. (Alternate/repetitive to EP.)

F3A. *Did others run a can't have on _____? Tell me about it.*

Did others force something on _____that he (she, it) didn't want? Tell me about it. (Alternate/repetitive to EP.)

F0. *Did you run a can't have on yourself because of _____? Tell me about it.*

Did you try to force something on yourself that you didn't want because of _____? Tell me about it. (Alternate/repetitive to EP.)

—OBJECTIVE HAVINGNESS—

6. Handle all past S&D items per above steps.

B. PAST PTS INTERVIEWS:

7. Collect up all past PTS interview items (which should have already been verified with C/S Series 78 on set-ups).

8. Check known before on earliest one. If it so reads handle as below. _____
 9. R3RA Triple/Quad the item. _____
 10. Triple/Quad ruds and overts on the item. _____
 11. Can't Hav/Enforced Hav on the item followed by Objective Hav. _____
 12. Repeat steps 8-11 on all valid past PTS interview items. _____
- C. NEW S&Ds (3 S&Ds):
13. Do 3 S&Ds per HCOB 16 Aug 69R, HANDLING ILLNESS IN SCIENTOLOGY, ASSESSMENT AND L&Ns. _____
 14. Check the first item for known before, handle if it so reads. _____
 15. R3RA Triple/Quad the item. _____
 16. Triple/Quad ruds and overts on the item. _____
 17. Can't Hav/Enforced Hav on the item, followed by Objective Hav. _____
 18. Repeat steps 14-17 on the other items if valid. _____
- D. TROUBLED/WORRIED:
19. L&N Who have you known this lifetime who has troubled or worried you? to BD F/N item. (Usually includes father, mother, wife or wives, husband, brothers, sisters, aunts, uncles, grandparents, lovers.) _____
 20. Check item for known before, if it so reads: _____
 21. R3RA Triple/Quad. _____
 22. Triple/Quad ruds and overts. _____
 23. Can't Hav/Enforced Hav followed by Objective Hav. _____
- E. BEEN AFTER:
24. L&N Who have you been after this life? to BD F/N item. _____
 25. Check known before and if it reads: _____
 26. R3RA Triple/Quad. _____
 27. Triple/Quad ruds & overts. _____
 28. Can't Hav/Enforced Hav plus Objective Hav. _____
- F. PLANETS:
29. L&N What planets have you known before this lifetime? to BD F/N item. _____
 30. R3RA Triple/Quad. _____

- 31. Triple/Quad ruds and overts. _____
- 32. Can't Hav/Enforced Hav plus Objective Hav. _____
- 33. D of P interview the person AFTER the RD is "complete" to be sure the person is now all right (not PTS). _____

READING FLOWS

Each flow of each process on the rundown is checked for a read before it is run. This includes Dianetics, ruds, Can't Hav/Enforced Hav. You do not run unreading flows.

REPAIR

Auditor errors during the RD are handled with L4BRA, L3RF, . . . and . . . C/S 53 if necessary.

A really big snarl up on the RD that won't clear up is handled with HCOB 16 Apr 72 PTS RD CORRECTION LIST.

If pc gets ill or roller-coasters after the RD is complete the PTS RD CORRECTION LIST HCOB 16 Apr 72 is done and whatever was missed is cleared up.

SUMMARY

The PTS RD as revised is very direct and powerful. The L&N blows each aspect apart. Don't miss on it with auditor flubs. Get it drilled thoroughly before it is delivered.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:nt.dr
Copyright © 1971, 1974, 1976, 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 16 DECEMBER 1971 RB
REVISED 19 SEPTEMBER 1974
RE-REVISED 24 SEPTEMBER 1978

Remimeo
Int RD
Checksheet
HGC Auditors
CI IV Grad
Checksheet

(Revisions in this type style)
(Ellipses indicate deletions)

C/S Series 35RB

Interiorization RD Series 10

INTERIORIZATION ERRORS

REFERENCES:

<i>HCOB 11 Apr 71 RC</i>	<i>IMPORTANT L3RF, DN & INT RD REPAIR LIST</i>
<i>HCOB 16 Sep 78</i>	<i>POSTULATE OFF EQUALS ERASURE</i>
<i>HCOB 4 Jan 71R</i>	<i>Int RD Series 2, EXT AND HIGH TA, THE INT RD REVISED</i>
<i>HCOB 24 Sep 78 I</i>	<i>Int RD Series 4, URGENT IMPORTANT, END OF ENDLESS INT REPAIR RD</i>
<i>HCOB 24 Sep 78 II</i>	<i>Int RD Series 13, PREASSESSMENT AESPs AND INT</i>

Almost all the errors in an Interiorization Rundown are Dianetic errors. Most are very ordinary, even corny.

IT IS VITAL TO CORRECT AN INT RD ERROR AS A FIRST ACTION.

There is one Int RD error that is not a purely Dianetic error and that is the error of doing anything else at all before an Int RD is done properly or an Int RD error is fully corrected.

The Int RD error may be . . . that *the Int button run* did not read on the meter, *or read only because of an MU on the word*, yet . . . was run. (*HCOB 4 Jan 71R*.) This classifies as “running an unreading item.”

A common Int error is that the pc is not cleared on the concept of interiorization and the words and commands, so he is being audited over misunderstoods.

Or the Int RD could have been overrun. *The EP is reached on . . . F2*, let us say. The auditor keeps on going past the win. This will hang up the rundown. One of the ways an overrun occurs is the pc goes exterior during it. Yet the auditor keeps on. Another way is pc has a big cog, big win. Auditor keeps going on with the RD.

When a pc is exteriorized by auditing and is then audited further without being given an Interiorization Rundown, his TA will go high or low and he may be very upset. Heavy masses may come in and he may also get ill.

Int RD errors also may go back to earlier Dianetic errors. A number of unflat incidents invite the overrun of these if they also occur on a Dianetic chain.

To clean up a balled-up Int RD chain or incident one may have to find and clean up the Dianetic error it is sitting on *during* the clean-up of the Int RD error.

Int RD errors, goofs, etc., are handled by using an Int RD Correction List Revised, HCOB 29 Oct 71RA.

This must be excellently metered so that the original error is not further compounded by misassessment of the correction list and a falsely reading item taken up.

Auditors who can't run ordinary *R3RA* with great success should not be let near an Interiorization *RD* as their lack of smoothness in handling Dianetics will wreck the *Int RD*.

Auditors who cannot read a meter flublessly should not be let near an Int RD or an Int RD Correction List, or The End of Endless Int Repair RD.

CLASS IV GRAD, HNED AUDITORS

An excellent Class IV *Grad, HNED* auditor can easily repair a messed-up Interiorization Rundown after a folder study and by use of an *Int RD Correction List Revised, HCOB 29 Oct 71RA and, as indicated, The End of Endless Int Repair RD, HCOB 24 Sep 781, Int RD Series 4.*

A Class IV *Grad, HNED* auditor with an excellent Dianetic record of wins can be given an *Int RD* to do or to correct IF HE IS STARRATED ON THE INT PACK AND THE TWO-WAY COMM PACK.

REPAIR

Wherever you see a TA high and a pc in trouble your first suspicions should be:

1. Audited past Ext in auditing without an *Int RD* being done.
2. *Int RD* botched by being unnecessary (*none of the Int buttons read or read only on MUs*), or overrun or auditor goofs in the session.
3. A previously messed-up Dianetic action has gotten fouled up with the *Int RD*.
4. The *Int* command was improperly cleared (such as “means go in and out again” “means trapped” “meant leaving,” etc.).
5. Firefights and worries over the high or low TA have ensued after an *Int* ball-up has occurred.
6. Some major action like grades or items of Power have been run twice.
7. A C/S has hopefully kept on getting the pc audited without detecting the real reason as a flubbed *Int RD*, *and without getting the Int RD and any repair fully FESed.*

PERCENTAGES

The percent of misrun *Int RDs* is high, many being unnecessary or overrun.

The liability of leaving them unrepaired is high.

Reasons for high TA are averaging out close to 100% as an unrun or a flubbed and unrepaired *Int RD*.

EXT IN SESSION

When a pc exteriorizes in session it is the end phenomena for that process or action. One gently ends off in any case. *If the pc has not had an Interiorization Rundown, it is vital, in his next session, to check Int (per HCOB 24 Sep 71R INTERIORIZATION RUNDOWN CORRECTION DRILL—DATE TO BLOW/LOCATE TO BLOW) as the first action. All manner of physical and emotion upsets can result, including a high TA, if this step is omitted.*

INT MUST BE CHECKED AS THE NEXT ACTION AFTER THE FACT OF THE PC'S FIRST EXTERIORIZATION.

No other auditing is to be done before Int is handled fully or proves to be uncharged upon checking.

If even years after an Int RD the pc has a high TA or a low TA then Int trouble is at once suspected and the original Int RD and any repair of it is suspect and must be handled.

The Int RD Correction List Revised (HCOB 29 Oct 71RA) has been designed to straighten out Int RD errors. L3RF handles the Dianetic errors. Where Int Correction Lists have been done and the pc still has headaches or other Int troubles a thorough FES must be done FIRST on any Int repairs and the Int RD itself BEFORE another correction list or other action is ordered.

Isolate any errors and get them cleaned up by an auditor who can read a meter and run and repair Dianetics standardly.

If Int troubles persist and the C/S is certain that any and all errors have been fully repaired & cleaned off the line, he orders The End of Endless Int Repair RD (HCOB 24 Sep 781, Int RD Series 4). This should totally handle Int.

Or if the C/S is in doubt about how to handle and gets into a mess trying to repair chains, he can cut directly onto the End of Endless Int Repair Rundown and he will get someplace.

There is no real trick to either running a correct Int RD or repairing a flubbed one.

The whole clue is whether or not the auditor can audit plain ordinary garden variety R3RA, and is able to read a meter.

So when ANY auditor audits a pc past exterior and the pc's TA goes high he should be checked out fully on the Int RD Checksheet so he won't continue to commit the error.

And when ANYONE is going to run an Int RD he must:

- A. Be an expert New Era Dianetics auditor and Class IV Graduate.*
- B. Be starrated on all the Int RD Series.*
- C. Be able to read a meter flublessly.*

And when any C/S is confronted with high TAs or low TAs and doesn't handle at once by getting an Int RD properly run or properly repaired he must be rechecked on the New Era Dianetics pack and the Int RD pack.

DN C/S 1

A very careful Dianetic C/S 1 must be done on a previously unindoctrinated pc before he is run on an Int RD.

Otherwise it's all too new.

A C/S 1 isn't auditing.

The pc who can't do what the auditor says or can't correct an erroneous action is lost.

A fully safe pc would be one who when he goes Ext in auditing is made to do *the Hubbard New Era Dianetics Course* at once before he even gets any ruds put in and not audited again until he *is a Hubbard New Era Dianetics Auditor*. He'd be a pc who was relatively safe.

A pc who does what an inexperienced auditor says without question can really get fouled up! Uneducated pcs require really flawless topnotch auditors. The auditor who can audit an uneducated pc is a jewel. He really has to know his business. Because the pc does whatever he says. And if he says wrongly, then there goes the session. Ever notice pc corrections in a worksheet? "I think you bypassed an F/N." "This feels overrun." "I *had* Grade 1 last year." Such auditors are not fully enough trained to handle wholly green pcs!

SIMPLICITY

Honest fellows, it's as easy to run an Int RD as it is to run "an ear pain."

It isn't even mysterious or tough.

IT IS ONLY VERY IMPORTANT TO DETECT WHEN IT NEEDS TO BE DONE OR REPAIRED.

There are no mysteries.

Some auditors have got me feeling like I'm trying to teach them to chew soft bread!

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:nt.rd.dr
Copyright © 1971, 1974, 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

Remimeo
Int RD
Chksht
Chkshts

HCO BULLETIN OF 17 DECEMBER 1971RB
(HCOB 2 December 1970 Revised)
REVISED 30 MARCH 1974
RE-REVISED 24 SEPTEMBER 1978
(Revisions in this type style)

(Ellipses indicate deletions)

Interiorization Rundown Series 15
C/S Series 23RB

INTERIORIZATION SUMMARY

Int Rundowns can be hugely successful, but also INTERIORIZATION CAN BE BADLY MISRUN.

The following *references* cover *the subject of* interiorization/exteriorization:

<i>HCOB 4 Oct 78</i>	<i>Int RD Series 1</i>
	Interiorization Handling Simplified
HCOB 4 Jan 71R	Int RD Series 2
	Exteriorization and High TA,
	The Interiorization Rundown Revised
HCOB 30 May 70R	Int RD Series 3
	Interiorization Intensive; 2-Way Comm
HCOB 24 Sep 78 1	Int RD Series 4 Urgent Important
	The End of Endless Int Repair Rundown
HCOB 25 Sep 78 1	Int RD Series 5
	Quad Commands for Int Buttons
HCOB 11 Apr 70R	Int RD Series 6
	Auditing Past Exterior
HCOB 6 May 70R	<i>Int RD Series 7</i>
	Blows—Auditing Past Exterior
HCOB 20 Aug 70R	Int RD Series 8
	Interiorization Rundown Musts
HCOB 13 Jan 71R	Int RD Series 9
	Exteriorization
HCOB 16 Dec 71RB	Int RD Series 10, C/S Series 35RB
	Interiorization Errors
HCOB 24 Sep 71R	Int RD Series 11
	Interiorization Rundown Correction
	Drill: Date to Blow/Locate to Blow
HCOB 29 Oct 71RA	Int RD Series 12
	Int Rundown Correction List Revised
HCOB 24 Sep 78 11	Int RD Series 13
	Preassessment, AESPs and Int
HCOB 25 Sep 78 11	Int RD Series 14
	Starrate Checkouts for Interiorization Rundown
HCOB 17 Dec 71RB	Int RD Series 15, C/S Series 23RB
	Interiorization Summary
HCOB 16 Oct 78 11	Int RD Series 16, C/S Series 102
	C/S Checklist of Int Errors

HCOB 26 Jun 78RA	New Era Dianetics Series 6RA
Issue II	Urgent, Important, Routine 3RA, Engram Running By Chains
BTB 12 Jan 75	Quads Reinstated
HCOB 4 Apr 71-1RB	C/S Series 32RA-1RB
	Use of Quad Dianetics
HCOB 21 Apr 70	2-Way Comm C/Ses
HCOB 3 Jul 70	C/S Series 14
	Two-Way Comm
HCOB 17 Mar 74	TWC Checksheets, TWC, Using Wrong Questions

The examination of Interiorization Rundowns done in the field discloses that some auditors engaged in running it have not been fully checked out on it. HCO PL 26 Aug 1965 gives the correct way to do a starrate checkout. Clay demos must also be correctly done. These are covered in HCOB 11 Oct 1967 and HCOB 10 Dec 1970/.

These HCOBs on starrates and clay demos, the *Int RD Series*, the above-listed issues on *R3RA*, *Engram Running by Chains* (New Era Dianetics Series 6RA), *2-Way Comm Sessions*, and *Quads*, make the necessary pack for checking out an auditor before letting him near an Int Rundown. And all interiorization materials as above **MUST BE CHECKED OUT STARRATE AND IN CLAY** before a C/S permits one of his auditors to run it on a pc.

UNNECESSARY

The Int buttons MUST be assessed before clearing, and then any reading button cleared before it is run. The auditor must ensure that if a button read on an MU it is first cleared, then reassessed for read. If one or more of the buttons is validly reading, one does an Int Rundown per HCOB 4 Jan 71R, Int RD Series 2, Exteriorization and High TA, The Int Rundown Revised.

If there aren't any reads, *even after Suppress, Invalidate, Misunderstood, and False have been applied to the Int button list*, one does NOT do an Int Rundown on the pc as it is unnecessary and classifies as "running an unreading item."

When this test is omitted you get an unnecessary Int RD being done on a pc.

This *would* eventually have to be repaired.

FLUBBED R3RA

When the auditor does not do flubless auditing, errors occur in the auditing itself. These will hang up an Int RD.

QUADS OR TRIPLES

DO NOT RUN A PC ON FLOW ZERO FOR THE FIRST TIME ON INT. A TRIPLE PC CAN BE QUADED AFTER INT HANDLING IS COMPLETE, BUT IT IS NEVER DONE ON INT HANDLING OR INT REPAIR. (Ref: HCOB 4 Jan 71R.)

OVERRUN

It *usually* happens that an Int RD is overrun. The EP is reached on F2, let us say. The auditor keeps on going past the win.

This will hang up the rundown.

One of the ways an overrun occurs is the pc goes exterior during it. Yet the auditor keeps on.

Another way is pc has a big cog, big win. Auditor keeps going on with the RD. (HCOB 24 Sep 71R, Rev. 24.9.7B, *Int RD Series 11, Urgent, Interiorization Rundown—Correction Drill: Date to Blow/Locate to Blow.*)

Also see HCOB 24 Sep 781, Urgent Important, The End of Endless Int Repair RD.

REPAIR OF INT

If even years after an Int RD the pc has a high TA or a low TA, then Int trouble is at once suspected and the original Int RD and any repair of it is suspect and must *be handled*. (HCOB 16 Dec FORD, C/S Series 35RB, *Int RD Series 10, Interiorization Errors.*)

The Int RD Correction List Revised (HCOB 29 Oct BRA) has been designed to straighten out Int RDs. L3RF handles the Dianetic errors. Where Int Correction Lists have been done and the pc still has headaches or other Int troubles a thorough FES must be done FIRST on any Int repairs and the Int RD itself BEFORE another correction list or other action is ordered.

Isolate any errors and get them cleaned up by an auditor who can read a meter and run and repair Dianetics standards

With any errors cleaned off the line, if Int troubles persist the C/S orders The End of Endless Int Repair RD (HCOB 24 Sep 781, Int RD Series 4). (HCOB 16 Dec ORB, C/S Series 35RB, Int RD Series 10, Interiorization Errors.)

TWO-WAY COMM

There is a two-way comm step that follows a day or so after an Interiorization Rundown.

An auditor doing this step, preferably the same auditor, MUST BE CHECKED OUT ON TWO-WAY COMM.

No C/S should permit any auditor to do any 2-way comm until the auditor has been checked out on:

HCOB 21 Apr 70, 2-Way Comm C/Ses
HCOB 3 July 70, C/S Series 14, C/Sing Two-Way Comm
HCOB 17 Mar 74, TWC Checksheets, TWC, Using Wrong Questions

and has been drilled on two-way comm until he can do it correctly and comfortably.

PREASSESSMENTS, AESPs NOT USED ON INT

The Int Rundown and its repair do NOT include the use of New Era Dianetics preassessment (nor any form of AESPs).

The rule is: WHEN HANDLING INT YOU ADDRESS ONLY INT, NOTHING ELSE. DO NOT RUN PREASSESSMENT OR AESPs ON INT. (HCOB 24 Sep 7811, Int RD Series 13, Preassessment, AESPs and Int.)

C/SING INT

The correcting of an Interiorization Rundown is far harder than making sure that auditors can do the usual in the first place.

Nearly all a C/S's hard work comes from auditors not well trained on courses (indifferent courses) and failing to check auditors out well on the materials *before* permitting them to deliver a new rundown.

The correction of Int is hard since until it is complete, other auditing is inadvisable. One however, gets the Int Rundown done.

The End of Endless Int Repair Rundown has vastly simplified the handling of Int repair. (Ref: HCOB 24 Sep 78 I, Int RD Series 4, Urgent Important The End of Endless Int Repair Rundown.)

INT IS A REMEDY

The Int RD is a simple and precise REMEDY which stabilizes a pc after exteriorizing and permits him to be further audited.

When a pc exteriorizes in session it is the end phenomena for that process or action. One gently ends off in any case. If the pc has not had an Interiorization Rundown, it is vital, in his next session, to check Int (per HCOB 24 Sep OR, Rev. 24 Sep 78, Int RD Series 11, Urgent, Interiorization Rundown Correction Drill: Date to Blow/Locate to Blow) as the first action. All manner of physical and emotional upsets can result, including a high TA, if this step is omitted.

INT MUST BE CHECKED AS THE NEXT ACTION AFTER THE FACT OF THE PC'S FIRST EXTERIORIZATION.

No other auditing is to be done before Int is handled fully or proves to be uncharged upon checking.

One reason unnecessary Int RDs get done is that the Registrar sells one. That makes the Reg a C/S. So the C/S and auditor run it.

Maybe it wasn't needed.

So if it wasn't needed it will eventually have to be repaired. (HCOB 24 Sep 71R, Rev. 24 Sep 78, Int RD Series 11, Urgent, Interiorization Rundown Correction Drill: Date to Blow/Locate to Blow). (Repair with an Int RD Correction List Revised HCOB 29 Oct 1971RA and/or an End of Endless Int Repair RD, HCOB 24 Sep 78 I, Int RD Series 4.

The Interiorization Rundown is a REMEDY designed to permit the pc to be further audited after he has gone exterior.

In the case of Dianetic Clears or Son Clears and OTs, as they are not to be audited on Dianetics, the REMEDY would be the End of Endless Int Repair RD.

The Int Rundown is NOT to be sold or passed off as a method of exteriorizing a pc. *Nor is the End of Endless Int Repair Rundown.* This is very important.

It is general auditing on usual Dianetics and Scientology actions that brings about Exteriorization .

When the pc goes or is found to be exterior *and Int proves to be charged on checking* one then orders *the* Interiorization Rundown. Otherwise the TA will misbehave.

The rundown is a REMEDY USED AFTER EXTERIORIZATION HAS OCCURRED BY REASON OF GENERAL AUDITING.

Anxiety to get exterior will prompt a pc to buy and a Registrar to sell an Interiorization Rundown. It is in effect just more auditing as far as the Registrar is concerned. When a pc has gone exterior the Registrar can insist on his buying enough hours for the remedy.

The Int Rundown stabilizes the exteriorization and makes it possible to audit the pc further.

DISABILITY

If an auditor can't smoothly audit a rundown as simple as an Int Rundown, then he is exposed as being unable to run standard Dianetics and should be cleared of his misunderstandings and overts and retrained.

The only real trouble one gets into on an Int Rundown stems from the inability of the auditor to run a smooth, good TRed R3RA session. Pcs are not hard to run on it.

C/S WINS

A C/S cannot win at all if he is continually having to make up for flubby auditing by the auditor.

Therefore the C/S must be very sure his auditors are fully checked out on things they are to run before running them.

If there is no Qual Staff Training Officer or no cramming, a C/S can fully afford to do the training and cramming himself. Otherwise he will lose far more than that time in C/Sing for auditors not checked out.

By the skill of his auditors you know the C/S. Not by his unusual solutions after flubs.

The Int Rundown is too easy to do to have any trouble—the trouble comes when the auditors are not checked out beforehand, starrate and in clay on new things they are to run.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

(Updated with recent LRH
data by order of
L. Ron Hubbard by
Training & Services
Bureau)

Re-revised by
L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:MH:ntm.jk
Copyright © 1970, 1971, 1974, 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 1 JANUARY 1972RB
REVISED & REISSUED AS HCOB
20 NOVEMBER 1974
REVISED 10 FEBRUARY 1977
(Revisions in this type style)

Remimeo

CANCELS
BTB OF 1 JANUARY 1972R
SAME TITLE

LIX HI-LO TA LIST REVISED

(Cancels earlier list HCOB 17 Feb 71
and 22 Feb 71 and 25 Feb 71 and 3 March 71
and 13 March 71 and 1 Jan 72.)

This assessment has been developed to detect all the reasons for high and low TA. There is nothing unusual about the processes necessary to handle these points. This is the full list and is used when a C/S Series 53RK has been done and the high or low TA persists.

Interiorization or a flubbed Interiorization R/D that must be run with WENT IN is the usual reason. Listing errors and out-rudiments are another reason.

The list is assessed Method 5. Handle the reads in the order given on HCOB 10 June 71, C/S Series 44R. Any reading questions must be carried to F/N by major action or 2-Way Comm. Can be taken to full F/Ning list.

Must be done by an auditor who can make a list read with cramming on TR1 and cramming on HCOBs 28 Feb 71 C/S Series 24, 9 June 71 C/S Series 41, 20 Dec 71 C/S Series 72, 15 June 72 C/S Series 80, 15 Oct 73 C/S Series 87, 20 Nov 73 C/S Series 89, 6 Dec 73 C/S Series 90 and BTB 16 June 71R Issue II (formerly HCOB 16 June 71R Issue II).

HI-LO TA ASSESSMENT

- | | | |
|-----|---|-------|
| 1A. | IS YOUR INT R/D UNFLAT?
If the pc has had an Int R/D, do an Int
R/D Correction List and handle the reads.
(HCOB 29 Oct 71, Revised 14 May 74.) If the pc has never had
an Int R/D, then give him a standard Int R/D providing you
have checked out on the Int-Ext pack and have drilled the pro
cedure. | _____ |
| 2A. | WAS YOUR INT R/D MESSED UP?
Int R/D Correction List. | _____ |
| 3A. | IS YOUR INT R/D OVERRUN?
Int R/D Correction List. | _____ |
| 4A. | HAVE YOU BEEN AUDITED OVER EXTERIOR?
Int R/D Correction List or Int R/D. | _____ |
| 5A. | ARE YOU TRAPPED?
Int R/D Correction List or Int R/D. | _____ |
| 6A. | YOU WENT IN.
Int R/D Correction List or Int R/D. | _____ |

- 7A. *GO IN.*
Int R/D Correction List or Int R/D. _____
- 8A. ARE YOU OUT AND CAN'T GET IN?
Int R/D Correction List or Int R/D. _____
- 9A. ARE YOU IN AND CAN'T GET OUT?
Int R/D Correction List or Int R/D. _____
- 10A. ARE YOU URGENTLY TRYING TO LEAVE?
Int R/D Correction List or Int R/D. _____
- 11A. DO YOU WANT TO GET OUT?
Int R/D Correction List or Int R/D. _____
- 12A. WERE YOU KICKED OUT OF SPACES?
Int R/D Correction List or Int R/D. _____
- 13A. YOU CAN'T GO.
Int R/D Correction List or Int R/D. _____
- 14A. HAVE YOU EVER INTERIORIZED INTO SOMETHING?
Int R/D Correction List or Int R/D. _____
- 15A. HAVE YOU EVER GONE INTO SOMETHING?
Int R/D Correction List or Int R/D. _____
- 16A. DO YOU WANT TO GO INTO SOMETHING?
Int R/D Correction List or Int R/D. _____
- _____
- 1B. IS THERE A LIST ERROR?
Do an L4BR on the earliest lists you can find that have not been corrected. Lacking these, do an L4BR in general. You can go over an L4BR several times handling each read to F/N until the whole L4BR gives nothing but F/Ns. _____
- 2B. HAS A LIST BEEN OVERLISTED?
Find out which and handle with an L4BR. _____
- 3B. WERE YOU GIVEN A WRONG ITEM?
L4BR and handle. _____
- 4B. ARE YOU UPSET WITH GIVING ITEMS TO THE AUDITOR?
L4BR and handle. _____
- 5B. WERE YOU GIVEN A WRONG INDICATION?
L4BR and handle. _____
- 6B. WERE YOU GIVEN A WRONG WHY?
L4BR on the Why Finding. Get the correct Why. _____
- 7B. HAVE YOU BEEN GIVEN A WRONG PTS ITEM?
L4BR on that PTS interview. Watch for earlier out PTS interviews and if they exist, L4BR the earliest one. Watch for earlier S&Ds and if out, correct the earliest of each kind with an L4BR. _____
- 8B. ARE YOU NOT SATISFIED WITH AN ITEM FOUND ON THE LIST?
L4BR. Correct the list. _____

- 9B. HAVE READING ITEMS BEEN LEFT CHARGED UP? _____
L4BR and handle if L&N lists otherwise spot them and clean them by taking to F/N.
- 10B. *HAVE YOU EVER BEEN GIVEN A WRONG DATE?* _____
Correct the date. L4BR if pc upset.
- 11B. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN GIVEN A WRONG LOCATION? _____
Correct the location. L4BR if pc upset.
- 12B. HAVE YOU EVER FELT BAD AFTER A LISTING AND NULLING ACTION IN SESSION? _____
Find what list and L4BR. Handle each session by finding the list and do an L4BR on that list.
- 13B. HAVE YOU EVER FELT BAD AFTER A WHY FINDING WAS DONE? _____
L4BR on Why Finding.
- 14B. HAVE YOU EVER FELT BAD AFTER A PTS INTERVIEW? _____
L4BR on the interview.
- 15B. HAVE YOU EVER FELT BAD AFTER A CRAMMING ACTION? _____
L4BR on the cramming action.
- 16B. HAVE YOU LISTED TO YOURSELF OUT OF SESSION? _____
L4BR on listing out of session.
- 17B. DID YOU EVER FEEL YOU WERE NOT GIVEN A CORRECT WHY? _____
L4BR on the Why Finding.
- 18B. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN ASSIGNED A WRONG CONDITION? _____
L4BR on being assigned wrong conditions.
- 19B. HAS ANYTHING IN LIFE ACTED LIKE AN OUT-LIST? _____
L4BR what turns up.
- 20B. HAVE TWO-WAY COMM QUESTIONS EVER ACTED LIKE A LIST ACTION? _____
L4BR.
- 21B. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN LABELLED A WHO INCORRECTLY? _____
L4BR.
- 22B. HAVE YOU EXPERIENCED SOME OTHER TYPE OF BPC ON LISTING AND NULLING ACTIONS? _____
L4BR.
- _____
- 1C. DO YOU HAVE AN ARC BREAK? _____
ARCU CDEINR.
- 2C. HAVE YOU ARC BROKEN ANOTHER? _____
ARCU CDEINR.
- 3C. HAVE OTHERS ARC BROKEN SOMEONE ELSE? _____
ARCU CDEINR.
- 4C. *HAVE YOU ARC BROKEN YOURSELF?* _____

ARCU CDEINR.

- 5C. DO YOU HAVE A PROBLEM? _____
Itsa E/S to F/N.
- 6C. HAVE YOU GIVEN A PROBLEM TO ANOTHER? _____
Itsa E/S to F/N.
- 7C. HAVE OTHERS GIVEN A PROBLEM TO SOMEONE ELSE? _____
Itsa E/S to F/N.
- 8C. HAVE YOU GIVEN YOURSELF A PROBLEM? _____
Itsa E/S to F/N.
- 9C. ARE YOU WITHHOLDING SOMETHING? _____
Get what. 2WC E/S to F/N.
- 10C. IS ANOTHER WITHHOLDING SOMETHING FROM YOU? _____
Get what. 2WC to F/N.
- 11C. ARE OTHERS WITHHOLDING SOMETHING FROM _____
SOMEONE ELSE?
Get what. 2WC to F/N.
- 12C. ARE YOU WITHHOLDING SOMETHING FROM _____
YOURSELF?
Get what. 2WC to F/N.
- 13C. HAVE YOU BEEN AUDITED OVER AN ARC BREAK? _____
PROBLEM? _____
WITHHOLD? _____
Indicate it and handle E/S to F/N.
- 14C. HAVE YOU EVER HAD A SESSION ARC BREAK? _____
ARCU CDEINR.
- 15C. HAVE YOU EVER ARC BROKEN ANOTHER IN _____
SESSION?
ARCU CDEINR.
- 16C. HAVE OTHERS EVER ARC BROKEN SOMEONE ELSE IN _____
SESSION?
ARCU CDEINR.
- 17C. HAVE YOU CAUSED YOURSELF TO HAVE A SESSION _____
ARC BREAK?
ARCU CDEINR.
- 18C. HAVE YOU EVER HAD A PROBLEM WITH A SESSION? _____
Itsa E/S to F/N.
- 19C. HAVE YOU CAUSED SOMEONE TO HAVE A PROBLEM _____
WITH A SESSION?
Itsa E/S to F/N.
- 20C. HAVE YOU CAUSED YOURSELF TO HAVE A PROBLEM _____
WITH A SESSION?
Itsa E/S to F/N.
- 21C. HAVE YOU WITHHELD ANYTHING IN A SESSION? _____
Get what. 2WC E/S to F/N.

- 22C. *HAS ANOTHER WITHHELD FROM YOU IN A SESSION?* _____
Get what. 2WC E/S to F/N.
- 23C. *HAVE OTHERS WITHHELD FROM SOMEONE ELSE IN A SESSION?* _____
Get What. 2WC E/S to F/N.
- 24C. *HAVE YOU WITHHELD SOMETHING FROM YOURSELF IN SESSION?* _____
Get what. 2WC E/S to F/N.
- 25C. *IN LIFE HAVE YOU HAD AN ARC BREAK?* _____
ARCU CDEINR.
- 26C. *IN LIFE HAVE YOU ARC BROKEN ANOTHER?* _____
ARCU CDEINR.
- 27C. *IN LIFE HAVE OTHERS ARC BROKEN SOMEONE ELSE?* _____
ARCU CDEINR.
- 28C. *IN LIFE HAVE YOU ARC BROKEN YOURSELF?* _____
ARCU CDEINR.
- 29C. *IN LIFE HAVE YOU HAD A PROBLEM?* _____
Itsa E/S to F/N.
- 30C. *IN LIFE HAVE YOU GIVEN A PROBLEM TO SOMEONE ELSE?* _____
Itsa E/S to F/N.
- 31C. *IN LIFE HAVE OTHERS GIVEN A PROBLEM TO SOMEONE ELSE?* _____
Itsa E/S to F/N.
- 32C. *IN LIFE HAVE YOU GIVEN A PROBLEM TO YOURSELF?* _____
Itsa E/S to F/N.
- 33C. *IN LIFE HAVE YOU HAD A WITHHOLD?* _____
Get what. 2WC to F/N.
- 34C. *IN LIFE HAS ANOTHER WITHHELD SOMETHING FROM YOU?* _____
Get what. 2WC to F/N.
- 35C. *IN LIFE HAVE OTHERS WITHHELD SOMETHING FROM SOMEONE ELSE?* _____
Get what. 2WC to F/N.
- 36C. *IN LIFE HAVE YOU WITHHELD SOMETHING FROM YOURSELF?* _____
Get what. 2WC to F/N.
- 37C. *DO YOU HAVE AN ARC BREAK OF LONG DURATION?* _____
ARCU CDEINR.
- 38C. *HAS ANOTHER HAD AN ARC BREAK OF LONG DURATION WITH YOU?* _____
ARCU CDEINR.
- 39C. *HAVE OTHERS HAD AN ARC BREAK OF LONG DURATION WITH SOMEONE ELSE?* _____
ARCU CDEINR.

- 40C. HAVE YOU HAD AN ARC BREAK OF LONG DURATION
WITH YOURSELF?
ARCU CDEINR. _____
- 41C. DO YOU HAVE A PROBLEM OF LONG DURATION?
Itsa E/S to F/N. _____
- 42C. HAVE YOU GIVEN ANOTHER A PROBLEM OF LONG
DURATION?
Itsa E/S to F/N. _____
- 43C. HAVE OTHERS GIVEN SOMEONE ELSE A PROBLEM OF
LONG DURATION?
Itsa E/S to F/N. _____
- 44C. HAVE YOU GIVEN YOURSELF A PROBLEM OF LONG
DURATION?
Itsa E/S to F/N. _____
- 45C. DO YOU HAVE A WITHHOLD OF LONG DURATION?
Get what. 2WC to F/N. _____
- 46C. HAS ANOTHER HAD A WITHHOLD FROM YOU OF LONG
DURATION?
Get what. 2WC to F/N. _____
- 47C. HAVE OTHERS HAD A WITHHOLD OF LONG DURATION
FROM SOMEONE ELSE?
Get what. 2WC to F/N. _____
- 48C. HAVE YOU HAD A WITHHOLD FROM YOURSELF OF
LONG DURATION?
Get what. 2WC to F/N. _____
- 49C. HAVE YOU EVER EXPERIENCED SOME OTHER SORT OF
ARC BREAK?
ARCU CDEINR. _____
- 50C. HAVE YOU EXPERIENCED SOME OTHER SORT OF
PROBLEM?
Itsa E/S to F/N. _____
- 51C. IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE YOU MAY HAVE
WITHHELD?
Get what. 2WC to F/N. _____
- 52C. HAVE YOU EVER NOT-ISED AN OUT-RUD?
Get what and handle per above. _____
- 53C. HAVE YOU EVER SUPPRESSED AN OUT-RUD?
Get what and handle per above. _____
- 54C. HAVE OUT-RUDS EVER BEEN INVALIDATED?
Get what and handle. _____
- 55C. ARE THERE ANY UNDISCLOSED OUT-RUDS?
Get what and handle. _____
- 56C. HAVE YOU EVER STUDIED OVER OUT-RUDS?
Get what and handle. _____
- 57C. IS THERE SOME OTHER SORT OF WITHHOLD? _____

Get what and handle per above.

- 58C. *Is THERE ANYTHING THAT YOU ARE NOT SAYING?* _____
Get what. 2WC to F/N.
- 59C. *Is THERE ANYTHING YOU DON'T WANT TO SAY?* _____
Get what. 2Wc to F/N.
- 60C. *Is THERE SOMETHING YOU DON'T LIKE?* _____
Get what. 2WC to F/N.
- 61C. *ARE YOU PROTESTING ANYTHING?* _____
Itsa E/S to F/N.
- 62C. *DO YOU FEEL SAD?* _____
Itsa E/S to F/N.
- 63C. *DO YOU FEEL RUSHED?* _____
Itsa E/S to F/N.
- 64C. *DO YOU FEEL TIRED?* _____
Itsa E/S to F/N.
- 65C. *DO YOU FEEL UPSET?* _____
Itsa E/S to F/N.
- 66C. *DO YOU FEEL LIKE YOU CAN'T GET IT?* _____
Get what. 2WC to F/N.
- 67C. *HAS ANOTHER COMMITTED OVERTS ON YOU?* _____
Get what. 2Wc to F/N.
- 68C. *HAVE YOU COMMITTED ANY OVERTS?* _____
Get what. 2Wc to F/N.
- 69C. *HAVE OTHERS COMMITTED OVERTS ON OTHERS?* _____
Get what. 2WC to F/N.
- 70C. *HAVE YOU COMMITTED ANY OVERTS ON YOURSELF?* _____
Get what. 2Wc to F/N.
- 71C. *ARE YOU NOT-ISING ANY OVERTS?* _____
Get what. 2WC to F/N.
- 72C. *HAVE YOU COMMITTED CRIMES?* _____
Get what. 2WC to F/N.
- 73C. *ARE YOU COMMITTING CRIMES IN PT?* _____
Get what. 2Wc to F/N.
- 74C. *IS THERE SOMETHING YOU DON'T DARE SAY?* _____
Get what. 2WC to F/N.
- 75C. *IS THERE A LIE?* _____
Get what. 2Wc to F/N.
- 76C. *ARE YOU HIDING FROM SOMEONE OR SOMETHING?* _____
2Wc to F/N.
- 77C. *DO YOU HAVE CONSIDERATIONS YOU DON'T DARE SAY?* _____
Get what. 2Wc to F/N.

- 78C. DO YOU HAVE OPINIONS YOU DON'T DARE SAY? _____
Get what. 2Wc to F/N.
- 79C. ARE YOU HERE FOR UNDISCLOSED REASONS? _____
Get what. 2Wc to F/N.
- 80C. DO YOU HAVE UNDISCLOSED PROBLEMS? _____
Itsa E/S to F/N.
- 81C. IS THERE SOMETHING YOU'RE NOT TELLING YOUR AUDITOR ? _____
Get what. 2Wc to F/N.
- 82C. Is YOUR ATTENTION NOT ON YOUR CASE IN SESSION? _____
2Wc to F/N.
- 83C. DO YOU FEEL AFRAID TO BE AUDITED? _____
2Wc to F/N.
- 84C. ARE YOU AFRAID SOMETHING WILL BE FOUND OUT ABOUT YOU? _____
2Wc to F/N.
- 85C. ARE YOU WITHHOLDING YOUR ACTUAL CASE STATE? _____
2Wc to F/N.
- 86C. ARE YOU NOT TELLING YOUR AUDITOR YOUR COGNITIONS? _____
2Wc to F/N.
- 87C. ARE YOU UNWILLING TO TALK TO THE AUDITOR? _____
2Wc to F/N.
- 88C. DO YOU HAVE DISAGREEMENTS? _____
2Wc to F/N.
- 89C. ARE YOU A WARE OF SOME OTHER SORT OF OUT-RUD? _____
Get what and handle.
- 90C. HAS ANYONE EVER TOLD YOU YOU HAD AN ARC BREAK WHEN YOU DIDN'T? _____
Itsa E/S to F/N.
- 91C. HAS ANYONE EVER SAID YOU HAD A PROBLEM WHEN YOU DIDN'T? _____
Itsa E/S to F/N.
- 92C. HAS ANYONE EVER SAID YOU HAD A WITHHOLD WHEN YOU DIDN'T? _____
Itsa E/S to F/N.
- 93C. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN WRONGLY ACCUSED OF HAVING OVERTS? _____
2Wc to F/N.
- 94C. DO YOU FEEL YOU'RE REALLY DOING FINE? _____
2Wc to F/N.
- _____
- 1D. ARE YOU TAKING OR SMOKING DRUGS? _____
2WC to F/N.
Rehab releases on each "drug" taken to F/N.

If pc has had a Drug R/D, do L3RD on it and handle.
Program the pc for a Drug R/D or verification of it if it is incomplete or there are “no interest” items.

- 2D. DID YOU ONCE TAKE DRUGS? _____
2WC to F/N. Rehab releases on each drug to F/N. L3RD on Drug R/D if he had one. Program for Drug R/D or verification if incomplete.
- 3D. HAVE YOU TAKEN LSD? _____
2WC to F/N. Drug rehabs. L3RD on Drug R/D if he had one. Program for full Drug R/D or verification if unflat.
- 4D. HAVE YOU DRUNK ALCOHOL? _____
2WC to F/N. Drug/alcohol rehabs. L3RD on Drug R/D if he had one. Program for full Drug R/D or verification if unflat.
- 5D. HAVE YOU SMOKED POT? _____
2WC to F/N. Drug rehabs. L3RD on Drug R/D if he had one. Program for full Drug R/D or verification if unflat.
- 6D. ARE YOU TAKING MEDICINE? _____
2WC to F/N. Drug/medicine rehabs. L3RD on Drug R/D if he had one. Program for full Drug R/D or verification if unflat.
- 7D. DID YOU ONCE TAKE MEDICINE? _____
2WC to F/N. Drug/medicine rehabs. L3RD on Drug R/D if he had one. Program for full Drug R/D or verification if unflat.
- 8D. *DO YOU WANT TO CONTINUE TO TAKE DRUGS?* _____
2WC to F/N. Rehab releases on each “drug” taken to F/N. If pc has had a Drug R/D, do L3RD on it and handle. Program the pc for a Drug R/D or verification of it if it is incomplete or there are “no interest” items.
- 9D. DO YOU WANT TO CONTINUE TO SMOKE POT? _____
Handle per above.
- 10D. DO YOU WANT TO CONTINUE TO DRINK ALCOHOL? _____
Handle per above.
- 11 D. HAVE YOU TA KEN SOME DRUG NOT COVERED ? _____
2WC to F/N. Rehab releases. If pc already had a Drug R/D, do L3RD on it and handle. Program the pc for a Drug R/D or verification of it if it is incomplete and run the new drug just found.
- 12D. IS YOUR DRUG R/D UNFLAT? _____
2WC to F/N. L3RD on Drug R/D. Program to flatten Drug R/D.
- 13D. WAS YOUR DRUG RUNDOWN OVERRUN? _____
Date-Locate the flat point.
- 14D. WERE THERE ITEMS NOT RUN BECAUSE YOU WEREN’T INTERESTED? _____
2WC to F/N. L3RD on “your Drug R/D.” Program to run the no interest items.

- 15D. IS THERE A DRUG THAT HAS BEEN MISSED? _____
2WC to F/N. Rehab releases. L3RD on "Drug R/D."
Program to complete the Drug R/D.
- 16D. *IS THERE SOME ALCOHOL THAT HAS BEEN MISSED?* _____
Handle per above.
- 17D. HAS A MEDICINE BEEN MISSED? _____
Handle per above.
- 18D. IS THERE SOMETHING THAT ACTS LIKE A DRUG? _____
Handle per above.
- 19D. ON YOUR DRUG RUNDOWN IS THERE AN UNFLAT
PROCESS ON RECALLS? _____
2WC to F/N. Program to flatten the Recalls and L3RD on the
Drug R/D.
- 20D. ON YOUR DRUG R/D IS THERE AN UNFLAT
SECONDARY CHAIN? _____
L3RD on the secondary chain. Then L3RD on your Drug R/D.
- 21D. ON YOUR DRUG RUNDOWN ARE THERE ANY UNFLAT
ENGRAMS? _____
L3RD on unflat engrams on your Drug R/D.
- 22D. ON YOUR DRUG R/D IS THERE SOME MISSED WHOLE
TRACK DRUG, MEDICINE OR ALCOHOL? _____
2WC to F/N. L3RD on Drug R/D. Program to finish the Drug
R/D and run the missed drug, medicine or alcohol.
- 23D. ARE YOUR OBJECTIVES UNFLAT? _____
2WC to F/N. Program to flatten.
- 24D. WERE YOUR OBJECTIVES OVERRUN? _____
Rehab the releases.
- 25D. DO YOU STILL FEEL THE SAME ABOUT DRUGS,
MEDICINE OR ALCOHOL? _____
2WC to F/N. If pc has had a Drug R/D do an L3RD. Program to
complete Drug Rundown or do one if never had.
- 26D. IS THERE NOTHING WRONG WITH YOUR DRUG R/D? _____
2WC to F/N.
- 27D. DO YOU FEEL LIKE YOUR DRUG RUNDOWN HAS BEEN
OVERREPAIRED? _____
Date-Locate flat point of repair of Drug R/D.
- 1E. IS THERE AN ENGRAM IN RESTIMULATION? _____
Find out which and do L3RD and handle per its instructions.
- 2E. ARE THERE UNFLAT CHAINS? _____
Find out what chains and L3RD on each.
- 3E. DO YOU HAVE A STUCK PICTURE? _____
Indicate it. Do an L3RD on it. You can also unstick it by
having him recall a time before it and recall a time after it.
D/L if necessary. C/S can order Picture and Masses Remedy
Dn done after this list is handled—if necessary.
- 4E. DO YOU HAVE PICTURES IN RESTIMULATION? _____

L3RD and handle. Pictures and Masses Remedy Dn.

- 5E. DO YOU HAVE MASSES IN RESTIMULATION?
L3RD and handle. Pictures and Masses Remedy Dn. _____
- 6E. HAS THE SAME ENGRAM BEEN RUN TWICE?
L3RD and handle. _____
- 7E. YOU CAN'T SEE ENGRAMS TOO WELL?
Do L3RD Method 5 and handle. Program for L3RD
Rundown if necessary. _____
- 8E. IS IT INVISIBLE?
Spot the invisible field or picture. L3RD on it and handle. _____
- 9E. IS IT ALL BLACK?
Spot the black field or picture. L3RD on it and handle. _____
- 10E. HAS THERE BEEN A LOSS?
Do L3RD on it and handle. Run it out R3R Triple if not run
out and still not handled. _____
- 11E. HAVE YOU LOST ANYTHING?
Do L3RD on it and handle. If not yet run out and still
unhandled run R3R Triple. _____
- 12E. *DO YOU HAVE MISUNDERSTOODS ON R3R
PROCEDURE?*
2WC to F/N. Program for an Auditing C/S-1. _____
- 13E. *DO YOU HAVE MISUNDERSTOODS ON AUDITING?*
2WC to F/N. Program for an Auditing C/S-1. _____
- 14E. *DO YOU HAVE TROUBLE GOING WHOLE TRACK?*
2WC to F/N. Program to handle with whole track remedies. _____
- 15E. *HAVE YOUR DIANETIC ITEMS NOT BEEN TRIPLED?*
2WC to F/N. Program to Triple Dianetics. _____
- 16E. *HAVE YOUR DIANETIC ITEMS NOT BEEN QUADED UP?*
2WC to F/N. Program to Quad Dianetics. _____
- 17E. *HAVE YOU RUN ANYTHING QUAD WHEN YOU WERE A
TRIPLE PC?*
2WC to F/N. Program to handle with FFD. _____
- 18E. *ARE THERE ANY UNRUN FLOWS?*
2WC to F/N. Program to put in unrun flows. _____
- 19E. *ARE THERE ANY MISSED FLOWS?*
2WC to F/N. Program to handle missed flows. _____
- 20E. *DO YOU BLOW INCIDENTS BY INSPECTION?*
*2WC to F/N. If 2WC shows Dianetic auditing is charged
do L3RD on your Dianetic auditing.* _____
- 21 E. *DO YOU HAVE ANY PERSISTENT MASSES?*
2WC to F/N. L3RD on persistent masses. _____
- 22E. *IS SOMETHING ELSE WRONG WITH INCIDENTS?*
2WC to F/N. Program to handle what comes up. _____

- 23E. *WERE COMMANDS WRONG?*
2WC to F/N. Program to handle what comes up. _____
- 24E. *DO INCIDENTS FAIL TO ERASE?*
2WC to F/N. Program to handle what comes up. _____
- 25E. *IS IT ALL OK?*
2WC to F/N. _____
- _____
- 1F. *HAS THE SAME THING BEEN RUN TWICE?*
Clean up any protest and inval and rehab to F/N. _____
- 2F. *HAS THE SAME ACTION BEEN DONE BY ANOTHER AUDITOR?*
Clean up any protest and inval and rehab to F/N. _____
- _____
- 1G. *ARE YOU DOING SOMETHING WITH THE MIND BETWEEN SESSIONS?*
*Find out what it is. If yoga or mystic exercises or some such, 2WC E/S to first time done, find out what upset had occurred before that and if TA now down, do L1C on *that* period of pc's life.* _____
- 2G. *ARE YOU INVOLVED IN SOME OTHER PRACTICE?*
Find out what it is. If yoga or mystic exercises or some such, 2WC E/S to first time done, L1C on the prior upset or period of pc's life just before that. _____
- 3G. *HAVE YOU BEEN AUDITED PAST EPs ?*
2WC to F/N. Rehab the EPs that were audited past. _____
- 4G. *HAVE YOU BEEN AUDITED PAST A BLOW?*
2WC to F/N. Date to blow. Locate to blow. _____
- 5G. *HAVE YOU EVER PROTESTED AN ACTION?*
Itsa E/S to F/N. _____
- 6G. *ARE YOU INVOLVED IN SOME OUT-ETHICS?*
Get what. 2WC to F/N. _____
- 7G. *DO YOU FEEL YOU HAVE TO HANDLE YOUR OWN CASE AFTER SESSION?*
2WC to F/N. _____
- 8G. *DO YOU FEEL LIKE YOU DON'T HAVE AN AUDITOR?*
2WC to F/N. _____
- 9G. *IS IT NO AUDITING?*
2WC to F/N. _____
- _____
- 1H. *ARE THERE WORD CLEARING ERRORS?*
Do a Word Clearing Correction List, handle all reads. _____
- 2H. *ARE THERE ANY MISUNDERSTOOD WORDS?*
Find and clear them up. Use a WCCL, handle all reads. _____

- 3H. ARE THERE ANY MISUNDERSTOOD WORDS IN SESSION?
Find and clear them up. Use a WCCL if necessary. _____
- 4H. ARE THERE STUDY ERRORS?
2WC to F/N and add a Student Rehabilitation List (HCOB 15 Nov 74) or full Study Correction List (BTB 4 Feb 72RC) to the pc's program. _____
- 5H. ON STUDY IS THERE SOME OTHER KIND OF BPC?
2WC to F/N. Program to handle what comes up. _____
- 1I. HAVE YOU EVER HAD TROUBLE WITH YOUR TA OR F/Ns?
Use HCOBs 24 Oct 71R, 12 Nov 71RA, 18 Nov 72, 29 Feb 72, 23 Nov 73RA, all on False TA. Then clean up the bypassed charge with 1) Assess for best read a) TA worries b) F/N worries. 2) Then 2WC times he has worried about (item) E/S to F/N. 3) Rehab any overruns due to false TA obscuring F/Ns. _____
- 2I. HAVE YOU HAD A FALSE TA?
Handle as in 1I. _____
- 3I. ARE YOU USING THE WRONG SIZED CANS?
Handle as in 1I. _____
- 4I. DO YOUR HANDS GET TIRED IN AUDITING?
Handle as in 1I. _____
- 5I. ARE YOUR HANDS DRY?
Handle as in 1I. _____
- 6I. ARE YOUR HANDS WET?
Handle as in 1I. _____
- 7I. DO YOU LOOSEN YOUR GRIP ON THE CANS?
Handle as in 1I. _____
- 8I. ARE YOU USING THE WRONG HAND CREAM?
Handle as in 1I. _____
- 9I. HAVE YOU HAD TA HASSLES?
Assess for best read (a) TA worries (b) F/N worries. 2WC times he was worried about (item) E/S to F/N. Rehab the overruns due to false TA obscuring F/Ns. _____
- 10I. ARE YOU WEARING ANY TIGHT CLOTHING?
Handle as in 1I. _____
- 11I. IS THERE SOMETHING ELSE CAUSING FALSE TA?
Find out what it is and handle as in 1I. _____
- _____
- 1J. HAVE YOU BEEN SELF-AUDITING?
2WC to first time. L1C on the prior upset or if prior upset was in auditing use the appropriate correction list and L1C on that time. _____
- 2J. WAS A WRONG OVERRUN FOUND?
Correct it to F/N by indication and rehabbing the right _____

overrun.

- 3J. HAS THERE BEEN AN OVERRUN IN LIFE? _____
Locate, indicate, rehab to F/N.
- 4J. HAS THERE BEEN AN OVERRUN IN AUDITING? _____
Locate, indicate, rehab to F/N.
- 5J. HAS THERE BEEN SOMETHING WRONG WITH F/Ns? _____
Indicate. 2WC E/S to F/N. Rehab if necessary.
- 6J. HAVE F/Ns BEEN OVERRUN? _____
Indicate. 2WC E/S to F/N. Rehab if necessary.
- 7J. HAVE F/Ns NOT BEEN INDICATED? _____
Indicate. 2WC E/S to F/N. Rehab if necessary.
- 8J. HAVE F/Ns BEEN MISSED? _____
Indicate. 2WC E/S to F/N. Rehab if necessary.
- 9J. HAVE AUDITING QUESTIONS NOT BEEN UNDERSTOOD? _____
2WC, get them properly understood with Word Clearing,
E/S if needed to F/N.
- 10J. *COULDN'T HEAR THE AUDITOR?* _____
2WC E/S to F/N.
- 11J. *COULDN'T UNDERSTAND WHAT WAS BEING SAID?* _____
2WC E/S to F/N.
- 12J. *COULDN'T UNDERSTAND WHAT WAS BEING DONE?* _____
2WC E/S to F/N.
- 13J. HAVE ITEMS NOT REALLY READ? _____
2WC E/S to F/N.
- 14J. DID YOU SAY SOMETHING MUST HAVE READ? _____
2WC E/S to F/N.
- 15J. WERE YOU STILL UPSET WHEN SOMEBODY THOUGHT IT WAS HANDLED? _____
Find and handle to F/N.
- 16J. HAVE YOU HAD BAD AUDITING? _____
2WC E/S to F/N.
- 17J. ARE THERE INCOMPLETE ACTIONS? _____
2WC E/S to F/N.
- 18J. HAS THERE BEEN ANY INVALIDATION? _____
2WC E/S to F/N.
- 19J. HAS THERE BEEN ANY EVALUATION? _____
2WC E/S to F/N.
- 20J. COULDN'T YOU GET AUDITING? _____
2WC E/S to F/N.
- 21J. HAVE THERE BEEN INTERRUPTIONS? _____
2WC E/S to F/N.

- 22J. DOES YOUR AUDITOR OVERWHELM YOU?
2WC E/S to F/N. _____
- 23J. DO YOU FEEL ATTACKED?
2WC E/S to F/N. _____
- 24J. ARE YOU SCARED OF WHAT MIGHT HAPPEN IN
AUDITING?
2WC E/S to F/N. _____
- 25J. ARE YOU TALKING TO OTHERS ABOUT YOUR CASE?
2WC E/S to F/N. _____
- 26J. ARE YOU LISTENING TO OTHERS TALK ABOUT THEIR
CASES?
2WC E/S to F/N. _____
- 27J. HAVE YOU BEEN LOOKING AT OR LISTENING TO TECH
MATERIALS YOU SHOULDN'T?
2WC E/S to F/N. _____
- 28J. ARE YOU WAITING FOR SOMETHING TO HAPPEN?
2WC E/S to F/N. _____
- 29J. *HAVE YOU BEEN GIVEN FALSE READS?*
2WC E/S to F/N. _____
- 30J. *WERE YOU RUN ON A WRONG C/S?*
2WC E/S to F/N. _____
- 31J. *DID YOU HAVE A PERSONALITY CLASH WITH AN
AUDITOR?*
2WC E/S to F/N. _____
- _____
- 1K. IS SOMEONE OR SOMETHING HOSTILE TO YOU?
Check for SP with a PTS interview or get a full PTS R/D
programmed. _____
- 2K. ARE YOU PTS ?
PTS interview or get a full PTS R/D programmed. _____
- 3K. ARE YOU CONNECTED TO SOMEONE HOSTILE TO
DIANETICS OR SCIENTOLOGY?
PTS interview or get a full PTS R/D programmed. _____
- 4K. DO YOU FEEL SUPPRESSED?
PTS interview or get a full PTS R/D programmed. _____
- 5K. *DO YOU HAVE SOME OTHER SORT OF PTS
CONNECTION?*
PTS interview or get a full PTS R/D programmed. _____
- 6K. *YOU'RE NOT PTS?*
2WC to F/N. _____
- 1L. *SOME SORT OF CAN'T HAVE?*
Find correct Havingness Process and remedy. _____
- 2L. *IS YOUR HAVINGNESS LOW?*
Find correct Havingness Process and remedy. _____

- 3L. *HAVE YOU BEEN RUN ON THE WRONG HAVINGNESS PROCESS?*
Find correct Havingness Process and remedy. _____
- 4L. *HAVE YOU BEEN RUN ON HAVINGNESS WITHOUT CONFRONT?*
Find correct Havingness and Confront Process and remedy. _____
- 5L. *YOU DIDN'T NEED ANY HAVINGNESS?*
2WC to F/N. _____
- 6L. *YOUR HAVINGNESS IS FINE?*
2WC to F/N. _____
- _____
- 1M. *HAS SOMETHING GONE ON TOO LONG?*
Find out what. Clean up any protest. Rehab to F/N on each (or date to blow, locate to blow if qualified). _____
- 2M. *YOU WENT ON BY A RELEASE POINT?*
Find out what. Clean up any protest. Rehab to F/N or D/L. _____
- 3M. *HAS SOMETHING BEEN OVERRUN?*
Find out what. Clean up any protest. Rehab to F/N or D/L. _____
- 4M. *THE AUDITOR KEPT ON GOING?*
Find out what. Clean up any protest. Rehab to F/N or D/L. _____
- 5M. *HAS THERE BEEN ANY OVERREPAIR?*
Find out what. Clean up any protest. Rehab to F/N or D/L. _____
- 6M. *ARE YOU PUZZLED ABOUT WHY THE AUDITOR KEEPS ON?*
Find out what. Clean up any protest. Rehab to F/N or D/L. _____
- 7M. *ARE THERE STOPS?*
Find out what. Clean up any protest. Rehab to F/N or D/L. _____
- 8M. *IS THERE SOMETHING ELSE WE SHOULD COVER?*
2WC to F/N. _____
- _____
- 1N. *HAVE YOU SEPARATED OUT?*
2WC E/S to F/N. Then Triple Expanded Grade Two or L10 on Advance Program. _____
- 2N. *ARE YOU SOMEBODY ELSE?*
2WC E/S to F/N. Program for LX Lists. _____
- 3N. *DO YOU THINK SOMETHING ELSE IS WRONG?*
2WC to find what. Note BD item. If BD item is covered by one of the other questions on the list, handle per instructions. Otherwise, GF M5 and handle. _____
- 4N. *ARE YOU PHYSICALLY ILL?*
2WC to find what. Note BD item. 2WC to F/N and get further C/S instructions for handling if necessary. _____
- 5N. *DID YOU THINK OF SOMETHING ELSE THAT SHOULD HAVE BEEN ON THIS ASSESSMENT?*
2WC to F/N. _____

10. *HAVE WE BEEN REPAIRING A TA THAT ISN'T HIGH?* _____
2WC to F/N.
20. *HAVE WE BEEN REPAIRING A TA THAT ISN'T LOW?* _____
2WC to F/N.
30. *IS THE METER FAULTY?* _____
Get pc to tell you about it briefly. If correct, then indicate
to F/N. Go E/S and indicate it if no F/N on first.
40. *IS THERE NOTHING WRONG?* _____
Get pc to tell you about it briefly. If correct, then indicate
to F/N. Go E/S and indicate it if no F/N on first.
- _____
- 1P. *WAS THERE A FALSE EXAM REPORT?* _____
Indicate and 2WC to F/N.
- 2P. *HAVE YOU HAD TO WAIT AT THE EXAMINER?* _____
Indicate and 2WC to F/N.
- 3P. *HAVE YOU BEEN UPSET BY THE EXAMINER?* _____
Indicate and 2WC to F/N.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

Revised by
Paulette Ausley
By order of

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:PA:nt. If/nt
Copyright © 1972, 1974. 1977
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 20 JANUARY 1972R
REVISED 8 DECEMBER 1978

Remimeo
Class IV Grad
Checksheet
Checksheet
C/Ses
Class IV Grad
and above
Auditors
Ethics Officers

(Revisions in this type style)
(Ellipsis indicates deletion)

PTS RD ADDITION

(Refers to:
HCOB 9 Dec 71R PTS RUNDOWN,
Rev. 8 Dec 78 AUDITED)

The only reasons a PTS RD does not work are:

C/S error: 1. Not doing one at all.

C/S error: 2. Doing one in the middle of another RD.

C/S error: 3. Doing one without set-up, *including a complete PTS C/S-1.*

C/S error: 4. The person was not PTS—which is to say was not chronically ill or roller-coaster and the items or *flows* didn't read.

Auditor error: 5. The RD was badly run auditor-wise. R3R was bad, metering poor, ruds not correctly or fully done.

Auditor & C/S error: 6. The RD was quickie, only doing step (a) and brushing it off.

C/S error: 7. Even though the whole RD was done fully, there remained on the case an undetected additional person or thing to which the pc was PTS.

The rules of PTS are

A PERSON WHO ROLLER-COASTERS IS ALWAYS PTS.

A PERSON WHO IS CHRONICALLY ILL ALWAYS IS PTS.

A PTS RUNDOWN THAT DOES NOT WORK HAS NOT BEEN DONE AS PER 1 TO 7 ABOVE.

The remedies to the above are

1. Do it.
2. Pgm it in correct sequence.
3. Set the case up properly so it is running well and past errors handled.
4. Establish how well the person holds his gains before pgming one. If any Q at all, do the RD.

5. Cram the auditor on TRs, metering, R3RA drills and ruds. Do *L4BRA*, . . . L3RF on the pc *and handle* accordingly.

6. Complete the *rundown*.

7. 2WC “What is your attention on?” to F/N. On PTS Rundown fly all ruds single; L&N “On the PTS Rundown what being or thing was missed?”; R3RA Triple or *Quad* on it; fly all ruds and overts on it Triple or *Quad*; run “*Can’t Have/Enforce Have*” Triple or *Quad*. If all not very okay now L&N “What other subject or people might have been overlooked on the PTS Rundown?” *and handle the item on each step of the rundown per HCOB 9 Dec 71RC, Rev. 8 Dec 78 PTS RUNDOWN.*

A PTS RD *always* works. If it works with a relapse there is an error in it as in the numbered paras above.

THIS IS VITAL TECH TO THE PC. IT MAKES THE MOST DIFFICULT CASES FLY *IF IT IS DONE RIGHT.*

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:mes.bh. jk
Copyright © 1972, 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 3 JUNE 1972RA
REVISED 15 OCTOBER 1974
RE-REVISED 8 DECEMBER 1978

Remimeo
and above
Class IV Grad
Checksheet
Ethics Officers

(Cancels BTB 24 March 1973R,
"PTS RD ERRORS.")

(Revisions in this type style)

PTS RUNDOWN, FINAL STEP

The following is an additional step to the PTS Rundown developed by me and tested at Flag. This step is run after *each* terminal is run, to prevent bypassing charge.

THE STEPS ARE:

1. Select the terminal already run in R3RA and ruds.
2. Clear "can't have," "couldn't have" as DENIAL OF SOMETHING TO SOMEONE ELSE. Clear "enforced have" as MAKING SOMEONE ACCEPT WHAT THEY DIDN'T WANT. Have pc get the idea of these with an example or two.
3. Run on the SP item "can't have/enforced have" as motivator repetitive, then overt repetitive, the Flow Three terminal to others, others to terminal *and the Flow Zero of the pc to himself because of the terminal* (four flows of two commands each or five if the pc is Quad). *Check the flows for a read before running them. Do not run unreading flows.*
4. After *the terminal* is handled with the four (or five) flows of "can't have/enforced have" Objective Havingness should be run. Then the next PTS Rundown item is taken up *and run on all steps, as above.*

THE COMMANDS:

F1. Did _____run a can't have on you? Tell me about it.

Did _____force something on you you didn't want?
Tell me about it. (Alternate/repetitive to EP.)

F2. Did you run a can't have on _____?
Tell me about it.

Did you try to force something on _____that he (she, it)
didn't want? Tell me about it. (Alternate/repetitive to EP.)

F3. Did _____run a can't have on others?
Tell me about it.

Did _____force something on others they didn't want?
Tell me about it. (Alternate/repetitive to EP.)

F3A. Did others run a can't have on _____?
Tell me about it.

Did others force something on _____that he (she, it) didn't want?
Tell me about it. (Alternate/repetitive to EP.)

F0. *Did you run a can't have on yourself because of _____?
Tell me about it.*

*Did you try to force something on yourself that you didn't want because
of _____?
Tell me about it.* (Alternate/repetitive to EP.)

—OBJECTIVE HAVINGNESS—

THEORY

The theory is that SPs are SPs because they deny Hav and enforce unwanted Hav. They also deny *do* and enforce unwanted *do*. They also deny *be* and enforce unwanted *be*. This is why we have never before been able to run Subjective Hav. It collided with SPs, overts, and withholds on them.

A very full rundown then would be to start with *don't be, must be*; go on to *don't do must do*: end up with *can't have, enforced have*. (Not to be run at this time.) Hav alone should handle without resorting to be or do.

END OFF AT ONCE AND BEGIN OBJECTIVE HAVINGNESS IF THE TA SOARS OR THE PC CAVES IN. If this does not handle, then do a C/S 53RL at once and handle.

PTS RD NOTES

With the issue of HCOB 17 Mar 74, TWC, USING WRONG QUESTIONS, it becomes necessary to convert the PTS RD 2WCs for items into L&N questions. Example: Who have you known this lifetime who has troubled or worried you? L&N to BD F/N item.

Avoid listing the same question twice. The L&N for places and planets should be restricted to planets only on VA pcs and an L4BRA used at the first sign of trouble.

Additional PTS RD items can be obtained from past PTS interviews. Done by L&N the RD is very powerful and direct. The pc must be well set up for it.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:nt. jh.dr
Copyright © 1972, 1974. 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCOB BULLETIN OF 30 JANUARY 1973RC
REVISED 19 DECEMBER 1979

Remimeo
All Super
All Word
Clearers

*(Revisions in this type style)
(Ellipsis indicates deletion)*

Word Clearing Series 46RC

METHOD 9 WORD CLEARING THE RIGHT WAY

(Cancels BTB 30 January 1973RA
Word Clearing Series 46RA
METHOD 9)

*(Ref: M9 PICTURE BOOK—which will be
issued in due time as part of a special course.
HCOB 23 March 78RA Revised 14 Nov 79,
Word Clearing Series 59RA, CLEARING WORDS.)*

Word Clearing Series 46RB was the first HCOB which gave the full and correct use and handling of M9. It has been revised here to include developments on how one goes about clearing a word. This revision is on page 133, section 7 “CLEAR THE WORD.” There are five other changes, all minor. Earlier write-ups on this subject, not by myself, stated that the person’s Mis-U was that word on which he stumbled. This is not the case. It is only occasionally the word on which he stumbles that is misunderstood. Usually, as was covered long since in study tech, it is the earlier word or symbol which has caused the stumble or twitch or blink or omit or mispronunciation or what have you.

HISTORICAL

Method 9 Word Clearing was first developed in a pilot project which sought to teach people to read who were not reading in their native tongue. The first versions of M9 were not correctly written up but the technology nevertheless began to spread in use. It was found that not only non-English students didn’t know what they were reading but as the educational standards of the culture deteriorated, it was found that people reading in their native tongue could benefit with the use of M9. It was then found that college students could not get through M9. And the latest survey has demonstrated that 31 school teachers taken at random throughout the school systems flunked M9 on their common reading materials. What has apparently happened here is that we have drifted down in literacy to a point where the culture can’t read or hear. In a technical culture such as this, one should not ask further why it is failing.

Because there are not enough Supervisors to personally M9 all the people on the planet, much less a medium size class, it has to be done on a turnabout basis by the students themselves. This caused a difficulty with M9 because one was asking students who couldn’t read to understand how to do the Method 9 which would find the things which prevented them from reading. Here again we have the chicken and egg problem. Therefore, *the procedure has been demonstrated in a picture book which will be issued in due time as a part of a special course.* This picture book shows the student how to M9 another student and he can, after being drilled by the Supervisor on the picture book. So this has also been solved.

M9 is probably the top key method of Word Clearing today. You would be utterly amazed to find somebody who habitually reads Western stories cannot pass an M9 on them. He sees, “He mounted his roan (a type of horse)” and he understands from this,

“He roamed around the mountains.” He has become so accustomed to not-ising his inability to understand what he is reading that he thinks it is ordinary. Isn’t that the way everybody reads?

M9 brings it home forcefully to him that he really doesn’t understand what he is reading. This is not why one uses it. One uses it to produce somebody who can read. But, like one of the English teachers who was M9ed on his own text, although he may begin with hostile protest that of course he knows what he is reading, he soon gets into the real reality of it and sees where he is at. His willingness to continue then has already been secured.

It will be found that the simple things are the main things on which he stumbles. Thus M9ing is usually preceded by M8 as covered in the new basic comprehensive reading course. This shows him by picture book how to use a dictionary and gets him to define the simple words of the language. Commas, semicolons, even capital letters will be found to be commonly misunderstood.

The usefulness of M9 has gone then from a way of spotting the points where a foreign language student is falling down to detecting and handling the professors and the rest of the culture. It is an extremely important method of Word Clearing and should be learned very well.

HOW TO LEARN METHOD 9

Method 9 Word Clearing is a way of finding the words a person doesn’t understand in a book or other written material by having him read it aloud to the Word Clearer.

It is very simple and precise and it can be done by students on one another with great success as well as by a professional Word Clearer. Method 9 does not require expertise and it does not require a meter as many other methods of Word Clearing do. *Method 2 Word Clearing is very similar to Method 9 but it requires the use of a meter to pick up the misunderstands. The virtue of Method 9 is that, while it is very thorough and effective, it is not restricted in use to those who can operate a meter and who have other expertise needed for Method 2. It can therefore be learned very easily and used very broadly. To teach M9 the Supervisor gets the student through the picture book version of M9, which will be issued in due time as part of a special course and drills him so that he can do Method 9 Word Clearing and he can M9 other students. One can also learn how to do it all by himself by going through this picture book and this HCOB.*

MISUNDERSTOOD WORDS

A student, when reading by himself, often does not know he has gone past misunderstood words. But whenever he does go by misunderstood words, he will have trouble with what he is reading.

A misunderstood word keeps a person from duplicating what the written materials actually say. It causes the communication formula to go out.

A word can be misunderstood in many different ways and it is important that these different types of misunderstands are known to the person doing Method 9. A word can be misunderstood because of a *false* (totally wrong) definition, an *incorrect* definition, an *incomplete* definition, an *unsuitable* definition, a *homonymic* (one word which has two or more distinctly separate meanings) definition, a *substitute* (synonym—a word which has a similar but not the same meaning) definition, a *no* (omitted) definition, a *rejected* (by the person himself, usually due to a false datum) definition or an *invented* (by the person himself, usually due to a false datum) definition. This is covered more fully on HCOB 17 *Jut 79 Issue 1*, THE MISUNDERSTOOD WORD DEFINED.

If a person has habitually gone past many, many misunderstood words in his reading or his education (which most everybody in this present culture has), not only will his ability to read be lowered but also his intelligence. What he himself writes and says won't be understood, what he reads and hears he won't understand, and he will be out of communication. The probability is that he will have sunk back to the first dynamic, the world will look like a very peculiar place to him, he will feel that he is "not understood" (how true!) and life will look a bit miserable to him. He can even appear to others to be criminal. At best he will become a sort of robot or zombie. So you see, it is very important to clear misunderstood words. Lack of the ability to communicate probably underlies the causes for the current drug culture.

You will be amazed that somebody who appears to be a criminal idiot all of a sudden begins to look comparatively like a genius after he has been M9ed.

WHY METHOD 9 WORKS

A student who understands all the words on the page he is reading will be able to read the page aloud perfectly. He will feel bright and alert and will fully understand what he reads. But when a student passes a word or symbol he doesn't understand, the misunderstood causes an interruption of his voice or physical beingness. His voice may change, or he may stumble on a word or make a face or squint his eyes or react in some other way.

This is easy to understand if you remember that a person can go blank after he passes a word or symbol he doesn't understand. He may make a mistake in his reading right there at the point of the misunderstood, or he may continue reading past the misunderstood and make a mistake on a later word or symbol. He will feel duller and he will try to make up for the dull feeling by reading with more effort. This will always be expressed by a nonoptimum action of some kind which must be noted and handled at once by the Word Clearer.

A nonoptimum reaction is anything the student does besides read the page *easily naturally*. and *perfectly*. Examples of some of the nonoptimum reactions that may show up are:

1. Student adding a word or leaving out a word or changing a word in the sentence he is reading.
2. Student stumbling on a word or saying it incorrectly.
3. Student pausing or reading more slowly.
4. Student frowning or looking uncertain.
5. Student going stiff or tensing a body part, such as squinting his eyes or tightening the grip of his hands, or biting his lip or some other physical reaction.
6. Student reading with effort.
7. Student reading with a glib, robotic attitude (which is how he gets after he has been forced to read "correctly" by someone who doesn't know anything about Mis-Us).

Other manifestations can occur.

Note that the above is not a complete list of reactions but is intended to give an idea of what to look for. In all fairness, one can stumble when reading if he is trying to read in a dim light or he is having eye trouble or the print or handwriting or pencilled corrections in the text are very hard to make out. Thus it is necessary to do M9 Word Clearing only in bright light and if the fellow is supposed to be wearing glasses, he should be wearing glasses, and the material being M9ed must not contain smudges and

deletions itself. All possible reasons why he cannot *see* the text and unclear text must be removed. Otherwise, the student will simply say he couldn't see it or the light was bad or some other wrong *Why*.

Anytime the person makes an error in his reading or reacts in some nonoptimum way, a misunderstood will ALWAYS be found just *before* that point or sometimes at that point itself.

Example: The student is reading the page aloud. He reads, "Raymond walked home slowly and thoughtfully," then he frowns. The other student, who is M9ing him says: "That's it. Is there some word or symbol there that you didn't understand?" (If the student wonders why he was stopped, the Word Clearer tells him what reaction he noticed.)

The student looks over what he has read. He feels uncertain about the word "slowly." He tells this to the Word Clearer and the word "slowly" is looked up in the dictionary and used in sentences until the student fully understands it.

When the word that was misunderstood is located and cleared, the student will brighten up and will begin reading clearly and correctly once again.

THE GLIB STUDENT

Glibness is often trained into students by the current educational methods used in schools. The student is drilled to suppress or go by misunderstood words and to robotically answer back with what the book says. If he can do this, he is said to be a "good student" and a "good reader."

With this method, a student's understanding of what he has read is actually considered to be separate from the act of reading. If the educators bother with comprehension at all, it is only to measure memorization, not understanding.

In today's schools, students are actually instructed to go right on past words they don't understand; to figure out how to say them and to continue reading whether they understand the text or not. One textbook even advises, "If you find a hard word, read it as best you can and continue to read." Students are expressly drilled to suppress reactions such as mispronouncing words, substituting one word for another, inserting extra words, repeating words, and omitting words. These reactions indicate misunderstandings have been bypassed, but under heavy drilling a student can learn to become robotic enough to suppress even these reactions, and read on, leaving misunderstandings piled up behind him. In all fairness, his teachers were not just trying to victimize him. The discovery of the effects of a misunderstood word and the reasons for such stumbles had not been discovered. Teachers did not know about them. Thus they invented various drills to force the student not to make these "comprehension errors." They did not have the tech or even know what caused these manifestations. You have the reasons for them in Method 9 and in study tech.

You can spot a glib student on Method 9 because he sounds and looks robotic when he reads. One step to take on such a glib student is to ask him if he has ever been taught to suppress reactions on words which, when he read them, he did not understand. One is asking him to take the "suppress" off. He will tell you immediately that he has been when this is true, and some emotional reaction can occur. One simply lets him talk about it until the charge seems to be off of it and then gets him to start his M9ing again. Some of it may be left, of course, but he will gradually get into it and become more honest and more there. He thinks, of course, when you're M9ing him that you simply want him to utter certain sounds. This is what he has been trained to expect. If he is supposed to read aloud, he is supposed to utter certain sounds. These sounds, of course, are meaningless to him but that doesn't matter. Previously, his whole purpose and training pattern in reading aloud was narrowed into getting passed. So it may be necessary for the Supervisor to take up why he is being M9ed. But even though he is reading like a robot and suppressing everything, you will be able to see the

suppression deepen when he hits the really big Mis-Us. He reads them even more robotically than he does the other parts of the text, so these too can be detected. As soon as he has found a few of these things out and found out what you are trying to do, he will begin to respond much more readily with M9. Method 9 on common reading materials will show up a student's lack of reading comprehension and show up his misunderstands so that he can really see it for himself. It may be an entirely new idea to him that written pages and sound waves communicate something.

Another method, an extreme one, of handling the extensively mistrained glib student is to get him to read a paragraph and then, employing a method known as "clay table," get him to demonstrate it. He won't be able to do so. Furthermore, he will realize he isn't able to do so. It was just sounds.

METHOD 9 ON COMMON READING MATERIALS

To do Method 9 on common reading materials, the student chooses a paperback book or something that he reads for his own pleasure and he reads it aloud to the Word Clearer.

If he cannot read it perfectly, it is because he has gone by misunderstood words. At first it may not be real to the student that he has misunderstood words. But after he has found and cleared a number of them using Method 9, the student will realize that he *does* have misunderstood words and that his misunderstands are getting in the way of his ability to read.

When the student reaches the point of realizing that he does actually have misunderstood words on the materials he commonly reads for his own pleasure, he becomes very willing to find his own misunderstood words and he can usually do so easily. Method 9 of common reading materials can be ended at this point. The student is now much more aware of and *able to find and handle his own misunderstands* and he is on his way toward reading naturally, correctly and *with understanding*.

HOW TO DO METHOD 9

1. STUDENT AND WORD CLEARER SIT ACROSS FROM EACH OTHER.

The student and the Word Clearer sit across from each other at a table or desk. Each person has his own copy of the text to be word cleared. The Word Clearer must be able to see the student *and* the page in front of him at the same time.

2. DICTIONARIES ARE AVAILABLE.

A good, simple English language dictionary, and any other dictionaries the student may need are available. (Above all things, do not use what is called a "dinky dictionary." This is different than a simple well-expressed dictionary. A dinky dictionary is what you commonly get off the paperback racks in drug stores. It quite often defines word A as word B and then defines word B as word A. It also omits all the *alternative* definitions and all the technical definitions. Always have to hand, at least in the classroom, the most extensive and voluminous set of dictionaries anybody ever heard of on all the subjects ever heard of under the sun, plus any encyclopedias that you can round up.)

3. STUDENT RECOGNITION OF MISUNDERSTOODS.

Before the student starts reading, he should be told that if he sees a word he doesn't know the meaning of, he should stop and look the word up and clear it instead of going on past it. And the student should be encouraged to find and clear misunderstood words himself. M9 brings about the ability to do this, so that the student will find and clear his own misunderstands in future. The Word Clearer on M9 would never prevent the student from clearing a word that the student recognizes as misunderstood.

Correctly done M9 will bring about the ability of the student to find and clear his own misunderstandings.... (If you don't want to spend ten years M9ing one page, it is best to get him through Method 8 on simple English words. *This will be part of a special course which will greatly improve someone's level of literacy.*)

4. STUDENT READS THE TEXT ALOUD TO THE WORD CLEARER.

The student reads the text aloud to the Word Clearer. He is not on the meter. While the student reads, the Word Clearer follows his own copy of the same text, watches the student and listens to him.

The Word Clearer must be very alert and see or hear any nonoptimum reactions of the student while he is reading.

5. NONOPTIMUM REACTION EQUALS MISUNDERSTOOD WORD.

A nonoptimum reaction by the student to what he is reading is the clue to the Word Clearer that the student has encountered a misunderstood word. The Word Clearer and student must now locate the exact misunderstood word or symbol. It will be found just before or sometimes at the point the nonoptimum reaction occurred.

6. FIND THE MISUNDERSTOOD.

If it is not obvious to the student that he has reacted and he just continues reading, the Word Clearer says, "That's it. Is there some word or symbol there that you didn't understand?" It is the duty of the Word Clearer to steer the student to the misunderstood. It is either at the point of the nonoptimum reaction or before it. The point is that the student must be steered onto it. And it then is looked up.

The student may be able to spot his misunderstood word right away and tell the Word Clearer what it is. Or he may have difficulty finding it and the Word Clearer will have to help him find it.

The Word Clearer helps the student by getting him to look earlier and earlier in the text from the point where he reacted until the misunderstood word is found. The Word Clearer can also spot-check the student. Spot-checking means choosing words from the text the student has already read and checking with him to see if he knows the definitions of those words.

If the student is uncertain about any word or gives a wrong definition, then that word is taken up and cleared in the dictionary.

7. CLEAR THE WORD.

Once the misunderstood is found it must be fully cleared in the dictionary. The person will be hung up on the definition of the word as it is used in the context of what is being word cleared, which will not necessarily be the first definition given in the dictionary. To try and clear any other definition before clearing the one he is stuck in would cause him to try and clear a word over misunderstood. Therefore he would rapidly go over the definitions to find the one that fits the context and clear that first. Then the remaining definitions would be cleared.

This is how a word is cleared:

The first step is to look rapidly over the definitions to find the one which applies to the context in which the word was misunderstood. One reads the definition and uses it in sentences until one has a clear concept of that meaning of the word. This could require ten or more sentences.

Then one clears each of the other definitions of that word, using each in sentences until one has a conceptual understanding of each definition.

The next thing to do is to clear the derivation—which is the explanation of where the word came from originally. This will help gain a basic understanding of the word.

Don't clear the technical or specialized definitions (math, biology, etc.) or obsolete (no longer used) or archaic (ancient and no longer in general use) definitions unless the word is being used that way in the context where it was misunderstood.

Most dictionaries give the idioms of a word. An idiom is a phrase or expression whose meaning cannot be understood from the ordinary meanings of the words. For example "give in" is an English idiom meaning "yield." Quite a few words in English have idiomatic uses and these are usually given in a dictionary after the definitions of the word itself. These idioms have to be cleared.

One must also clear any other information given about the word, such as notes on its usage, synonyms, etc. so as to have a full understanding of the word.

If one encounters a misunderstood word or symbol in the definition of a word being cleared, one must clear it right away using this same procedure and then return to the definition one was clearing. (Dictionary symbols and abbreviations are usually given in the front of the dictionary.)

8. READ THE SENTENCE AGAIN.

The Word Clearer then asks the student to read once again the sentence in the text in which the misunderstood word or symbol was found. The student does so and if he reads it correctly with understanding, he continues reading the text. Any further nonoptimum reactions are handled by finding the next misunderstood word and clearing it, as above.

9. METHOD 9 IS CONTINUED UNTIL THE TEXT HAS BEEN COMPLETED.

Method 9 is continued until the text to be word cleared is completed.

10. STUDENT GOES TO EXAMINER AT THE END OF METHOD 9 WORD CLEARING .

The student is always sent to the PC Examiner at the end of a Method 9 session.

And that's all there is to doing Method 9!

METHOD 9 CAUTION

When the Word Clearer has misunderstands of his own on the material being word cleared, he tends to go "wooden" and just sits and does nothing to handle the student. The Word Clearer must always clear his own misunderstood words or else when the student stumbles on a word, the Word Clearer won't even *see* it or *hear* it because of his own misunderstands. He can miss the student's stumble and never get the student's misunderstood word.

The Word Clearer can also miss a student's reactions when he has so much attention on the page that he becomes unaware of the student or doesn't even look at the student.

When students are M9ing each other on the same study materials, they do NOT first just read the materials as this will only give them misunderstands. They take the materials being word cleared one paragraph or section at a time and M9 each other on it. This is done by a student first M9ing his twin on one section, and then getting M9ed on what he just word cleared his twin on, plus the next section. It then turns around again. The twin gets M9ed on what he just word cleared the other student on, and on the next section. In this way one person is not constantly leading. Unless the M9ing reversals are done in this fashion, misunderstands could be missed. The whole text would be covered in this way.

EXAMPLES OF STUDENT REACTIONS AND THEIR CORRECT HANDLING

There are many, many different kinds of reactions that can occur when a student passes a word he doesn't understand. There are also many different ways a student will respond to Method 9. All that is needed for success with Method 9 is for the Word Clearer to understand Method 9 and to apply it exactly according to this bulletin.

Given here are some examples of student reactions and correct handlings by the Word Clearer:

A. THE STUDENT CHANGES A WORD IN THE SENTENCE.

Example:

The page says: "The boy then reached down and patted his dog."

The student says: "The boy *than* reached down and patted his dog."

The Word Clearer says, "That's it. Is there some word or symbol there that you didn't understand?"

The student looks at the words "then," "boy" and "the." He knows those words. So he looks in the sentence before that one. In that sentence he sees the word "collie." He's not sure what that is.

He tells the Word Clearer and they clear the word "collie."

B. THE STUDENT ADDS AN EXTRA WORD.

Example:

The page says: "the child went to school."

The student says: "The child went to *the* school."

The Word Clearer says, "That's it. Is there some word or symbol there that you didn't understand?"

The student looks over the sentence. He says he understands all the words, but thinks the sentence should say, "A child went to school" rather than, "The child went to school."

The Word Clearer says, "Okay. let's spot-check some words. What does 'the' mean in this sentence?"

The student looks blank for a moment and doesn't say anything. The Word Clearer says, "All right. We're going to look up the definition of 'the'."

"The" is then looked up and cleared.

C. THE STUDENT LEAVES OUT A WORD.

Example:

The page says: "Robert then visited the city."

The student says: "Robert visited the city."

The Word Clearer says, "That's it. Is there some word or symbol there that you didn't understand?"

The student reads over the sentence. He can't find anything he doesn't understand. The Word Clearer asks him to look over the next earlier sentence for a misunderstood word. The student can't find any there, either. The Word Clearer has the student keep looking earlier and earlier in the text and finally the student spots the misunderstood word in the first sentence of the page.

The word found is then cleared.

D. THE STUDENT LEAVES OFF A PART OF A WORD, SUCH AS AN "S" OR AN "ED" AT THE END.

Example:

The page says: "There was a huge pile of assorted tools in the woodshed."

The student says: "There was a huge pile of assorted tool in the woodshed."

The Word Clearer says, "That's it. You left the 's' off 'tools.' Have a look over that sentence or page and tell me what word or symbol was misunderstood."

The student says, "I don't have any misunderstood words on this page."

The Word Clearer acknowledges him and asks him once again to have a look for the misunderstood word or symbol.

The student looks over the entire page but still says he has no misunderstands. So the Word Clearer starts spot-checking the student on the definitions of the words on the page.

The Word Clearer asks, "What's the definition of 'tools'?" The student says, "It means 'implements of work'." The Word Clearer says, "That's fine. What's the definition of 'assorted'?" The student gives it to him correctly, so the Word Clearer simply backs up the sentence words one by one, getting the definition of each one until he hits the word "was."

Student says, "It's something you saw with." The Word Clearer says, "Let's have a look at 'was' in the dictionary." Each definition of "was" is *then* cleared and it is suddenly discovered that the person has never understood that it had anything to do with the conjugation of the verb "to be."

E. THE STUDENT STUMBLES ON A WORD OR SAYS IT INCORRECTLY.

Example:

The page says: "I think I'll go shopping."

The student says: "I th-think...."

The student stops after he stumbles. The Word Clearer says, "Is there some word or symbol there that you don't understand?"

The student says, "Well, it just doesn't make sense."

The Word Clearer asks, "What doesn't make sense?"

The student says, "I don't see why it says 'think' here."

The Word Clearer says, "All right. Let's have a look at 'think' in the dictionary."

"Think" is then looked up in the dictionary, but the student can't seem to get it, even though he understands all the words in the definition.

The Word Clearer asks, “Tell me, what part of speech is ‘think’ in that sentence?”

The student says, “Uh, I don’t know.”

The Word Clearer says, “Okay. Well, right here in the dictionary, it says ‘verb’. What does that mean to you?”

Student: “Mm . . .” (long pause).

The Word Clearer says, “All right.” He gets a grammar book and says, “Have a look at this definition of ‘verb’.”

“Verb” is then cleared but while clearing it, the student says, “Hey, I always thought you could only have one verb in one sentence and that sentence has two verbs in it. Somebody threw me a curve.” And as he has cognited and has now got it straight, M9ing continues. He uses it in sentences until he’s really got it, then they go on to the next definition of “think” in the dictionary.

F. THE STUDENT HESITATES OR PAUSES WHILE HE IS READING OR BEGINS READING MORE SLOWLY.

Example:

The page says: “The sun was shining on the flowers.”

The student says: “The sun——was shining on the flowers.”

The Word Clearer says, “That’s it. What word or symbol was misunderstood just before that point?”

The student very carefully looks back over the page, but he can’t find any words he doesn’t understand.

The Word Clearer says, “Okay. I’ll spot-check you.” He gives the student a thorough spot-check, but no misunderstood words are found.

The Word Clearer then asks, “Show me where you were last doing really well on this text.”

The student shows him. It’s three paragraphs back.

The Word Clearer says, “Good. We’re going to check from this point back for any misunderstood words.”

He extensively spot-checks the student in that area, and the student’s misunderstood word is finally found and cleared.

G. THE STUDENT FROWNS, LOOKS UNCERTAIN, GOES STIFF, OR IN SOME WAY SHOWS LACK OF COMPREHENSION.

Example:

The page says: “The family ate dinner together every night.”

The student says: “The family ate dinner together every night.”

While the student is reading, there is a slight look of uncertainty on his face.

The Word Clearer says, “That’s it. Look over this section you’ve just read and tell me what word or symbol has been misunderstood.”

The student says, “But why did you stop me?”

The Word Clearer says, “You were looking uncertain as you read that last sentence.”

The student says, “Well actually, I did have some attention back on the sentence before last.”

The Word Clearer says, “Okay. Was there any misunderstood word or symbol there?”

The student says, “I have some attention on the word ‘for’, but I’ve looked that up before.”

The Word Clearer says, “Well, let’s have another look at it.”

“For” is then cleared and the student realizes that he hadn’t fully cleared all of the definitions when he had previously looked it up.

H. THE STUDENT TENSES HIS BODY IN SOME WAY. THIS COULD BE TIGHTENING HIS GRIP, SQUINTING, TENSING HIS JAW, JERKING HIS BODY, STIFFENING ANY BODY PART, ETC.

1-The page says: “The girls were delighted to see one another.”

The student says: “The girls (tightens the muscles in his jaw) were delighted to see one another.”

The Word Clearer says, “That’s it. Look back over this section you have just read. Was there a misunderstood word or symbol there?”

The student looks at the page a long time. The Word Clearer can see he is looking earlier and earlier on the page. Finally the student says, “I can’t see any words I don’t understand, but this line seems a bit strange to me: ‘It was Christmas Eve. Alice was listening to “Silent Night” when Carol came into the room.’”

The Word Clearer says, “All right. Let’s do a spot-check in that area. What does ‘Carol’ mean?”

The student says, “That’s a girl’s name.”

The Word Clearer says, “Good. What do the quotation marks show in that sentence?”

The student says, “Hm. Well, someone said ‘Silent Night’ to Alice.”

The Word Clearer says, “All right. I want you to read this section in the grammar book on quotation marks.”

The student reads the section aloud and says, “Oh, I see. ‘Silent Night’ is a song and you use quotation marks around the names of songs. I’ve got it now!”

The Word Clearer says, “Great,” and has the student give some examples of the use of quotation marks. They then return to the text.

2-The page says: “The men walked quietly through the dockyard.”

The student says: “The men walked quietly through the dockyard.” (Student leans forward and looks at the page more intently.)

The Word Clearer says, “That’s it. Is there some word or symbol there that you didn’t understand?”

The student looks over the sentence. “Well, I’ve never seen ‘dockyard’ used like that before. It doesn’t make sense.”

The Word Clearer acknowledges him and has him look it up. The student reads the definition and starts brightening up. He turns to the Word Clearer and says, “And all this time I thought a dockyard was a place where you built docks, I never could understand why....”

3-The page says: “The car drove off, leaving a trail of dust in the air.”

The student says: “The car drove off, leaving a trail (student squints his eyes at the page) of dust in the air.”

The Word Clearer says, “Is there some misunderstood word or symbol in that area?”

Student looks bewildered. “No, the sentence just doesn’t make sense.”

Word Clearer says, “All right. What is the definition of ‘trail’?”

“Oh, that’s the impression of a horse hoof where a horse has been.”

The Word Clearer says, “Okay, look up the word ‘trail’.” The word is cleared and the student sees that he had a totally wrong definition.

I. THE STUDENT YAWNS, SUPPRESSES A YAWN, GETS WATERY EYES, ETC.

The page says: “A bright red apple was on the table.”

The student says: “A bright red apple was (yawn) . . .”

The Word Clearer says, “Okay. Let’s find the word or symbol that was misunderstood in this section.”

The student says, “I’m not sure I have the right definition for ‘bright’. Could we look it up?”

The Word Clearer says, “Sure,” and they look up the word “bright.”

J. THE STUDENT BEGINS READING WITH MORE EFFORT. THIS INCLUDES READING VERY CAREFULLY OR UNNATURALLY OR ROBOTICALLY OR READING IN SUCH A WAY AS TO SHOW THAT THE WORDS HAVE NO MEANING TO HIM, OR THAT HE DOESN’T UNDERSTAND WHAT HE IS READING.

The page says: “The families were having a picnic on the beach.”

The student says: “The families were having a picnic on the beach.” X

The student reads the page correctly, but he is being very careful not to make any mistakes.

The Word Clearer says, “That’s it. Let’s find the misunderstood word or symbol that you didn’t understand before this sentence.”

The student says, “Yes, I started feeling uncomfortable while I was reading the sentence before last.”

The Word Clearer says, “Good. Let’s look just before that for the misunderstood word.”

The student finds his misunderstood and it is cleared.

The important point for the Word Clearer to remember is *that* WHERE THERE IS A STUDENT REACTION, A MISUNDERSTOOD WORD WILL BE FOUND, USUALLY JUST BEFORE THE POINT HE REACTED.

The misunderstood word can always, always be located with good communication, persistence and a totally standard application of Method 9 tech, as given in this HCOB. If the student can't easily achieve this, he must go to the Supervisor for help.

TRs AND M9

To be a very successful M9 Word Clearer, one's TRs must be in. One has to be able to TR 3 the question and get the actual misunderstood, yet at the same time, one should never go robotic or rote on the Method 9 procedure. For example it may happen that halfway through a sentence, the student stops, smiles, and then continues on. Upon questioning, you find that a bulletin he has read many, many times before is now finally making sense. You wouldn't then ask him for his misunderstood. Just acknowledge his win and carry on with your Word Clearing with your TRs in and your application of this bulletin 100% standard. The wins and gains of those you word clear will by no means be slight.

QUARRELS OR UPSETS

It occasionally happens that the students doing the Word Clearing get into a quarrel or upset. If this happens, you know that one of two things has happened, either:

1. "Misunderstoods" that were really understood were forced off on the student, or
2. Actual misunderstoods were not detected and were passed by.

1. If this happens, you can clean up any falsely looked-up words by asking him if he was made to look up words he understood. If this is the case, the student will brighten up and tell you the word or words he was wrongly made to clear. This done, the M9ing can be resumed.

2. If the above doesn't handle it, then one knows that misunderstoods have been missed. Have the twin who is doing the Word Clearing take him back to when he was last doing well and then come forward in the text, M9ing as he goes, picking up the missed misunderstoods. It will usually be found that several misunderstoods have been missed, not just one.

WORKSHEETS

Worksheets are a written record of the Word Clearing session. They contain the student's name, the Word Clearer's name, the date and the name or title of what is being word cleared. The Word Clearer keeps worksheets during the Word Clearing session and writes down which words have been looked up and cleared and any other important information concerning the Word Clearing.

Worksheets are stapled to the student's Exam Form when Word Clearing is complete. They are filed in his pc folder.

TEACHING THE STUDENT TO DO METHOD 9

This is done using *this HCOB or the M9 Picture Book (which will be issued in due time as part of a special course)*. This is a simple picture book which is handed to the student. He goes through the book and then does some M9 drilling as contained in

the back of it. The Supervisor checks him out and corrects him if needed, using *only* the data in *the M9 Picture Book* and this HCOB. No verbal tech or opinions are thrown in.

The end result of a well done Method 9 is a student who is certain he has no misunderstandings on that material so that he can easily study the material and apply it.

Method 9 is a great civilization saver.

It is easy to do. It's fun and it gives tremendous gains.

It is vital that *Method 9 is done* correctly, exactly by the book. Otherwise, *people* will be denied the enormous wins that can be attained with it.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:dr.nc
Copyright © 1973. 1974. 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

Remimeo
TRs Course
Checksheet
Qual/Tech
C/Ses
Supervisors
TR Course
Students
Cramming Off

HCO BULLETIN OF 7 APRIL 1973RA
REVISED 22 FEBRUARY 1979

*(This bulletin has been revised
to incorporate new discoveries
on the subject of coaching TRs.)*

*(Revisions in this type style)
(Ellipsis indicates deletion)*

GRADIENTS IN TRS

References:

<i>HCOB 16 Aug 71R II</i>	<i>TRs MODERNIZED</i>
<i>TAPE: 30 Jun 61</i>	<i>TRAINING ON TRs—</i>
<i>(6106C30)</i>	<i>LECTURE ON AUDITING</i>
<i>HCOB 3 Feb 79 I</i>	<i>CHANGE THE CIVILI</i>
	<i>ZATION EVAL</i>

Time after time you're going to find somebody in an organization trying to teach the TRs this way: Go on to TR 0 and stick there.

Eight months later he'll still be doing the TR 0.

You're going to find that consistently, because the element of ENDURE enters into it. That is improper. *TRs are done to improve a person's handling of the cycle of communication. When he sticks somewhere on a TR instead of cycling through them, each one to a win, minor or major, over and over again, you don't accomplish the purpose of TRs.*

Here is the way you do the TRs. You'll find it very valuable.

You do OT TR 0 to a win or a cognition or an improved ability to simply BE THERE. You go on to TR 0. The student hasn't gotten a full pass on OT TR 0. He just got accustomed to it and had a win.

You do TR 0 to a win for the student that HE recognizes as a win.

You do TR 0 Bullbait to a win for the student that he recognizes as a win.

You do TR 1 to a win for the student that he recognizes as a win. You don't try to cure or even necessarily mention faults. When you do flunk the student you handle every flunk with an HCOB, but you concentrate on the student acquiring skills rather than eradicating faults. You can't eradicate a fault from something a person knows little about in the first place.

You do TR 2 to a win for the student that he recognizes as a win.

You do TR 3 to a win for the student that he recognizes as a win.

You do TR 4 to a win for the student that he recognizes as a win.

Now you come back to OT TR 0. Get the student better at OT TR 0. Then go through all the TRs again. It's kind of like running CCHs—they get a little bit of a win at it and you go on to the next one.

Each time the student cycles through the TRs he is coached in the direction of increasing the skills he has—never in the direction of a lose or a flunk. It is very

simple. You ensure that the student 1) KNOWS the TRs HCOBs, 2) DUPLICATES the drill, 3) DOES the drill, and then you always, always COACH HIM TO A WIN.

There is no reason or use in making the student wrong, he usually is about as wrong as you can get. Unreasonable as it may seem to some, the job of the coach and Supervisor is to make the student right.

If you do that, you shorten considerably the time it takes to learn the TRs.

In other words, you approach this with a gradient scale.

We did learn about gradient scales many years ago and we should continue to apply that knowledge.

Eventually, when you use this approach to TRs the student will eventually be able to do a faultless 2-hour OT TR 0, a faultless 2-hour TR 0, a perfect TR 1, an incredibly good TR 2, an error-free TR 3 and an easy, satisfying, flawless TR 4 without Q and A or ARC breaking the pc, which just makes the session flow. And once his TRs are “in” they will stay in for life and he will be able to handle a communication cycle so smoothly and so effortlessly that nobody including himself even recognizes that he is doing it.

This is the way to fast, successful TRs Courses, full passes and TR graduates with natural, easy, flubless TRs.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:nt. jk
Copyright © 1973,1974,1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 15 OCTOBER 1973RB
RE-REVISED 4 DECEMBER 1978

Remimeo

(Revisions in this type style)

C/S Series 87RB

NULLING AND F/Ning PREPARED LISTS

(Ref: HCOB 4 December 1978, HOW TO READ THROUGH AN F/N)

A prepared list is one which is issued in an HCOB and is used to correct cases. There are many of these. Notable amongst them is C/S 53 and its corrections.

It is customary for the auditor to be required to F/N such a list. This means on calling it that the whole list item by item is to F/N.

To F/N a list, you do it Method 3. Somebody's got the wrong idea that it is done Method 5—going over and over and over something.

A recent C/S of *mine* on the subject stated: "The reason you're having trouble F/Ning a list is because you don't do M3, handle each read to the end and then reassess M3 and handle each read to the end. It is obvious from your list markings that you were doing M5 over and over, which of course gets into protest. Usually M3 at some point will begin to F/N and that is the reason you do M3. Also, when you miss on a prepared list the F/N stops. So there were a few minor misses on these lists but mostly because you were doing it M5. Also, it takes an R-Factor that you're going to clean up everything on the list."

You handle a list Method 3 by calling the line and handling the line. A prepared list should be used to get optimum results on a pc. If a prepared list reveals that more needs to be handled, i.e. engram in restimulation, then it would be handled. *(Note: In this case the handling would be to assess the L3RF and handle the reads. WARNING: You would NOT run Dianetics on a Clear, Dianetic Clear or OT. For C/ears and OTs you would assess the L3RF and then simply INDICATE the read.)*

If a more major action was found to be needed it would be programmed for handling, per list instructions. If something hot leaps into view on a prepared list then handle it.

It is the wrong think that one has to quickie a prepared list and get it to F/N in a hurry rather than to use it to get optimum results on a pc.

All the list must be called a final time.

"NONREADING, NON-F/Ning" LISTS

Now and then you get the extreme oddity of a list selected to exactly remedy the case not reading but not F/Ning.

Of course this might happen if the list did not apply to the case (such as an OT prepared list being used on a Grade IV, heaven forbid). In the case of lists to correct listing and in particular the C/S 53 Series, it is nearly impossible for this situation to occur.

A C/S will very often see that the auditor has assessed the list on the pc, has gotten no reads, and the list did not F/N.

A “reasonable” C/S (heaven forbid) lets this go by.

Yet he has before him first class evidence that the auditor

1. Has out-TRs in general,
2. Has no impingement whatever with TR 1,
3. Is placing his meter in the wrong position in the auditing session so that he cannot see it, the pc and his worksheet,
4. That the auditor’s eyesight is bad.

One or more of these conditions certainly exist.

To do nothing about it is to ask for catastrophe after catastrophe with pcs and to have one’s confidence in one’s own C/Sing deteriorate badly.

An amazing number of auditors cannot make a prepared list read for one of the above reasons.

Putting in Suppress, Invalidation or Misunderstood Words on the list will either get a read or the list will F/N. If a list does not F/N then the subject of the list is still charged or there is something wrong with the list.

The moral of this is that prepared lists that do not read F/N. When prepared lists that do not read do not F/N or when the auditor cannot get a prepared list to F/N, serious auditing errors are present which will defeat a C/S.

In the interest of obtaining results and being merciful on pcs, the wise C/S never lets this situation go by without finding what it is all about.

READING THROUGH AN F/N

There is a skill that any auditor who is handling lists should master and that is reading through an F/N.

WHEN TAKING A LIST TO F/Ning ASSESSMENT AN AUDITOR MUST KNOW HOW TO READ THROUGH AN F/N.

When going down a list that is F/Ning you’ll sometimes see the F/N “check” briefly and then continue. The swinging weight of the F/Ning needle has momentum and it will tend to obscure a read. But a sharp auditor will see this “check” or slow in an F/N, know he has a hot item and take it up and handle it. An auditor who can’t read through an F/N will miss it and go right on by, and the F/N then kills within the next couple of items. Now he’s got a suppressed read and he’s going to have trouble F/Ning the list.

When this happens, even if you can’t read through an F/N, you should go back up the list an item or two and find it. BUT one should be able to read through an F/N. It is the secret of being able to take a list accurately to an honestly F/Ning assessment, with no wasted time or effort. (Ref: HCOB 4 December 78, HOW TO READ THROUGH AN F/N.)

THE “RABBIT BUTTONS”

To “rabbit” means to run away from the bank. (The term derives from the fact that a rabbit is timid and runs away from just about everything.)

Some auditors have been known to “rabbit” from auditing sessions or from certain session actions. This is wholly due to out-TRs or shaky metering and the auditor not knowing how to use his tools. Rabbiting shows up in various ways—not getting the pc through the engram and not taking a Dianetic chain to full EP, or calling an F/N when it’s an ARC break needle, or simply ending off when the going gets rough, etc. It’s running away from the action rather than completing it.

One of the ways some auditors rabbit from F/Ning a list is by using what have come to be known as the “rabbit buttons.” Given a C/S 53 (or other list) to take to F/Ning assessment, the auditor begins assessing and handling the list items but on the slightest provocation (such as a minor protest from the pc), introduces such questions as: “Is the C/S 53 being overrun?”, “Is this list unnecessary?”, “Do you feel over-repaired ?” or something similar.

These questions are valid enough when they occur, as they do, at the end of some prepared lists. But used out of sequence they serve to get the auditor out of taking the C/S 53 or other assigned list to F/Ning assessment. Auditor throws in the “rabbit buttons,” pc immediately agrees it’s “overrun” or “unnecessary,” and the auditor ends off, with the majority of the list items unchecked for charge.

This is by no means true of all auditors but it has happened frequently enough for these questions, used out of sequence, to be dubbed the “rabbit buttons.”

And each time an auditor has rabbited in this way from F/Ning a list, something has been found later that should have been handled.

Thus: WHEN THE C/S CALLS FOR F/Ning A LIST IT MUST BE TAKEN TO COMPLETION AND NOT QUIT BEFORE THE ENTIRE LIST IS F/Ning, ITEM BY ITEM, ON ASSESSMENT.

Any pc protest or upset or apprehension over extensive repair actions or a list having to be F/Ned stems mainly from auditor out-TRs and mismetering (missing reads and calling false reads) when doing repair lists.

Any auditor back-off or protest on F/Ning a list stems from these same points plus having to handle pc upset or protest.

The solution is for the auditor to polish his TRs and sharpen up his metering. AND learn to read through an F/N.

Given good TRs and standard metering, the auditor who can then also read through an F/N will have no difficulty taking a list to F/Ning assessment.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

Revision 22.3.77
assisted by
LRH Tech Expeditor

Re-revised 4.12.78 by
L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:jk
Copyright © 1973, 1977, 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 24 NOVEMBER 1973RD
REVISED 30 OCTOBER 1978

CI IV Grad Chksht
CI VI Chksht
C/Ses
CI IV Grad & above
Auditors

(Revisions in this type style)

C/S Series 53RL SF
(Short Form)

SHORT HI-LO TA ASSESSMENT C/S

The C/S Series 53 Short Form is the basic prepared list used by auditors to get a TA up or down into normal range or to correct case outnesses. A pc who is relatively new to auditing should be assessed on the C/S Series 53 Long Form, which puts the items into full questions.

Assess this list Method 5 and handle reads in the order they occur on the list.

HCOB 30 Oct 78, C/S SERIES 53, USE OF contains data vital to the proper use of the C/S Series 53 lists.

PC NAME _____ DATE _____

- | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|-------|
| A. Interiorized into something | _____ | Tired | _____ |
| Go in | _____ | Deadness | _____ |
| Went in | _____ | Unconsciousness | _____ |
| Put in | _____ | Can't get it | _____ |
| Want to go in | _____ | Protest | _____ |
| Can't get in | _____ | Don't like it | _____ |
| Can't go in | _____ | D. Drugs | _____ |
| Want to get out | _____ | LSD | _____ |
| Kicked out of spaces | _____ | Alcohol | _____ |
| Being trapped | _____ | Pot | _____ |
| Forced in | _____ | Medicine | _____ |
| Pulled in | _____ | E. Engram in restimulation | _____ |
| B. List errors | _____ | Same engram run twice | _____ |
| Overlisting | _____ | Can't see engrams too well | _____ |
| Wrong items | _____ | Invisible | _____ |
| Upset with giving items to | _____ | Black | _____ |
| auditor | _____ | Loss | _____ |
| Wrong date | _____ | Lost | _____ |
| Wrong location | _____ | F. Same thing run twice | _____ |
| Wrong Why | _____ | Same action done by another | _____ |
| Wrong indication | _____ | auditor | _____ |
| Wrong PTS item | _____ | G. Doing something with mind | _____ |
| C. ARC break | _____ | between sessions | _____ |
| Problem | _____ | Some other practice | _____ |
| Withholding something | _____ | H. Word Clearing errors | _____ |
| Some sort of withhold | _____ | Misunderstood words | _____ |
| Not saying | _____ | Misunderstoods in session | _____ |
| False withhold | _____ | Study errors | _____ |
| Withholds gotten off more | _____ | I. False TA | _____ |
| than once | _____ | Wrong sized cans | _____ |
| Overts | _____ | Tired hands | _____ |
| Audited over out-ruds | _____ | Dry hands | _____ |
| Sad | _____ | Wet hands | _____ |

	Rushed	_____		Loosens can grip	_____
	Upset	_____		Wrong hand cream	_____
J.	Auditor overwhelming	_____	L.	PTS	_____
	Couldn't hear auditor	_____		Suppressed	_____
	Couldn't understand what was	_____	M.	Something went on too long	_____
	being said	_____		Went on by a release point	_____
	Couldn't understand what was	_____		<i>Went on past Dianetic Clear</i>	_____
	being done	_____		Overrun	_____
	Feel attacked	_____		Auditor kept on going	_____
	Something wrong with F/Ns	_____		Over-repair	_____
	Overrun F/Ns	_____		Puzzled why auditor keeps on	_____
	Missed F/N	_____		Stops	_____
	Items really didn't read	_____	N.	Something else	_____
	False reads	_____		Physically ill	_____
	Bad auditing	_____	O.	Repairing a TA that isn't high	_____
	Incomplete actions	_____		Repairing a TA that isn't low	_____
	Invalidation	_____		Faulty meter	_____
	Evaluation	_____		Nothing wrong	_____
	Couldn't get auditing	_____	P.	False Exam Report	_____
	Interruptions	_____		Waited at Exam	_____
K.	Can't have	_____		Upset by Examiner	_____
	Low havingness	_____			

A. If A or any of the A Group reads on ANY pc (including Dianetic Clear, Clear or OT) who has had an Int RD, do an Int RD Correction List Revised (HCOB 29 Oct 71RA) and handle the reads. If Int correction has already been done on the pc get an FES on the Int RD AND its corrections. When all errors are corrected, the C/S may order the End of Endless Int Repair RD per Int RD Series 4.

If the pc is C/ear, Dianetic C/ear or OT and has not had an Int RD, do the End of Endless Int Repair RD. Do not run any Dianetics.

Otherwise, if the pc has never had an Int RD, give him a standard Int RD per Int RD Series 2.

WHEN DOING AN INT HANDLING RUN ONLY THE INT BUTTONS GIVEN ON THE INT RD SERIES HCOBs. Note on the assessment which button(s) have just read on the C/S 53. Other items in the A Group are designed to detect out-Int, but don't embrace the earlier beginning, so do NOT run these.

B. If any of these read, do an L4BRA on the earliest lists you can find that have not been corrected. Lacking these, do an L4BRA in general. You can go over an L4BRA several times handling each read to F/N until the whole L4BRA gives nothing but F/Ns. Handle a Wrong Why or Wrong Indication or Wrong PTS item per C/S Series 78.

C. Any reading item must be F/Ned. Use standard handlings on rudiments questions. On "Out-Ruds" find which rud and handle. "Feel Sad" = ARC break of long duration so handle the ARC break. If "Deadness" or "Unconsciousness" read 2WC to F/N (E/S if necessary) and then program for the Personal Revival Rundown.

D. 2WC to F/N. Do a Drug RD Repair List if the pc has had his Drug RD. (HCOB 19 Sep 78 II) L3RF if needed. Advance Program to handle all reading drugs as soon as possible per NED Series 9R. (The above handling does not apply to Clears and OTs. On these, indicate the read. See HCOB 30 Oct 78, C/S SERIES 53, USE OF for further data on the handling of Dianetic questions which are reading on Clears and OTs.)

E. If any of these read, do an L3RF and handle per the instructions. (On Clears and OTs simply indicate the read. Don't run any engrams or seek further to repair. See HCOB 30 Oct 78, C/S SERIES 53, USE OF.)

- F. Clean up any protest and inval and rehab to F/N.
- G. Find out what it is. If yogi or mystic exercises or some such 2WC E/S it to first time done, find out what upset had occurred before that and if TA now down do L1C on *that* period of pc's life.
- H. If Word Clearing, do a Word Clearing Correction List, handle all reads. If study errors, 2WC E/S to F/N, and add a Study Correction List to the pc's program.
- I. False TA is wrong cans or other error. Use HCOBs 12 Nov 71RA, 15 Feb 72R, 18 Feb 72R, 21 Jan 77RA, HCOB 23 Nov 73RB, all on false TA. Then clean up the bypassed charge with (1) Assess for best read (a) TA worries (b) F/N worries. (2) Then 2WC times he was worried about (item) E/S to F/N. (3) Rehab any overruns due to false TA obscuring F/Ns.
- J. These are auditor errors. Low TA is generally caused by overwhelming TRs and incomplete actions. A high TA can be caused by an auditor overrunning F/Ns or failing to call them. Or trying to assess through an F/N and mistaking an F/N right swing for a read. An F/N can be obscured and mistaken for a read if sensitivity too high. These items are all 2WC E/S to F/N. Auditors who made them need cramming badly or retread. Rehab F/Ns that have been missed.
- K. Can't have or Hav. Find correct Havingness Process and remedy.
- L. 2WC to F/N. C/S to program as needed for further PTS handling.
- M. Find out what. Clean up any protest. Rehab to F/N *or Date/Locate*. On "Went on past Dianetic Clear" 2WC to F/N. Return to C/S. A *qualified C/S who has fully checked out on the materials must adjudicate whether this state has been attained before the preclear may attest to Dianetic Clear*.
- N. 2WC to find what. Note BD item. If BD item covered by one of these categories handle per instructions. If not just 2WC to F/N and get further C/S instructions for handling if necessary.
- O. Get pc to tell you about it briefly. If correct then indicate to F/N. Go E/S and indicate it if no F/N on first. If false TA handle per 1 above.
- P. Indicate and 2WC to F/N.

Per HCOB 30 October 1978, C/S SERIES 53, USE OF, the order in which reads are to be taken up is built into the C/S 53 itself. You simply start at the top of the list and take up and handle to F/N each read as you come to it.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:dr
Copyright © 1973, 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 24 NOVEMBER 1973RE
REVISED 30 OCTOBER 1978

CI IV Grad

Chksht

CI VI Chksht

(Revisions in this type style)

C/Ses

CI IV Grad and

above Auditors

C/S SERIES 53RL

(Long Form)

The C/S Series 53 Long Form is used to get a TA up or down into normal range or to correct case outnesses. It is exactly the same as the C/S Series 53 Short Form except that it puts the items into full questions so a pc relatively new to auditing can understand what is being asked.

Assess this list Method 5 and handle reads in the order they occur on the list.

HCOB 30 Oat 78, C/S SERIES 53, USE OF contains data vital to the proper use of the C/S Series 53.

PC NAME _____ DATE _____

- | | | | |
|---|-------|---|-------|
| A. Interiorized into something? | _____ | Are you withholding anything? | _____ |
| Go in? | _____ | Is there some sort of withhold? | _____ |
| Went in? | _____ | Is there something you're not saying? | _____ |
| Put in? | _____ | Has someone said you had a withhold when you didn't? | _____ |
| Want to go in? | _____ | Did you have to get the same withhold off more than once? | _____ |
| Can't get in? | _____ | Have you committed any overts? | _____ |
| Can't go in? | _____ | Have you been audited over out-rudiments? | _____ |
| Want to get out? | _____ | Do you feel sad? | _____ |
| Kicked out of spaces? | _____ | Do you feel rushed? | _____ |
| Being trapped a | _____ | Are you upset? | _____ |
| Forced in? | _____ | Are you tired? | _____ |
| Pulled in? | _____ | Deadness? | _____ |
| B. Have there been list errors? | _____ | Unconsciousness? | _____ |
| Have you had an over-listed list? | _____ | Do you feel like you can't get it? | _____ |
| Have you been given any wrong items? | _____ | Are you protesting anything? | _____ |
| Have you felt upset with giving items to the auditor? | _____ | Is there something you don't like? | _____ |
| Have you been given a wrong date? | _____ | D. Have you taken drugs? | _____ |
| Have you found a wrong location? | _____ | Have you taken LSD? | _____ |
| Have you been given a wrong Why? | _____ | Have you drunk alcohol? | _____ |
| Have you been given a wrong indication? | _____ | Have you smoked pot? | _____ |
| Have you been given a wrong PTS item? | _____ | Have you taken medicine? | _____ |
| C. Do you have an ARC break? | _____ | E. Is there an engram in restimulation? | _____ |
| Do you have a problem? | _____ | | |

	<i>Has the same engram been run twice?</i>	_____		<i>Did you feel like items didn't really read?</i>	_____
	Can't you see engrams too well?	_____		Have there been false reads?	_____
	When you look for incidents is it invisible?	_____		Have you had bad auditing?	_____
	When you look for incidents is it all black?	_____		<i>Are there any incomplete actions?</i>	_____
	Have you experienced a loss?	_____		<i>Has there been any invalidation?</i>	_____
	Have you lost something?	_____		Has there been any evaluation?	_____
F.	Has the same thing been run twice?	_____		Couldn't you get auditing?	_____
	Has the same action been done by another auditor?	_____		Have actions been interrupted?	_____
G.	Are you doing something with your mind between sessions?	_____	K.	Is there something you can't have?	_____
	Are you involved in some other practice?	_____		Is your havingness low?	_____
	<i>Have there been Word Clearing errors?</i>	_____	L.	Are you PTS?	_____
H.	Is there a misunderstood word?	_____		Do you feel suppressed?	_____
	Have there been misunderstandings in session?	_____	M.	Has something gone on too long?	_____
	<i>Have there been any study errors?</i>	_____		<i>Have you been audited past a release point?</i>	_____
I.	Do you have a false TA?	_____		Have you gone past Dianetic Clear?	_____
	Have you used the wrong sized cans?	_____		Has something been overrun?	_____
	Do your hands get tired?	_____		<i>Has the auditor kept on going?</i>	_____
	Are your hands dry?	_____		Have you been over repaired?	_____
	Are your hands wet?	_____		Are you puzzled why the auditor keeps on going?	_____
	Do you loosen the can grip?	_____		Are there stops?	_____
	Are you using the wrong cream?	_____	N.	<i>Is there something else wrong?</i>	_____
J.	Is the auditor overwhelming?	_____		Are you physically ill?	_____
	Couldn't you hear the auditor?	_____	O.	<i>Are we repairing a TA that isn't high?</i>	_____
	<i>Couldn't you understand what was being said?</i>	_____		Are we repairing a TA that isn't low?	_____
	<i>Couldn't you understand what was being done?</i>	_____		Has the meter been faulty?	_____
	Do you feel attacked?	_____		<i>Is there nothing wrong?</i>	_____
	Has there been something wrong with F/Ns?	_____	P.	Have there been false Exam Reports?	_____
	Have F/Ns been overrun?	_____		Did you have to wait at exams?	_____
	Have F/Ns been missed?	_____		Have you been upset by the Examiner?	_____

A. If A or any of the A Group reads on ANY pc (including Dianetic Clears, Clears, OTs) who has had an Int RD, do an Int RD Correction List Revised (HCOB 29 Oct BRA) and handle the reads. If Int correction has already been done on the pc get an FES on the Int RD AND its corrections. When all errors are corrected, the C/S may order the End of Endless Int Repair RD per Int RD Series 4.

If the pc is Clear, Dianetic C/ear or OT and has not had an Int RD, do the End of Endless Int Repair RD. Do not run any Dianetics.

Otherwise, if the pc has never had an Int RD, give him a standard Int RD per Int RD Series 2.

WHEN DOING AN INT HANDLING RUN ONLY THE INT BUTTONS GIVEN ON THE INT RD SERIES HCOBs. Note on the assessment which button(s) have just read on the C/S 53. Other items in the A Group are designed to detect out-Int, but don't embrace the earlier beginning, so do NOT run these.

B. If any of these read, do an L4BRA on the earliest lists you can find that have not been corrected. Lacking these, do an L4BRA in general. You can go over an L4BRA several times handling each read to F/N until the whole L4BRA gives nothing but F/Ns. Handle a Wrong Why or Wrong Indication or Wrong PTS item per C/S Series 78.

C. Any reading item must be F/Ned. Use standard handlings on rudiments questions. On "Out-Ruds" find which rud and handle. "Feel Sad" = ARC break of Long Duration so handle the ARC break. If "Deadness" or "Unconsciousness" read 2WC to F/N (E/S if necessary) and then program for the Personal Revival Rundown.

D. 2WC to F/N. Do a Drug RD Repair List if the pc has had his Drug RD. (HCOB 19 Sep 78 II) L3RF if needed. Advance Program to handle all reading drugs as soon as possible per NED Series 9R. (The above handling does not apply to Clears and OTs. On these, indicate the read. See HCOB 30 Oct 78, C/S SERIES 53, USE OF for further data on the handling of Dianetic questions which are reading on Clears & OTs.)

E. If any of these read, do a L3RF and handle per the instructions. (On Clears and OTs simply indicate the read. Don't run any engrams or seek further to repair. See HCOB 30 Oct 78, C/S SERIES 53, USE OF.)

F. Clean up any protest and inval and rehab to F/N.

G. Find out what it is. If yogi or mystic exercises or some such 2WC E/S it to first time done, find out what upset had occurred before that and if TA now down do L1C on that period of pc's life.

H. If Word Clearing, do a Word Clearing Correction List, handle all reads. If study errors, 2WC E/S to F/N, and add a Study Correction List to the pc's program.

I. False TA is wrong cans or other error. Use HCOB 12 Nov 71RA, 15 Feb 72R, 18 Feb 72R, 21 Jan 77RA, HCOB 23 Nov 73RB, all on false TA. Then clean up the bypassed charge with (1) Assess for best read (a) TA worries (b) F/N worries. (2) Then 2WC times he was worried about (item) E/S to F/N. (3) Rehab any overruns due to false TA obscuring F/Ns.

J. These are auditor errors. Low TA is generally caused by overwhelming TRs and incomplete actions. A high TA can be caused by an auditor overrunning F/Ns or failing to call them. Or trying to assess through an F/N and mistaking an F/N right swing for a read. An F/N can be obscured and mistaken for a read if sensitivity too high. These items are all 2WC E/S to F/N. Auditors who made them need cramming badly or retread. Rehab F/Ns that have been missed.

K. Can't have or Hav. Find correct Havingness Process and remedy.

L. 2WC to F/N. C/S to program as needed for further PTS handling.

M. Find out what. Clean up any protest. Rehab to F/N or Date/Locate. On "Have you gone past Dianetic C/ear?" 2WC to F/N. Return to C/S. A qualified C/S who has fully checked out on the materials must adjudicate whether this state has been attained before the preclear may attest to Dianetic C/ear.

N. 2WC to find what. Note BD item. If BD item covered by one of these categories handle per instructions. If not just 2WC to F/N and get further C/S instructions for handling if necessary.

O. Get pc to tell you about it briefly. If correct then indicate to F/N. Go E/S and indicate it if no F/N on first. If false TA handle per 1 above.

P. Indicate and 2WC to F/N.

Per HCOB 30 October 1978, C/S SERIES 53, USE OF, the order in which reads are to be taken up is built into the C/S 53 itself. You simply start at the top of the list and take up and handle to F/N each read as you come to it.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH: dr
Copyright © 1973, 1975, 1977, 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 18 MARCH 1974R
REVISED 22 FEBRUARY 1979

Remimeo
Qual
All Auditors

(Revisions in this type style)
(Ellipsis indicates deletion)

E-METERS

SENSITIVITY ERRORS

(Ref: HCOB 4 Dec 77 CHECKLIST FOR SETTING UP
SESSIONS AND AN E-METER
HCOB 14 Jan 77 URGENT AND IMPORTANT
TECH CORRECTION ROUND-UP
HCOB 7 Feb 79R E-METER DRILL 5RA CAN
SQUEEZE)

An auditor must set the sensitivity of an E-Meter exactly right for *each pc and each session*.

The setting is different for almost every pc *and can change, session to session, even for one pc*.

TOO LOW

Too low a sensitivity on some pcs (like Sens 1) will obscure reads and make them look like ticks. It will obscure an F/N. Whereas a Sens 16-128 will show reads and F/Ns.

A pc can be hindered by the auditor not setting the sensitivity high enough to show reads and F/Ns. Items are missed as well as F/Ns.

On almost any pc, a convulsive or incorrect can squeeze can shoot the needle across the dial and cause the auditor to reduce his sensitivity down and down and down until he finally sets it at a point where long falls become ticks and F/Ns don't exist. E-Meter Drill 5RA tells one how to do a proper can squeeze.

TOO HIGH

When auditing a flying pc or a Clear or OT the auditor who sets the sensitivity too high gets weird impressions of the case.

"Latent reads" on such a case are common. They aren't latent at all. What happens is that the F/N is more than a dial wide at high sensitivity and a started F/N looks like a read as its sweep is stopped by the pin on the right of the dial.

Also, the pc can delicately press the cans improperly with his thumbs and fore-fingers when doing a can squeeze and cause the auditor to push the sensitivity up and up and up. And then with the sensitivity set too high, be unable to keep the needle on the dial and so miss or imagine reads. E-Meter Drill 5RA now teaches how to do this properly.

In this way uncharged items are taken up, the case is slowed, overrun and general upsets requiring repairs occur.

On a one-hand electrode an OT VII sometimes has a 1/3 dial wide F/N at Sens 2!

This would mean a 3/4 dial *wide* F/N . . . with two cans.

A Clear sometimes has a floating TA at Sens 5 *or* 10 instead of an F/N. He *might* have to be run at Sens 1 on two cans to keep him on a dial or detect F/Ns.

This is a very important matter as the auditor will miss F/Ns, think beginning F/Ns are reads and as the pre-OT is off the dial, miss reads.

Thus uncharged areas are run and charged ones are missed.

The result is very chaotic to repair.

Many lower level pcs also have a need for lower sensitivity settings.

SUMMARY

Sometimes an easy pc looks very difficult just because of wrong sensitivity settings *brought about by wrong can squeeze procedure.*

Set the sensitivity for the pc for 1/3 of a dial drop on a correct can squeeze per *E-Meter Drill 5RA (Reference: HCOB 7 Feb 79R, E-METER DRILL 5RA CAN SQUEEZE).* And do the drills. You will be amazed.

Don't get repairs.

Get wins.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:ntm.dr
Copyright © 1974 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 29 MARCH 1975R
REVISED 23 OCTOBER 1978

Remimeo
Also:
FLAG ORDER
Ship Captains
MO's Hat
Qual Hats
C/Ses

(Revisions in this type sty/e)
(Ellipsis indicates deletion)

(This bulletin has been revised
to include updated data on
NEW oral penicillin.)

**ANTIBIOTICS,
ADMINISTERING OF**

(This cancels FO 2313 "Antibiotics,
Further Data" and revises FO 2186.)

(Note: This data is given for information alone and is not intended to prescribe or otherwise treat an individual. All prescriptions and treatments should be done in due accordance with the medical laws of any country in which a person seeks treatment.)

There are several "antibiotics." These are moulds or chemical compounds which cause bacteria, germs, to be unable to reproduce themselves (hits their 2D) while not destroying the cells of the body. At least that is one of the leading theories of why they work. "Antibiotic" means *anti* = against, *biotic* = living beings (such as bacteria). So it's against bugs.

Disease is said to be caused by germs or virus. Germs are microscopic cells which breed and have a bad effect on body cells and fluids. Virus is a germ that is too small to be seen in a microscope. Thus there are germ infections and virus infections.

Usually one type of germ equals one disease, i.e. typhoid fever. However, an illness can be a compound of several types of germs but this is not usual.

Virus diseases respond very badly to most antibiotics. In fact, in the presence of penicillin, a virus sort of suspends action without any real temperature change while the penicillin is present and gets busy again when the penicillin is gone.

The effect of most antibiotics on virus is zero. Some claims are made *that antibiotics work* against virus. Measles is a virus illness.

So antibiotics are mainly effective against germs. Venereal disease, pneumonia, wound infections and a long parade of diseases can be cured by antibiotics.

When illness is accompanied by temperature, antibiotics is usually the first thought.

Antibiotics can however be GROSSLY MISADMINISTERED and in fact usually are even in hospitals.

The trick is to get the temperature subnormal with antibiotics within the blood leveling period. Blood leveling means when the antibiotic has gotten into the blood and is actually holding the infection (stopping the bacteria's "2D" from continuing). More of the same antibiotic is given approx. 2 hours prior to blood leveling time. This then brings the temperature right on down to subnormal; continue the antibiotic so that it keeps the temperature subnormal until it just can't keep it subnormal any more and it comes up to normal. It will be found that the patient is now well and not likely to relapse. If blood leveling time is reached (the time is always stated on the instructions and contraindications write-up) and the temperature continues to rise, you have not used the correct antibiotic and must at this point change to another kind of antibiotic.

Each antibiotic has its own blood leveling time: penicillin is 24 hours, cephaloridine is 8 hours, streptomycin is 6 hours, etc.

Before administering antibiotics you must ensure that you know exactly what toxicity it is (toxic or poisonous quality the antibiotic has to the patient). For example streptomycin can cause pregnant mothers to give birth to children who have impaired hearing. Renal (kidneys; having to do with them) damage can be caused by certain antibiotics if the person has too much of a certain kind of antibiotic. Therefore, prior to administering any kind of antibiotic ensure that you know the patient's full medical history, as well as knowing exactly what the toxicity of the antibiotic is so that you do not damage the patient.

If not enough antibiotic is given or if it is the wrong kind for that disease the temperature will not be heavily affected or at best sinks to normal without going subnormal. This condition can go on and on and on and the patient relapses.

Also if antibiotics are given too briefly the temperature goes to subnormal, the antibiotic ceases to be given, the patient feels better, then probably relapses—gets ill all over again.

The above important three error situations are:

NOT ENOUGH
WRONG KIND
STOPPED TOO SOON.

To these can be added:

GIVEN TOO IRREGULARLY.

This last is almost always present when you give the patient the bottle. This is a common medical error. The patients aren't doctors, seldom take the medicine correctly and often not at all. Antibiotics should be handed out and seen taken.

Where there is a large number ill, the times can be standardized for the group. For instance all get it at 3:00 to 3:30, 9:00 to 9:30, etc. Or even 3 hourly can be done this way.

One takes the temperature before giving the pill. (A glass of water or a cigarette before temperature taking gives a false report.) Also in this way one can increase or decrease the dose depending on what the temperature was.

In very sick cases one has to watch the temperature more closely. In this way *every* time the temperature *starts* to rise from the subnormal where you are holding it, you immediately dose the patient.

An antibiotic all by itself cannot depress temperature. It's the reaction of the disease and body that's doing that.

TEMPERATURE

98.6°F or 37°C is normal. A thermometer can be a bit off (.1 or .2 high or low) and temperature can vary a bit for "normal" one person to the next.

Rising temperature (above normal) is a reaction to a disease. Lowered temperature (below normal) is a reaction to a disease being handled by the body or the antibiotic plus the body.

100°F or 37.8°C is well above normal and is a sick temperature. 104°F or 40.5°C is dangerously (possible *death*) high.

97°F or 36.2°C is very satisfactorily subnormal.

Temperature rise is probably a body mechanism to burn up a disease, possibly not. But a slight temperature, a few tenths high, can make a person feel really bad. Then when it gets up higher they feel drifty and with it very high go delirious.

A subnormal temperature doesn't much affect how one feels.

"Chills" come with high temperature.

ADMINISTERING DOSES

The general rule when administering antibiotics is:

1. One gives antibiotics until the temperature comes down past normal to subnormal and comes up to normal again with antibiotics.

After blood leveling time of the first antibiotic the temperature should break (go normal or below), the person going into a sweat. If it doesn't, then it's either not enough antibiotic or the wrong kind.

2. After dosage if the temperature just came down a bit from where it was, that type of antibiotic probably will handle the illness but *enough has not been given*. Increase the amount being given.

If after blood leveling time from the first antibiotic the temperature did not go lower or even rose, it's the wrong *antibiotic*. *You* change off to another and start all over again.

TAKING EFFECT

The blood leveling period of an antibiotic is always stated on the write-up of the antibiotic (in the box, accompanying the antibiotic). The second administration is usually given 2 hours prior to the blood leveling period. Thus if the blood leveling period is 8 hours the second dose is given 6 hours after the first dose. Take the temperature before the dose and within the next 2 hours take the temperature again and you will know whether the antibiotic is working as the temperature should now be leveling and/or falling.

If the temperature has not leveled or dropped at this period change the antibiotic. When giving antibiotics FO 2187 "Medical Charts" must be followed. If you don't have a medical chart you don't know and can't see how the antibiotic is working.

PAST MALADMINISTRATION

If a person in the past has been treated wrongly with antibiotics, i.e. got taken off as soon as temperature reached normal and was not continued as by rule 1 or 2, the germ remains dormant and *the area may reinfect at a later date*.

If more antibiotics are then administered the temperature *will* go subnormal and then to normal with the antibiotic. In other words, the cycle will complete. At this point the germ has been killed.

SESSIONS

Before any session, a heavy dose of vitamins should be given, if the person is on antibiotics.

KEY PROCEDURE

When the temperature goes subnormal keep it subnormal until it just won't stay down with the person still taking the antibiotic. The patient will then be well.

The faster you can get the temperature subnormal the better.

SIDE EFFECTS

Antibiotics have side effects, often very bad.

A patient can be allergic to a certain antibiotic meaning he goes red, gets hives, has bad reactions in varying degrees of severity. If so get him on another antibiotic now.

You can test for allergy by scratching the skin and putting a dab of antibiotic on it (not the sugar or protective covering) on a Band-Aid. After a while if the person is allergic to it the area will get red and puffy. This is not usually done unless you are being super cautious.

The chloro and aureo families can affect the sense of balance and early preparations destroyed the sense of balance forever.

All oral antibiotics sooner or later give the patient a stomach ache and indigestion. So they should be taken with milk or after a meal, never with just water.

The *longer you* keep them on an antibiotic the harder it is on the patient's system.

The operating rule is *give enough of the right kind to get a fast cure*.

If you started on the wrong kind get them on the right kind the moment you detect the error.

DISEASE CYCLES

Diseases have their own cycles of action and time periods if not given antibiotics. Some run for days, some for weeks, some for a lifetime. Gonorrhea for instance lasts a year in man, five years in a woman. Syphilis has its own cure, not an antibiotic, which is "Ehrlich's Magic Bullet," neoarsphenamine *and* Preparation 606, which is a oneshot cure if done right and only kills 1 out of 10,000. Syphilis untreated is a lifetime cycle and drives one crazy, the condition being known as "paresis." Perhaps modern antibiotics will include it as curative.

Pneumonia runs about 6 weeks on its own if the patient lives.

These disease cycles do not hold true when antibiotics are used. They take as long to cure as it takes to slam the temperature to subnormal and hold it there until it can't be held any longer. 24 to 36 hours is the new cycle for lighter illnesses treated with *properly dosed correct* antibiotics.

More serious diseases require longer but mostly because the areas they infect have poorer blood circulation (such as bone infections).

SULFA DRUGS

The oldest antibiotics are the sulfa drugs. These are white tablets usually. Enterovioform for stomach illness is a sulfa drug.

They have a very heavy side effect of dizziness and sometimes delusion (spiders on the wall).

Sulfathiazole is usually now used as a powder to pour in open wounds and it and its brother sulfas are the only ones that can be used “topically” which means as a surface treatment (as different from internal).

They follow when taken internally all the rules of antibiotic administration.

“Gerontal,” a trade name for a water-soluble sulfa, is excellent in kidney infections if the rules of antibiotics are followed. It needs large quantities.

You can fall back on sulfa when all else fails.

Sulfas are chemical compounds.

PENICILLIN

Penicillin is the first of the antibiotics made from mould (as in mouldy bread, etc.).

It is the USUAL antibiotic.

It is growing less effective due to diseases getting used to it and medical misadministration of it.

A disease treated with an antibiotic which is not cured, when communicated to another body becomes able in the new body to resist treatment. Thus new antibiotics are continually searched for.

However, penicillin is the basic, usual, antibiotic to use.

A new penicillin (VK) can be taken orally or injected. It would always need to be prescribed by a physician, and the actual dosage would need to be prescribed by a physician, but it could then be taken under the care of an M.O. (Medical Officer).

The oral penicillin VK does work when administered orally (by mouth). Penicillin VK is a potassium salt of penicillin that is stable in stomach acids. It does not have to be shot with a needle.

The type of penicillin that does NOT work when taken by mouth is penicillin G. Stomach acids kill it.

Penicillin G has to be SHOT with a needle. *Procaine* penicillin in 1cc or 2cc amounts, shot into the buttocks with the person lying down on his *stomach* (muscles relaxed), lasts for 24 hours when a 2nd shot is given. Other types of penicillin can also work this way. *Ordinary* penicillin however (*penicillin G*) has to be shot every 3 hours! Read the literature carefully.

If a shot of 24 or 36 hour penicillin hasn't worked in 8 hours to reduce the temperature at least somewhat use some other antibiotic at once.

Penicillin is no good, even when shot, for stomach or bowel complaints like dysentery. It is excellent for other types of bacterial infection. It is usually no good for virus infections.

Oral versus injections of penicillin depends upon the type of and seriousness of the infection. The injectable penicillin cuts the blood leveling time to about half as compared to the oral penicillin. So the injectable penicillin is faster.

If a person is allergic to penicillin some other antibiotic MUST be used.

OTHER ANTIBIOTICS

Chloro _____ aureo _____ strepto _____ compounds are offered under a variety of trade names. The blank fills “mycin” or “mycetin.” Kemacetin or some such spelling is a company trade name for chloro _____. Chlorofin is almost the same thing.

Read the literature for the strength of each tablet or shot and what it is good for. You can puzzle this out even in a foreign language.

Follow the literature.

If one doesn't work, another will. Chloro or aureo handles dysentery, stomach and bowel upsets, some viruses and a lot of other things.

VITAMINS

B1 should be given when giving antibiotics or the patient gets depressed as all the B1 gets eaten up by antibiotics, just as alcohol or sleeping pills eat up B1. 100 mg of B1 a day is an absolute minimum for a person taking antibiotics.

B2 is vital to give anyone with stomach and bowel complaints whether he is on antibiotics or not.

Vitamin C is excellent for helping colds and infections. 250 mg is the usual dose a couple times a day. It's much like fruit in that fruit contains a lot of it. If anyone's teeth or gums get sore push in lots of Vitamin C.

So B1 and C are usual along with antibiotics. B1, C and B2 are vital to help clear up stomach and bowel complaints along with antibiotics.

INTESTINAL BACTERIA

Natural intestinal bacteria are vital to digest and handle food. These all get killed off by oral antibiotics and must be replaced.

Yoghurt is the usual remedy and one should eat it for several days, a portion a day after getting well with antibiotics.

The clever French put these exact bacteria in glass vials for daily dosage. This does the same thing even better than yoghurt. It is called “Biolactyl.”

NOTE: Under medical supervision, LRH has been handling antibiotics as a ship captain for a long time and has done as well independent biological research. Some of the data (the use of subnormal temperatures) is not known to the medical profession but was discovered by Ron in 1952 when he had to discover it to save an important person's life after two relapses from doctors using older methods. It has since been proven out by many quick successes using antibiotics on ships.

A person treating someone on antibiotics must go over this HCOB very carefully as it is very condensed, very precise and means exactly what it says. When this data is not known some get into long illnesses which are needless.

A person treating another with antibiotics has to know many other things but the above is very vital.

All Div 5 personnel and anyone who will administer antibiotics must starrate, M9, M4 in Qual on this HCOB. Medical charts (see FO 2187 “MEDICAL CHARTS”) must be made up so that, in case of any fever, the person will be treated standardly to a speedy recovery.

Compiled from the
notes of LRH by
Kima Jason
Snr MO Flag

for

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder
Revised by
LRH Tech Comps

As assisted by
Gene Denk, M.D.

Approved by

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:GD:LRHTC:LRH:KJ:nt.rd.dr
Copyright © 1975, 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead. Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 14 JUNE 1977-1
HCO BULLETIN OF 16 JUNE 1972 RA- 1
ADDITION OF 12 JUNE 1979

Remimeo

**PAID COMPS SIMPLIFIED—ADDITION 1
C/S SERIES 81RA
AUDITOR'S RIGHTS MODIFIED—ADDITION 1**

HCO PL 1 May 79 DIANETIC CLEAR SPECIAL INTENSIVES introduces a new 5-hour intensive. This is for the purpose of getting a Dianetic Clear check and rehabilitation only.

For the successful completion of this intensive you may count 3 Paid Completion points with a bonus of a further 3 points when the person then re-signs for the next or another service as verified by HCO.

The DTS may count a 5-hour Dianetic Clear Special Intensive as a 1/2 on the intensive part of his statistic (see HCOB 16 Sun 72RA, C/S Series 81 RA).

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

As assisted by
CS-5 I/T

LRH:AG:gal
Copyright A) 1972, 1975, 1976, 1977, 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 6 FEBRUARY 1978RA
REVISED 16 MARCH 1978
RE-REVISED 4 DECEMBER 1979

Remimeo

(Cancels: HCOB 6 Feb 78R-1 Addition of
16 Mar 78, "LSD and the Sweat Program—
Addition"; HCOB 30 Apr 78, "The Sweat
Program Further Data." Re-revises and
re-titles the original bulletin of this
date, "LSD and the Sweat Program.")
(Revisions not printed in a different type style)

**THE PURIFICATION RUNDOWN
REPLACES THE SWEAT PROGRAM**

Refs: HCOB 5 Nov 74 DRUGS, MORE ABOUT
HCOB 31 May 77 LSD
HCOB 25 Oct 71 DRUG DRYING OUT
HCOB 2 Jun 71 Study Series 2
Issue I CONFRONTING

(This issue has been revised to incorporate data from recent research into the progress of cases in view of the current environmental and drug scene, and to give the full steps of the new Purification Rundown.)

(The Purification Rundown does not supplant technology developed and in use especially in Narconon for persons currently on drugs and apt to experience withdrawal symptoms when taken off of them. The rundown would be begun only after such technology was applied.)

(While it is being published now as a holding action until the Purification Rundown is issued as an individual package, this issue does contain the rundown as it has been piloted.)

(We are not concerned with handling bodies with the Purification Rundown. Our concern is freeing the individual up spiritually. The only dosages recommended are those classified as food. There are no medical recommendations or claims for it. The only claim is future spiritual improvement.)

(This data is released as a record of researches and results noted. It cannot be construed as a recommendation of medical treatment or medication and it is undertaken or delivered by anyone on his own responsibility. I receive no percentage of fees for administering this rundown and my development of it is a contribution and gift to my friends.)

Glossary: Any words you find in this HCOB which you do not understand can be found in a normal dictionary or in the *Dianetics and Scientology Technical Dictionary* or are explained in the following text.

The planet has hit a barrier that prevents widespread clearing—drugs and biochemical substances. These can put beings in a condition that can prevent case gain.

That's the situation today.

What would you give for a rundown that undercut every case on the planet and could be administered by technicians who did not require long periods of training?

Sweeping breakthroughs have been made recently through my 29 year study of the progress of cases against the environmental factors and biochemical aspect of our current society.

One immediate result of this is the Purification Rundown.

The Purification Rundown is simply a program to clean out and purify one's system. Based on the original Sweat Out Program, it is a new much more thorough and much faster version of that program, streamlined by the introduction of the sauna bath for sweating, and with a much broader use.

It should be clearly understood at the outset that the Purification Rundown is not a substitute for any kind of processing.

Rather, because of the biochemical problem that besets society today, it is the undercut that has become necessary to prepare the majority of pcs for making optimum case gain from their processing.

In point of fact, the Purification Rundown is for anyone.

BIOCHEMICAL FACTORS

By "biochemical" is meant:

The interaction of life forms and chemical substances.

BIO means life; of living things. From the Greek "BIOS," life or way of life.

CHEMICAL: of or having to do with chemicals.

"Chemicals" are substances, simple or complex, which are the building blocks of matter.

We live in a chemical oriented society.

One would be hard put to find someone in the present-day civilization who is not affected by this fact. The vast majority of the public is subjected every day to the intake of food preservatives and other chemical poisons including atmospheric poisons, pesticides and the like. Added to this are the pain pills, tranquilizers and other medical drugs used and prescribed by doctors. And we have as well the widespread use of marijuana, LSD, Angel Dust and other street drugs which contribute heavily to the scene.

I have even found that there is such a thing as the "drug personality." Drugs can apparently change the attitude of a person from his original personality to one secretly harboring hostilities and hatreds he does not permit to show on the surface. While this possibly is not always the case, it does establish a linkage between drugs and increasing difficulties with crime, production, program execution and the modern breakdown of the social and industrial culture.

These factors are *all* part of the biochemical problem.

WHY "PURIFICATION"?

We have known since 1968 that it is a mistake to try to do mental and spiritual handling on somebody who has been on drugs. People who have been on drugs do not make case gain until the drugs are handled. In the early '70s the Drug Rundown was developed and put into broad use and it included the auditing out of drugs, medicines and alcohol.

In 1977 I issued HCOB 1 May 77 in which I stated that LSD apparently stays in the system, lodging in the tissues and mainly the fatty tissues of the body and is liable to go into action again, giving the person unpredictable "trips."

The "restimulation" experienced by people who had been on LSD appeared to act as if they had just taken more LSD.

As it has been stated that it only takes 1/millionth of an ounce of LSD to produce a drugged condition and because it is basically wheat rust which simply cuts off circulation, my original thinking on this over the years was that LSD sticks around in the body. That basically is the idea underlying the original Sweat Program. The remedy given was to sweat it out.

From the most recent research developments, it now appears that:

Not only LSD but other chemical poisons and toxins, preservatives, pesticides, etc., as well as medical drugs and the long list of heavy street drugs (Angel Dust, heroin, marijuana, etc.) can lodge in the tissues and remain in the body for years.

Even medicinal drugs such as diet pills, codeine, novocaine, and others have gone into "restim" years after they were taken and had supposedly been eliminated from the body.

Thus it seems that any or all of these hostile biochemical substances can get caught up in the tissues and their accumulation probably disarranges the biochemistry and fluid balance of the body. These substances must be eliminated if the person is to get the most possible gains from mental and spiritual processing.

The operating rule is that mental actions and even biophysical processes (Objectives, etc.) do not work in the presence of drugs.

Drug residues can stop any mental help. They also stop a person's life!

THE ONLY REASON WE ARE HANDLING DRUGS AND DRUG DEPOSITS IN THE BODY IS SO THAT THE INDIVIDUAL CAN *THEN* GET CASE GAIN.

APPARENT GAIN OCCURS BY CLEANING UP THE BODY AND CAN BE SEEN AS AN END ALL IN ITSELF. THIS IS NOT THE CASE.

DRUGS AND BIOCHEMICAL SUBSTANCES CAN *PREVENT* CASE GAIN FROM OCCURRING.

Only when we have accomplished the biochemical handling can we then go onto the next step, the biophysical handling (the relationship of the being to the body, the environment or universe) and then onto mental and spiritual processing.

When you try to move these around and put them out of sequence you get losses.

EXAMPLES: Actual tests have demonstrated that a person who has been on heavy drugs requires up to ten times the time to obtain a result which a non-drug person attained in only 6 to 8 hours of processing. Early tests are also showing that the learning rate of a person who has been on drugs is much lower than a non-drug person. The memory of a person who has been on drugs is such as to remove him from fear of consequences. Rate of case gain is enormously retarded by toxic substances such as drugs.

The reason we can make a breakthrough with this is because of the "Theta-MEST theory" covered in the text *Science of Survival*. Older scientific thought believed all life came from matter, a belief which goes back to the ancient Egyptian priests and remains today the dominant belief of chemists, psychologists and psychiatrists.

A life form is a combination of life itself and the physical universe. Certain elements in the physical universe are highly antipathetic to life and when introduced into life forms, inhibit proper functioning and even destroy.

The being (thetan) of course has potential pictures of these toxic substances and states and as long as they are in the body, these actual substances can restimulate a

being. When they are gone from the body, the constant restimulation can cease. So it is actually a spiritual action that is being done.

A Case Supervisor should be aware of the fact that he is wasting his time if he ignores the above.

The removal of these life-hostile chemical substances from the body of any person apparently speeds, and in some cases even makes possible, case gain. It is even worth doing for its own sake.

The Purification Rundown, therefore, is for anyone.

There is probably not a pc today who is outside this requirement.

THE PURIFICATION RUNDOWN

The rundown is a tightly supervised regimen which includes:

- Exercise
- Sauna sweat out
- Nutrition, including vitamins, minerals, etc., as well as oil intake
- A properly ordered personal schedule.

An OCA and IQ Test are given the person before starting the rundown and upon its completion.

With each of these points kept in and the introduction of the sauna bath for sweating out, it is a refined and streamlined version of the original Sweat Out and can be completed in a fraction of the time Sweat Outs have taken in the past. Properly scheduled, with exercise and sauna sweat out done 5 hours a day the program can be completed by many in two weeks time. Some pcs may require more than that, some less.

When The Purification Rundown Is Done: The Purification Rundown could be considered mandatory to any person who has been on LSD or heavy drugs or who has a heavy medical drug history. Such a pc is not likely to get very fast case gain without it.

There are many pcs who have had hundreds of hours of auditing, including Drug Rundowns, who have never done a sweat out of any kind. While tremendous gains have been made by such pcs which are not to be invalidated, there is no doubt but what a large majority of them would benefit by the Purification Rundown and benefit even further from future auditing as a result.

Additionally, there are those who have done Sweat Out Programs in the past who have not experienced all the gain from it possible, or who have skimped or are incomplete on Sweat Outs.

In all cases such as those above, it is a matter for C/S adjudication as to whether or not the person would now do the program.

One would not interrupt a case that was running smoothly and making excellent gains progressively. One would not interrupt an on-going auditing action to throw in such a program randomly.

On the other hand, where a pc is consistently not making the expected gains from his processing, or is not continuing up the Bridge on his grades, a C/S might suspect the need for this program and it now becomes a part of the tech of C/Sing to take this into consideration.

In the case of a pc who has started and is continuing up the grades, it would require the correct programming.

As the Purification Rundown is always done under C/S supervision, it becomes a matter for the C/S to decide.

The Purification Rundown and Auditing: Originally, Sweat Outs were used to debar people from processing, so they were then permitted to be done during processing, which was not the most optimum solution.

Today, as the Purification Rundown via sauna can take as little as two weeks, it is not done concurrently with auditing. The program is done by itself, intensively, to completion under the daily supervision of the C/S.

WARNING

This program can be strenuous and should not be undertaken by anyone who has a weak heart or who is anemic. It is therefore absolutely essential that the following rules are strictly adhered to:

1. Any person, before being put on the Purification Rundown, must first have written okay from the Medical Officer or a medical doctor. The C/S and the MO must ensure this prerequisite is kept in.
2. The MO must ensure that no one who has a weak heart or who is anemic is allowed onto the program. These points must be checked before the person starts the program. Where the Medical Officer is trained in testing blood pressure and anemia, the tests can be done by him or her. Where there is no trained Medical Officer to do these tests, they must be done by a reliable medical doctor. People with known heart conditions or high blood pressure or who are anemic, and even those with certain kidney conditions must do a program which is of a much lower gradient. An exercise program and nutrition must be worked out for them with a medical doctor.
3. The Medical Officer tests are continued periodically as the person goes through the program and if any signs of a weak heart or anemia should appear, the person is taken off the rundown and handled medically.

EXERCISE AND SAUNA

In order to flush the poisons and chemical substances out of the body, the following actions are done.

1. *OUTSIDE RUNNING.* The first action is outside running. The purpose of this is NOT to generate sweat but to get the blood circulating and the system functioning so that impurities held in the system can be released and are pumped out.

Running increases the circulation throughout the whole body, thus: (a) it carries out cell waste more rapidly and (b) causes the circulation to go deeper into the muscles and tissues so those areas which have been stagnant can now get rid of the accumulation of biochemical deposits and, in the case of LSD, the “residual crystals” which have been stored.

Running is done on a daily basis once the person has been assigned to this program. It is done in a rubberized or vinyl-type sweat suit.

The running should be done on a gradient. If you are so breathless that you can't talk to another while you are running, then you are straining too much, so cut the gradient.

2. *SWEATING IN THE SAUNA.* The second action, following the running, is sweating. A person goes into the sauna to sweat. The impurities can now be dispelled from the body and leave the system through the pores.

A sweat suit is NEVER worn in the sauna as this acts as insulation much the same as when a diver wears a wet suit to insulate against the cold of the sea. Wearing a sweat suit in the sauna would insulate against the heat and so inhibit and curtail sweating.

Thus far, the use of a dry sauna has proved to be the most successful in inducing profuse sweating on most people. It is possible that some people may sweat more in a wet sauna; it may be that it is an individual matter and it has not yet been fully tested. There is no regulation on the rundown that outlaws the use of a wet sauna. Whichever type of sauna is employed, the whole idea is to use the system which permits the person to sweat the most.

Sweating in the sauna is done at temperatures ranging anywhere from 140 degrees to 180 degrees. It is a matter of what temperature the person can take. Usually, but not always, a person beginning the rundown will start at a lower temperature and work up. Then as he progresses he will find he can take increasing degrees of heat.

On the Purification Rundown, five hours a day are spent on a combination of running and sweating. There are no arbitraries set as to exact time limits for each, but the bulk of the period would probably be best spent in the sauna after the person had gotten his circulation up with running. One would not stint on the running, however, as the most benefit is obtained from the sweating when the circulation has been worked up so that the impurities are ready to be flushed out.

Running and sauna sweat out should be done with another person, as restimulation of past drugs, medicines, even anesthetics, etc., can and often does occur, as the toxins get sweated out. This can include the restimulation of a full-blown "trip" from LSD or other drugs one may have taken. It is a safeguard, therefore, to be accompanied by a partner or twin.

Salt and Potassium: Salt (sodium chloride) is not mandatory for every individual on the program. It is only necessary as a treatment if the symptoms of salt depletion (heat exhaustion) occur. These are clammy skin, tiredness, weakness, headache, sometimes cramps, nausea, dizziness, sometimes vomiting or fainting.

As potassium is lost in sweating, some of the above symptoms can be from potassium depletion. So, if salt does not handle the above symptoms, then one would switch to either potassium gluconate tablets or "salt substitute" which is mainly potassium.

Salt and potassium must be available to anyone who is on the Purification Rundown. Ideally, they would be located very near the sauna, clearly labeled.

Liquids: While on this program, it is important that one drink plenty of water which greatly assists in flushing and cleansing the system out. Additionally, with all the sweating done in the sauna it would be dangerous not to replenish body fluids.

PERSONAL SCHEDULE

It is important that a person on the Purification Rundown maintains a properly ordered personal schedule.

This means that once one has started on the program he must stick to it sensibly and not skip days or do it in a random fashion. It also means that one should get enough sleep.

If one proceeds through the program in an orderly fashion it will be faster and more effective.

NUTRITION

When we speak of nutrition we are not talking about food, as such. We are talking about vitamins and minerals as well, as these are vital to proper nutrition and

vital to the effectiveness of this rundown. We are not, however, talking about “diet” in the overused sense of the word.

Diet and Food Fads

There are NO diets required on this rundown.

The person simply eats what he normally eats and he should make sure he gets some vegetables and that the vegetables aren’t cooked to death. Vegetables contain a lot of minerals and fiber as well as some vitamins necessary to his recovery.

We are not food faddists. However, there is plenty of food faddism going on in society and you can easily start such a fad, so this must be watched on the Purification Rundown. If we don’t watch it on all this we’ll have people eating banana fronds split into diamonds and star shapes and blessed by some deity or other. Or a fad of “three lettuce leaves criss-crossed with two slabs of peanut butter an absolute must 18 times a day” as the only food a person can have.

Food is subject to becoming very faddist and frankly people know very little about it.

I wrote an essay on this subject (HCOB 25 Mar 75 DIET, THEORY OF A NATURAL DIET) to the effect that nobody has ever isolated the proper diet for Homo sapiens. It gives the formula of how one would go about finding the exact and proper diet. It tells you that all this diet faddism is based on no data.

So, there is no thought here of putting the person on any kind of special diet at all. There are no restrictions on what one may eat. We are not even trying to preach against toxic foods or campaign against diet abuses or junk foods or anything of that sort.

We are only trying to handle the *accumulation* of impurities built up in the body. If you wanted to defend your body against all future impurities then that is another program and not part of this one.

What is part of this scene is that a person will need certain nutrition in the form of vitamin intake and minerals.

One follows his normal eating habits. There are, however, some *additions* to the normal eating habits which consist of taking a quantity of “All Blend” oil each day, secondly, drinking lots of water to help flush out the system and thirdly, ensuring that the vitamin and mineral intake is adequate.

To put a person on a diet different than that to which he is accustomed is to introduce a sudden change in the midst of these other changes. A change of diet might be just one too many changes and is an additive to this rundown.

Oil

There is an oil called “All Blend” which has the four essential oils in it (soy, walnut, peanut and safflower oil) which is available in the US in health food stores. If this type of oil is not obtainable elsewhere, one could blend it from these four oils in the proper amounts, or find an adequate substitute. “All Blend” oil would be best but any oil used must be cold-pressed and polyunsaturated. The oil must be kept refrigerated so that it does not go rancid.

Toxic substances tend to lock up *mainly*, but not exclusively, in the fat tissue of the body. (There is no such thing as a fat cell.) The theory, then, is that one could replace the fat tissues that hold these accumulations. The body will actually tend to hold onto something it is short of. Thus, if you try to get rid of something it is short of, it won’t give it up. So, in the matter of oil, if the person takes some oil the body might possibly exchange the good oil for the bad fat in the body. That is the basic theory.

It is a theory of exchange. It is based on the Have-Waste formulas and processes which were extant in Scientology in the late '50s. That whole body of data applies to this oil's scene.

(Ref: PAB No. 123 THE REALITY SCALE
1 Nov 57 Vol III, page 136
HCOB 29 May 58 Special Bulletin STANDARD CLEAR
PROCEDURE AND AN EXPERIMENTAL
ROAD: CLEARING BY VALENCES, Vol III,
page 273
SCIENTOLOGY 8-8008, page 117
ASSOCIATE NEWSLETTER NO. 2, 1953, ca. early May, Vol. 1, page 330
ASSOCIATE NEWSLETTER NO. 7, 1953, ca. late July, Vol 1, page 412)

If one wants somebody to clean up the fat tissue in the body, he had better give the body some fat in order to make up for the fat tissues the body is now releasing or changing. The effort is to get the body to take good oil or fat in exchange for the bad fat it is holding onto. In this way we have some chance of getting the body to release fatty tissue which is impregnated with toxic substances.

How Much Oil?

The exact quantity of oil needed by the person on the rundown has not been definitely established, but it is very likely somewhere between two tablespoonsful and a half a cup. One tablespoonful of oil is not going to accomplish much. Too little oil won't let the body substitute the fat tissue. If too much is given it can cause diarrhea. One way to test for the right amount of oil for the person would be to put him on a scale and keep a close check on his weight. This should be done routinely in any event when a person is on the Purification Rundown. If the fat is being replaced in the body despite the intake of oil then the weight will not go up. If the body is simply assimilating the oil, with no exchange in fat tissue, the weight will go up. Change in weight would tend to indicate whether or not the body was exchanging old fat tissue for new fat tissue or simply adding new fat tissue.

All people, be they fat or thin, have some fatty tissue. Some of course have more fat stored in their bodies than others. On this program we simply want to get rid of the fat that contains the toxic substances, we are not even trying to make people lose weight.

(Worth mentioning here is also the fact, particularly in regard to thin people, that while toxic substances lock up *mainly* in fat tissue it does not mean that the person cannot have drug deposits inside cells.)

One could not expect the results that can be achieved on the Purification Rundown without sufficient oil intake.

Nutritional Deficiencies

Having been an early discoverer and instigator of vitamin therapy over the past 29 years, I know whereof I speak on the subject of nutritional deficiencies. My work covering vitamins and deficiencies, stimulants and depressants and the field of biochemistry goes back to the spring of 1950.

Though I have been interested in vitamins primarily only as they might aid, speed or assist auditing, my research along this line has been extensive. This is not to devalue the work and contributions of others in the nutritional fields.

It takes a mere skimming of the surface of this subject, however, to recognize that the Purification Rundown will not be effective in the face of a vitamin or mineral deficiency in the person.

One of the things that toxins and drugs do is create nutritional deficiencies in the body in the form of vitamin and mineral deficiencies. Obviously a C deficiency, a B

Complex deficiency and a niacin deficiency are brought about by drugs. There may be other deficiencies that we are not aware of at this time. But that list is certain.

Also, alcohol, for example, depends for its effects on a person being able to burn up B1. When it burns up all the B1 in the system the person goes into DTs (delirium tremens) and nightmares.

In the case of other toxic substances the probability exists that other vitamins besides B1 are burned up. What we seem to have hit on here is that the LSD and street drugs burn up not only B1 and B Complex (which we assume they do) but also create a deficiency in niacin in the body and that they possibly depend on niacin (one of the B Complex vitamins) for their effect.

It is easily seen that there is a wide range of toxic substances which create nutritional deficiencies.

It is quite vital that any vitamin or mineral deficiency is being handled while the person is on this rundown.

In the piloting and development of the Purification Rundown, the most effective handling for this was found to be starting the person on the following:

Vitamin A—approximately 5000 IU per day.

Vitamin B Complex—approximately 2 caps per day. Ensure the Vitamin B2 and B6 are balanced (approximately the same amount of each).

Vitamin B₁₂—special additional amounts of B1 are required, 250-500 mg or greater daily, depending on the amount of niacin given.

Vitamin C—250-1000 mg daily, depending upon the person's tolerance. (As Vitamin C can cause stomach problems or diarrhea, each person's tolerance must be worked out.)

Vitamin C has to be increased in proportion to the niacin given. Records exist wherein Vitamin C has become so deficient in a drug user that he used up tens of thousands of milligrams per day before he began to eliminate any. Vitamin C deficiencies result in scurvy. "Live C" from raw onions or raw potatoes is sometimes necessary in addition to synthetic C and were the traditional remedies for scurvy.

Vitamin D—approximately 400 IU daily.

Vitamin E—approximately 800 IU daily.

Niacin—100 mg daily to begin.

It is then increased gradiently to as high as 5000 mg. Particularly B1 and C have to keep pace with it as it is increased in dosage.

Cal-Mag—one glass daily, at least.

Multi-Minerals—(a balanced combination of minerals).

These would then be increased proportionately according to need and/or niacin increase as the person progressed on the rundown.

A person may have certain vitamin deficiencies which are not handled by the above. When he routes onto the Purification Rundown he should be sent to the Medical Officer or a medical doctor who would determine what, if any, additional vitamin deficiencies he might have. Any such not covered in the above list would then be handled with specific supplements for those deficiencies.

Vitamins would be taken after meals or with yoghurt. If taken on an empty stomach they could cause stomach burn.

NIACIN

Niacin, as one of the B Complex vitamins, is essential to nutrition. It is so vital to the effectiveness of the Purification Rundown that it requires some extensive mention here.

It can produce some startling and in the end very beneficial results when taken properly on the rundown, along with the other necessary vitamins and minerals in sufficient and proportionate quantities and along with proper running and sweat out.

Its effects can be quite dramatic so one should understand what niacin is and does and have a good R-Factor on it when starting the rundown. Taken in sufficient quantities it appears to break up and unleash LSD, marijuana and other drugs and poisons from the tissues and cells. It can rapidly release LSD crystals into the system and send a person who has taken LSD on a trip. (One fellow who had done the earlier sweat out for a period of months and who believed he had no more LSD in his system took 100 mg of niacin and promptly turned on a restimulation of a full blown LSD trip!)

Running and sweating must be done in conjunction with taking niacin to ensure the toxic substances it releases actually do get flushed out of the body.

Niacin: Background History

Niacin's biochemical reaction is my own private, personal discovery. In the middle of the 1950s, I was doing work on radiation and I worked out that it must be niacin that operated on radiation. I was recently told by a doctor that the Dianazene formula of that time is remarkably workable today.

Niacin runs out radiation. It will often cause a very hot flush and prickly, itchy skin which can last up to an hour or longer. It may also bring on chills or make a person feel tired.

The outpoint in medical thinking has been that they thought the niacin itself turned on a flush. So they invented something called niacinamide to keep from turning on this flush. Niacin all by its lonesome does not turn on any flush. What it starts to do is immediately run out sunburn or radiation. So the niacinamide they invented is worthless and it should be mentioned that it is.

In 1973 someone got a Nobel Prize for curing insanity with niacin, but it was fairly marked that he didn't know the facts of what was actually happening because it was then promptly abandoned as people found that prolonged quantities of niacin "gave very bad side effects." The truth of the matter is that if one continues niacin, always along with the other necessary vitamins in proper amounts, the bad effects will vanish. In other words, the work I did on this was picked up and misapplied and then abandoned. This is the background history of niacin.

Now more recently doctors in megavitamin research have been administering niacin to get people through withdrawal symptoms or get them over bad drug kicks and they have been using enormous doses of, for example, 5000 mg.

I have no personal knowledge that such enormous doses are necessary for handling drugs. It is very possible that, given the combination of all the points on the Purification Rundown, many people would be able to handle drugs with lesser amounts of niacin, something under 5000 mgs.

Niacin Theory

In theory, niacin apparently does not do anything by itself. It is simply interacting with niacin deficiencies which already exist in the cellular structure. It doesn't turn on

allergies; it runs out allergies. Evidently anything that niacin does is the result of running out and running through past deficiencies.

CAUTION: The manifestations niacin produces can be quite horrifying. Some of the somatics and manifestations the person may turn on are not just somatics in lots of cases, in my experience. I have seen a full blown case of skin cancer turn on and run out. *So, a person can turn on skin cancer with this and if that should happen if niacin is continued the skin cancer has run out completely.*

Other things that may turn on are hives, flu symptoms, gastroenteritis (inflammation of the mucous membrane of the stomach and intestine), aching bones, upset stomach or a fearful or terrified condition. There seems to be no limit to the variety of phenomena that may occur with niacin. If it is there to turn on by niacin it apparently will do so with niacin.

The two vital and proven facts here are:

1. When the niacin was carried on until these things discharged they did run out, as they *will* do. (Sometimes people chicken out on it and don't finish the course and it leaves them hung up. This should not be allowed to happen.)

IT IS A MATTER OF RECORD THAT WHAT TURNS IT ON WILL TURN IT OFF WHERE NIACIN IS CONCERNED.

2. When the niacin dosage was increased and the whole lot of the rest of the vitamins being taken was also increased proportionately, the niacin itself, taken in large amounts, did not create a vitamin deficiency.

Increasing Niacin and Other Vitamin Quantities

Most persons who have done the Purification Rundown started niacin at 100 mgs a day (some took lesser amounts, depending upon tolerance) and increased the dosage as they progressed.

The best results were obtained when niacin was taken all at one time, not split up during the day. Taken with water on an empty stomach it can be very upsetting. It is found to be best taken after a meal or with yoghurt or milk.

To increase the dosage, a specific quantity of niacin was administered each day until the effect that dosage produced diminished. One would then, next day, up the dosage on a gradient, say in amounts of 100 mg. In this way you get an overlap of the old dosage becoming useless and the new dosage being needed. This tended to speed up the action considerably when continued each time the effect of the dosage diminished.

The other vitamins would have to be increased proportionately to niacin at the same time the niacin is increased as they are interacting in the deficiencies and more are needed.

It was found essential that C, B1 and other B vitamins need to be given in ratio to the niacin being fed. In other words, as you up the niacin you would up the B1 and the B Complex. And also as the niacin is upped, the Vitamin C would be upped. These things would have to be kept in ratio.

The theory here is that one, by overdosing one vitamin, can create a deficiency artificially of another vitamin. This is a principle I hit upon as early as 1950 and proved it.

You can actually create a deficiency in C by administering B and calcium. All you have to do is pump those things to the guy in very very heavy dosages and he will develop the deficiency characteristics of C. His teeth begin to hurt. Then when you give

him C the manifestations go away. In other words, an overdose of X and Y can apparently create a deficiency in vitamin Z.

The reason for all this is that a vitamin is making certain changes in the body and these changes to occur fully also require the additional vitamin. But that additional vitamin isn't there. So it gives the manifestation of being in deficiency. All of this is my own private theory; it isn't anywhere else and it hasn't been subjected to tremendous and intensive research. But I sure can turn on a Vitamin C deficiency in anybody by overadministering B and calcium.

In other words, vitamin rations would have to be in proportion to one another.

MINERALS

Between 1945 and 1973 I studied the endocrine system. In 1973 it seemed that minerals and trace minerals operating in the blood stream and circulated by other body fluids were a key to glandular interactions. The theory is: Every gland in the body specializes in one or more minerals and actually that is how they make themselves interact one with another. The endocrine system of the body monitors the endocrine system of the body apparently through minerals.

As various drugs upset the whole endocrine system of the body you can see that the moment you start administering vitamins and sweat out and things like that you're going to get a mineral demand in the body. Therefore, there would need to be certain mineral dosages right along with the rest of this package.

The principle here is that by giving one or two vitamins in excess amount you can create a nutritional deficiency of another vitamin which isn't being given or isn't being given in enough quantity.

Thus, what could slow down the Purification Rundown and make it appear unflat would be a nutritional failure—a failure to flank the niacin on either side by sufficient amounts of the other needed vitamins and minerals in proportion and a food intake which includes vegetables and oil.

In such a case one would be looking at *created* nutritional deficiencies—not conditions which were there to begin with to be run out.

Not knowing these things is probably what made the medics earlier believe that niacin had side effects. The side effects were probably somatics and manifestations half run out and deficiencies created by not flanking niacin with the other vitamins and minerals and oils necessary to cause a rebuild.

CAL-MAG

Calcium is a must where any healing or exchange process is involved as it is a basic building block. But more important, it is calcium which affects the nervous system. I do not know the total relationship between calcium and toxic substances (and neither does anyone else) but it actually exists. The rationale back of this is that calcium in deficiency sets a person up for spasms. Nerve spasms occur in the absence of calcium. A person who thinks he is in high tension or something of the sort may simply have a calcium deficiency .

Calcium would be administered in company with magnesium. Magnesium itself has been proven necessary to keep the nerves smoothed out. The proven ratio is one half the quantity of magnesium to the quantity of calcium.

Something else odd about calcium is that it has to have an acidic base to operate in. If the system is too alkaline the calcium will not release the positive ion which makes it possible for the calcium to operate in the cellular structure and go through the vein walls and the intestinal walls and so forth. In other words, in an alkaline system

calcium is ineffective and inactive. So this brings us up to vinegar, which would add the acidic base. With calcium, magnesium and vinegar, in their correct quantities, in water exactly per the recipe, we have Cal-Mag. That is what "Cal-Mag" is and what it does. I developed and worked this out in 1973 against the very best biochemical background and references and tests.

Calcium and magnesium can be taken in order to prevent sore muscles. Cal-Mag has been found to have the added benefit of balancing out the Vitamin B1 taken, as Vitamin B1 taken without calcium can cause serious teeth problems due to causing an imbalance of vitamins and minerals.

The Cal-Mag formula, as given in HCOB 5 Nov 74 DRUGS, MORE ABOUT is repeated here:

1. Put one level tablespoon of calcium gluconate in a normal sized glass.
2. Add 1/2 level teaspoon of magnesium carbonate.
3. Add 1 tablespoon of cider vinegar (at least 5% acidity).
4. Stir it well.
5. Add 1/2 glass of boiling water and stir until all the powder is dissolved and the liquid is clear. (If this doesn't occur it could be from poor grade or old magnesium carbonate.)
6. Fill the remainder of glass with lukewarm or cold water and cover.

It will stay good for 2 days.

NOTE: There is a warning about Cal-Mag. Variations from the above can produce an unsuccessful mess that can taste pretty horrible. It can be made incorrectly so that it doesn't dissolve and become the most unpalatable, ghastly stuff anybody ever fed anybody. Possibly made incorrectly it is even unworkable. Made *correctly* it is a very clear liquid, quite pleasant to take and palatable. So the directions should be followed very explicitly to produce a proper Cal-Mag, pleasant to take and very beneficial.

MANIFESTATIONS

Various manifestations turn up on the Purification Program and these can vary widely from person to person. Anything from insect bites to a full blown restimulation of an LSD trip may turn on and these all simply run themselves out and blow as the program is continued. If there are heavy drugs to be flushed out it is not uncommon for the person to experience a restim of whatever the effects of the drug or medicine were when he first took it. Old injuries or old somatics may turn on, flare up for a brief spell and vanish.

It is important to note that a given manifestation which turns on may turn on and vanish wholly or partly in any given day. Then it may turn on again the following day but less. If one increases the vitamin and mineral dosage at this time, the manifestation will turn on again. But it will be less. These things don't become more and more violent day by day, they become less and less day by day, providing the whole Purification Rundown is continued properly. At length, the vitamins, minerals, etc. no longer turn the manifestation on and it is gone. There is evidence that no amount of vitamins and mineral dosage above a certain final level for the given individual will turn the manifestation on again. The trick is to take a proper gradient with the vitamins and minerals. When you go out gradient they can turn on awfully hard so don't get in a rush. And don't chicken out either.

Emotions that have been shut off may start to reappear. The person can blow through stupidity and become more aware. He may find he can do actions more easily and consequences start to take on a new meaning to him. Memory can return.

At first some individuals may feel other-determinism about doing this program but that will gradually change and he or she will want to do it on his own determinism and for his or her own welfare. Most individuals embrace it with enthusiasm.

As long as the precautions listed earlier are well taken and the procedure followed exactly as given, the solution to any manifestation is to continue the program until the phenomenon blows. The manifestations become less and less frequent until finally they cease altogether.

TRIPS

If a person is having trips during the program, he should take a lot of extra Vitamin B Complex and Vitamin C in correct ratio to other vitamins as these aid the body, especially the liver in getting rid of the drugs in the system. Normally the vitamins and minerals in the program are sufficient for the body to handle the residual drugs which come out.

ADMINISTRATION

The advices on the administration of the Purification Rundown are taken from the practical experience of large pilot projects. They should not be lightly disregarded. One may find that people administering the program tend to enter their own fads and hobbies into it, or needing it themselves, avoid delivering it. The Purification Rundown runs best when purely delivered.

Any org or person administering it should:

- A. Get a signed release or quit claim from the person as is usual.
- B. See that the person understands that the action is being undertaken to help free him as a spirit and is not a medical treatment.
- C. No medication of a medical nature; vitamins, minerals and oils are food.
- D. Brief the person as to what he can expect and why, making no promises.
- E. Getting his promise to follow orders and complete the rundown and not blow it because it's uncomfortable or because he is lazy or has other appointments.

Testing

A battery of tests should be done on the individual and should be done before and after the Purification Rundown. These would include OCA, IQ, any learning rate tests that may exist and any other tests which would give a before and after picture of the person. These of course, include weight, blood pressure, etc.

The Purification Program must be tightly supervised to be successful. The program is done under the close supervision of the Medical Officer, the Purification Program In Charge and the Case Supervisor.

Purification Program In Charge

The In Charge will be the D of P (for org public) or the DPE or other appointed person (for org staff). The Program I/C must closely supervise each person's progress on the program and must ensure the program is done faithfully and with all points of the program in. When supervising a large group, the Program I/C is assisted by one or more deputies and a Purification Program Admin, who maintains the progress board, handles filing in pc folders and transports folders to and from the C/S.

The person's daily schedule must be set up so that he is always running or sweating in the sauna with at least one other person. It is important, especially when a group of people are doing the Purification Program at the same time, that musters and

roll calls are held by the Program I/C or his deputy. Where individuals are not doing the program in a group, they should twin up and each twin assumes responsibility for the other and sees that he does the program fully.

Anyone not keeping to his schedule or the program as written is handled by the Program I/C with warnings, cramming, chits, or ethics, as needed.

THE PROGRAM I/C IS RESPONSIBLE FOR SEEING THAT EVERYONE PARTICIPATING IN THE PROGRAM GETS THROUGH IT CORRECTLY AND COMPLETELY AND ATTESTS TO IT UPON COMPLETION.

Medical Officer

Before beginning the Purification Program, a person must first get written Medical Officer okay. The Medical Officer gives a person okay to go onto the program only after ensuring that the person's blood pressure is normal and that he is not anemic. The M.O. does these checks himself where he is trained to do so. He also checks for any vitamin and mineral deficiencies the person may have and gets him onto a program of vitamin and mineral supplements to correct this. A thorough medical examination by a doctor may, in some cases, be required before a person is given a medical okay to begin the Purification Program.

While on the program, the person daily reports in to the Medical Officer who issues him his vitamins, minerals, niacin and oil and sees how he is doing. Blood pressure and anemia checks are redone as needed. He also writes up any needed medical reports on the person and these are immediately filed in his pc folder for the C/S to inspect.

Case Supervisor

C/S okay to begin the program is required. The C/S then continues to supervise the progress of each person on the program on a daily basis.

It must be noted that this is a fully C/Sed action.

Daily Reports

Each program participant writes a daily report which includes:

1. How long he jogged.
2. How long he sweated in the sauna.
3. Vitamins taken and in what amount.
4. Minerals taken and in what amount.
5. Niacin taken and in what amount.
6. Cal-Mag taken and in what amount.
7. Salt taken and in what amount.
8. Weight (include any gain or loss).
9. Any occurrences, somatics, restimulations.
10. Wins.

The daily reports are given to the Program I/C or his deputy or are placed in his basket. They are read by the I/C to ensure the person is doing the program and then filed in his pc folder, which goes in to the C/S.

The C/S verifies each person's daily progress (initialling the daily report and any medical reports to show he has inspected them) and writes orders to correct any out-tech found, such as not taking the right vitamins, etc.

The folder is returned to the Program I/C who checks the written C/S and executes any C/S orders, such as getting the person back onto the correct vitamins, getting the person to attest, and so on. The program is run in this fashion until it is completed.

END PHENOMENA

The purpose of this program is very simply to clean out and purify one's system of all the accumulated impurities such as drugs, insecticides and pesticides, food preservatives, etc., etc. For someone who has taken LSD or Angel Dust this would include getting rid of any residual crystals from the body.

When this has been accomplished the program is complete.

As the person goes through the Purification Program, one should be able to see an improvement in his physical well-being as he rids the system of its accumulated impurities.

Obviously if the person is still feeling the effects of past drugs or chemicals going into restimulation, the program cannot be considered complete and must be continued until all these manifestations have turned off completely.

The product of this program is a purified body, free from the impurities, drugs, etc., that had accumulated in it.

It is up to the C/S to send the person to attest when the above product has been achieved.

A continuation of the vitamins, minerals, oil, vegetables and Cal-Mag, at least at the rate of recommended daily requirements in balanced amounts is wise after the rundown is completed. A sudden cessation of such a heavy vitamin dosage can itself produce a letdown. It is possible the person should come off them on a steep gradient rather than abruptly. Particularly, where drug damage to the brain or nerves has occurred, the body needs these things to rebuild itself. If one doesn't do the above there can be a brief appearance of a letdown.

Remember that the person has probably been leading an unhealthy life without proper nutrition, sleep and exercise so it would be a good idea to recommend moderate daily dietetic and exercise disciplines so he will stay healthy, having nothing to do with therapy.

If such a letdown occurs the C/S should take the above into account, otherwise he may be puzzled. He will find a certain number skimmed the rundown are unflat but the majority of such simply went back to an exerciseless, five packs of cigs a day, vitamin and mineral deprived life. Such regimen recommendations are up to people who specialize in them. No fads please. The C/S must remember that the person should now be restored to any interrupted program or C/Sed for his next level or, if he is also PTS. should be de-PTSed. For most the next C/S would be Objective Processing. The person has not finished his processing with the Purification Rundown. He has just cleared the way to get real case gains.

LENGTH OF PROGRAM

One should be able to get through the whole program in two weeks at five hours a day. Some will take more and some will take less.

If the procedure in this HCOB is exactly followed this will not become a long, drawn-out action.

SUMMARY

With the Purification Program we now have the means to get rapid recovery from the effects of the accumulation of the environmental chemical poisons as well as the medical drugs and street drugs which inhibit the progress of cases.

By reducing the time required for sweat out and increasing its efficiency, we are able to make the Purification Program a single, easily completed step.

With the inclusion of vitamins, minerals and oils we are able to work toward restoring the biochemical balance of the body and make it possible for the body to reconstruct itself from the damage done by drugs and other biochemical substances.

We have brought the person up to the level where he is now ready for processing and can truly achieve biophysical and then mental and spiritual gain.

From this step alone one will see some sparkling results.

The Purification Rundown should be ideally followed by auditing. The type of auditing most beneficial for the next step is "Objective Processing." An enormous body of work exists for this next level, none of which is changed by the Purification Rundown. The Purification Rundown only undercuts it. As the world sinks we get below it to prop it up!

Let's give this program a total push and take a major step toward a drug-free society and planet!

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:gal
Copyright © 1978,1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

The Purification Rundown has as its sole purpose the handling of the restimulative effects of drugs and toxic residuals on a spiritual being. The Purification Rundown is a spiritual activity based on and administered according to the doctrine and practices of the religion of Scientology as set forth in the writings of L. Ron Hubbard and adopted by the Church. No part of the rundown is intended as the diagnosis, prescription for, or treatment of any bodily or physical condition or ill. The Church is not responsible for the handling of any bodily or physical condition or ill, it being the responsibility of the individual to seek the competent medical advice and treatment of his doctor in such matters.

THE BOARDS OF DIRECTORS
of the
CHURCHES OF SCIENTOLOGY

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 6 FEBRUARY 1978RA- 1
ADDITION OF 20 DECEMBER 1979

Remimeo

**THE PURIFICATION RUNDOWN
—ERRATA AND ADDITIONS**

Amendment to HCOB 6 Feb 78RA, THE PURIFICATION
RUNDOWN REPLACES THE SWEAT PROGRAM

ERRATA

In HCOB 6 Feb 78RA, THE PURIFICATION RUNDOWN REPLACES THE SWEAT PROGRAM, under "Exercise and Sauna," page 167, in the twelfth paragraph from the top of the page, a clarification was omitted at the end of the last sentence. This paragraph, with the full clarification added, is amended to read:

"Running is done on a daily basis once the person has been assigned to this program. It is done in a rubberized or vinyl-type sweat suit when persons are not also being given sauna or steam bath treatment. When the Purification Rundown is being delivered with the sauna, the rubberized or vinyl-type sweat suit is omitted in running but the running is still done and is a necessary part of the rundown."

In other words, when the person is doing the Purification Rundown standardly and using the sauna he must also run to work up his circulation prior to going into the sauna, but he does not wear a rubberized or vinyl-type sweat suit when he runs. When a sauna is not available, some but much slower results are obtained by running in a rubberized sweat suit, as in the original Sweat Out Program, which has now been supplemented by my later discoveries.

ADDITIONS

Exercise and Sauna

As stated in HCOB 6 Feb 78RA, the bulk of the 5 hours daily period of running and sauna sweat out is best spent in the sauna after the circulation has been worked up by running.

It should be reemphasized here that the 5-hour period is NOT 50% exercising and 50% sauna. The rundown gives best results and works like a bomb with a much lower percentage of time exercising and a much higher percentage in the sauna.

Sauna

When people get too warm or feeling faint, or when the body temperature gets too high in the sauna, it is permissible for one to go out and take a shower and then go back into the sauna. One could get over-heated to the point of simply keeling over due to the heat, and the handling for this is to take a cold shower. People who are having a hard time spending consecutive hours in the sauna are permitted to do so.

A similar manifestation can be caused by lack of salt or potassium, so one must watch for any symptoms of salt or potassium depletion and handle such manifestations with extra salt intake or potassium gluconate tablets, as covered on page 168 of HCOB 6 Feb 78RA.

It is advisable not to fall asleep in the sauna as overheating or salt or potassium depletion could occur while one was asleep.

Steam Baths

Steam baths, at similar temperatures to the sauna, can be used by themselves when available. They serve much the same purpose as the dry sauna and it has been suggested that a steam bath may even work faster, but this has not been tested or confirmed. The steam bath is not preferable to a sauna but produces a similar effect. Either can be used.

The same tips and precautions apply to the use of a steam bath as to the sauna.

Eucalyptus Oil

A small quantity of eucalyptus oil is sometimes added to the steam in a steam bath or similarly used in some saunas.

In a modern sauna or steam bath, the procedure is to simply put one or two capfuls of eucalyptus oil in a bucket of water in the room. As it then evaporates (the oil will evaporate before the water does), more can be added as needed.

Some people don't like the smell of eucalyptus at all, while others find it pleasant. If the solution is too strong it can cause watering of the eyes or nausea in some cases. Thus, one would survey before using it and, if used, it should be in appropriate small quantities.

Used correctly, eucalyptus has been reported to be beneficial in clearing up the lungs and clearing the sinuses. One person has reported his voice smoothing out as a result of using eucalyptus oil in the sauna.

It is not a mandatory step on the Purification Rundown, but as an optional step the data given here on the use of eucalyptus oil in the sauna or steam bath should be known.

Whether or not eucalyptus is used, it goes without saying that a sauna or steam bath should be kept hygienic and free of odors by scrubbing the room at least once, or oftener, daily.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:nc
Copyright © 1978,1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 23 MARCH 1978RA
REVISED 14 NOVEMBER 1979

Remimeo

(CANCELS BTB 16 Dec 73, Word Clearing
Series 51, WORD CLEARING ERRORS.)

(Revisions not printed in a different type style)

Word Clearing Series 59RA

CLEARING WORDS

(Ref: HCOB 7 Sep 74 Word Clearing Series 54
 SUPERLITERACY AND THE
 CLEARED WORD
 HCOB 17 Jul 79 I Word Clearing Series 64
 THE MISUNDERSTOOD WORD,
 DEFINED)

In research concerning Word Clearing, study and training done with various groups over the recent past months, it has become all too obvious that a misunderstood word remains misunderstood and will later hang a person up unless he clears the meaning of the word in the context of the materials being read or studied *and also* clears it in all of its various uses in general communication.

When a word has several different definitions, one cannot limit his understanding of the word to one definition only and call the word “understood.” One must be able to understand the word when, at a later date, it is used in a different way.

HOW TO CLEAR A WORD

To clear a word one looks it up in a good dictionary. Dictionaries recommended are The Oxford English Dictionary or the Shorter Oxford Dictionary and Funk and Wagnalls Standard English Dictionary.

The first step is to look rapidly over the definitions to find the one which applies to the context in which the word was misunderstood. One reads the definition and uses it in sentences until one has a clear concept of that meaning of the word. This could require ten or more sentences.

Then one clears each of the other definitions of that word, using each in sentences until one has a conceptual understanding of each definition.

The next thing to do is to clear the derivation—which is the explanation of where the word came from originally. This will help gain a basic understanding of the word.

Don’t clear the technical or specialized definitions (math, biology, etc.) or obsolete (no longer used) or archaic (ancient and no longer in general use) definitions unless the word is being used that way in the context where it was misunderstood.

Most dictionaries give the idioms of a word. An idiom is a phrase or expression whose meaning cannot be understood from the ordinary meanings of the words. For example, “give in” is an English idiom meaning “yield.” Quite a few words in English have idiomatic uses and these are usually given in a dictionary after the definitions of the word itself. These idioms have to be cleared.

One must also clear any other information given about the word, such as notes on its usage, synonyms, etc. so as to have a full understanding of the word.

If one encounters a misunderstood word or symbol in the definition of a word being cleared, one must clear it right away using this same procedure and then return to the definition one was clearing. (Dictionary symbols and abbreviations are usually given in the front of the dictionary.)

EXAMPLE

You are reading the sentence “He used to clean chimneys for a living” and you’re not sure what “chimneys” means.

You find it in the dictionary and look through the definitions for the one that applies. It says “A flue for the smoke or gases from a fire.”

You’re not sure what “flue” means so you look that up: it says “A channel or passage for smoke, air or gasses of combustion.” That fits and makes sense so you use it in some sentences until you have a clear concept of it.

“Flue” in this dictionary has other definitions, each of which you would clear and use in sentences.

Look up the derivation of the word “flue.”

Now go back to “chimney.” The definition “A flue for the smoke or gases from a fire,” now makes sense so you use it in sentences until you have a concept of it.

You then clear the other definitions. One dictionary has an obsolete definition and a geological definition. You would skip both of these as they aren’t in common usage.

Now clear up the derivation of the word. One finds in the derivation that it originally came from the Greek word “kaminos,” which means “furnace.”

If the word had any synonym studies, usage notes or idioms, they would all be cleared too.

That would be the end of clearing “chimney.”

CONTEXT UNKNOWN

If you don’t know the context of the word, as in Word Clearing Methods 1, 5 (when done from a list), 6 or 8, you should start with the first definition and clear *all* definitions, derivation, idioms, etc. as covered above.

“WORD CHAINS”

If you find yourself spending a lot of time clearing words within definitions of words, you should get a simpler dictionary. A good dictionary will enable you to clear a word without having to look up a lot of other ones in the process.

CLEARED WORDS

A CLEARED WORD IS ONE WHICH HAS BEEN CLEARED TO THE POINT OF FULL CONCEPTUAL UNDERSTANDING BY CLEARING EACH OF THE COMMON MEANINGS OF THAT WORD PLUS ANY TECHNICAL OR SPECIALIZED MEANINGS OF THAT WORD THAT PERTAIN TO THE SUBJECT BEING HANDLED.

That's what a cleared word is. It is a word that is understood. In metered Word Clearing this would be accompanied by a floating needle and very good indicators. There can be more than one F/N per word. Clearing a word must end in an F/N and VGIs. Off the meter this would be accompanied by very good indicators.

The above is the way a word should be cleared.

When words are understood, communication can take place and with communication any given subject can be understood.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:gal
Copyright © 1978,1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 19 SEPTEMBER 1978R
Issue I

NED Checksheets
All Supervisors
All C/Ses
All Auditors

REVISED 31 JANUARY 1979
(Revisions not printed in a different type style)

THE END OF ENDLESS DRUG RDs

(Ref: HCOB 19 Sep 78R THE END OF ENDLESS
Iss II DRUG RUNDOWNS REPAIR
Rev. 31.1.79 LIST)

The possibility of running a Drug RD flat on a pc is totally zilch and the reason for this is that there have been innumerable cultures in the several universes that were far more drug oriented than this one. And even on a person that's not manifesting drugs and hasn't taken any this lifetime, you can collide with these cultures and universes if you keep pushing it.

Over the years some pcs have had Drug Rundowns which stretched interminably into hundreds of hours. And some pcs have had drugs handled once, only to have them rehandled and then rehandled again at some later date in what appear to have been endless Drug Rundowns.

This has been largely due to the listing and running of whole track drugs or, through Dianetic auditing errors, the collision with and restimulation of early drug cultures on the track.

This endless auditing of drugs can have built up charge which is preventing the pc from getting all the gain possible from his next grade, or it can even act to prevent him from wanting further auditing.

There is an end to endless drug handling.

We have it now in a rundown which is called The End of Endless Drug Rundowns Repair List.

THE END OF ENDLESS DRUG RUNDOWNS REPAIR LIST

(Ref: HCOB 19 Sept 78R, Issue II,
Rev. 31.1.79, same title.)

A prerequisite for this rundown is the C/S 53RL to F/Ning list. (The C/S 53 is not included as a part of the rundown itself, but is done separately as a set-up action.)

When properly set up, the pc is given THE END OF ENDLESS DRUG RUNDOWNS REPAIR LIST and any reading items handled as indicated.

This rundown is for those pcs who have previously been run on an old Drug Rundown, done by old style drug handling. (Rarely, if ever, would it apply to a person audited on the NED new Drug Rundown as covered in HCOB 15 July 71RC, NED Series 9RB, DRUG HANDLING.)

THE END OF ENDLESS DRUG RUNDOWNS REPAIR LIST resolves the situation for a pc who has been overaudited on drugs, who has been misrun on drugs, who has had an endless Drug Rundown and/or who has bypassed charge on auditing on drugs.

It simply and terminatedly cleans up any overrun, misrun or unrun drugs and the charge left with the pc as a result of these. In fairly short order it gets the pc truly completed on the subject, in good shape and ready to continue on his next case action.

A lot of cases will now be sorted out and the speed of moving up the Bridge will be greatly increased.

NEW ERA DIANETICS DRUG RUNDOWN A SEPARATE ACTION

The NED Drug Rundown (HCOB 15 July 71 RC, Issue III, IMPORTANT URGENT, C/S Series 48RD, NED Series 9RB, DRUG HANDLING) is an entirely separate action from THE END OF ENDLESS DRUG RUNDOWNS REPAIR LIST.

The NED Drug Rundown is for beginning Dianetic pcs or those who have not previously had a Drug Rundown.

Its steps have been arranged to prevent the endless running and rehandling of drugs.

You can always find more drugs on the track. What you're interested in is this lifetime and this body. This doesn't mean you don't run track on the Drug RD, you just don't push it. Don't ask for whole track drugs. When you list out the drugs, medicine and alcohol a pc has taken, you only want the ones he has taken this lifetime.

Objectives are run first on the pc. Each *reading drug, medicine or alcohol the pc has taken this lifetime is then* run narrative, followed by preassessment then prior assessment and, *as a final step*, more Objectives are given to bring the pc into PT after the engram running. The full and complete steps are listed in HCOB 15 July 71RC, URGENT IMPORTANT, C/S Series 48RD, NED Series 9RB, DRUG HANDLING and HCOB 22 June 78R, NED Series 2R, NEW ERA DIANETICS FULL PC PROGRAM OUTLINE.

These refined and thorough steps allow the Drug Rundown to be taken to a flat point of freedom from the harmful effects of this lifetime drugs, medicine and alcohol and an F/Ning drug list.

Where the old Drug Rundown took hundreds of hours and sometimes had to be repeated, the NED Drug Rundown requires only a few intensives to accomplish the final, finished result.

With the tech of these two separate rundowns—one for the new pc, one for handling the pc who has been run and rerun on drugs old style—we truly have an end to endless drug handling.

THE END OF ENDLESS DRUG RUNDOWNS REPAIR LIST is to be put to full use to rapidly handle those pcs who have been stalled and plagued by endless drug handling.

I expect each org and mission to get it applied and get such pcs advancing!

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH: jk
Copyright © 1978,1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 19 SEPTEMBER 1978R

Remimeo
All Dn Auditors
All C/Ses

Issue II
REVISED 31 JANUARY 1979

(Revisions in this type style)

THE END OF ENDLESS DRUG RUNDOWNS

REPAIR LIST

*(Ref: HCOB 19 Sep 78R, Iss 1, Rev. 31 Jan 79,
THE END Of ENDLESS DRUG RDs.)*

The End of Endless Drug Rundowns Repair List is the rundown for handling a pc who has been overaudited on drugs, who has had an endless Drug Rundown done by old style drug auditing, and/or who has bypassed charge on auditing on drugs.

A prerequisite for the rundown is that the pc is first set up for the rundown with a C/S 53RL to F/Ning list. (The C/S 53 is not part of the rundown itself but is required as a set-up action which is done separately.)

The End of Endless Drug Rundowns Repair List is then delivered as a rundown in itself.

Assess it Method 5 and handle in order of largest read.

NOTE: This list can be assessed on Dianetic Clears, Clears and OTs, but where a reading item calls for any Dianetic auditing (items 7 and 9) it is NOT done. (Ref: HCOB 12 Sep 78, DIANETICS FORBIDDEN ON CLEARS AND OTs.) On Clears, OTs and Dianetic Clears the handling on such items is to simply indicate the read.

1. WAS THE DRUG RUNDOWN CONTINUED PAST THE POINT WHEN YOU WERE NO LONGER AFFECTED BY DRUGS?
(Indicate. Ask pc if he can find that point.) _____
2. WAS THE DRUG RUNDOWN CONTINUED PAST THE POINT WHEN YOU WERE RELEASED FROM THE EFFECTS OF DRUGS?
(Indicate. Ask pc if he can find that point.) _____
3. ON THE DRUG RUNDOWN, WERE YOU RUN ON AN UNCHARGED DRUG?
(Find which drug wasn't charged and indicate it shouldn't have been run. May be more than one uncharged drug; handle each by indicating on each.) _____
4. ON THE DRUG RUNDOWN, WERE YOU RUN ON AN UNCHARGED INCIDENT OR ITEM?
(Find which and indicate it shouldn't have been run. There may be more than one; handle each by indicating on each.) _____
5. ON THE DRUG RUNDOWN, WERE YOU ASKED TO LIST WHOLE TRACK DRUGS?
(Indicate that this may have restimulated drugs he was not affected by in this lifetime.) _____

6. ON THE DRUG RUNDOWN, WERE YOU PREVENTED FROM GETTING GRADES OR OTHER AUDITING?
(Indicate.) _____
7. ON THE DRUG RUNDOWN, WAS AN INCIDENT OR CHAIN LEFT UNFLAT?
(Indicate. Flatten the incident or chain R3RA.) _____
8. ON THE DRUG RUNDOWN, WAS AN INCIDENT OR CHAIN OVERRUN?
(Indicate it. Spot the flat point.) _____
9. ON THE DRUG RUNDOWN, WAS A CHARGED DRUG NOT RUN?
(Find which and handle per NED Drug RD steps.) _____
10. WAS THE DRUG RUNDOWN CONTINUED PAST THE POINT WHEN YOU FELT THE DRUG LIST WAS F/NING?
(Indicate. Ask pc if he can spot that point.) _____
11. WERE YOU NOT ALLOWED TO DECLARE YOUR DRUG RUNDOWN COMPLETE?
(Indicate. Let pc say what he/she wishes on this.) _____
12. WERE YOU TOLD YOU WERE A DRUGGIE WHEN YOU WEREN'T?
(Indicate it, and that pc isn't a druggie.) _____
13. WERE YOU AUDITED ON DIANETICS OR NEW ERA DIANETICS AFTER DIANETIC CLEAR?
(If so, indicate that Dianetic auditing should not have been continued past Dianetic Clear.) _____
14. ON THE DRUG RUNDOWN, WAS SOMETHING ELSE WRONG?
(Indicate. Have pc tell you what he/she thinks this was. If no F/N, turn it in to a Scientology C/S to handle.) _____

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:dr.clb
Copyright © 1978,1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 24 SEPTEMBER 1978RA
Issue I

Remimeo
Auditors
CI IV Grad
Checksheet
Tech/Qual

REVISED 21 FEBRUARY 1979

*(All changes are in this type style)
(Ellipses indicate deletions)*

(NOTE: Some auditors have had trouble with this rundown. It has therefore been extensively revised as per this issue. Before running a pc on this rundown, get the auditor M9ed and M4ed and starrated on the RD. Also make sure that he can operate a meter and do TRs if he has trouble with it in the future. These changes in this RD are considerable and are for immediate use and the earlier rendition of it is not to be used. Out-Int as a case condition along with R3RA audited over and beyond Dianetic Clear are primary reasons for case bogs. The percentage of out-Int may be as much as 75% in any given area. Therefore the Int RD run with NED on non-Clears and the End of Endless Int Repair Rundown are the most important single auditing actions an auditor can do and will produce the most surprising results when the condition is present and is expertly audited.)

Interiorization Rundown Series 4RA

URGENT—IMPORTANT

THE END OF ENDLESS INT REPAIR RUNDOWN

We needed a rundown that would handle what, for some pcs, has been an endless trail of repair of repair of repair of Int.

I have now fully researched and developed the process to handle this and can release it for broad use.

THE END OF ENDLESS INT REPAIR RUNDOWN is just that.

It is the answer to Int troubles.

The rundown consists of running Int by Recall by a very precise and simple method.

At first glance it would not seem possible that such a process would handle the more resistive-appearing Int repair problems which keep coming up on some pcs. This appearance is deceptive, however, as the process is very, very effective. It runs lightly and easily on pcs, but with far-reaching results.

Skillfully handled, it quite miraculously smooths out and resolves Int on both new pcs and those pcs on whom Int repeatedly kicks in.

Of course an Int Rundown has to be run, per HCOB 4 Jan 71R, but when it is later found that the Int Rundown must be repaired then this rundown is used.

It does not replace the original Int Rundown, which has been newly revised with several more buttons and New Era Dianetics commands added (HCOB 4 Jan 71R). Rather, it complements it.

The End of Endless Int Repair RD can be run on Dianetic Clears . . . as it addresses Int with Recalls....

(NOTE: It can NOT be run on any pc or pre-OT in the Non-interference Zone (those between R6 Solo and OT 111 attest). As the End of Endless Int Repair RD is a major action, not a repair action, it is forbidden to be run on anyone in the Noninterference Zone.

Where a person in the Non-interference Zone has been run on End of Endless Int he should finish up his current Solo level as feasible and get onto his next Solo level.

Anyone who has been run on End of Endless Int while on OT III should be finished up as feasible on Solo OT III and gotten onto NED for OTs.)

In certain isolated cases this process could be used as a *preliminary* method for handling Int on pcs who are weak or ill and not immediately up to running engrams or secondaries, or it could be used to cool down out-Int on a new pc who exteriorizes on Objectives and has not yet had a Dn C/S-1 or any NED auditing.

But it is not a substitute for the revised Int Rundown and in the above instances you may find the pc may eventually need the revised Int Rundown itself.

The prime purpose and use of the End of Endless Int Repair Rundown is, exactly as its name implies, to handle an endless “repair of repair of repair” of Int. If a regular Int Rundown has been done and Int continues to kick in after fully standard Int correction, the End of Endless Int Repair Rundown is the answer. It effectively resolves persistent Int problems.

WHEN TO RUN AN END OF ENDLESS INT RUNDOWN

When an auditor or C/S encounters out-Int on a case there is a choice of what action to take to handle it. The choice depends on what actions have been taken previously on the case on the subject of Int.

The first thing to determine is whether or not Int is actually out. You cannot audit a person on anything else besides Int, if Int is out. You also cannot run anything which is not charged (reading), as to do so hangs the pc with a wrong/uncharged item. An auditor getting a read on the Int section of the C/S 53 must be careful to verify that this is a valid read, and not a false read or protest read. This is very important as you must not audit a pc on Int if it is not charged, and you must not audit a pc on anything else if Int is out.

You determine whether the pc has already had an Int Rundown, and whether it was correctly done or flubbed. If it was flubbed were Dianetic errors repaired with an L3RF on the Dianetic chains? Has the pc had an Int Rundown Correction List? (These must be determined because the End of Endless Int Rundown is not a substitute for the Int Rundown, and it does not substitute for an Int Rundown Correction List. Dianetic errors must be repaired with an L3RF.) These must be determined by folder study and FES of the Int Rundown and any repairs of Int Rundown.

If the pc has had an Int Rundown and it has been flubbed, you would do an Int Rundown Correction List and handle all of the various actions necessary, providing this is within the normal time span of the rundown. Don't try this months or years later. The End of Endless Int Rundown will not repair flagrant Dianetic errors. If the pc is having or was recently given an Int Rundown which has bogged or failed, then an Int Rundown Correction List including repair of any Dianetic errors is to be done. If the pc still has out-Int despite having had the Int Rundown and it has been repaired and all that is usual and ordinary has been done, then you would do the End of Endless Int Rundown.

You must determine whether the pc is a Dianetic Clear, or whether he has become one somewhere along the line. If the pc has had Dianetic Clear rehabbed since the original Int RD, check the dates to determine whether the pc was run on the Int RD by R3R or R3RA when he was a Dianetic Clear. If so this can be repaired by indicating to

the pc that he was run on the Int RD on R3R or R3RA after Dianetic C/ear. If these Int Dianetic chains are now reading, repair them by assessing an L3RF and indicating. (Do not get into running or continuing any R3R or R3RA on a Dianetic Clear.) If the person is a Dianetic Clear and Int is still out for some peculiar reason best known to Man or beast, the only choice we have is the End of Endless Int Rundown.

The way to determine whether Int is out is normally by assessment of the C/S 53 buttons, and it is on this prepared list that out-Int is most often detected. You don't flatten the button, or try to handle the button that was found on the C/S 53. This is the one exception on the C/S 53 whereby you do not just F/N it on the C/S 53 and go on. We have to examine the condition of the person on the subject of Int as above, to determine which way to go. Therefore you stop right there with a C/S 53, being careful to verify the fact that you actually have a read on Int, and not a false read or protest read. (And remember that some pcs, especially when Int has been run or repaired when it wasn't charged, can get so protesty on the subject that Int will now give a false read whenever it is mentioned due to protest. An auditor's TRs, metering and obnosis of the pc and whether the pc is in session or not have to be bad for this to occur, or for the auditor to now fail to determine whether it is a valid or false read on Int.) Having determined that you do have a valid read on Int, you would not proceed with the C/S 53, but end off the session.

INT RUNDOWN TABLE

The following table tells the auditor and C/S which way to go when handling out-Int. Once filled out this table should be kept with the pc Folder Summary in front inside of the pc folder beneath the pgm. And the table should be updated.

	Yes	No
A. IS THE READ ON INT A VALID READ?	_____	_____
Is there any evidence of the pc having been run on Int due to a false or protest read?	_____	_____
Any evidence of the read being caused by a Mis-U word?	_____	_____
(If 'yes' on above get 'False read?' and 'Protest?' cleaned up or the Mis-U cleared and recheck the buttons on Section A of C/S 53 to find out if Int is charged.)		
B. HAS THE PC HAD A FULL INT RUNDOWN?	_____	_____
(If 'no' or incomplete, it would have to be repaired and completed. NOTE: The Int RD would NOT be run on a Dianetic Clear, Clear or OT as they are not to be run on Dianetics in any form.)		
C. HAS THE PC HAD AN INT RUNDOWN CORRECTION LIST?	_____	_____
(If not, and there is any evidence of errors or lack of expected result, this should be done before continuing the Int RD or doing End of Endless Int Rundown. And if the pc has had several Int Rundown Correction Lists, realize that either the auditor can't make a list read, or is only getting false reads.)		
D. HAVE ANY R3R OR R3RA DIANETIC ERRORS ON THE INT RUNDOWN BEEN CORRECTED WITH AN L3RF?	_____	_____
(If not, get these repaired, as continuing the RD, or doing End of Endless Int Rundown won't solve R3R or R3RA errors.)		

E. *IS THE PC A DIANETIC CLEAR OR ABOVE?* _____

Was the pc a Dianetic Clear when the Int RD was run on him by R3R or R3RA ? _____

(If 'yes' to either above, you must not run any Dianetics but if Int is still out after repairing any errors the End of Endless Int Rundown can be done on a Dianetic Clear. It can NOT be done between R6 Solo and OT III attest.

If the pc was run on Dianetics on the Int RD after Dn C/ear, the first action is to indicate the error of running Dianetics after Clear, and then repair any reading Dianetic Int chain with an L3RF, taking care to handle the reading lines by indication only, and not get into any running of Dianetics. This action alone will often cure any Int trouble on a Dn Clear, but if Int is still reading you can now handle it with the End of Endless Int Rundown.)

THE END OF ENDLESS INT RUNDOWN
PROCEDURE

Having determined that you are going to do the End of Endless Int Rundown from the table above, you proceed as follows:

1. *The auditor has the pc demonstrate the various flows. Remember that this must not be arduous because it is actually almost auditing to do this and the person's Int is out. If the pc is a Triple pc, have him demonstrate Flows 1, 2, 3. If the pc is a Quad pc, have him demonstrate Flows 1, 2, 3, 0.*

(Do not engage in flying ruds, Word Clearing, Touch Assists, Havingness or any other auditing over out-Int.)

2. *Assess the End of Endless Int Rundown buttons. Take the largest read.*
3. *You then proceed to run this button with the End of Endless Int Rundown. This is done by assessing the flows. Take the flow that reads the largest and using the Recall Process that applies to that flow, run it until an F/N is achieved.*
4. *Then reassess all flows. You'll find the one you ran will be F/Ning. Another flow will be reading. Run the best reading flow by the Recall Process until it F/Ns. You repeat this procedure until all flows F/N.*

If during the period you are running these flows on that button, the pc has a large cog, F/N, GIs, remember that you may have blown all flows. At that moment without interrupting the pc's cognition you realize that you are finished with assessing the flows of this button. For caution's sake, you check the button to see if it now reads. Of course it will F/N.

5. *You now reassess the whole End of Endless Int Rundown button list. The whole list might F/N at this point. On the other hand it might not. If you get a read on this assessment, you treat it exactly the same as you did priorly, (steps 3, 4, 5). You keep this up until you get an F/Ning assessment of the Int buttons.*
6. *You then wait a week and reassess the Int buttons list again. If you get a read, check for false read, check for protest. Make sure it is a valid read that you have and if it is, you treat that button exactly the same as above and proceed (per steps 3, 4, 5).*

When you get an F/Ning assessment of the Int buttons after the one week wait, the End of Endless Int Rundown is complete, and the pc is sent to declare.

THE INT BUTTONS

GO IN

WENT IN

PUT IN

INTERIORIZED INTO SOMETHING

WANT TO GO IN

CAN'T GET IN

KICKED OUT OF SPACES

CAN'T GO IN

BEING TRAPPED

FORCED IN

PULLED IN

PUSHED IN

. . . .

EXAMPLE:

Int button assessed: PUT IN

Assess the four flows with the wordings for that button but *without* using the word “Recall”:

F1: . . . you were put in something x

F2: . . . you put another in something

F3: . . . others put others in something x

F0: . . . you put yourself in something sf

Flow 2 reads best, so run Flow 2 to F/N, using the entire Recall command (i.e. “Recall a time when you put another in something”). Reassess all four flows, as above, using the same Int button....

. . . .

Examples of the running commands for “PUT IN” would be:

If F1 reads: “Recall a time when you were put in something.” (to F/N.)

If F2 reads: “Recall a time when you put another in something.” (to F/N.)

If F3 reads: “Recall a time when others put others in something.” (to F/N.)

If F0 reads: “Recall a time when you put yourself in something.” (to F/N.)

CAUTIONS AND C/S TIPS

The only time you check the button again while assessing flows is when the pc has had a cog, F/N, GIs, at which time you must suspect that the whole button has blown. This by the way happens in Int Rundowns and is the commonest cause of overrun Int.

There is another way of addressing this if the pc isn't getting cognitions to amount to anything. When you get all flows on a button F/Ning, you can end off the session and check the next day to see if the flows are still F/Ning. It some times happens where you have a not very responsive pc, that it takes several days of assessment of the flows which F/Ned yesterday to carry the F/N through a whole day. These flows often read again the next day. This is because you are running Recall Processes, and Recall Processes are simply key-outs. Therefore you are getting something keying in and keying out and keying in and keying out. This is eventually overcome. Where you are doing this day-to-day handling of the same button, it would be vital to check the button for read before you assessed the flows on it the next day.

The one-week wait is a compromise for the 3 to 10 day key-out period; you can't say wait for 3 to 10 days, so it is set at one week. During the rundown there may have been a momentary stir-up of some kind, such as a tiny ripple on an auditor's TRs rendition, or a badly mishandled origin that could cause an ARC break needle, or something like this could happen, so if you wait a week such trouble will key out, before you assess the buttons list again. Or you may have been riding a win, a persistent F/N on one button, when the whole subject of Int is not handled, and you will get environmental restimulation. Remember you are only handling Recalls, and a little more Recalls run will probably blow it for good. So you are waiting a week to see if the environment keys him in again. You reassess a week later and if the buttons are all clean, fine. But if something reads on the week later assessment that must mean an engram or something is pretty close to the surface still. You then handle it again and this time the little point that was missed will turn up and that will be the end of that. You handle the buttons to F/Ning assessment and then that is the end of that. The End of Endless Int Repair. (There is no second wait for another week.)

Now of course if during the one-week wait the pc gets keyed-in again or originates or by reason of BIs or manifestation that Int is still out, you would not robotically wait out the whole week before giving the next session, as you now know he is not on a persistent F/N, and you know there is more to handle.

And on the reassessment of the buttons after the week wait, the auditor must again be sure that it is a valid read on Int and not a false or protest read before he launches off into running anything again. False reads on the assessment, protest reads, or the pc suffering from something else entirely besides out-Int can cause a false read on assessment of the Int buttons. Hence the necessity to be sure you have a valid read before you proceed. And if the pc is caved in or BIs about it there is a little checklist that tells a C/S what to do about that too.

The things that could go wrong are rather simple and are few in number. These are:

- a) Int wasn't out in the first place,*
- b) The pc has been run on false reads,*
- c) The pc was suffering from something else entirely other than out-Int,*
- d) The auditor's TRs are bad, or broke the Auditor's Code,*
- e) The auditor's metering was bad, giving wrong assessments,*
- f) The auditor overran F/Ns, or reran a flow that just F/Ned invalidating the F/N just gotten,*

- g) *Pc had a Mis-U on the word 'Recall' and was trying to run through engrams on the Recall Process,*
- h) *The pc had a major cog on the subject of Int, blowing the whole thing and the auditor went on, overrunning the Int Rundown or End of Endless Int Rundown,*
- i) *Pc was audited on some other action other than Int while Int was out—such as rudiments, Touch Assists, Word Clearing or any other auditing or assist action, including illegal 2-way comms about his case or auditing, coffee shop or eval or inval by his 'friends' or others between sessions,*
- j) *Errors on the original Int RD weren't repaired before starting the End of Endless Int Rundown.*

If a C/S can't tell by folder inspection which of these it is he can have the pc interviewed by a D of P to find out, or even get the above assessed to find out which it is.

VITAL DATA ON INT RD END PHENOMENA

Exteriorization is not the EP of the Int Rundown. If it happens that the pc goes exterior during the RD, you end off gently as in any other auditing. But that is not the EP, and you may have to pick him up again later and complete the Int RD or handle it with the End of Endless Int Repair Rundown.

THE EP OF THE INT RUNDOWN IS NO MORE CONCERN OR TROUBLE WITH EXTERIORIZATION OR INTERIORIZATION.

This is generally accomplished by auditing the pc to an F/Ning Int button list.

But there is another phenomenon that can occur while running Int. IT IS VITAL THAT AN AUDITOR DOES NOT MISS THIS SHOULD IT HAPPEN.

It goes like this: You're auditing along and suddenly some mass discharges, down comes the TA, you suddenly have a floating TA, and that's it. The pc has hit the EP.

If you proceed past that point you're in trouble. You DON'T then reassess the Int button list and you DON'T continue running Quad Flows, even if all the flows have not yet been run on one reading button.

You do nothing but take your paws off the meter and gently end the session. If you do otherwise you can mess up a case.

It isn't exteriorization. Exteriorization could occur at the same time; however we could not care less because exteriorization is not the EP of the process.

But at ANY point at which the above phenomenon occurs on the Int RD—mass moves off, the TA comes crashing down and you can't keep the needle on the dial because the TA itself is floating—you end off the rundown because you have the EP.

What has happened here is that you've blown the stuck flow of "going in."

Int sends the TA up because the person has plowed deeper into more and more mass and come out of less and less mass. You have been auditing the pc on what has been, for eons, a stuck flow of obsessively going in. At any point in the auditing that stuck flow can suddenly give way. It heaves in the opposite direction, and the stuck flow of "going in" vanishes.

When that happens it's the end of the process, as that is all you want to accomplish with the Int Rundown.

*If you were then to check the Int button list (which you **DO NOT DO AT THIS POINT**) you would find the Int buttons all F/Ning.*

REPAIRING REPAIR

Over the years Int auditing has tended to be flubby. Int repair has been far too frequent and even repetitive on some pcs. Some auditors and C/Ses have decided Int RDs were “delicate” or “difficult” or very special. Well, Int is special and sometimes delicate, but it’s not difficult.

If an auditor is going to audit the Int Rundown successfully he must be skilled at metering, he must be flubless on R3RA and the commands of the process, and understand the theory of Int. He must know what an F/N is and what a Dianetic EP is and be able to recognize these when they occur.

Much of the Int repair needed stems from errors made by auditors (or C/Ses): running Int when it was not needed, running it with the idea it would exteriorize the pc, auditing the RD over misunderstands, overrunning the RD. These are all violations of the Auditor’s Code, many of them then further complicated by Dianetic errors in running or repairing Int.

There is another factor regarding the original Int Rundown which must not be overlooked. Although it comes under the heading of “overrunning the Int Rundown,” it is sometimes neither seen nor understood. In doing the original Int Rundown it can occur that it completes before all flows are run.

EXAMPLE: The auditor runs Flow I on engrams on the revised Int RD, then Flow 2, and suddenly gets a wide, persistent F/N and a dramatic resurgence of the pc. The TA goes into lower range and the pc is bright and smiling. Then the auditor, if he’s an idiot, proceeds to robotically run Flow 3 and Flow 0. The TA goes back up, the pc’s chronic headache turns back on and the pc is set up for an endless repair of Int.

I have seen this happen several times. The Int Rundown finished itself and nobody noticed except the pc. This is probably the most flagrant cause of Int repair and is peculiar to this rundown.

The way to handle this is to rehab the point of completion as best you can and then run the recall version as given above and you will find that it usually comes out straight. The best way to handle, of course, is to do it right in the first place.

But if, added to any or all of the above, you get an Int Correction List misassessed so that what’s really wrong is missed and a falsely reading item taken up, you wind up with a mess.

There is no excuse for overrunning the rundown, for Auditor’s Code breaks, poor metering or flubby Dianetic auditing.

On the other hand, interiorization, like any other condition connected with engrams, may have many chains connected with it. Thus, the process of day-to-day living can restimulate those chains and throw Int out.

A C/S, faced with the possibility of any or all of the above being wrong could find himself staring into a maze. And he could err and order correction list after correction list, ad infinitum.

The rule is:

THE CORRECT ACTION TO TAKE *FIRST*, IF SOMEONE IS HAVING TROUBLE WITH INT, IS TO ALWAYS GET A THOROUGH FES DONE ON THE ORIGINAL INT RD ITSELF AND ANY INT REPAIRS THAT HAVE BEEN DONE—BEFORE ANOTHER CORRECTION LIST IS ORDERED.

Very often the answer to the puzzle then leaps out.

Get the errors corrected *correctly*. Any misassessed lists, misrun Dianetic chains, code breaks—get it all cleaned up by an auditor who can read a meter and run and repair Dianetics flublessly. Don't let any auditor who isn't flubless on these points near an Int pc.

With the errors truly and standardly handled and out of the way, if Int then continues to kick in, it's not another Int RD or another Int Correction List, it's the END OF ENDLESS INT REPAIR RUNDOWN you use.

Run it to its EP and that will be the end of the trail of endless Int repair.

If the C/S is in doubt about all this and gets into a mess trying to repair chains, he can cut directly onto this repair rundown as above with simply the Recall Processes, and he will get someplace.

AFTER AN INT RD OR END OF ENDLESS INT RUNDOWN HAS BEEN COMPLETED ON A CASE AND DECLARED, THE NEXT ACTION MUST BE A C/S 53, ASSESSED AND HANDLED TO F/NING LIST. THIS MUST BE DONE AS THE NEXT ACTION AND MAY NOT BE LEFT NOT DONE. (The reason for this is that there are other things that can be wrong with a case, all of which are covered on the C/S 53, and these too must be handled.)

There is no reason now for any pc (or C/S) to continue to be plagued with Int troubles .

We have here a rundown which is easily and simply done, which can be run on a Dianetic C/ear, or a pre-OT who is NOT on OT III or ANYWHERE between R6 Solo and OT III attest, on fragile pcs or weak or ill pcs, and is a rescue from overrepair.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

Revision as assisted by
LRH Tech Comps

LRH:LRHTC:dr.clb.jk
Copyright © 1978, 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

INT RUNDOWN TABLE

	Yes	No
A. <i>IS THE READ ON INT A VALID READ?</i>	_____	_____
<i>Is there any evidence of the pc having been run on Int due to a false or protest read?</i>	_____	_____
<i>Any evidence of the read being caused by a Mis-U word?</i>	_____	_____
<i>(If 'yes' on above get 'False read?' and 'Protest?' cleaned up or the Mis-U cleared and recheck the buttons on Section A of C/S 53 to find out if Int is charged.)</i>		
B. <i>HAS THE PC HAD A FULL INT RUNDOWN?</i>	_____	_____
<i>(If 'no' or incomplete, it would have to be repaired and completed. NOTE: The Int RD would NOT be run on a Dianetic Clear, Clear or OT as they are not to be run on Dianetics in any form.)</i>		
C. <i>HAS THE PC HAD AN INT RUNDOWN CORRECTION LIST?</i>	_____	_____
<i>(If not, and there is any evidence of errors or lack of expected result, this should be done before continuing the Int RD or doing End of Endless Int Rundown. And if the pc has had several Int Rundown Correction Lists, realize that either the auditor can't make a list read, or is only getting false reads.)</i>		
D. <i>HAVE ANY R3R OR R3RA DIANETIC ERRORS ON THE INT RUNDOWN BEEN CORRECTED WITH AN L3RF?</i>	_____	_____
<i>(If not, get these repaired, as continuing the RD, or doing End of Endless Int Rundown won't solve R3R or R3RA errors.)</i>		
E. <i>IS THE PC A DIANETIC CLEAR OR ABOVE?</i>	_____	_____
<i>Was the pc a Dianetic Clear when the Int RD was run on him by R3R or R3RA ?</i>	_____	_____
<i>(If 'yes' to either above, you must not run any Dianetics but if Int is still out after repairing any errors the End of Endless Int Rundown can be done on a Dianetic Clear. It can NOT be done between R6 Solo and OT III attest.</i>		
<i>If the pc was run on Dianetics on the Int RD after Dn C/ear, the first action is to indicate the error of running Dianetics after Clear, and then repair any reading Dianetic Int chain with an L3RF, taking care to handle the reading lines by indication only, and not get into any running of Dianetics. This action alone will often cure any Int trouble on a Dn Clear, but if Int is still reading you can now handle it with the End of Endless Int Rundown.)</i>		

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 24 SEPTEMBER 1978
Issue II

C/Ses
Tech/Qual
Int Auditors
Class IV Grad
Checksheet

Interiorization Rundown Series 13

PREASSESSMENT, AESPs AND INT

Although the newly revised Int Rundown uses New Era Dianetics R3RA commands, with the assessed Int button as the running item, the rundown and its repair do NOT include the use of New Era Dianetics preassessment (nor any form of AESPs).

In Int you can only address *Int*. A preassessment addresses something else.

Wins are sometimes reported on the use of preassessment on Int but it is a dangerous and dicey procedure. It isn't really directly addressing Int. That actually violates the law that when handling Int you run only Int, nothing else.

Using preassessment, whereas you might have one win you'll have five failures along with it. Sure, somebody got some wins on it but the next five guys will cave right in and go over the cliff.

The apparency of the win is this: Int flattened and this went unnoticed and then they were running an original item having to do with headaches or some other symptom. This was then preassessed and the person was on the line with R3RA which, of course, can be run *after* you've done an Int Rundown.

So the apparency here is that the use of preassessment handled Int, whereas Int probably had actually flattened first and then the person was able to get gains from the preassessment and auditing that was done.

This could go in the opposite direction. For example, with Int still unflat you go into preassessment, and you're not now addressing the subject of Int itself. You are now into chains that are not Int chains, with the Int chains themselves restimulated but not yet run, or not fully run. So it is actually a violation of basic tech and it would very swiftly get into a tangled mess.

The rule is: WHEN HANDLING INT YOU ADDRESS ONLY INT, NOTHING ELSE. AND YOU DO NOT RUN PREASSESSMENT OR AESPs ON INT.

We have a new, simplified Int Rundown with which to handle it and an extremely workable process in the End of Endless Int Repair Rundown which resolves any persistent Int trouble.

R3RA and preassessment can be run in full by the book, exactly per the New Era Dianetics Series, *after* Int handling has been completed.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH: dr
Copyright © 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 24 SEPTEMBER 1978
Issue III

Remimeo
AOs
NED Chkshts
Tech/Qual
All C/Ses
All Auditors
HCOs
Missions

DIANETIC CLEAR

(Ref: HCOB 12 Sep 78 URGENT—IMPORTANT, DIANETICS
FORBIDDEN ON CLEARS AND OTs)

(This bulletin revises the definition of "Dianetic Clear," page 113, Technical Dictionary, and the definition of "Keyed-Out Clear," page 221, Technical Dictionary.)

The state of Clear can be achieved on Dianetics.

I have now determined there is no such thing as Keyed-Out Clear. There is only a Dianetic Clear and he is a Clear.

The state of Dianetic Clear means the pc has erased his Dianetic case or mental image pictures; he has attained the ability to be at cause over mental matter, energy, space and time on the First Dynamic.

When this happens the person is not run further on Dianetics. He can be given Touch or Contact Assists (as can Scn Clears and OTs), and can be given NED for OTs once he is OT III. He is not to be given any Dianetic Auditing Assist nor any Dianetic auditing. (He can, of course, receive any actions on the Assist Summary bulletin, *excluding* R3RA.)

The Dianetic Clear, on achieving this state, can be audited on Scientology Grades 0-IV. He would not be run on the R3RA section of service facs, however. On completing Grades 0-IV, he is not run on Power, R6EW or the Clearing Course but goes onto OT I, after doing the Solo Auditor Course.

Should a pc being audited on Dianetics originate that he has achieved Dianetic Clear, or if a Dianetic auditor thinks this has occurred with his pc, the folders must be routed to an org C/S who is Clear or above and who can adjudicate.

(NOTE: No auditor or C/S must evaluate for a pc on this nor feed or coax him to any cognition, which is a comm-evable offense. Clears are made through auditing, not by feeding cognitions to pcs. This is important as someone who has not made Clear will *not* make it on the OT levels.)

Field auditors and missions would route the folders of a pc believed to be Dianetic Clear to the nearest org with a C/S who is Clear, for adjudication and declare of the state.

Such submissions must be handled promptly, so there is no delay put on any individual's progress up the Bridge.

Once declared, the pc folders must be clearly marked "DIANETIC CLEAR." The pc may then be C/Sed to receive Scientology auditing, per the above. The pc is not, however, given any further Dianetic auditing.

LRH:dr
Copyright © 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

HCO BULLETIN OF 25 SEPTEMBER 1978
Issue I

Interiorization Rundown Series 5

(Cancels BTB 30 Dec 71 IMPORTANT INTERIORIZATION
RUNDOWN COMMANDS)

NOTE: IN USING THESE COMMANDS (R3RA AND RECALLS) DO NOT *EVER* RUN A PC ON FLOW ZERO FOR THE FIRST TIME ON INT. HANDLING INT BY ANY METHOD IS NOT THE TIME TO INTRODUCE A FLOW ZERO ON A PC. A TRIPLE PC CAN BE QUADED *UP AFTER* INT HANDLING IS COMPLETE, BUT IT IS NEVER DONE ON INT HANDLING OR INT REPAIR.

The following are the R3RA Quad Flows commands for each of the Int buttons on the Interiorization Rundown Revised.

- 201

- F2: Locate a time when you interiorized another into something.
- F3: Locate a time when others interiorized others into something.
- F0: Locate a time when you interiorized yourself into something.
4. WANT TO GO IN:
- F1: Locate a time when you wanted to go into something.
- F2: Locate a time when you caused another to want to go into something
- F3: Locate a time when others caused others to want to go into something
- F0: Locate a time when you caused yourself to want to go into something
5. CAN'T GET IN:
- F1: Locate a time when you couldn't get in.
- F2: Locate a time when you caused another to be unable to get in.
- F3: Locate a time when others caused others to be unable to get in.
- F0: Locate a time when you caused yourself to be unable to get in.
6. KICKED OUT OF SPACES:
- F1: Locate a time when you were kicked out of spaces.
- F2: Locate a time when you kicked another out of spaces.
- F3: Locate a time when others kicked others out of spaces.
- F0: Locate a time when you caused yourself to be kicked out of spaces.
7. CAN'T GO IN:
- F1: Locate a time when you couldn't go in.
- F2: Locate a time when you caused another to be unable to go in.
- F3: Locate a time when others caused others to be unable to go in.
- F0: Locate a time when you caused yourself to be unable to go in.
8. BEING TRAPPED:
- F1: Locate a time when you were being trapped.
- F2: Locate a time when you were trapping another.
- F3: Locate a time when others were trapping others.
- F0: Locate a time when you were trapping yourself.
9. FORCED IN:
- F1: Locate a time when you were forced in.
- F2: Locate a time when you forced another in.

F3: Locate a time when others forced others in.

F0: Locate a time when you forced yourself in.

10. PULLED IN:

F1: Locate a time when you were pulled in.

F2: Locate a time when you pulled another in.

F3: Locate a time when others pulled others in.

F0: Locate a time when you pulled yourself in.

Each flow must be taken to the basic and the full New Era Dianetic EP: F/N, postulate off (postulate off = erasure) and VGIs. (Ref: HCOB 26 Jun 78RA II, New Era Dianetics Series 6RA, ROUTINE 3RA, ENGRAM RUNNING BY CHAINS.)

THE END OF ENDLESS INT REPAIR RUNDOWN

The following are the Recall Quad Flows commands for each of the Int buttons on the End of Endless Int Repair Rundown.

1. GO IN/WENT IN:

RF 1: Recall a time when you went in.

RF 2: Recall a time when you caused another to go in.

RF 3: Recall a time when others caused others to go in.

RF 0: Recall a time when you caused yourself to go in.

2. PUT IN:

RF 1: Recall a time when you were put in something.

RF 2: Recall a time when you put another in something.

RF 3: Recall a time when others put others in something.

RF 0: Recall a time when you put yourself in something.

3. INTERIORIZED INTO SOMETHING:

RF 1: Recall a time when you interiorized into something.

RF 2: Recall a time when you interiorized another into something.

RF 3: Recall a time when others interiorized others into something.

RF 0: Recall a time when you interiorized yourself into something.

4. WANT TO GO IN:

RF 1: Recall a time when you wanted to go into something.

RF 2: Recall a time when you caused another to want to go into something.

RF 3: Recall a time when others caused others to want to go into something

- RF 0: Recall a time when you caused yourself to want to go into something.
5. CAN'T GET IN:
- RF 1: Recall a time when you couldn't get in.
- RF 2: Recall a time when you caused another to be unable to get in.
- RF 3: Recall a time when others caused others to be unable to get in.
- RF 0: Recall a time when you caused yourself to be unable to get in.
6. KICKED OUT OF SPACES:
- RF 1: Recall a time when you were kicked out of spaces.
- RF 2: Recall a time when you kicked another out of spaces.
- RF 3: Recall a time when others kicked others out of spaces.
- RF 0: Recall a time when you caused yourself to be kicked out of spaces.
7. CAN'T GO IN:
- RF 1: Recall a time when you couldn't go in.
- RF 2: Recall a time when you caused another to be unable to go in.
- RF 3: Recall a time when others caused others to be unable to go in.
- RF 0: Recall a time when you caused yourself to be unable to go in.
8. BEING TRAPPED:
- RF 1: Recall a time when you were being trapped.
- RF 2: Recall a time when you were trapping another.
- RF 3: Recall a time when others were trapping others.
- RF 0: Recall a time when you were trapping yourself.
9. FORCED IN:
- RF 1: Recall a time when you were forced in.
- RF 2: Recall a time when you forced another in.
- RF 3: Recall a time when others forced others in.
- RF 0: Recall a time when you forced yourself in.
10. PULLED IN:
- RF 1: Recall a time when you were pulled in.
- RF 2: Recall a time when you pulled another in.
- RF 3: Recall a time when others pulled others in.
- RF 0: Recall a time when you pulled yourself in.

Each Recall Flow must be taken to F/N, VGIs.

(Ref: HCOB 24 Sep 78 I, Int RD Series 4, URGENT IMPORTANT, THE END
OF ENDLESS INT REPAIR RUNDOWN.)

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:dr
Copyright © 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 25 SEPTEMBER 1978
Issue II

Remimeo
HGC Auditors
Class VIII
CI IV Grad
C/Ses
Tech Secs
Qual Secs
Supervisors

(Cancels BTB 6 Jan 71R, same title)

Interiorization Rundown Series 14

**STARRATE CHECKOUTS FOR
INTERIORIZATION RUNDOWN**

(Ref: HCOB 4 Jan 71R	Int RD Series 2, EXTERIORIZATION & HIGH TA, THE INTERIORIZATION RUNDOWN REVISED
HCOB 25 Sep 78 I	Int RD Series 5, QUAD COMMANDS FOR INT BUTTONS
HCOB 24 Sep 78 I	Int RD Series 4, URGENT IMPORTANT THE END OF ENDLESS INT REPAIR RUNDOWN
HCOB 26 Jun 78RA	New Era Dianetics Series 6RA, ROUTINE 3RA, ENGRAM RUNNING BY CHAINS
HCOB 10 Sep 78	NED HIGH CRIME)

INT must be run flawlessly.

It is to be done by a Class IV auditor, skilled in the materials of that level, who is also certificate trained on New Era Dianetics and the running of R3RA.

BECAUSE IT IS MANDATORY THAT:

1. COMMANDS OF THE INTERIORIZATION RUNDOWN BE
CLEARED EXCELLENTLY FOR THE PC'S UNDERSTANDING,
2. THE GOING EARLIER COMMAND BE GIVEN FULLY WITH
THE ITEM,
3. THE AUDITOR UNDERSTAND FULLY THE THEORY AND
COMMANDS HE IS RUNNING.

ALL AUDITORS AND THE C/S OF INTERIORIZATION RUNDOWNS
MUST STARRATE WITH CLAY DEMOS ON THE THEORY AND
COMMANDS OF THE INT RD.

ALL AUDITORS MUST HAVE AN "OKAY TO AUDIT INT RUNDOWN"
FROM THE DIR OF VALIDITY OR THE QUAL SEC BEFORE THEY MAY
DO SO.

No auditor may audit a pc on the Interiorization Rundown unless he has passed
tough starrate checkouts and excellent clay demos on the materials below, and has an
"Okay to Audit Int RD" chit from Qual.

When he has done so, he is qualified and will be able to deliver an Interiorization
Rundown to his pc with the exceptional results for which this rundown was intended.

STARRATE CHECKOUTS FOR "OKAY TO AUDIT INT RD"

Auditor's Name: _____ Org: _____

I attest:

- a) I am a Senior Class IV or Class IV Graduate Auditor.
- b) I am certificate trained on the New Era Dianetics Course and the running of R3RA.

Auditor's Attest: _____ Date: _____

1. The following checkouts are to be done starrate to a pass from the Supervisor or Interne Supervisor.

1. CLAY DEMO:

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| a) Lock | k) Interiorization
(as went in) |
| b) Secondary | l) The picture
erasing |
| c) Engram | m) F/N |
| d) Chain | n) Cognition |
| e) Picture | o) Erasure |
| f) Solid | p) Postulate |
| g) Erasing | q) Postulate off
= erasure |
| h) Caused | |
| i) Exteriorization | |
| j) Interiorization
(as being in) | |

2. CLAY DEMO: (per HCOB 4 Jan 71 R)

- a) Commands for running Int by R3RA, including the going earlier and earlier beginning commands.

3. CLAY DEMO: (per HCOB 24 Sep 78 I, Int RD Series 4)

- a) The End of Endless Int Repair Rundown procedure and commands.

This auditor has done excellent clay demos on all of the above.

SUPERVISOR/INTERNE SUPERVISOR: _____ DATE: _____

4. STARRATE:

- a) HCOB 4 Jan 71R, Int RD Series 2, EXT AND HIGH TA, THE INT RD REVISED.
- b) HCOB 26 Jun 78RA, NED Series 6RA, ROUTINE 3RA, ENGRAM RUNNING BY CHAINS.
- c) HCOB 24 Sep 78 I, Int RD Series 4, URGENT IMPORTANT END OF ENDLESS INT REPAIR RD.

This auditor has passed tough Narrate checkouts on the above HCOBs.

SUPER/INTERNE SUPER: _____ DATE: _____

5. This auditor knows his R3RA and Int procedure cold and can apply it.

SUPER/INTERNE SUPER: _____ DATE: _____

6. This auditor has excellent TRs.

SUPER/INTERNE SUPER: _____ DATE: _____

II. I attest this auditor has been issued an "OKAY TO AUDIT INT RD" chit.

DIR VALIDITY/QUAL SEC: _____ DATE: _____

(Route this form to Course Admin for student's folder.)

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:jk/dr
Copyright © 1971, 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HCO BULLETIN OF 29 SEPTEMBER 1978

FESING OF FOLDERS AND FULL FLOW TABLES

It now becomes imperative that the name of the auditor be clearly noted on the FFT (Full Flow Table) as well as the FES. In making up FFTs on any Dianetic or NED auditing clearly note the auditor's name, as well as the date and item run by that auditor. Existing FFTs do not need to be redone—just print in the name of the auditor, in different coloured ink (to make it very visible) against the items run by that auditor.

PC's NAME

AUDITOR (Name)	DATE	ITEM RUN	F1	F2	F3	F0

In order to fully handle such a situation it is imperative that all the data above is available to a C/S or auditor.

LRH:dm.dr
Copyright © 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 3 OCTOBER 1978

Remimeo
NED Checksheet

NED RULE

A NEW ERA DIANETICS AUDITOR MUST UNDERSTAND THE FUNCTION AND PURPOSE OF EACH OF THE R3RA COMMANDS IN A DIANETIC SESSION .

A Dianetic session given in the absence of an understanding of the basic laws of the time track and how the R3RA commands handle and control the time track is a chancy proposition.

You will not have confidence in yourself as an auditor of New Era Dianetics nor get uniformly good results with R3RA until you know this. No rote procedure, L3RF, TR4, or any remedy or solution can take the place of such an understanding.

Every New Era Dianetics auditor is to study the references and demo out what each R3RA command does (showing how it affects the pc and the bank) to a full understanding.

The following are your references:

Dianetics: The Modern Science of Mental Health

Dianetics: The Original Thesis

HCOB 15 May 63	The Time Track and Engram Running by Chains Bulletin I
HCOB 8 Jun 63R	The Time Track and Engram Running by Chains Bulletin II
HCOB 26 Jun 78RA	New Era Dianetics Series 6RA Routine 3RA Engram Running by Chains
HCOB 27 Jan 74	Dianetic R3R Commands Have Background Data.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:mdf
Copyright © 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 4 OCTOBER 1978

Remimeo
Auditors
C/Ses
Class IV Grad
Tech/Qual

Interiorization Rundown Series 1

INTERIORIZATION HANDLING SIMPLIFIED

(Ref: HCOB 4 Jan 71R Int RD Series 2, Exteriorization and High TA,
The Interiorization Rundown Revised
HCOB 24 Sep 781 Int RD Series 4, Urgent—Important,
The End of Endless Int Repair Rundown.)

Exteriorization

Exteriorization is defined as the act of moving out of the body with or without full perception.

It is the fact of this act which proves that the individual is not a body but an individual. This discovery in 1952 proved beyond any question the existence of a thetan, that the individual was a thetan, not a body, and disproved that Man was an animal, and proved that he was a spiritual being, timeless and deathless.

The issues on exteriorization and interiorization and the handling of out-Int have now been collected into the Interiorization Rundown Series.

We have had the remedy for out-Int, the Interiorization Rundown, for some years now, but we have also had pcs who ran into the need for excessive repair of the remedy itself. Much of this need for repair has stemmed from auditor errors in running or repairing Int, and these have been enumerated on other bulletins.

Whatever the reasons for repair, a simple, effective method of repairing Int was needed. This need has now been filled with the release of the new End of Endless Int Repair Rundown.

With the research that was done to develop this repair rundown which uses Recalls, I have also had the opportunity to reevaluate the original Int Rundown itself. The result is a newly revised Int Rundown.

So we have two very effective new tools for handling Int:

1. A simplified Interiorization Rundown
2. The End of Endless Int Repair Rundown, which handles Int repair smoothly and terminatedly by a special method of assessment and running it on Recall flows.

The full steps of both of these rundowns are included in issues in the Interiorization Rundown Series.

NOTE: Per HCOB 12 Sep 78, "Urgent Important, Dianetics Forbidden on Clears and OTs," Dianetic Clears, Scn Clears and OTs are not to be audited on the Int Rundown as it uses Dianetics. They may be run on The End of Endless Int Repair RD (HCOB 24 Sep 78 I, Int RD Series 4), as it runs Int on Recalls.

Additionally, the basics on exteriorization and interiorization are covered quite fully in the Int RD Series, particularly in HCOB 4 Jan 71R, Exteriorization and High TA, The Interiorization Rundown Revised.

Any auditor who is going to go near an Int Rundown or an Int repair action must know those fundamentals cold.

He must understand that it is the first of a chain or the first part of an experience or a first experience (basic on the chain of incidents) that has to be run for the chain or incident to erase. In other words, he must understand the principle of getting the earlier beginning to an incident or an earlier incident in order to erase a chains as in R3RA.

He must understand that if one is IN something, he must have gotten into it. And that, therefore, the beginning of an exteriorization is an interiorization.

The full theory on this also is covered in the above HCOB 4 Jan 71R, which the auditor should be fully familiar with.

There is some further data which you should have, on the subject of Int and flows.

Basically, Int is a compound of stuck flows and prior incidents. There is a stuck flow of obsessively going in. In most of the pc's Int engrams you've got an operating trigger that puts him into them. The earlier beginning is always "in." These must be audited out, blown, before you're through with Int.

The way this trigger works is, for example: A pc may blow out of his head with F/N, VGIs on Tuesday. But he has not erased the basic on Int. He went out on a "reaction flow" on Tuesday. On Friday he comes in with his TA at 5. What has happened is the flow has retriggered. He's now blown back in on a "re-reaction flow." Any regular auditing and he will plow in deeper. So you've got to handle his Int terminatedly.

Prior to now, an Int Rundown has been done by clearing and then assessing the Int buttons "went in" and "go in." If one of them read the Int button was first run on Recall Triple or Quad Flows, next on Secondaries Triple or Quad Flows, and then on Engrams Triple or Quad Flows. This handled Int for many, many pcs. But it is probable that one reason we also got so many Int repairs was that in many of these repair cases the pc never ran any basic. Beginning the Int Rundown with Recalls with the stuck flow of "going in" still in operation you could get a key-out, key-in, key-out, key-in repeatedly and not get to the basic.

We had an exteriorization command in the early years which was "Try not to be three feet back of your head," and it exteriorized people. But all that did was unstick the flow and trigger the person out of his head. You're likely to get the same result if you run Int by Recall first crack. You give the command "Recall a time...." and boom, he's out. But he hasn't run the basic on Int.

So if you entered an Int Rundown on a Recall basis you could get some of that mechanism cutting in. And you could get repetitive Int, with the engrams he didn't run out keying in.

There is another phenomenon that can occur. Time itself can be a stuck flow.

You get a certain number of pcs who can't move back on the time track more than minutes. They get stuck on the stuck flow of time. On Recall commands such a pc may F/N very quickly. (Or even on an R3RA command, "Locate a time when you went in."

he may run shallow, he may run only locks and F/N quickly.) Then suddenly he hits the skids and goes hurtling backtrack. The flow is reversed and he doesn't fire out of his head, he fires backwards on the time track, on a restim. And you'll have out-Int repeating itself all over again. That's the rest of the mechanism.

Addressed in R3RA engram auditing properly done, always getting the earlier beginning and/or the earlier incident, these chains of incidents on the stuck flow of going in can be audited out in an orderly fashion on the majority of pcs. You erase the engrams and you dissolve the obsessive stuck flow of going in, and you have the EP of Int.

Or, at some point in the engram auditing the flow gets unstuck enough to heave into reverse, it heaves in the opposite direction and it erases itself and the whole package blows. That, too, is an EP for Int which must not be ignored by the auditor. (See HCOB 4 Jan 71R.)

Thereafter, the pc will usually have no more trouble or concern with Int.

So we are safer entering the Int Rundown by running engrams to begin with, and running only engrams on that rundown, and that is how the revised Int Rundown has now been set up. We had better run the engram chains and their basics out first and then, if repair is needed, repair them with Recalls, using the End of Endless Int Repair Rundown.

MORE ON RECALLS

Entering Int with Recalls has its liabilities, as described above. But there are also definite advantages in having Recalls as a tool to use, as necessary, in running Int on some cases.

You are going to encounter some few isolated instances where the pc can't run engrams for one reason or another. Such pcs can then be audited by the Recall method as given in the End of Endless Int Repair Rundown, using the rundown not as a repair but as a process. Dianetic Clears, Scn Clears and OTs can be handled on out-Int with this method. It can also be used to relieve out-Int on weak or ill pcs until they are up to running engrams.

It is not a fast method. Using the Recall system (per End of Endless Int Repair RD) to run out-Int, can go on and on. In time though, by taking the pc up on a gradient, you can eventually get him to a point where he is actually as-is-ing engrams, blowing them by inspection. The revised Int Rundown is by far the swifter route for handling a pc initially on out-Int.

However, the use of Recalls is ideal in the handling of *repair* of Int, when it is necessary after an Int Rundown has been done. The End of Endless Int Repair Rundown gives the exact method for assessment of the Int buttons and flows and running these on Recalls as a repair action. And here we get a smooth run on the Recall flows and the resolving of any Int troubles.

Thus, from this research we get a new, simplified version of the Int Rundown and an invaluable process for any Int repair.

Further issues in the Int Rundown Series cover these and other technical data relating to Int.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH: jk
Copyright © 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 16 OCTOBER 1978

Class IV Grad
Chksht
Class VI
Checksheet
C/Ses

(Cancels and replaces HCOB 21 Dec 75R
Repair List for Prepared Lists.)

REPAIR CORRECTION LIST

Use this list to clean up bypassed charge on improperly done or unnecessary prepared lists or repair actions. This list is done when a pc protests a prepared list or repair action, when BIs are present on the subject of repair or prepared lists or when improper past repair or use of correction lists reads on a correction list.

Assess this list Method 5 and handle all reads. The EP of this list is charge off the subject of repair and prepared lists and the pc happy about being audited. This list can, if necessary, be reassessed and taken to an F/Ning assessment.

The words "prepared list," "repair" and all other words on this list should be fully cleared with the pc before assessing this list on him. However, if the pc is very upset and the words have not yet been cleared, assess the list to handle the charge and check with the pc for any MUs on the assessment. (Ref: HCOB 9 Aug 78 II CLEARING COMMANDS)

1. HAVE YOU GONE EXTERIOR IN AUDITING? _____
If the pc has never had an Int RD, do an Int RD per HCOB 4 Jan 71R Int RD Series 2 EXTERIORIZATION AND HIGH TA THE INT RD REVISED if you have checked out on the Int Series and are a NED auditor.

If the pc has had an Int RD, do an Int RD Correction List Revised (HCOB 29 Oct 71 RA) or end off for C/S instructions to do an End of Endless Int Repair RD per HCOB 24 Sep 78 I URGENT IMPORTANT END OF ENDLESS INT REPAIR RD.
2. HAS YOUR INT RD BEEN MESSED UP? _____
Do an Int RD Correction List or end off for C/S instructions to do the End of Endless Int Repair RD.
3. DO YOU HAVE AN OUT-LIST? _____
L4BRA.
4. HAVE YOU HAD TOO MANY PREPARED LISTS DONE ON YOU? _____
Indicate. Itsa E/S itsa to F/N or Date/Locate the first successful prepared list.
5. HAVE YOU HAD UNNECESSARY REPAIRS? _____
Indicate. Itsa E/S itsa to F/N or Date/Locate the first valid repair.
6. HAS AN AUDITOR MISSED A READ? _____
Get what, itsa E/S itsa to F/N, or handle as needed.
7. DID YOU THINK SOMETHING SHOULD HAVE READ WHEN IT DIDN'T? _____
Get what, itsa E/S itsa to F/N, or handle as needed.
8. HAS AN ITEM NOT READ WHEN IT SHOULD HAVE? _____
Get what, itsa E/S itsa to F/N, or handle as needed.

9. HAS THERE BEEN A FALSE READ? _____
Indicate. Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
10. WERE YOU TOLD SOMETHING READ WHEN YOU DIDN'T SEE HOW IT COULD HAVE? _____
Get what, indicate it was a false read. Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
11. DID THE AUDITOR TAKE UP AN ITEM WITH NO CHARGE ON IT? _____
Indicate. Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
12. WERE YOU GIVEN A PREPARED LIST TO HANDLE HIGH TA WHEN YOUR TA WASN'T HIGH? _____
Indicate. Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
13. WERE YOU GIVEN A PREPARED LIST TO HANDLE LOW TA WHEN YOUR TA WASN'T LOW? _____
Indicate. Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
14. HAS THERE BEEN A TIME WHEN YOU DIDN'T WANT TO DO A PREPARED LIST AND THE AUDITOR DID ONE ANYWAY? _____
Indicate. Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
15. HAS THERE BEEN A TIME WHEN YOU DIDN'T WANT TO GET A REPAIR ACTION AND YOU WERE GIVEN ONE ANYWAY? _____
Indicate. Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
16. WHILE BEING AUDITED ON A PREPARED LIST DID YOU JUST WANT TO GET ON WITH IT? _____
Indicate. Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
17. DID YOU TELL THE AUDITOR SOMETHING WAS HANDLED JUST TO BE DONE WITH IT? _____
Indicate. Itsa E/S itsa to F/N. Note for C/S.
18. HAS OVERREPAIR KEPT YOU FROM GOING UP THE GRADE CHART? _____
Indicate. Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
19. HAVE YOU BEEN TOLD A REPAIR ACTION WOULD HANDLE YOUR CASE WHEN IT DIDN'T? _____
Indicate. 2WC to F/N or 2WC for data.
20. HAS AN AUDITOR MISASSESSED A PREPARED LIST ON YOU? _____
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
21. WHEN YOU HAD A PREPARED LIST DONE, DID IT FAIL TO HANDLE THE BYPASSED CHARGE? _____
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
22. DID A REPAIR ACTION FAIL TO HANDLE WHAT WAS REALLY WRONG? _____
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
23. HAVE YOU BEEN ASSESSED BY AN AUDITOR WITH BAD TRs? _____
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.

24. HAS AN AUDITOR TOLD YOU YOU HAD AN F/N WHEN
YOU KNEW YOU DIDN'T? _____
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
25. DID AN AUDITOR EVER INDICATE AN ASSESSMENT WAS
F/NING WHEN YOU KNEW IT WASN'T? _____
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
26. WERE YOU ASKED TO DECLARE A CYCLE YOU FELT
WASN'T COMPLETE? _____
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
27. DID YOU EVER FEEL A PREPARED LIST SHOULD HAVE
F/NED ON AN ASSESSMENT WHEN IT DIDN'T? _____
Indicate. Rehab the EP or Date/Locate the point.
28. ON A REPAIR ACTION, WERE YOU PREVENTED FROM
ATTESTING? _____
Indicate. Date/Locate the EP of the repair.
29. HAS YOUR REPAIR BEEN REPAIRED OFTEN? _____
Indicate. Itsa E/S itsa to F/N or Date/Locate the first successful
repair.
30. HAS AN F/N BEEN MISSED? _____
Indicate. Rehab it.
31. HAS A WIN BEEN BYPASSED? _____
Indicate. Rehab.
32. HAS SOMETHING BEEN OVERRUN? _____
Get what. Rehab it.
33. IS THERE SOME OTHER BYPASSED CHARGE? _____
Find what and handle.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:mm.dr
Copyright © 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 16 OCTOBER 1978
Issue II

C/Ses
Tech/Qual
Class IV Grad

Interiorization Rundown Series 16

C/S Series 102

C/S CHECKLIST OF INT ERRORS

There are two major errors that occur most frequently on Int handling which a C/S must be on the alert for:

1. DOING OR RUNNING *ANYTHING* ELSE BEFORE AN INT RUNDOWN WHEN ONE IS NEEDED.
2. OVERRUNNING THE INT RUNDOWN.

By far the commonest error is number one above. It happens most often at the very beginning of a session on Int itself, by the auditor engaging in two-way comm or ruds or a belabored and overcomplicated clearing of commands, or some other action than getting right onto the running of Int.

This is flagrant. When I was C/Sing, it is what I kept running into—the auditor doing all kinds of preliminary steps before starting Int. It boils down to auditing over out-Int, and it can't be done.

You'll get the auditor who says, "But all I did was ask him how he was feeling." That's enough. That's two-way comm, and you can't run *anything* else but Int when Int is out, and that includes two-way comm. You don't ask the pc how he feels about anything. You just start the Int Rundown.

So that's the first major error to watch for: somebody trying to run something else before the Int Rundown itself.

The second is OVERRUN. Pc has a big cognition, a big win, TA blowdown, and the auditor misses it, goes right on past it and continues auditing. Or the pc exteriorizes and the auditor continues past exterior.

There is vital data on the end phenomena of Int on HCOB 4 Jan 71R, Int RD Series 2, and both C/S and auditor MUST have this data and know and be able to recognize the EP of Int when it occurs. Otherwise it will really mess up a case.

Those are the two major violations a C/S (and an auditor) must not permit in the running of Int if it is to be successful.

Because they are the most major errors they have been included first on the checklist below.

C/S CHECKLIST FOR DETECTING ERRORS ON INT:

The following is a checklist to be used in the C/Sing of Int. The C/S checks a bogged session or any session on Int, against this list to detect the exact cause of the trouble, or an error that could be the source of future trouble in ensuing sessions.

1. Doing or running *anything* else before an Int Rundown when one is needed. (Includes ruds, 2-way comm, L1C, anything.)
2. Auditing over out-Int.
3. Overrunning the Int Rundown.
4. Auditing past exterior.
5. Overdoing the clearing steps preceding the actual rundown.
6. Running an Int button that only read on an MU or false read. (Failure to clear an Int button before running it.)
7. Clearing *all* of the Int buttons before assessment, instead of clearing only the button with the largest valid read.
8. Failure to use Suppress, Invalidate, and Misunderstood on an unreading Int button list.
9. Misassessment of the Int button list.
10. Doing an Int Rundown when none of the buttons have read. (Constitutes running an unreading item.)
11. Auditor can't get reads or make a list read.
12. Not taking the Int Rundown to its full EP.
13. Not understanding the theory of Int and R3RA, and WHY one goes earlier or asks for an earlier beginning to the incident.
14. Running the concept of "was in" or "stuck in" instead of the concept of "moving in" or "going in" (on whatever the reading Int button is).
15. Not repeating the actual button for the chain when asking for an earlier incident. (Not knowing R3RA commands.)
16. Not completing a chain to full Dianetic EP.
17. Not completing any one flow on an Int button in one session; thus ending a session on an unflat flow.
18. Introducing Flow 0 to a pc for the first time on Int Rundown or Int repair. (I.e. running a Triple pc on Quad Flows.)
19. Auditing over an earlier Dianetic error.
20. Auditing the rundown "to exteriorize" the pc.
21. Using preassessment or AESPs on Int.
22. Misassessing or incorrectly handling the Int Correction List.
23. Overcorrecting the Int Rundown.
24. Running Dianetics on a Dianetic Clear, Scn Clear or OT.
25. And, on the part of the C/S, attempting to correct a botched Int Rundown without a full FES of the Int RD or any Int repair being done first.

The above points are all covered fully in the Int Rundown Series. Cases that are not running well on Int will be found to have had one or more of these errors committed on them.

Using the above list to spot and *prevent* Int errors will make the C/S's job lighter and give both auditor and pc a smoother run on Int.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH: jk
Copyright © 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 30 OCTOBER 1978

Class IV Grad
Checksheet
Class VI
Checksheet
C/Ses
Class IV Grad
& above
Auditors

**C/S SERIES 53,
USE OF**

The C/S Series 53 Short Form is the basic prepared list used by auditors to get a TA up or down into normal range or to correct case outnesses. It contains every element that could be wrong with the mind. It is written the way it is because we just want to know if a subject reads in the pc's mind, and if so you handle it to F/N.

The C/S Series 53 Long Form is exactly the same as the short form except that it puts the items into full questions so that a less educated pc can understand what is being asked. The questions actually limit its use a bit, but are needed with uneducated pcs. The limit comes about through making the questions a bit too specific whereas the general questions of the short form don't say to what they apply so are less limited.

Both the short form and the long form are assessed Method 5. (This means going down the list, calling off the items or questions to the pc, watching the meter and marking any tick, small fall, fall, long fall, long fall blowdown (to what TA). Do not take up instant F/Ns. You can program instant F/Ns, but not off a C/S 53.

The order the reads must be taken up is built into the list itself. You can't audit a case on anything if Int is out. Auditing must be very limited if a list is out. If you audit over an ARC break very long the pc will go into a sad effect. If you audit over a problem the pc won't make case gain. If you audit over a withhold the pc will get mad at you. If you look at this and compare it to the C/S 53 you will see that the list itself is built on a declining order of urgency. It is true of the remaining items on the list.

There are two ways of using a C/S 53. The first is to simply assess it and indicate the largest read. This is a sort of brushoff but is very useful in handling blown students or pcs, will get off charge and get them back into the org or more comfortable. The other way is its proper use in session. You simply start at the first read and handle it. Go to the next read and handle it, etc. Note that this is at variance with the general handling of prepared lists where you simply take the largest read and next largest read and so forth.

That an item reads, with the exception of Int, does not mean you have to do a full rundown at that point. You just have to F/N it. If further actions are needed to take an item to full handling, get it onto the pc's Advance Program. (This includes drug handling, etc. but not, as I've said, Int. If Int reads, you handle it fully because no auditing can take place over out-Int. If he has already had a full Int Rd you would run the End of Endless Int Repair Rundown. If he or she is already Clear or OT and has not had an Int RD you would run the End of Endless Int Repair Rundown as the primary action.)

The object of the C/S 53 is to key out things that are bothering the pc and get anything you found on the list to an F/N. That something read (except Int) does not mean the auditor doing the C/S 53 must at once run up 110 hours of auditing before he can finish the C/S 53 to an F/Ning list. Auditors who don't realize this can get stuck in on a C/S 53 mostly because they misconceive a C/S 53 to be wholly a case analysis list.

Its primary purpose is to get the case rolling so you can do something else that is scheduled on the pc's program. Because it can be made to serve as a case analysis and is sometimes called for by a C/S to help him do a repair or advance program or to confirm it, sometimes misleads the auditor into believing he is not supposed to F/N the list.

I have even used a C/S 53 in a D of P interview when the pc wasn't speaking up. Then by getting the reads I could program the pc and unless it was Int reading I would conclude the interview simply by indicating the largest read which would get me my F/N and let the pc go away happy while we really got to work on him in the C/S department.

So the C/S 53 is a child of many uses as it does after all, contain all the elements known to us that bring about case foul-ups.

CLEARs AND OTs

Sections D and E of the C/S 53 *can* be assessed on Clear's and OT's and Dianetic Clear's. However do NOT engage in any activity that brings about further engram running. The correct way to handle Clear's and OT's if you get a read in Section D is indicate and let him tell you about it if he wishes, to get an F/N. On Section E you can do an L3RF but you must not do more than indicate the item. You must NOT engage in engram running. (Ref: HCOB 12 Sep 78, DIANETICS FORBIDDEN ON CLEARs AND OTs)

You realize of course that LSD and some other drugs can apparently stay in the physiological body and release themselves now and then. If a Clear, OT or Dianetic Clear got a read on Section D (drugs, etc.) and it didn't clear up easily you would program the person for a Sweat Program and even Objectives. You would not however, do any engram running on the drugs. Even Recalls might be a bit dicey. The Sweat Program and Objectives would however handle, if you got into the goofy situation of heavy or persistent Section D reads on a Clear, OT or Dianetic Clear. It would not be very usual but you better know about it.

On Section E (engrams and masses, etc.) if you got reads on a Clear, OT or Dianetic Clear you could indicate them and if they didn't clear to F/N you could do an L3RF, remembering that the instructions on the L3RF handlings do not apply. Your actions as an auditor would simply be to indicate the read and you would probably get your F/N, providing of course your read wasn't false. The way to program a persistent read on this would be to get the person up to OT I, II and III fast. And then program NED for OT's. But whatever you do don't try to run these reads out with Dianetics.

The rest of the C/S 53 (except D and E as above) is quite valid on Clear's, OT's and Dianetic Clear's as it is mostly concerned with think, environment and practices.

F/Ning A C/S 53

Unless you run into the necessity to do an Int handling or you goof or get false reads you can F/N a whole C/S 53 rather rapidly.

C/S 53 TO F/Ning LIST

Getting a C/S 53 to an F/Ning list is done by Method 5ing it, handling any Int RD necessary and handling the rest of the items, each to F/N.

You then Method 5 the whole C/S 53 again. You may pick up an additional read or two. You F/N these. Hopefully, if Int is now handled properly, if it did read in the first place, it won't read again. But if it does, you have the End of Endless Int Repair

RD which if done already may not have been carried to EP so you simply get it carried to EP, which is an F/Ning Int button list.

You then Method 5 the C/S 53 again. You will probably get an F/Ning assessment throughout. If you don't you simply Method 5 it again.

The eventual EP of getting a prepared list to F/N will occur unless the meter, the auditor's metering or TRs, or use of the list are badly out. The thing to do in that event is to get another auditor or get liberally crammed or retreaded, as frankly, F/Ning a C/S 53 is a piece of cake.

F/Ning a C/S 53 to F/Ning list is relatively easy to do and can produce a remarkable resurgence of case. It's an easy and simple way to do a set-up for a major rundown.

BUG

Some pcs, particularly those who have a false TA have gotten so bugged by C/S 53s being done on them that when they see an auditor reach for one, they react adversely.

The way to handle this is 2WC the C/S 53 itself, E/S, taking the F/Ns and ignoring the TA position and then do a full false TA handling on the pc per HCOB 21 Jan 77RA, FALSE TA CHECKLIST.

In essence what you have discovered is the biggest reading item of all without even looking at your meter.

No further repair is needed than the above as a C/S 53 will now work like a clock and can be done smoothly and correctly. It will even pick up the latent charge of "Endless C/S 53s" if you do the above.

The C/S 53 Series is a wonderful tool and like any tool can be well handled or mishandled.

Part of its proper use is understanding exactly what it is and handling it with a good meter, good metering, and good TRs.

There is no other document in history that has rounded up so completely the factors which can be wrong with the mind. And also put it, in its short form, on one sheet of paper.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:dr
Copyright © 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 30 OCTOBER 1978

Remimeo
Qual Divs
Tech Divs
KOTs
All Supervisors
HCOs
Student Hat FB
Action Bu Hat
FOLOs

COURSES—THEIR IDEAL SCENE

(REFERENCES:

HCO PL 7 Feb 65	KEEPING SCIENTOLOGY WORKING
Reiss. 15.6.70. Reiss. 28.1.73	
HCO PL 7 May 69 II	STUDENTS GUIDE TO ACCEPTABLE BEHAVIOUR
HCO PL 16 Apr 65	THE "HIDDEN DATA LINE"
HCO PL 24 Oct 68	SUPERVISOR KNOW-HOW, RUNNING THE CLASS
HCO PL 16 Mar 71R	WHAT IS A COURSE?)

There are two ways a course can be run. It can be in-ethics or out-ethics.

An in-ethics course means that HCOBs are applied, verbal data doesn't exist whatsoever, schedules are strictly adhered to, musters are held, the Supervisor applies *all* the study tech, etc.

An out-ethics course would be anything less and sometimes becomes so obviously out-ethics that you see students goofing around on course, late arrivals for roll call, students taking cigarette breaks whenever they feel like it, verbal data running rampant, and a Course Supervisor that does nothing but stand around.

There's such a thing as group agreement and if a new student walks into an out-ethics course room, he will tend to go into agreement with it and join in.

There's no in-between point. A course (or any activity for that matter) cannot be run semi-standardly or with "pretty much in-ethics." It must be run with totally standard tech and in-ethics. If this is not the case you'll get a gradually lowered ethics level, admin will go out and standard tech slips down to "some of the tech being applied when we can."

When a student or Supervisor goes into a course room and sees things that are out-ethics or nonstandard or "not the way Ron says they should be" and doesn't do something effective to handle the scene then he himself will become part of it; he goes into agreement with it and will actually contribute to the out-ethics.

This situation is a widespread thing in our society today. It's not limited to our course rooms. You see it in marriages. It has become an acceptable thing to get divorced, create broken homes, cheat on your spouse. In the world of big business you're told to swindle Mr. X before he swindles you. This is group agreement. It is agreed upon out-ethics.

Now, if a course room is run like this you'll get auditors who won't keep auditing appointments, misapply tech, fail to handle their pcs' ethics, give and accept verbal data, have nonstandard admin, etc., etc. You will also train executives who will operate out-ethics, off-policy orgs. Either way, you're setting yourself up for losses.

Therefore, it is now a Comm Ev offense for a Course Supervisor or MAA (Ethics Officer) to allow the following out-ethics activities in their course rooms, with the result of declare of being a suppressive person:

1. Does not muster his students in the morning, after lunch, and after dinner. precisely on time, note absences and take action.
2. Permit students to talk to each other or wander around or take unscheduled breaks or goof off during course hours.
3. Permit students to eat or smoke in the course room.
4. Permitting persons to come into the course room and bother students for any reason.
5. Supervisor standing around or sitting at his desk not actively handling students who need help.
6. Not getting students through their course and graduated.

It goes without saying that all elements of HCO PL 16 March 71R WHAT IS A COURSE? should be in on a course. A Supervisor who does not run a course per checksheet, lets students study without dictionaries and demo kits, does not make all materials available and does not fully apply study tech and use Word Clearing is of course suppressive and should be declared, as he is actively blocking Scientologists from having and benefiting from Scientology.

Flag and FOLO observers and missions should always have a target to see that this policy letter is fully in.

You see, our success in clearing this planet depends upon the success of our courses as this is where we train our auditors, C/Ses, Supervisors and administrators and *that is the whole* team!

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

As Assisted by
LRH Tech Comps Pjt Ops

LRH:MM:dr
Copyright © 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 10 NOVEMBER 1978R

Remimeo

Issue 1

REVISED 3 DECEMBER 1978

(Revision in this type style)

(Also issued as an HCO Policy Letter
under same date and title.)

PROCLAMATION
POWER TO FORGIVE

A Scientology minister who has been duly trained and certified in the Confessional procedure of the Church of Scientology and is in good standing with the Church with his certificates in force, is invested with the power to forgive the admitted sins of an individual to whom he has administered full Confessional procedure.

Confessionals have been part and parcel of religion nearly as long as religion has existed.

It has been broadly recognized down through the ages that only when a person has owned up to his sins can he experience relief from the burden of guilt he carries because of them.

In Scientology we have had, since the early years, procedures whereby an individual is able to confess his withholds and the overt acts underlying them. We have long known that confessing one's overt acts is the first step toward taking responsibility for them and seeking to make things right again.

The acknowledgement that follows each confession in Scientology procedure is an assurance to the person that his confession has been heard.

Such assurance helps him to end cycle on the bad things he has done and unsticks him from a preoccupation with his guilt over them to where he can then put his attention on constructive activities.

That is the purpose of any Confessional.

There is another element that further helps the individual to accomplish this, and that is forgiveness.

Thus, at the end of a Confessional, when it has been fully completed, the Scientology auditor who has administered the Confessional must inform the person that he is forgiven for the sins he has just confessed, and that he is cleared of these sins and free of them.

The statement that is used is:

"By the power invested in me, any overt acts and withholds you have fully and truthfully told me are forgiven by Scientologists."

A special certificate is to be issued to each Scientology minister who has been trained and certified on the Level II Course or the Confessional Course to administer Confessional procedure, and who is in good standing with the Church with his certificates in force, investing him with the power to forgive the sins confessed to him by an individual in a Confessional session.

Any auditor who is trained to deliver the Ethics Repair List has priority in the issuance of such certificate.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:jk.nc
Copyright © 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 10 NOVEMBER 1978R-1
Issue I
ADDITION OF 26 NOVEMBER 1978

C/Ses
Auditors
Tech/Qual
Confessional
Course

**PROCLAMATION: POWER TO FORGIVE
ADDITION**

Addition to HCOB 10 Nov 78R, Issue I
Proclamation: Power to Forgive

Reference: BTB 8 Dec 72RCs The Confessional
Repair List (LCRC)

ON ANY ADVERSE REACTION TO THE PROCLAMATION OF FORGIVENESS, GET THE REST OF THE WITHHOLD OR REPAIR THE WITHHOLD SESSION.

When the Scientology minister doing a Confessional or Ethics Repair List acknowledges the confession and informs the person that his confessed overt and withholds are forgiven, the usual response is instant relief and VGIs. Rarely the person may react adversely such as not being able to accept forgiveness or still feeling bad. This is because something has been missed. The person is still stuck in the shame, blame and regret of the unconfessed overt or withhold and will not feel better until all is told. The Scientology minister encountering this in session must get the rest of the withhold or repair the withhold session. Should the person show this reaction later, outside of session, the folder must be turned in to the C/S to handle immediately.

An incomplete confession can be due to the following errors:

- (a) Did not tell "all."
- (b) Thought of one overt, but told a different overt.
- (c) Told part of a withhold but not the rest.
- (d) An overt or withhold was not taken earlier similar to basic.
- (e) During the session an overt or withhold was restimulated, but not asked for or gotten off.
- (f) There have been errors in the Confessional such as withholds gotten off more than once, false reads, out-TRs, invalidation, evaluation, etc., and these must be cleaned up.

The above categories and the Confessional Repair List are useful to a C/S in correcting any adverse reaction to the Power to Forgive Proclamation, by ensuring that the person gets the full relief and VGIs which invariably accompany a complete confession and forgiveness.

LRH:dm.kjm
Copyright © 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 15 NOVEMBER 1978

C/Ses
Tech/Qual
Auditors
Class IV

DATING AND LOCATING

(Reference:

Book: AXIOMS AND LOGICS

Book: THE BOOK OF E-METER DRILLS
EM DRILL 22, E-METER HIDDEN DATE,;
THIS LIFE EM DRILL 25 TRACK DATING

HCOB ALL ROUTINES, E-METER ERRORS,
4 Aug 63 COMMUNICATION CYCLE ERROR.)

Axiom 30: “The general rule of auditing is that anything which is unwanted and yet persists must be thoroughly viewed, at which time it will vanish.”

—The Axioms of Scientology

Excerpt from Axiom 38: “... Truth is the exact time, place, form and event.... Thus we see that the discovery of Truth would bring about an As-is-ness by actual experiment.”

—The Axioms of Scientology

A thetan knows that if he could remember the exact place a thing had been generated, the exact time and the exact conditions, and the exact person who did it, he would then get a disappearance of the thing.

Dating is the action the auditor takes to help the pc spot the exact *time* something happened.

Locating is the action the auditor takes to help the pc spot the exact *place* something happened.

By dating and locating, getting the exact time and place a specific thing happened, the pc is able to blow the mass and energy connected with the occurrence which has hung him up at that point.

Date/Locate, as called for in the various prepared correction lists, is a very effective tool for the handling of certain items found to be out on such a list. These could be points of overrun, wins that have been bypassed or invalidated in some way, moments of loss, trauma, painful emotion, or any of various stuck points of this nature. It is also used in the correction of wrong dates or wrong locations given the pc in previous auditing.

In any of these cases the pc will be somewhat stuck at these points, or at the very least will have some attention units hung up at these points, and getting the exact “when” and “where” will unstick him.

That which is viewed in its own time, place, form and event can be as-is-ed.

WHY YOU DATE AND LOCATE

A person can have a wrong date for an incident, or he might have a date totally occluded. He might have a confusion on two incidents, thinking one happened before the other when it is actually vice versa. He could have two incidents collapsed into one, time-wise. Or, more severely, he can be stuck in the time of an incident which acts as present time for him. In other words, that time is still in existence for him in PT. He is seeing things from that point in time.

By dating it exactly you blow the stuck viewpoint in time. You see it as a blow-down on the meter and the pc will feel the sudden dissipation of mass.

The question might then arise: If you have dated an incident to a “blow” what is there left to blow on the Locate step? The answer is that, in addition to a stuck viewpoint in time, a person can have a stuck viewpoint of location.

Since he had the wrong time, he could, as well, have the wrong location, or an occluded location, or a confusion between two or more locations. He could be quite stuck in a past location. That past location is still in existence for him. The point of view from that location is still there for him.

So you date *and* locate. Finding when it was and where it was blows both the time of the incident and the place of the incident. If he’s still hung up in either of these he’s out of present time and out of present location. Getting the precise date and location blows him out of these into present time.

Sometimes during dating the pc spots the correct past location simultaneously with getting the correct date, and it (the location) then blows at the same time. The auditor must know that this can occur and recognize when it does as he would not then rotely try to put the pc through the Locate steps.

DATE/LOCATE NOT A ROTE PROCEDURE

While Date/Locate is a precise action, it is by no means a rote procedure. The auditor must know the theory of the action if he is to Date/Locate successfully. He must understand that he takes the pc’s data and he works with the pc. Robotic application of the steps will not only not produce the desired result but can result in messing up a case badly.

BLOW

Definition of blow: The sudden dissipation of mass in the mind with an accompanying feeling of relief. (Technical Dictionary.)

This definition must be understood by both auditor and pc. It is cleared with the pc before beginning the Date/Locate steps. Have the pc demo it, using a demo kit, if necessary, so he has no confusion on it.

A blow is a definite manifestation. Vital data for the auditor is that the pc must say “Something blew” or “It disappeared” or “It’s gone” or “It vanished,” not simply “I feel lighter.”

METERING

During Dating/Locating the pc is on the meter but the auditor is consulting the pc and getting the pc’s answers, while also keeping an eye on the meter. He does *not* resort to meter dating or meter locating unless the pc himself gives up on one or the other of these steps.

A pc can be made more dependent on the meter or can be made more independent of the meter, depending upon the way the meter is used by the auditor. If the pc’s case is improving he becomes more independent of the meter. That is the direction you want to go.

“RULE: Use the meter to date and verify date correctness by all means but *only* after the pc has been unable to come up with the date.” (HCOB 4 Aug 63, ALL ROUTINES, E-METER ERRORS, COMM CYCLE ERROR.)

The same rule would apply to using the meter on the Locate steps.

TIME

When dating anything you are using a system of measurement of time. There are various systems for measuring time and as time is basically a postulate or consideration there is no absolute system for measuring time. Time can be measured in terms of years ago. It can be measured by year, month and day (1918 AD, January 1st). These two systems are applicable to this planet, as the term “year” is the time it takes this planet to complete an orbit around the sun. In larger whole track dates different systems were used. The whole point is to use the system the pc is using, because the whole point of dating is to blow a past time that the pc is stuck in. And the pc will very often use the dating system of the time period he is stuck in. It doesn’t matter what system of time measurement the pc uses. It is important that the auditor accepts and uses the system the pc is using and doesn’t try to change it.

You’re first going to ask the pc, “When was it?”. He may tell you in terms of “years ago” or “weeks ago.” Or he may say “It was 1890” or “It was the 24th of August 1672.” Or he may use an earlier track system of dating. Either way, you take it, and if he has said “High noon on May 2nd, 1912” you don’t then ask “How many years ago?” as he has just told you, and your question would be altering the dating system the pc is using.

YOU CAN USE ANY SYSTEM OF TIME MEASUREMENT IN DATING; THE IMPORTANT THING IS THAT YOU USE THE SYSTEM THE PC IS USING.

It is remarkable that a pc can date something that happened earlier than this planet in terms of years ago. He can by some sort of mental computer, but the auditor mustn’t require that the pc convert a date to some other system.

And when the pc has it, the auditor doesn’t then alter-is it in sequence. Found by years—months—weeks—days—hours—minutes—seconds and fractions of a second, one doesn’t then call it back by day, year, month, as it tangles the pc. It is called back in the same order.

WHEN CALLING BACK A DATE AS AN INDICATION, ALWAYS CALL IT BACK IN THE SAME SEQUENCE THAT THE DATE WAS FOUND OR GIVEN.

IMPLANT DATES/ACTUAL DATES

Implant dates usually only give small reads whereas actual dates read well. If you suspect that you are getting false dates out of an implant, you meter check “Implant date?” and you’ll get a read on that question, and the false implant date will no longer read. The actual date will read well.

Meter check if it is:

- a) a false date from an implant?
- b) an actual date?

and the meter read will tell.

DATING

THE PURPOSE OF DATING IS TO GET A BLOW OF THE PAST TIME THE PC IS STUCK IN.

Whether the pc gives the date, or whether the auditor has to meter date it, you must remember that all you are going for is a blow.

Sometimes you will get a blow as easily as the pc saying “It was a long time ago.” A blow is accompanied by a BD and F/N. So be alert when dating for a sudden or BD and F/N. And if the pc doesn’t originate that it blew, ask him so you won’t go on trying to date to blow, something that has already blown!

Very often a date has to be dated precisely down to the fraction of a second in order to get a blow.

If there is no blow on pc giving the date, or on auditor meter dating it, you then call the date back as an indication and it will blow. If it doesn’t it is because there is an error in the date, or the auditor altered the date or its sequence in calling it back, or there already was a blow on dating that wasn’t noticed and was overrun.

Usually a pc will need help from the auditor to start with and the auditor might need to do the whole dating drill on the meter establishing the order of magnitude and every part of the date on the meter. Then the pc’s ability improves and the pc starts to become more able to find dates himself. The auditor must be alert for this and accept the pc’s data and *never* challenge, meter check or invalidate the pc’s data. Sometimes the pc will blurt the whole date out at once, and the auditor must be ready to write this down accurately and call it back accurately.

LOCATIONS AND DISTANCE

When locating something you are using *direction* and a system of *measurement of distance*. Just as time is basically a consideration, so is distance. And there are many systems of measuring distance, both on this planet and earlier on the track. Distance can be measured in miles, kilometers, light-years, to name a few. In one whole track space opera civilization, intergalactic distances were measured in terms of how long it took a particular spaceship, called a Hylan and intergalactic distances were measured by the number of “days of Hylan spaceflight.” You will probably find that the pc will tend to use the system of measurement of distance that was used at the time of the past location that he is stuck in. Just as a pc can use a sort of mental computer to convert whole track dates into “years ago,” he can also mentally convert some distances into “miles.” But the auditor never expects him to do so, and never asks him to.

YOU CAN USE ANY SYSTEM OF MEASUREMENT OF DISTANCE IN LOCATING, THE IMPORTANT THING IS THAT YOU USE THE SYSTEM THE PC IS USING.

And when you have the distance you must call it back accurately, and not alter-is it in sequence either.

WHEN CALLING BACK A DISTANCE AS AN INDICATION, ALWAYS CALL IT BACK *ACCURATELY*, AND IN THE *SAME SEQUENCE* THAT IT WAS GIVEN OR FOUND.

LOCATING

THE PURPOSE OF LOCATING IS TO *GET A BLOW* OF THE PAST LOCATION THE PC IS STUCK IN.

As in dating the auditor may have to help the pc with the meter but usually the pc will be able to find and give the data, and the auditor never resorts to the meter if the pc can get it.

And you may get a blow on direction step alone or on the pc realizing it happened somewhere else, or “not here” or “Greece” or whatever. The auditor must be alert for the or BD and F/N and ask the pc if it blew, so as not to go on trying to get a blow of a location that has already blown!

Usually if you don't get a blow on finding the direction and distance, you will get a blow on calling the location back to the pc as an indication. If not there is an error in the direction or distance or the auditor altered it in calling it back.

In locating, the auditor is getting the *past* physical location. E.g. the auditor gets the direction, distance, what galaxy, star, planet, country, etc., pinpointing it down to the exact location in space where it happened.

But these items are not taken up rotely; one uses the questions that apply.

For example, if it occurred "next door" one would not ask "What planet?". Or if the pc has said it's "two years ago" when dating, in locating one doesn't then ask "What galaxy?" as, of course, it's this one. Or what star, either. If you start asking "What galaxy?" on an incident on Earth the pc is thrown backtrack.

And if it happened outside a town in the open you wouldn't ask what city, house, or street or room, either. You must use the questions that apply.

The pc is of course IN the auditing room. One doesn't ask where *he is*. And it's never "Who else was there?" or "What else is there?" as these things put the pc down the track. One does not try to run engrams at the same time one is doing the Locate step. It is simply *location*. Where? What? How far? *Location*.

AND in locating, if there is no instant blow when the location is fully spotted and it seems correct, the location is called back to the pc. It usually blows when it is called back to the pc after it is known.

TWO SEPARATE DRILLS

There are two separate drills for dating and locating, and which is used depends upon pc ability to find and give the data. The first drill given is where the pc volunteers or gives the data. The second drill is where the auditor establishes all the data by metering. As with all processing, the pc's ability increases as you go along, and the auditor must be alert for this and change from meter dating and meter locating to accepting and taking the pc's data. It can also happen that a pc who was able to find and give the data may run into something particularly heavy and now need assistance from the auditor. The rule is:

NEVER RESORT TO THE METER IF THE PC CAN FIND AND GIVE THE DATA, AND NEVER LET A PC STRUGGLE IF HE CAN'T FIND THE DATA. AND NEVER, NEVER USE THE METER TO CHALLENGE, 'CORRECT' OR INVALIDATE THE PC'S DATA.

PRELIMINARY STEP

Before dating and locating on a pc for the first time you must clear what you will be doing and the words and terms used, so that he understands these and knows what is expected of him.

1. Give the pc an R-Factor that you will be dating the *past time* of the incident (i.e. when it happened), until that blows.
2. Clear the word "blow" with the pc per the Tech Dictionary definitions No. 1 and No. 2.
3. Clear any misunderstands the pc has on dates and dating or words used in measurement of time, or numbers (e.g. "billions," etc.).

4. Give the pc an R-Factor that you will be establishing the direction and distance in order to find the *past* location of the incident (i.e. where it happened), until that blows.
5. Clear the term “direction” and the concept of pointing. Clear the term “distance” and any misunderstands the pc has on measurement of distance (e.g. miles, light-years, etc.).
6. Let the pc know you will be getting answers from him, not the meter, unless it is necessary to help him with the meter. And that if the pc should suddenly get the date or location he should tell you. Also let the pc know that you are dating and locating to get a blow and that when a blow occurs to tell you, as the purpose of this procedure is to get the mass to blow.

DATING TO BLOW/LOCATING TO BLOW PROCEDURE BY STEPS

PROCEDURE ONE, PC GIVING THE DATA

DATING DRILL No. 1

1. R-Factor: “We’re going to date the past time when that (_____) happened precisely, until the mass blows.”
2. Ask the pc when it happened. (If the pc doesn’t know, go to meter dating, per Dating Drill No. 2.)
3. Write down the pc’s answer.
4. If not already given, have the pc give the rest of the date right on down to the fraction of a second.
5. Call the date back to the pc accurately and in the same sequence in which it was given, and it will blow.

(Caution: The blow may occur earlier in the dating steps and the auditor must not go on past a blow. If you suspect a blow and pc hasn’t volunteered this, ask him if it blew.)

LOCATING DRILL No. 1

1. R-Factor: “We’re going to find the past location where () happened precisely, until the mass blows.”
2. Get the pc to *point* to where it happened. (Points with finger in the direction to the past location.)
3. Ask the pc the *distance* to that location. (This is the distance from where the pc is now to that past location.)
4. If not already given have the pc give the rest of the distance right on down to fractions, until you have a precise distance.
5. Call the distance back to the pc accurately and in the same sequence in which it was given, and it will blow.

NB. If the pc starts giving you the location in some other terms such as “On the corner of Times Square, New York,” you would of course accept the pc’s data, and not ask him to convert that into miles away, or anything else.

(Caution: The blow may occur earlier in the locating steps and the auditor must not go on past the blow. Sometimes the blow will occur on asking the pc to point. Sometimes the pc while dating will also get the location and blow it too. If you suspect a blow due to a BD and F/N and the pc hasn't volunteered this, ask him if it blew .)

PROCEDURE TWO, AUDITOR FINDING THE DATA BY METERING

DATING DRILL No. 2

If the pc didn't know when it happened (on step 2 of Dating Drill No. 1), you go to meter dating.

1. Establish what system of dating or time measurement this date is measured in. Such as "years ago," or by year (i.e. 1918 AD), or some entirely different system. Do not do this if you already know it is a this lifetime date, or a this planet date, as that would be robotic.
2. Establish the *order of magnitude*.
3. Meter date the date right on down precisely, even to fractions of a second, until you get a blow—F/N.
4. If no blow—F/N when the full date is found, call it back to the pc accurately and in the same order it was found and you should get a blow.
5. If you haven't got a blow, there is either an error in the date or some part of the date, or it is not precise enough, or it blew earlier and wasn't noticed.

Meter check:

Wrong date?

Incompletely dated?

Implant date?

Some part of the date was incorrect?

Already blown?

and handle what reads, and you will get a blow—F/N.

LOCATING DRILL No. 2

It is rare that a pc can't get the direction and distance, or otherwise pinpoint the exact past location. Should you encounter this, do the same drill as given in Locating Drill No. 1, with the auditor establishing each step by metering.

1. Get pc to point to the location to get direction.
2. Establish what system of measurement of distance (i.e. miles, or light-years, or whatever).
3. Get the distance.
4. Get any remaining increments of distance on down to fractions or decimal points.
5. Call back the distance or location as an indication, and you should get a blow—F/N.
6. If no blow there has been an error and you should meter check:

Is some part of the location wrong?

Wrong direction?
Wrong distance?
Incompletely located?
Already blown?

and handle what comes up, and you will get a blow—F/N.

CAUTION: In Date/Locate, if it bogs and the auditor can't easily correct the error in dating or in locating, do not continue messing up the pc with wrong dates or wrong locations, as these can be very upsetting to a case. **INDICATE TO THE PC THAT THERE IS AN ERROR IN DATING OR LOCATING AND END OFF FOR C/S INSTRUCTIONS .**

A drill that can be used in locating when applicable is given below. This must not however be done rote when it doesn't apply. If you knew the incident occurred in the recent past or this lifetime, you would not ask "What galaxy?" as the question wouldn't make sense, and would throw the pc backtrack.

Point (pc points with finger)
Distance? (including increments of distance to get the exact distance)
What galaxy?
What star?
What planet?
What country?
What city?
What street?
What house?
Position on street?
What room?
Distance from front of house?
Where in the room?
How far from *each wall*?
How far off the floor?
How far from the ceiling?

continuing with questions which will narrow it down to the precise location, to a blow—F/N .

NOTE: The above steps, particularly, cannot be rote. Listen to the pc and work with him, realistically, to get the location spotted exactly, but do not evaluate for him in any way.

If at some point on these steps the location turns out to be in the middle of the ocean or in a field, etc., the auditor uses available landmarks or reference points to get the location (i.e., distance from the nearest point of land? or, distance from the big rock?) down to a blow—F/N.

If, while locating, the pc starts running the incident or gives too much "scene" the auditor has the pc point again, then continues from where he left off on the Locate steps.

LIABILITY

In dating and locating there is a possible source of BPC, peculiar to dating and locating.

A correct date for one incident or mass can act as a wrong date for another incident or mass, as it is a wrong date for it.

A correct location for one incident or mass can act as a wrong location for other incidents or masses, as it is wrong for the others.

It is peculiar to dating and locating that a right date or a right location can cause BPC by also being a wrong date or wrong location for other incidents or masses. This does not always occur, but is noted here should an auditor or C/S encounter it.

Manifestations of this are:

- a) pc getting upset inexplicably
- b) getting a read on both "Correct date?" and "Wrong date?"
- c) TA going up
- d) increase of mass or pressure.

It will relieve on the indication that the date found is a wrong date for other incidents or masses, and on the indication that the location found is a wrong location for other incidents or masses.

SUMMARY

A CORRECT DATE, ESPECIALLY IF TAKEN DOWN TO SECONDS AND FRACTIONS OF A SECOND, WILL BLOW.

A CORRECT LOCATION, ESPECIALLY IF TAKEN DOWN TO MINUTE INCREMENTS OF DISTANCE, WILL BLOW.

Date/Locate, when done correctly, quickly and smoothly, works well and is successful. The longer it takes the more it will restimulate all sorts of other things which have nothing to do with the course of action.

The Date/Locate steps must NOT be done robotically. One has to understand the mechanics of how it's done and why.

The essence of Date/Locate is to bring the pc to PT by erasing the date by spotting and the location by spotting, as the pc is out of PT fixed by both date and location.

If the theory is understood nobody would do it rotely.

This is a highly precise action to be done smoothly with good TRs. Its results are phenomenal.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:pm.dm.jk
Copyright © 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 19 NOVEMBER 1978

Auditors
Class IV
and above
C/Ses
Class IV
and above

URGENT—IMPORTANT

L & N LISTS—THE ITEM “ME”

RULE: THE ITEM “ME” MUST BE ACCEPTED ON ANY S & D LIST.

RULE: THE ITEM “ME” MUST NEVER BE REPRESENTED.

The item “Me” on an L & N list must be accepted as the item, as it is basically the only right item there could be for an identity or valence list.

The item “Me” often appears on S & D lists, or similar L & N lists which ask for an identity or valence. If it is not accepted, or if it is represented, it will really mess up the case. (This includes the pronouns, “myself,” and “I.”)

The right thing to do when the pc gives this item, is to accept it as the item for the list, and do not continue that list or take any further action with that item.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:dm.clb
Copyright © 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 27 NOVEMBER 1978

Remimeo
Word Clearers
Class IV Grad
and above
Auditors
C/Ses

(Cancels BTB 21 July 1971RE
Word Clearing Correction List Revised)

Word Clearing Series 35RF

WORD CLEARING CORRECTION LIST

The WCCL is the list to use when any form of Word Clearing bogs down. Any and all trouble with Word Clearing should be corrected by assessing and handling this list. The WCCL has been designed to parallel errors made in *Word Clearing*. not study, not the person's case, and it is to be used in Word Clearing sessions to correct Word Clearing errors.

If, after the Word Clearing Correction List has been fully handled, there seems to be other bypassed charge connected with the subject of study, a Study Green Form should be done.

This list can be assessed Method 3 or Method 5.

All Word Clearers are to check out on and use this list to correct Word Clearing errors.

1. IS THERE SOMETHING WRONG WITH YOUR CASE? _____
If this list is being assessed during course room metered Word Clearing, end off for C/S instructions, otherwise assess and handle a C/S Series 53.
2. HAVE YOU BEEN WORD CLEARED OVER OUT-RUDS? _____
Find out which and handle to F/N and VGIs.
3. IS A WORD STILL MISUNDERSTOOD? _____
Find out which and get it cleared to F/N. (If Method 1 Word Clearing, clear it E/S to EP.)
4. WAS A WORD IN A DEFINITION MISUNDERSTOOD? _____
Find out which word and get it cleared to F/N.
5. COULDN'T YOU FIND THE ACTUAL MISUNDERSTOOD? _____
Using your meter and 2WC, find the misunderstood word and clear to F/N. (If Method 1 Word Clearing clear it E/S to EP.)
6. DID YOU NOT GET THE BASIC WORD? _____
Find out which word or subject was not taken to EP, locate the misunderstood words and clear each to F/N, going E/S to EP.
7. DID YOU FAIL TO USE THE WORD YOU WERE CLEARING IN ENOUGH SENTENCES? _____
Get the word used in sentences until it is fully understood, to F/N and VGIs.
8. DID YOU NEED TO DEMO THE WORD YOU WERE CLEARING? _____
Get the word demoed to full understanding, F/N and VGIs.

9. WERE YOU USING AN IMPROPER DICTIONARY? _____
Find out what word and what dictionary. Get a proper dictionary and clear it to F/N and VGIs.
10. WAS THERE AN EARLIER SIMILAR MISUNDERSTOOD WORD NOT CLEARED? _____
Find out what it is and clear it to F/N. (If Method 1 Word Clearing, clear it E/S to EP.)
11. DID YOU NOT FEEL GOOD ABOUT A WORD WHEN IT WAS CLEARED? _____
Find the word and reclear it to F/N.
12. DO YOU KEEP FORGETTING WORDS YOU HAVE ALREADY DEFINED? _____
Locate the other or related word he didn't define and clear it to F/N.
13. DID YOU HAVE TO CLEAR A WORD YOU ALREADY KNEW? _____
Find out what the word was and indicate. If no F/N get off any protest or inval and rehab to F/N.
14. WAS A WORD OVERRUN? _____
Find out what word and rehab.
15. WAS A WORD READING ON PROTEST? _____
Get which word, indicate. If no F/N handle with itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
16. DID A WORD NOT REALLY READ? _____
Get which word, indicate. If no F/N handle with itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
17. COULDN'T YOU HEAR THE WORD CLEARER? _____
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
18. DIDN'T YOU UNDERSTAND WHAT THE WORD CLEARER SAID? _____
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
19. DIDN'T YOU UNDERSTAND THE ACTION BEING DONE? _____
Find out what it was and handle with itsa E/S itsa to F/N or clear it up with correct references to F/N and VGIs.
20. WERE YOU CONFUSED BY SOMETHING? _____
Find out what it was and handle with itsa E/S itsa to F/N or clear it up with correct references to F/N and VGIs.
21. WERE YOU PUZZLED WHY THE WORD CLEARER KEPT ON WORD CLEARING? _____
Find out what happened and handle with itsa E/S itsa to F/N or rehab the win.
22. ON WORD CLEARING DID YOU FEEL OVERWHELMED? _____
Find out what happened and handle with itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
23. ON WORD CLEARING DID YOU FEEL HOPELESS? _____
Find out what happened and handle with itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
24. ON WORD CLEARING DID YOU FEEL INVALIDATED? _____
Find out what happened and handle with itsa E/S itsa to F/N.

25. ON WORD CLEARING WAS THERE ANY EVALUATION?
Find out what happened and handle with itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
26. ON WORD CLEARING WERE YOU PROTESTING? _____
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
27. DID YOU GET DISTRACTED DURING WORD CLEARING? _____
Find out what happened and handle with itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
28. WAS THERE SOMETHING WRONG WITH THE F/Ns INDICATED? _____
Find out what happened and handle with itsa E/S itsa to F/N or clear to F/N any words not fully cleared.
29. DID YOU HAVE TO LOOK UP TECHNICAL OR SPECIALIZED DEFINITIONS OF WORDS THAT DIDN'T APPLY? _____
Find out what the word was. Indicate this was an unnecessary action. Correctly clear the word to F/N. (If Method 1 Word Clearing, take E/S to EP.)
30. DID YOU TELL THE WORD CLEARER IT WAS UNDERSTOOD JUST TO GET RID OF HIM? _____
Get the word (plus any others) and clear each to F/N.
31. WAS IT NOT YOUR MISUNDERSTOOD? _____
Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
32. WAS THERE INVALIDATION OF KNOWINGNESS? _____
Find out what it was, and handle with itsa E/S itsa to F/N.
33. DID YOU USE THE WRONG SIZED CANS? _____
False TA Checklist. Work out the right sized cans with the pc.
34. DID YOUR HANDS GET TIRED IN WORD CLEARING? _____
False TA Checklist. Work out the right sized cans with the pc.
35. WAS A WORD ON THE LIST OF SUBJECTS MISUNDERSTOOD? _____
Find out what it is and clear to F/N. (If Method 1 Word Clearing, take E/S to EP.)
36. IS A SUBJECT STILL MISUNDERSTOOD? _____
Get which subject and which word and clear it to F/N. (If Method 1 Word Clearing, take E/S to EP.)
37. DID YOU NOT GET THE BASIC SUBJECT? _____
Find out what subject is incomplete by 2WC, locate the misunderstood words in it and clear each to F/N. (If Method 1 Word Clearing, take E/S to EP.)
38. IN REGARD TO EARLIER SUBJECTS OR COURSES YOU STUDIED DID YOU FIND ANY OF THEM DIFFICULT? _____
When this question is answered ask this second question: WERE THERE ANY WORDS ON THESE COURSES THAT YOU DIDN'T FULLY UNDERSTAND? Find by subject and get each defined. Then do steps again until both questions F/N.
39. DO YOU STILL HAVE MISUNDERSTOODS ON EARLIER COURSES? _____

Find out which course (or courses) and get each misunderstood word cleared. Then recheck the question and handle until it F/Ns on checking.

40. DO YOU HAVE MISUNDERSTOODS ON YOUR EARLIER THAN SCIENTOLOGY SCHOOL OR FAMILY TRAINING? _____
When this question has been answered, ask: WAS THERE ANY WORD IN (SUBJECT NAMED) YOU DIDN'T UNDERSTAND? Get it fully defined to F/N and all such words cleared up for that subject. Handle all subjects the person has named as above. Then recheck the original question and handle until it F/Ns on checking.
41. WERE YOU BEING WORD CLEARED ON AN UNREADING SUBJECT? _____
Find out what. Indicate. If no F/N rehab or Date/Locate.
42. WAS A SUBJECT OVERRUN? _____
Find out what and indicate. If no F/N rehab.
43. WAS A MISUNDERSTOOD SUBJECT MISSED? _____
Find out which subject(s) and which words and clear each to F/N. (If Method 1 Word Clearing, take E/S to EP.)
44. DID YOU TRY TO MAKE THE LIST F/N? _____
Put in ruds on Word Clearing, each to F/N, VGIs. Rehab any overruns.
45. IS THERE A SUBJECT WHICH SHOULD HAVE BEEN ON THE LIST BUT WASN'T? _____
Find out what the subject is and clear all misunderstood words to F/N, going E/S to EP.
46. HAS A WIN BEEN BYPASSED? _____
Find out what and rehab.
47. IS THERE SOMETHING ELSE WRONG? _____
Find out what and handle or return to the C/S.
48. WAS THERE NOTHING WRONG IN THE FIRST PLACE? _____
Indicate. If no F/N rehab or Date/Locate.
49. IS THERE SOME OTHER BYPASSED CHARGE ON THE SUBJECT OF STUDY? _____
Assess and handle a Study Green Form.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:nc
Copyright © 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 28 NOVEMBER 1978

Remimeo

(Also issued as HCO PL 28 Nov 78)

AUDITORS WHO MISS WITHHOLDS, PENALTY

As one of the most destructive things an auditor can do is miss a withhold on a pc and as missing a withhold stems exactly from being inexperienced, out-metering, out-TRs, or mutual out-ruds, and as pcs and staff can blow and cause a great deal of trouble when withholds have been missed in Sec Checking or Confessionals, the penalty for missing a withhold on a pc is as follows:

Comm Ev, and if found guilty, suspension of certificates until retrained.

This penalty has been issued in the past but was omitted in modern compilations.

It is no light thing to mess up Sec Checking on a pc.

Pcs who blow their auditing in orgs, staff who want to leave, out-ethics mushrooming up in an org can usually be traced to one or more auditors who miss withholds either by their own out-tech or mutual ruds.

It is highly possible that the reason husband/wife teams often fail is that they have mutual out-ruds or that they miss withholds on each other.

This is no light thing. If this order is not vigorously enforced, lack of enforcement will end up destroying lives, just as it does in the wog world every day.

So, get your ethics presence up and make sure that Sec Checking is done correctly, without error and with no missed withholds.

This HCOB/PL is retroactive for a decade.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:at.kjm
Copyright © 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 29 NOVEMBER 1978

All C/Ses
NED Auditors
Scn Auditors

C/S Series 104

DIANETIC CLEAR ATTESTS

Well, well. I seem to have been right in Book One about making Clears, but it seems to have exceeded mass reality.

WARNING TO NED AND SCN AUDITORS

If the case you are auditing has a fantastic win and then seems to go into a decline, beware—the pc might have become a pre-OT and that funny behavior of the needle and tone arm might have been a floating TA, when he went Clear.

NOTE FOR C/Ses

I have found some very interesting case phenomena being resolved since past Dianetic Clears are attesting to the state.

Some of the manifestations of some of the cases who were audited past Dianetic Clear (unrecognized and unattested to) are:

- (a) Manifesting PTSness and illnesses until the state was acknowledged and attested to.
- (b) Appearing to be no case gain, out-ethics cases.
- (c) Not moving up the Bridge but remaining “parked” at some point. (They have many “reasons” for this.)
- (d) Becoming inactive as a Scientologist.

A C/S should look for these cases and recognize them when he sees them. This in no way means that every PTS or out-ethics case has an unacknowledged state of Clear underlying it but this fact certainly needs to be included in any C/S’s case debug line-up.

In the cases mentioned above, you will almost always find that the condition started at a certain point in the pc’s auditing (or in his last life, as a pc). If you do a thorough folder study and interview the pc you will find that he went Dianetic Clear just prior to the case going awry. (Or, by interview, you might find he went Dianetic Clear in his last life.) (Note: One percent have track dates when they went Clear.)

Advance Scheduling Registrars and those working in the Central Files of an org can go through CF folders and ask the org C/S to check the folders of those who have drifted off lines or stopped going up the processing side of the Bridge, as an unacknowledged Dianetic Clear state may just be the cause.

CLEAR IN LAST LIFE

Some people didn’t believe one had lived before this life. Also some people wondered what happened to old Dianeticists and Scientologists who had died. But

others used to have the phrase “Well, we’ll pick them up in the next lifetime,” or “the next time around.”

Well it seems like the former shouldn’t have wondered and the latter were right. We are coming up with quite a few pcs who had gone Clear in their last lifetime during Book One auditing, Goals Processing, etc. This is something that the pc originates or something he has been “wondering about” but invalidated.

INVAL/EVAL

The state of Clear having been truly attained yet not acknowledged and attested to, can cause an extraordinary amount of invalidation. Evaluation also occurs on this subject and comes from others and even the pc himself.

Because of the amount of inval and eval which may exist, a pc will often have to have these buttons put in before he can acknowledge the state he has attained. In this case this would have to be done before the point he went Clear is Date/Located. In some cases you may have to assess a Dianetic Clear Repair List to handle the pc’s bypassed charge.

ETHICS WARNING

It is a comm-eval offense to coach the pc with data about Clear in any way. You also do not evaluate for any pc and try to convince him he has gone Dianetic Clear when he hasn’t. You do not turn to “the pc must have gone Dianetic Clear” when you can’t easily solve a pc’s case. You use the C/S Series in full.

Only a C/S who is Clear and who knows the full EP of Dianetic Clear can send a pc through to attest to this state. To send a pc through to attest to Dianetic Clear when he hasn’t truly made it is a suppressive act as that preclear will not make it on the OT levels.

If the C/S is not a Clear he should send the person or the person’s folders to a Class IV Org C/S who is Clear, or to an AO.

THE POWER OF AUDITING

The power of modern auditing shouldn’t be underestimated. It was pretty hot in 1950, but realize there were 28 years of research and development. This has been enormously stepped up. For 28 years, apparently, the power of auditing has been underestimated .

With better trained auditors than ever, and with their TRs and metering really in, the C/S who is keeping tech in on his lines can expect a lot more of this sort of thing, so he must be alert to it, without at the same time going delusory or failing to handle cases that really *are* bogged for quite some other reason.

Given standard tech used by standard auditors and C/Sed by standard C/Ses, there is no reason why we cannot Clear the planet.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:mm.nc.
Copyright © 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 30 NOVEMBER 1978

C/Ses
Tech/Qual
Auditors
HCOs
Checksheets
Confessional
Courses

(Cancels BTB 31 Aug 72RB,
Confessional Procedure)

CONFESSIOAL PROCEDURE

(Ref:	HCOB 5 Aug 78	INSTANT READS
	HCOB 28 Feb 71	C/S Series 24
		IMPORTANT, METERING
		READING ITEMS
	HCOB 8 Feb 62	URGENT, MISSED WITHHOLDS
	HCOB 12 Feb 62	HOW TO CLEAR WITHHOLDS
		AND MISSED WITHHOLDS
	HCOB 3 May 62R	ARC BREAKS, MISSED
	Rev. 5.9.78	WITHHOLDS
	HCOB 11 Aug 78 I	RUDIMENTS, DEFINITIONS
		& PATTEN
	HCOB 20 Sep 78	AN INSTANT F/N IS A READ
	Rev. 9.10.78	
	HCOB 14 Mar 71R	F/N EVERYTHING
	Corr. & Rev. 25.7.73	
	HCOB 3 Sep 78	URGENT, URGENT, URGENT,
		DEFINITION OF A ROCK SLAM
	HCOB 10 Aug 76R	R/Ses, WHAT THEY MEAN
	Rev. 5.9.78	
	HCOB 17 May 69	TRs AND DIRTY NEEDLES
	HCOB 6 Sep 78	FOLLOWING UP ON DIRTY
		NEEDLES
	BTB 8 Dec 72RC	CONFESSIOAL REPAIR LIST
	Re-rev. 4.6.77	(LCRC)
	HCOB 10 Nov 78R	PROCLAMATION: POWER TO
		FORGIVE
	HCOB 10 Nov 78R-I	PROCLAMATION: POWER TO
	Add. 26.11.78	FORGIVE—ADDITION
	HCOB 28 Nov 78	AUDITORS WHO MISS WITHHOLDS,
		PENALTY
		BOOK: <i>THE BOOK OF E-METER DRILLS</i> .
		SEC CHECKING HCOBs.
		SEC CHECKING TAPES and TAPE DEMOS since 1961.)

“Sec Checking,” “Integrity Processing” and “Confessionals” are all the exact same procedure and any materials on these subjects is interchangeable under these titles (HCOB 24 Jan 1977 TECH CORRECTION ROUND-UP)

Withholds don’t just add up to withholds They add up to overts, they add up to secrecies, they add up to individuations, they add up to games conditions, they add up to a lot more things than O/W.

You are straightening out somebody on a moral code, the “Now I’m supposed to’s.” They’ve transgressed on a series of “Now I’m supposed to’s.” Having so transgressed, they are now individuated. If their individuation is too obsessive, they snap in and become the terminal. All of these cycles exist around the idea of the transgression against the “Now I’m supposed to’s.” That is what a Confessional clears up and that is all it clears up. It’s a great deal more than a withhold. (HCOB 1 March 77, Iss III, FORMULATING CONFESSIOAL QUESTIONS.)

PROCEDURE

A Confessional must be done by someone who is a well trained auditor, skilled in TRs, basic auditing and metering, who can make a prepared list read, and who has been fully checked out and drilled on these techniques.

Every reading question of a Confessional is F/Ned. The original question must be taken to F/N, not some other question.

Here is the basic procedure for a Confessional:

1. Set up the room with the auditor seated closer to the door than the pc, so that he can gently put the pc back in his chair if he tries to blow the session. Ensure all the necessary materials are to hand, per HCOB 4 Dec 77, CHECKLIST FOR SETTING UP SESSIONS AND AN E-METER.
2. Make sure the person is well fed and well rested, that his hands are not too dry or moist, that the cans are the correct size and that the person knows how to hold them. Include all the steps of HCOB 4 Dec 77, CHECKLIST FOR SETTING UP SESSIONS AND AN E-METER. (Also ref: FALSE TA HCOBs.)
3. Start the Confessional. Model Session and rudiments are used. Ref: HCOB 11 Aug 78, Iss II, MODEL SESSION. If the TA is high or low, do a C/S Series 53RL, assess and handle. If you are not trained in doing a C/S Series 53, end off for C/S instruction.
4. Put in any needed R-Factor on doing the Confessional. Briefly explain the meter and the procedure to the person if they are not already known to him or her.

The term “I am not auditing you” only occurs when a Confessional is done for justice reasons. Otherwise the procedure is the same. (By “justice reasons” is meant when a person is refusing to come clean on a Comm Ev, B of I, etc., or as part of a specific HCO investigation when the person is withholding data or evidence from such HCO personnel.)

A Confessional done for justice reasons is not auditing and the data uncovered is not withheld from the proper authorities. Any other Confessional is auditing and is kept confidential.

By F/Ning each question that reads, and by the use of Examiner and review, there is a great deal of case gain in a Confessional. It permits the person to again feel a part of his group.

5. Clear the procedure and the use of the buttons “Suppress” and “False” etc. If necessary as an example run a non-significant question to demonstrate the procedure (e.g. “Have you ever eaten an apple?”).
6. Take up the first question and clear the words backwards. Then clear the full command, noting any instant read that occurs on the command while clearing, as this is *valid*. See HCOB 9 Aug 78 Iss II, CLEARING COMMANDS, HCOB 28 Feb 71, C/S Series 24, IMPORTANT, METERING READING ITEMS, and HCOB 5 Aug 78, INSTANT READS.

Ensure the pc fully understands the question and what it encompasses.

7. With good TR 1 give the person the first question, keeping an eye on the meter and noting any instant read, i.e. SF, F., LFBD. (Ref: HCOB 5 Aug 78, INSTANT READS.) A tick is always noted and in some cases becomes a wide read. (Ref: HCOB 28 Feb 71, C/S Series 24, IMPORTANT, METERING READING ITEMS.) But don’t assume you have a read because you get a tick. Put in Suppress and it will either read or the tick will vanish. In a Confessional, even the smallest change of needle characteristic, if it is instant, is checked into

before you go on. But NOTE: YOU DON'T TAKE A RISE AS A CHANGE OF CHARACTERISTIC IN SEC CHECKING.

8. Take up each reading question, getting the what, when, where, *all* of every overt. Find out who missed it or who nearly found out, and what that person did to make the pc wonder if he knew. Get specifics, not general or vague answers. If no F/N, take the overt E/S to F/N. And ensure that the original question that read is taken to F/N before you leave it.
9. For security investigation purposes, get all the exact names, dates, addresses, phone numbers, and any other information that might be helpful in investigating the case further, should this be needed.
10. If the pc gives you three or four overts at once in reply to a reading question, you note them and ensure you take each separate reading overt or withhold to an F/N, or E/S to F/N.
11. Some people you have to ask the *exact* question. If your question is even faintly off they F/N. Low responsibility of the pc does this.
12. If the person gives off another's overt, ask if *he* ever did something like that. You want what the person himself has done.
13. DO NOT TAKE UP UNREADING QUESTIONS.

- a) If a question does not read and does not F/N you can put in the buttons Suppress and Invalidate, asking:
"On the question_____ has anything been suppressed?"
"On the question_____ has anything been invalidated?"

But don't require it to be answered and don't look up at the pc expectantly either. If it's not reading, tell him so and go on.

- b) If Suppress or Invalidate reads, it means the read has transferred *exactly* from the Confessional question to the button. (Ref: HCOB 1 Aug 68, THE LAWS OF LISTING & NULLING.) Put in the button (simply get what the pc has to say and acknowledge), then take up the question. Fully clean the question, as in No. 8 above. Then go on to the next question.
 - c) Or, if the question reads and the pc is trying to answer it and is groping, puzzling, baffled and doesn't have any answer, then check False. Ask: "Was that a false read?", in which case it will read and on indication that it was a false read will now F/N. If no F/N, E/S to F/N.
14. FOLLOW UP FULLY ON ANY DIRTY NEEDLE. A dirty needle will either clean or turn into an R/S. It is your hottest string to pull in finding and turning on an R/S. Thus it is not to be overlooked. The area that is producing a dirty needle when questioned for full data will either clean or go into an R/S. The area that gave the dirty needle is considered clean when you can go over it and it no longer produces a dirty needle. If a dirty needle still persists then there is more to the withhold itself or something the pc isn't voicing about the withhold or how he feels about the withhold. But, *pushed*. with auditor's TRs in, this dirty needle will turn into an R/S or it will fully clean. (Ref: HCOB 6 Sept 78, FOLLOWING UP ON DIRTY NEEDLES, and HCOB 17 May 69, TRs AND DIRTY NEEDLES.)

The auditor MUST know COLD the difference between an R/S and a dirty needle. The difference is in *the character of the read* NOT the size. (Ref: HCOB 3 Sept 78, URGENT, URGENT, URGENT, DEFINITION OF A ROCK SLAM.)

A Confessional is not a rote procedure. Your job is to get the data and help the pc. Sometimes you will be thrown curves or may encounter attempts to be led off in

the wrong direction. This is simply a sure indicator the subject is withholding and that the withhold is in restimulation. One has to ignore the volunteer misdirections of the pc as the pc is of course misdirecting, and simply get the read E/Sed or the W/H F/Ned. You must use your tools as given in HCOBs, Sec Checking tapes and tape demonstrations since 1961.

15. TAKE THE ORIGINAL READING QUESTION TO F/N. Not some other question. This all comes under the heading of completing cycles of action and getting one auditing question answered before you ask a second question.

In going earlier similar to take the question to F/N, always repeat the Confessional question as part of the earlier similar command to keep the person on that question.

Example: "Is there an earlier similar time you ate an apple?"

16. On each question be sure you get *all* the overts. When you have taken a specific chain of overts earlier similar to F/N, then re-check the original question for any read. If it F/Ns, fine. It's clean.

If it reads you have another overt or overt chain to clear to F/N on that question. Use False and Protest buttons as needed.

Example:

Question A: "Have you committed any overts against apples?" Meter reads. Auditor gets an overt, takes it E/S to F/N. Auditor then re-checks Question A. Meter reads. Pc finds another overt against apples. Auditor takes it E/S to F/N.

You clean it, getting all, until the original question F/Ns.

(Ref: HCOB 14 Mar 71R Corr & Rev 25 Jul 73, F/N EVERYTHING HCOB 19 Oct 61, SECURITY QUESTIONS MUST BE NULLED HCOB 10 May 62, PREPCHECKING AND SEC CHECKING.)

17. If the person gets critical, realize you have missed a withhold and pull it. It is no light thing to miss withholds and mess up a pc when doing a Confessional. So be alert for any of the 15 manifestations of missed withhold and handle fully should any of these crop up. (Ref: HCOB 8 Feb 62, URGENT, MISSED WITHHOLDS, HCOB 12 Feb 62, HOW TO CLEAR WITHHOLDS AND MISSED WITHHOLDS, HCOB 3 May 62R Rev 5 Sep 78, ARC BREAKS, MISSED WITHHOLDS, HCOB 11 Aug 78 Iss I, RUDIMENTS, DEFINITIONS AND PATTERN.)

It is wise, particularly when doing a Confessional of any length, to periodically check the question, "In this session has a withhold been missed?" or "Have I missed a withhold on you?"

18. At the first sign of *any* trouble in doing a Confessional check for: missed withholds, false reads and ARC breaks, in that order, and fully handle what you get. In the majority of cases the above buttons should resolve the difficulty.

If not, handle with an LCRC (BTB 8 Dec 72RC, CONFESSIONAL REPAIR LIST). Use of the above buttons first, however, before resorting to the LCRC, avoids the possibility of getting into an "overrepair" situation.

19. If the pc consistently immediately dives whole track on Confessional questions, use the preface "In this lifetime . . .", with good R-Factor. This should not be used to prevent him going whole track on the earlier similar command to F/N the question.

20. ONE MUST ALWAYS REPORT A ROCK SLAM IN THE AUDITING REPORT, NOTE IT WITH SESSION DATE AND PAGE INSIDE THE LEFT COVER OF THE PC'S FOLDER AND REPORT IT TO ETHICS INCLUDING THE QUESTION OR SUBJECT WHICH ROCK SLAMMED, PHRASED EXACTLY. (HCOB 10 Aug 76R, Rev 5 Sep 78, R/Ses, WHAT THEY MEAN.)

As the R/S is probably the single most important and dangerous read on the meter, it is important that they are carefully noted when doing a Confessional.

For a pc to be branded as an R/Ser is a very serious thing. Also for a real R/Ser to be overlooked by an auditor is a catastrophe both to the pc and to those around that particular person. (Ref: HCOB 24 Jan 77, TECH CORRECTION ROUND-UP.)

Valid R/Ses are not always instant reads. An R/S can read prior or latently. (HCOB 3 Sep 78, URGENT, URGENT, URGENT, DEFINITION OF A ROCK SLAM.)

21. If you want a pc to stop fiddling with the cans you make them put their hands on the table and keep them there.
22. HCO or executives may request a Confessional be done but neither Tech nor Qual are bound by such requests as an FES could reveal that the trouble stems from "out-lists" or other matters needing correction. They should however take cognizance of such requests and do all possible to get the person handled.
23. If a reading question does not go to F/N and bogs or the TA goes high, take up an LCRC (Confessional Repair List, BTB 8 Dec 72RC), assess and handle per instructions.
24. End off any Confessional session and the entire Confessional itself, when complete, with the rudiments which would pick up anything which might have been missed: Half Truth, Untruth, Missed Withhold, Told All, etc. Use the prefix "In this session . . ." or "In this Confessional . . .". Take any reading rudiment E/S as needed to F/N.
25. When the Confessional is fully completed, the auditor who has administered the Confessional informs the person he is forgiven for the overts and withholds he has just confessed, using the following statement:

"By the power invested in me, any overts and withholds you have fully and truthfully told me are forgiven by Scientologists."

The usual response of the pc is instant relief and VGIs. On any adverse reaction to the Proclamation of Forgiveness, get the rest of the withhold or repair the Confessional session at once.

(Ref: HCOB 10 Nov 78 R. PROCLAMATION: POWER TO FORGIVE HCOB 10 Nov 78R-1, Addition of 26 Nov 78, PROCLAMATION: POWER TO FORGIVE—ADDITION.)

26. All worksheets are routed to Tech Services so they can be included in the person's pc folder. (Ref: HCOB 28 Oct 76, C/S Series 98, AUDITING FOLDERS, OMISSIONS IN COMPLETENESS.)
27. EXAMINER. All Confessionals must be followed immediately by a standard pc examination. The folder is then routed to the C/S.

The C/S looks for any nonsequitur F/N on some other subject. It's the primary thing he inspects.

If a person falls on his head after a Confessional session an LCRC is given. However, an FES to find missing questions that F/Ned on something else is done. Standard C/S rules apply to Confessionals.

28. On any bad Exam Report (non-F/N, BIs or nonoptimum statement) after a Confessional, or on any person who gets sick or upset or does not do well or has a high or low TA, give an LCRC as the very next action.

The 24-hour red tag rule must be strictly enforced.

AUDITOR ATTITUDE AND TRs

If the pc is not *in session* you won't get the withholds. TRs play a large part in the pc being willing to talk to the auditor. A wrong or challenging auditor attitude can throw the scene off as there is a destroyed comm cycle. If TRs are rough or choppy the pc feels he's being accused.

A poor or comm lag TR 2, hidden from the view of the C/S, can also mess up a person in a Confessional. It invalidates his answers and makes him feel he hasn't gotten it off. If suspected, this could be checked by D of P interview or person to the Examiner for: "What did the auditor do?" (Also see HCOB 16 Aug 71R Iss II, Rev 5 Jul 78, TRAINING DRILLS REMODERNIZED.)

So TRs must be polished and the auditor, while maintaining good ethics presence, takes the role of confessor when handling the pc's answers and makes it safe for the pc to get off his overts and withholds. Similarly, an auditor who is certain of his tech and does not miss withholds will build the pc's confidence in him.

Anyone doing a Confessional should be fully trained and interned by doing a course and internship in the handling of Confessionals.

You had better determine to become an expert in it, since an auditor's inability to handle this is a fast route to "how to win enemies and wrongly influence people." (HCOB 24 Jan 77, TECH CORRECTION ROUND-UP.)

But even more important is the fact that, in knowing and applying Confessional tech correctly, you are helping the individual to face up to his responsibilities in his group and the society and putting him back into communication with his fellow man, his family, and the world at large.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:jk/clb
Copyright © 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

WHAT IS SCIENTOLOGY?

based on
the works
of

L. Ron Hubbard

Published

December 1978

An encyclopedia is terrific and can answer some questions about the world. But *What Is Scientology?* is more important. It answers questions about the organization of life and death and gives the real answers to the questions people ask.

What Is Scientology? contains the most extensive collection of information ever published about Scientology and Scientologists. It is a data-packed, fact-packed book about the fastest growing religion in the world today: its Founder, its history, its tenets, its structure and doctrines, its training and counseling, its effectiveness, its victories, its future and much, much more.

It's all here: the statistics, addresses, dates, what, who, when and how.

464 pages, 137 color photos and paintings, many charts, graphs and documents, full index and glossary, hundreds of thousands of words, all in a big 8 1/2 by 11 inch hardcover book, beautifully printed and bound on top quality paper complete with dust jacket. Available from your nearest Scientology Organization or Mission or direct from the publishers: Church of Scientology Publications Organization U.S., 4833 Fountain Ave., East Annex, Los Angeles, California 90029, U.S.A.; or Scientology Publications Organization, Store Kongensgade 55, 1264 Copenhagen K, Denmark.

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 1 DECEMBER 1978

Remimeo
AOs
SHs
All C/Ses
All Auditors
Tech/Qual
Missions

**PROGRAMMING THE DIANETIC CLEAR
FOR HIS NEXT STEP**

(Ref: HCOB 24 Sept 78, Iss III,
DIANETIC CLEAR)

The following are the guidelines for programming a Dianetic Clear after he has attested and the state has been declared:

1. If a Dianetic Clear has had no previous auditing on Grades 0-IV, you can run him on Quad Grades 0-IV. You omit the R3RA step of service facs. (Ref: HCOB 6 Sept 78, Iss III, ROUTINE THREE SC-A, FULL SERVICE FACSIMILE HANDLING UPDATED WITH NEW ERA DIANETICS.)
2. If a Dianetic Clear was incomplete on Grades 0-IV prior to the Dianetic Clear attest (i.e. mid-grades), you would complete the unrun grades (Quad or Expanded) through to Grade IV (omitting the R3RA steps on Service Facs).

If a pc goes Clear on a grade then you can give him the other grades, but you'd end off *that* grade and not continue it.

3. If a Dianetic Clear has previously completed Grades 0-IV, he can go directly onto the Solo Audit Course and OT 1.
4. If a Dianetic Clear is an old-timer who has had a lot of pre-grades Scientology processes run (before formal grades existed). you would not run Grades 0-IV after Dianetic Clear attest. He can be routed onto the Solo Audit Course and OT 1.

The Dianetic Clear is not run on Power, R6EW or the Clearing Course.

IMPORTANT—NEW RUNDOWN

There is even an alternate step to Power specially designed for Dianetic Clears called "Super Power" which will shortly be available in Saint Hills.

AND NOTE: Power Processing is still very valid and a vital step on the Bridge for those persons not Dianetic Clear.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:nc
Copyright © 1978
By L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 3 DECEMBER 1978

All Auditors
All C/Ses
NED Checksheet

UNREADING FLOWS

References:	HCOB 5 Aug 78	INSTANT READS
	HCOB 25 May 62	E-METER INSTANT READS
	HCOB 28 Feb 71	C/S Series 24 METERING READING ITEMS
	HCOB 8 Jun 61	E-METER WATCH ING
	HCOB 27 May 70R	UNREADING QUESTIONS AND ITEMS
	Rev 3.12.78	

EACH FLOW OF AN ITEM OR QUESTION IS CHECKED FOR A READ BEFORE RUNNING IT. UNREADING FLOWS ARE NOT RUN.

One of the governing laws of auditing is that you don't run unreading items. It doesn't matter what you are auditing. You don't run unreading items. And you don't run unreading flows. You don't run an unreading anything. Ever. For any reason.

Auditing is aimed at reactivity. You run what reacts on the meter *because* it reacts and is therefore part of the reactive mind. A read means there is charge present and available to run. Running *reading* items, flows and questions is the only way to make a pc better. This is our purpose in auditing. To run unreading flows, etc. requires the pc to run "analytical" answers or to "run" things that aren't there or to put something there to "run."

The most trouble you can get a pc into is running him on uncharged items or flows. For an auditor to sit in session watching a meter that didn't read, looking expectantly at the pc for an answer to an uncharged question, flow or item is a GAE and will wreck cases faster than anything you can do.

So you must check questions, flows or items before running anything. If it doesn't read you just say "Thank you" and go on to the next one. You would, of course, use the buttons to ensure nothing was suppressed! invalidated or misunderstood before leaving an unreading item, flow or question.

This is probably one of the reasons that it has been observed that I can audit a pc for 2/2 hours and get the same result that another auditor might get in 25 hours. There's nothing mysterious about it. I never run a pc on things that aren't charged. And I don't miss reads.

I expect no less from you.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH: jk
Copyright © 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 4 DECEMBER 1978

C/Ses
Class III
Auditors and
above
Supervisors
Cramming
Officers

HOW TO READ THROUGH AN F/N

(Ref: HCOB 15 Oct 73RB, C/S Series 87RB,
NULLING AND F/NING PREPARED LISTS)

**WHEN TAKING A LIST TO F/NING ASSESSMENT AN AUDITOR MUST
KNOW HOW TO READ THROUGH AN F/N.**

This is a skill that, up to this point, has been used routinely only by highly trained auditors or a few very sharp Class IIIs or IVs or above. But with the difficulty auditors have had in F/Ning prepared lists, it becomes obvious that, from Class III on up, all auditors should be trained to read the meter through an F/N.

It is the answer to almost any difficulty an auditor has had in taking a list to F/Ning assessment.

An F/N speeds up or slows down or does different things while still remaining an F/N and one *can* read through it.

It is done like this: The swinging weight of the needle (F/Ning from an earlier item) has momentum and it will tend to obscure the read on another item. It will almost obscure it, but not quite. You'll see the F/N "check" or slow up briefly and then continue and this means you have a hot item. Any item that would cause an F/N to "check" will be hot. The auditor who can read through an F/N will spot this and handle the item then and there. Then he continues on down the list, missing nothing, handling what is there to be handled and, with this skilled metering, takes it to a genuinely F/Ning list on assessment. And it doesn't take days or even several sessions, necessarily, to do it.

If an auditor can't read through an F/N he'll miss this. He's going down the list, the F/N "checks" or slows and he doesn't see it so he goes right on by it. Then, within the next couple of items the F/N kills. He's going to have a hard time F/Ning that list because he's now got a suppressed read.

Example:

Auditor in assessing starts with an F/N which continues as he goes on down the list calling the items. On, say, item 5 the F/N "checks" or slows briefly. Auditor can't read through an F/N so he misses this and goes on by. On about the 6th or 7th item the F/N packs up, and the auditor is in a quandary because the F/N has turned off but he didn't get a read on items 6 or 7 either. Or he may mis-duplicate the killed F/N as a read on items 6 or 7 and attempt to take up one or the other of them. Either way he's in for trouble because he's missed the actual item and he may even try to handle a wrong item. He's going to find it difficult to take that list to an F/Ning assessment.

The correct action when an F/N packs up this way is to go back up the list and reassess the last several items to find the missed read. But one should be able to read through an F/N.

Probably the main reason for pc upset or protest against "overrepair" and being handled again and again with repair lists lies in this factor alone—the auditor can't read through an F/N. Thus he misses the charged items and takes up items that are

uncharged. And the repair goes on interminably, as the charged lines are not found and handled.

This is also probably the reason that auditors have been known to back off from having to F/N a list. They “know” from experience that it is a laborious business.

The truth is it’s not necessary for an auditor to labor over taking a list to F/Ning assessment. It simply requires good TRs and skilled metering, including the ability to read through F/Ns.

An auditor can be trained to see a read through an F/N. The drill would be to sit him down in front of a meter with an F/Ning student on the cans and assess the prepared lists in *The Book of E-Meter Drills*, spotting each time he gets a “check” or a “slow” or any change in an otherwise continuing F/N. He’ll find that he *can* read through an F/N and become very adept at this, and from then on he won’t miss.

You’ll have an auditor who is confident of his ability to F/N a list accurately and thoroughly in one-half the time (and trauma) it would take otherwise.

And far fewer “overrepaired” pcs. (“Overrepaired” pcs are usually pcs with actual reads missed and false reads taken up. So “overrepair” is really “misrepaired” or “not repaired.”)

This is metering at its best and most accurate. We now expect the best and most accurate metering from the auditor who is in the business of F/Ning prepared lists.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH :jk
Copyright © 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 5 DECEMBER 1978

All C/Ses
Auditors
Tech/Qual

C/S Series 105

HCO DIANETIC CLEAR ATTESTS—ADDITIONAL DATA

(Ref: HCOB 29 Nov 78 C/S Series 104
DIANETIC CLEAR
ATTESTS

HCOB 1 Dec 78 PROGRAMMING THE
DIANETIC CLEAR
FOR HIS NEXT STEP)

Since the HCOBs on Dianetic Clear have come out there have been many attestations and many cases unbugged, and there will continue to be more Dianetic Clears as pcs continue to get standard processing. This bulletin gives some additional guidelines to help smooth the lines and prevent needless stops for the person who has made Dianetic Clear. It will also help handle the person who hasn't made it so that he may achieve all the gains available to him.

UNACKNOWLEDGED DIANETIC CLEARS

A person who has reached the state of Dianetic Clear without it being acknowledged can run into difficulties afterwards. You may find that he's been in ethics trouble or had a low OCA or poor case gain since that point.

It's not only lack of acknowledgement but also invalidation by running certain processes that a Clear wouldn't respond to, such as engrams, or continuing to run the grades, or continuing Goals Processing, etc.

Also, with Clears and OTs who went Clear before they did the Clearing Course and never knew it or spotted it, bypassed charge results because they are running something which is trying to achieve what they have already achieved. It serves as an overrun .

In the case where a pc has this unacknowledged, invalidated Dianetic Clear state, you would most likely find a point in his auditing where it looks as if he had made it and a slump occurred afterwards. This point could have occurred many years back. If you don't see a resurgence of the state in an interview or session when the pc mentions when he went Dianetic Clear, the C/S would be to Date/Locate it. (Ref: HCOB 15 Nov 78, DATING AND LOCATING.)

ETHICS

The fact that a person may currently be in ethics trouble is no basis on which to adjudicate whether or not he has achieved the state of Clear. It is not a criterion to be used to refuse to allow the person to attest. Clear is Clear. When a Clear is audited on R3RA, when the state is invalidated or goes unacknowledged, he can get into trouble. So apply this to your understanding and analysis of cases.

The MAA interview and A to J check on the Dianetic Clear Routing Form is not to imply he's out-ethics but will furnish the C/S with data on the case which may or may not come up in a D of P interview. It will also detect the rare case where the person is attempting to attest in order to save money or for status reasons. In one instance it was discovered that the person routing through was actually a plant. These last examples are a very, very small percentage of the cases.

METER PHENOMENA

When the state of Dianetic Clear has been acknowledged and any inval cleaned off the line, you'll see a very floppy needle at low sensitivity, an F/N that nothing can break up and, in many cases, a floating TA. A low sensitivity setting (1 to 4) will be needed to even keep the needle on the dial, and the TA will be riding between 2.0 and 3.0.

You'll find in many cases that the meter now reads on the pc's postulates—i.e., a Clear's postulates read as a surge. A read therefore does not mean invariably "Yes" or that the question is charged. "No" can read if the pc says it or thinks it to himself as an answer to a question. (Ref: HCOB 18 April 68, NEEDLE REACTIONS ABOVE GRADE IV.)

Bear in mind that you might not get the above meter phenomena immediately on a Dianetic Clear where the state has been bypassed, even though the state is valid.

In some cases the TA and needle can be packed up prior to Date/Locate of the exact time the pc went Clear. The pc may have out-Int to be handled. (The handling of out-Int on a Dianetic Clear is the END OF ENDLESS INT REPAIR RD, HCOB 24 Sep 78R, Rev. 21 Nov 78, Iss 1, Int RD Series 4R.) The person may still be hung up on misrun R3R or Dianetics run after he went Clear, or on some point of eval or inval that has occurred.

If, after a Date/Locate has been correctly done, you're still not getting the expected meter phenomena, a C/S 53RL assessed and handled precisely by the book and taken to F/Ning assessment will clean up any remaining charge.

You'll then see a full resurgence of the state, with the pc VVVGIs, a floating, floppy needle at low sensitivity, and a floating TA.

PRIOR DIANETIC CLEARS AND KEYED-OUT CLEARS

The definitions of Dianetic Clear and Keyed-Out Clear in HCOB 24 Sep 78, Iss III, DIANETIC CLEAR, replace the definitions in the Tech Dictionary. The person who attested to Dianetic Clear or Keyed-Out Clear in past years would not necessarily qualify as a Dianetic Clear now, though the chances are good he did make it. Any pc who has attested to Dianetic Clear or Keyed-Out Clear in the past should be called in for an interview and any necessary C/Sing to ascertain the state. This must be adjudicated by an AO C/S or by an org C/S who is Clear.

You will find that many of those who attested to Dianetic Clear earlier on actually did make it, and after confirmation of this they will need to be issued Clear certs and Clear numbers and be properly programmed to move on up the Bridge. (See HCOB 1 Dec 78, PROGRAMMING THE DIANETIC CLEAR FOR HIS NEXT STEP.)

Additionally, where the C/S knows of a case where it looks very likely, from folder study, that the pc went Dianetic Clear but it was unsuspected at the time and never originated, he should have such pcs also called in for confirmation.

THE PERSON WHO HASN'T MADE IT

Where it is obvious that a person who has already been allowed to attest hasn't attained Dianetic Clear. give the pc a good R-Factor that the person handling the attest cycle didn't have all the data. He must also be given the R-Factor that he is being programmed so as not to be denied any of the gains on the Grade Chart and so that he will be adequately prepared to do the OT levels. The C/S then programs the case so that this can occur and the pc is informed he should continue with his auditing program.

In the case where the person wanting to attest clearly hasn't made it, you tell him so. There may be some state he did achieve that he may wish to attest to and he should be allowed to do so.

In both the above cases the person very likely has made some big gain or achieved a new ability, so validate that and give him an appropriate acknowledgement on his win .

NEXT STEP FOR DIANETIC CLEARS

Use HCOB 1 Dec 78, PROGRAMMING THE DIANETIC CLEAR FOR HIS NEXT STEP, as a guide when programming the Dianetic Clear for his next action.

SUMMARY

Keep in mind that a good percentage of the cases you see who by origination want to attest to Dianetic Clear will have achieved the state. If you follow these guidelines and apply the HCOBs on the subject, both those who have attained Dianetic Clear and those who haven't will be able to move on swiftly up the Bridge on the right gradient .

C/Ses should maintain their Ivory Towers and use the above data and all will go well in this area. It is already going well and this additional data will handle the various situations that have come to light.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:jk
Copyright © 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 6 DECEMBER 1978

C/Ses
Tech/Qual
Class IV Grad

REVIVIFICATION

Revivification is the bringing back to life of an engram in which a preclear is stuck. The engram or some portion thereof is being acted out in present time by the preclear. It is called a revivification because the engram is suddenly more real to the preclear than present time has ever been. He relives that moment briefly. He does not merely recall or remember it.

This is not the same thing as the "returning" to an incident or engram that is employed in Dianetic auditing. *Return* is the method of retaining the body and the awareness of the subject in present time while he is told to go back to a certain incident. *Revivification* is the reliving of an incident or a portion of it as if it were happening *now*.

This phenomenon can occur in a pc during the End of Endless Int Repair Run-down. (Ref: HCOB 24 Sep 78R, Iss 1, Int RD Series 4R, THE END OF ENDLESS INT REPAIR RUNDOWN.)

The pc, being run on Recalls on Int, will often begin by recalling locks but these can trigger into full play an engram in which he may be stuck and the pc may go into a revivification of it. He will actually be answering and acting from the point or points down the track where he has been stuck.

Should this happen the auditor simply continues to run the process and get the pc through it. As the pc revivifies he blows through these stuck points on his track and comes out of them, newly, and is now truly in present time.

As revivification is apt to occur on the End of Endless Int Repair, auditors must understand and be able to recognize the phenomena and handle it routinely with excellent TRs when it does.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:cib
Copyright © 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 7 DECEMBER 1978

Remimeo

(Also issued as an HCO Policy Letter
of same date and title.)

**HCOB 1 OCTOBER 1978, HCOB 6 OCTOBER 1978 ON
BRIGHT THINK RUNDOWN WITHDRAWN**

HCOB 1 Oct 78, Reiss II Oct 78, THE BRIGHT THINK RUNDOWN, and
HCOB 6 Oct 78, Reiss II Oct 78, Re-Reiss 24 Oct 78, Bright Think RD Series 2,
REMEDY OF BUGGED CASES ON THE RUNDOWN, are withdrawn.

The process is most effective when preceded by other specific actions necessary
to achieving the full gain possible from the process. As such it is being incorporated as
one step of a rundown currently under research and is NOT to be used or audited in any
way otherwise.

After the date of this issue it will be deemed a HIGH CRIME if any auditor is
found to have violated the above.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:cib
Copyright © 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 8 DECEMBER 1978
Issue II

Remimeo
Class IV Grad
Checksheet
Class VI
Checksheet
Class IV and
above Auditors
C/Ses

**GREEN FORM AND
EXPANDED GREEN FORM 40RD,
USE OF**

GREEN FORM

The Green Form is a precision tool which covers the things bugging a case that no other list will detect. It is not intended to correct session errors or cure high or low TA. It specializes in picking up the peculiarities and elements of a pc's life which are out of view in normal auditing and which cause a case to behave unusually. The case may not be particularly resistive, just bugged or not making sense and the Green Form is the list to use to sort it out. It is an excellent C/S tool for getting an estimate of a case and getting it untangled.

You can assess it Method 3 and handle, not going beyond the first F/N, when ruds won't fly at the start of session, but its real use is to assess Method 5 and then send to the C/S for programming.

If the case appears to be resistive or hasn't sorted out after a full handling of all reading items has been done on the Green Form, then the No. 40 question, called the Resistive Cases Assessment, is assessed Method 5.

RESISTIVE CASES

Each item on the Resistive Cases Assessment has a corresponding section on the Expanded Green Form 40RD. When an item reads on the Resistive Cases Assessment, you go to the section of the Expanded Green Form 40RD which corresponds (by letter) and assess Method 5 that section.

You assess one section of the Expanded Green Form 40RD for each Resistive Cases Assessment item that reads. For example, on the Resistive Cases Assessment, Item C "Audited With Ruds Out" and Item 1-2 "Has Taken Drugs" read. The auditor will now go to the Expanded Green Form 40RD, assess all of Section C "Audited With Ruds Out" and all of Section I "Has Taken Drugs."

Then, depending on C/S instructions, the auditor will 1) return the folder to the C/S for programming of the case based on the reads he has just gotten or, if he has C/S okay, 2) handle the reads per the instructions given for each reading question.

HANDLING READS

The sections of the Expanded Green Form 40RD have been arranged in the order in which they are to be taken up if reading.

Occasionally an item may read on the Resistive Cases Assessment, but give no reads on the assessment of the appropriate section of the EXGF 40RD. (Example: Section G "Seriously Physically Ill" reads on the Resistive Cases Assessment, but when the auditor assesses Section G on the EXGF 40RD, even after putting in the

buttons, there are no reads.) If this occurs, check False and Protest and take the item to an F/N.

CLEARs, OTs AND DIANETIC CLEARs

The Green Form and especially the Expanded Green Form 40RD call for Dianetic handlings (R3RA) on many items. In using these lists on someone who is Clear, OT or Dianetic Clear, *NO* Dianetic handlings are done on any items, nor is *ant* activity to be engaged in which brings about further engram running.

Where Dianetic handlings are called for on items, there are given additional, special handlings for Clear, OTs and Dianetic Clear, which consist of indicating the bypassed charge, letting the person tell you about it if he wishes and indicating the F/N.

This, of course, cannot be considered a full handling for many items and the Clear, OT or Dianetic Clear should be programmed for NED for OTs as soon as possible to fully handle any areas of case which, due to his case state, are beyond the scope of New Era Dianetics.

DRUGS

If unhandled drugs are reading, drugs must be fully handled with the NED Drug Rundown as soon as the EXGF 40RD is complete.

If a Clear, OT or Dianetic Clear is being a resistive case due to unhandled drugs. the answer is to program the person for a Sweat Program and even Objectives. (You do not run engrams.) The Sweat Program and Objectives will handle drugs where they are hanging up a Clear, OT or Dianetic Clear.

END PHENOMENA

Otherwise. unless you have missed a read, you will have handled the resistiveness of the pc's case upon completion of the EXGF 40RD.

The Green Form, used by itself, or with the EXGF 40RD will solve cases that no other list will handle. They will get a pc winning who has been making no or slow case gains due to some peculiarity or element of his life or case, and they will do this faster and more easily than ever before.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH: jk
Copyright © 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO POLICY LETTER OF 9 DECEMBER 1979

Remimeo
All Orgs
All HGCs
LRH Comms/KOTs
OESs

Ref: HCO PL 6 Nov 71
Issue III

HCO PL 23 Oct 76, INTERNSHIP AND HGC, and BPL 29 Nov 1976,
IMPORTANT—INTERNSHIP AND HGC SUSPENSION are CANCELED.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

Assisted by
Commodore's Messenger

LRH: MOD: cib
Copyright © 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 13 DECEMBER 1978R
REVISED 24 SEPTEMBER 1979

Remimeo

(Revisions in this type style)

PC SET-UPS AND C/S 53

(Ref: C/S Series 1- 10)

The original issue of this HCOB stated "A pc set-up before a major action must include a C/S 53RL to F/Ning list." *It then named various Flag-only rundowns. It was not intended for distribution to orgs outside Flag, and has been misimplemented to create a shortage of pus for NED Course students and internes and Class IV Course students and interned by requiring that these must not audit pcs who have not first had a C/S 53 to F/Ning list. But every major action does not require a C/S 53 to F/Ning list as a set-up. Therefore this clarification is issued.*

A pa must be set up before a major action. What this set-up consists of is covered in C/S Series 1 - 10. It does not have to include a C/S 53 to F/Ning list.

A C/S 53 to F/Ning list is the most thorough way to set up a case, or to repair a case who has had rough auditing. This is because the C/S 53 is a masterpiece in that it contains everything that could be wrong with the mind. A pc who has had much auditing, especially if any of the auditing was not skillfully delivered, will get a remarkable case resurgence from a C/S 53 done to F/Ning list.

But a pa who is already flying, or who has not had much auditing, does not require a C/S 53 to F/Ning list and possibly would not even understand many of the C/S 53 lines. It does not have to be done before every major action. To do so would violate the rules of C/Sing and programming of cases, and is an arbitrary. It is very poor show to enter or use arbitraries as these prevent getting any tech done at all! And not requiring a C/S 53 to F/Ning list does not mean that you can audit a pc who is not set up.

Follow the rules of programming and C/Sing cases already very adequately covered in the C/S Series, especially in C/S Series 1 - 10. It is very easy to do.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

As assisted by
Snr C/S Int

LRH:DM:gal
Copyright © 1978, 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

L. Ron Hubbard

EXECUTIVE DIRECTIVE

LRH ED 301 INT

17 December 1978

To: ALL STAFF
ALL PUBLIC
AUDITOR MAG
ORG MAGS
CENTRAL FILES
INFO PACKS

From: RON

RON'S JOURNAL 30

1978—THE YEAR OF LIGHTNING FAST NEW TECH

Hello.

I have some exciting news about services.

Processing has gotten so fast that actually clearing whole areas is in view.

1978 was indeed the year of tech breakthroughs!

If processing had to get more expensive due to inflation, why then it had to be made much quicker and better. Actually processing costs today per result are much cheaper than ever before and will continue to be as the improvements have been so great.

It all began with New Era Dianetics. When I look back and remember the hundreds and even thousands of hours some people spent on Book One Dianetics, it's like an old story half-remembered. If one of those 1950 auditors had the tools of NED they would have been bug-eyed at its fast results. Even the 1968 Dianetic auditor would have been incredulous if his preclears had responded with the speed that NED pcs are achieving. It's probably about 100 to 1.

But, the 1950-1968 auditors as auditors might even have objected a little bit to NED as it would have run them out of pcs. The overall cost of 1950-1977 processing, despite being cheaper per hour, was *much* more expensive.

Take a Drug Rundown. These used to go on for hundreds of hours and somebody said they would have cost many thousands of dollars to complete. But with NED Drug Rundowns, they go off zip, zip, zip in one or two intensives.

NED is so fast that even an incomplete old DRD can be finished up and repaired and ended utterly in less than one intensive. You would be amazed how fast and thorough it is. So it isn't the cost by hour or by intensive; it's how much the whole rundown costs that counts and if it can be given in far fewer hours it is cheaper no matter the cost per hour.

NED is cleaning up anything and everything Book One ever mentioned with lightning speed. Of course, when somebody goes Dianetic Clear, he can't be run on more engrams. right? Right! So it's forbidden now to run NED on Dianetic Clears.

The main problem of good auditors today is hitting such tremendous wins on pcs that they have to wait 2 or 3 days for the F/N to die down—if it does. Auditors are getting sore legs from just walking in and out of sessions. It's awful.

We are making Clears these days in many cases so fast that Clearing Course bracelet numbers are jumping up by the thousands per month. We are also finding that some old Dianetic pcs had gone Clear and the auditors didn't notice. Incredibly enough, sometimes on a next life basis we are running into Dianetic Clears from 1949 and '50 so even those auditors were better than they thought they were. So we're not only making new ones we're finding old ones—such is the power of NED.

There have been technical improvements and discoveries and advances in '78 that are reaching into every level of tech and speeding it up.

The following is just a partial list:

(Key:

- * means the action can be done on a non-Clear or on a Dianetic Clear.
- ** means the action is for OT III and above only.
- † means it is a *new* rundown/action.)

DISCOVERIES AND ADVANCEMENTS IN TECH IN 1978

1. *NED*. (New Era Dianetics). A refinement of all previous Dianetic techniques from 1950 up to present time as well as the development of new technique giving much faster results and far higher gain per hour of auditing and speedy resolution of Dianetic cases.

Included in NED are twelve brand new developments. They are all contained in far more detail in the NED HCOBs and packs. Due to the extensive changes and improvements in Dianetics, old Dianetic auditors are also training on the NED Course as it only takes 3 weeks for the able student.

A. *PREASSESSMENT*. With the new preassessment tech developed for NED, each illness or condition the pc needs and wants handled gets handled with a new technique.

B. *NEW DRUG RUNDOWN*. This is the answer to a druggie's dreams. Without withdrawal symptoms and in a no pain, no strain rocket ride, he comes right back up to life and living.

Where the old DRD took hundreds of hours and sometimes had to be repeated, the NED DRD requires only a few intensives that are a joy all the way according to the avalanches of success stories which poured in on me from over the world during the pilots.

With a good NED trained auditor, the cost of a final, finished, completed Drug Rundown has shrunk way below what it did and far below the habit. The Mafia. Drug Enforcement Agency and other criminals hate it, as it's doing them out of a job. Enlightened foreign governments liked Narconon but boy they'll love it now. Indeed, the dead will walk again.

C. *END OF ENDLESS DRUG RUNDOWNS REPAIR LIST*. A lot of people who have had Drug Rundowns old style and have had to have them repeated will be overjoyed to know that they can be finished with rapidity. In fact with new developments, a repair list can be finished off so fast that the rundown has to be sold on the basis of one intensive. This applies only to getting a C/S 53 and the repair list and is done only on those people who have "completed" an old Drug Rundown and does not apply to the

NED new rundown in Section B above. If it takes more, that's too bad for the org. If one wants to get his old Drug Rundown handled terminatedly he should ask for the End of Endless DRD Repair List. (Demand a "C/S 53" to begin it and then get the repair.)

D. *OBJECTIVE ARC*. This brand new process introduces the pc to the wide, bright world .

E. *SWEAT PROGRAM*. Some of these new drugs, like the intelligence drug, LSD (developed to poison and paralyze whole cities) or Angel Dust (developed by crooked gamblers to handle race horses and fix races) have a nasty habit of remaining in the body and popping up unexpectedly to send people on "trips." The Sweat Program has been refined to handle this. It is pretty strenuous—but so is a traffic accident when an unexpected "trip" happens. Everyone who has had the courage to go on a Sweat Program and stay with it has come up bright as a gold nugget.

F. *POSTULATE OFF AS THE EP OF A DIANETIC CHAIN*. The discovery that some old Dianetic auditors had not been actually completing a chain to the correct end phenomena of getting a postulate off opened the door to a lot of residual gain. Pcs with mean reputations keep right on being mean until they get the postulate off.

G. *RELIEF RUNDOWN*. This handles the losses that push people into the despair and shadows of life and wipes away the tears of the ages.

H. *DIANETIC STUDENT RESCUE INTENSIVE*. Those who find study difficult will be delighted with this one. The slow student turns into a blur of absorbed new knowledge .

I. *PREPARED ASSESSMENT FORM*. This NED process list dredges up the unwanted conditions that wait in the future so they can be handled before they hit the pc.

J. *IDENTITY RUNDOWN*. Freud said that people who are detached and to whom things are unreal could never be handled. This one makes him wrong and the pc right.

K. *DISABILITY RUNDOWN*. To a pc, disability can take many guises, anything from an inability to talk to girls to being unable to speak Arabic. We have a way to handle this now and it works.

L. *DIANETIC REMEDIES*. The Dianetic remedies formerly in use in Dianetics have been refined and expanded with New Era Dianetics tech. They're used where the pc is having trouble with secondaries or engrams and speed up the already swift potentials of NED for the pc who might bog on it.

2. *SUPER POWER*. A super fantastic, but confidential series of rundowns that can be done on anyone whether Dianetic Clear or not that puts the person into fantastic shape unleashing the super power of a thetan. This is the means that puts Scientologists into a new realm of ability enabling them to create the New World. It puts world Clearing within reach in the future. This is a parallel rundown to Power in Saint Hills which is taken by the Dianetic Clear. It consists of 12 *separate high power rundowns* which are brand new and enter realms of the tech never before approached. Power is still very much in use on the Grade Chart but is for those who didn't go Clear on Dianetics. Super Power will be delivered at Saint Hills within the next 6 weeks as we are right now super grooming the Super Power auditors in a special international course. It will be delivered in almost all languages.

The New World Corps is being formed and trained this very minute, candidates for which must be Class IV, OT IIIs. Orgs were once promised Power for their staffs.

If org staff are very, very good and get on policy and everything. they will one day look up and see a New World Corps team move in on them, and then.... New World Corps mean just that!

3. *END OF ENDLESS INT REPAIR*. Pcs in the past have often had to have their Interiorization Rundown repaired and sometimes more than once. This repair, found while researching upper levels finalizes any seemingly endless redo of interiorization repairs. Pcs on the pilot actions of this have been ecstatic with relief.

4. *NEW INTERIORIZATION RUNDOWN*. When a pc exteriorizes during processing, he can thereafter find it difficult to exteriorize again—to put it mildly. The new Interiorization Rundown, in good hands, puts a final finish to any such trouble and the pc ceases to have any trouble because of exteriorization or in exteriorizing.

5. *DIANETIC CLEARS*. Undoubtedly the BIG news that resounded around the world in '78 was the huge numbers of people who were discovered to have made Dianetic Clear. In 1950 and on, people used to ask “Where are all the Clears?” Well, they were right there! In 1978 I discovered that it was deadly to go on running Dianetics on a Dianetic Clear. Such gave the semblance of no case gain! (Naturally.) So when I announced that running Dianetics on a Dianetic Clear was forbidden, people began to look around and lo and behold they had been making Clears with Dianetics and had been going right on by it! The pc who had made it wasn't declared Clear and further Dianetics didn't work on him (naturally). So in 1978, after my announcement, auditors began to check *and* listen to the pc and found they had real Clears all over the place!

Now NED produces far faster gains and many (not all) NED pcs began to go Clear .

And the Clear numbers have been running up like one of those computers.

Rehabbed and allowed to declare these Dianetic Clears abruptly attained the full results of Clear!

Excited avalanches of success stories have been pouring in on me for months in the thousands and from all over the world.

And it's interesting that amongst those found there are a few who went Clear on Dianetics in their last life—in the 50's! (It's quite a scramble locating their last life folder and records so they can go on to full OT!)

“Where are the Clears?” There they were!

6. *REDEFINITION OF INSTANT READ*. Making it easier for auditors to learn metering and giving pcs vastly increased speed of gains due to higher accuracy of metering .

7. *CLARIFICATION OF CONFESSIONAL PROCEDURE*. Resulting in faster gain in a shorter period of time.

8. *SERVICE FACSIMILES REVISITED*. The early 60's handling of service facs was revised and overhauled and became the new Grade IV of Scientology processing.

9. *POWER TO FORGIVE*. Making it possible for the pc to fully end cycle on any shame, blame, regret or guilt of the past.

** 10. *NED FOR OTs*. The discovery and development of techniques by which the Second Wall of Fire can be overcome.

This consists of 29 fantastic new confidential rundowns, delivered by a specially trained OT auditor.

The auditors are in training right now from AOs to give NED for OTs to all those who are OT III and auditors already trained on it are delivering it at Flag in Flag's new Advanced Org Division 4A.

Some of the miracles of life have been exposed to full view for the first time ever in NED for OTs. The rave success stories coming from this are the talk of the auditing world.

** 11. *OT VIII*. This rundown was developed and will be available to OT VIIs who have completed their NED for OTs which is a prerequisite for OT VIII.

12. *UPPER LEVELS*. There are other OT levels above VIII but these will be released from time to time when people are ready for them. We're already higher than Man has ever been and it can get quite stratospheric.

TRAINING

In 1978 giant training strides were made for I was very busy on that as well. The NED Course was brought down to 3 weeks, Class 0-4 Checksheets were carefully shortened back to the 1968 level when auditors were being made at speed but without losing tech which is quite a trick when you come to think of it!

When you realize that a large portion of training costs goes into living expenses while taking the course, reducing the length of time of a course gives an enormous reduction in course costs. regardless of what's being charged. Training is also being helped by scholarships.

CLASS IV AUDITING ACTIONS THAT CAN BE RUN

Class IV Orgs—those orgs which are in major cities on 5 continents—have a large variety of public services which they can give. Many of these are very economical and are there for the raw public as well as to keep their fields getting service. Amongst them are the following:

1. *GROUP PROCESSING*. After an Intro Lecture a successful gradient for raw public is being part of a group who are audited simultaneously. Group Processing can be pretty effective and on a large number of "releases attained" you will find the public reporting great gains made in group auditing. It is an economical way to keep one going even after he's had professional processing.

2. *CO-AUDIT. (Cooperative Auditing)*. A public person is instructed in the rudiments of auditing and under the supervision of a trained auditor, groups of teams audit each other, attaining good gains.

†3. *LIVINGNESS REPAIR*. This is often the first action taken on a person by a professional auditor and can bring a great deal of brightness back into his life.

†4. *NED. (New Era Dianetics)*. This was the bombshell of '78 and NED auditors (as well as courses) are available in every org.

NED consists of at least 12 separate rundowns as noted in the New Discovery section above. It occasionally makes a Dianetic Clear although this must not be promised. The only "trouble" with NED compared to earlier Dianetics is that it produces results very fast. One can achieve more result per hour of auditing time by about 100 to 1 over old Dianetics and when you realize that old Dianetics was the first and remains the only fast and effective processing known to Man you get some idea of where NED is at. It is interesting that when somebody has been skillfully mucking himself up for trillions and trillions of years that a NED auditor can salvage him in under 100 hours!

† 5. *XDN. {Expanded Dianetics}*. In '78 improvements on this were undertaken and the full new Expanded Dianetics is being released in '79. It comprises very specialized applications of Dianetics for the more difficult case.

* 6. *QUAD GRADES AND EXPANDED GRADES 0-IV*. These are more fully covered in the book *What is Scientology?* They are the wonder workers which put ability to live back into life. They handle the basics of relationships amongst people and improve one's ability to handle himself and others. For Dianetic Clears, this is usually their first auditing (less the engram running R3RA part of New Grade IV) after attaining Clear and permits them to expand beyond their previously barriered existence. These grades are very far from limited to Clears.

† 7. *NEW GRADE IV*. Grade IV has been improved enormously by including and refining formerly Class VI materials. The engram running part cannot be run on Clears but the listing processes can. It is guaranteed to make the preclear fully and completely right.

† 8. *REVISED C/S 53*. It is quite a technical achievement to put on one side of one sheet of paper everything that can be wrong with a mind. The "C/S" stands for Case Supervisor who is the one, unseen in some Ivory Tower, who tells the auditor exactly what to do between sessions when you're getting audited. This is a primary tool he uses in analysis. In '78 it was polished up and made as bright as the morning star to detect and handle things you didn't even know were wrong but which might have you totally caved in.

† 9. *GREEN FORM*. This tool of case analysis has been improved.

† 10. *NEW GREEN FORM 4() EXPANDED*. This detects and handles any reason why a case might be resistive to processing, thus handling any tendency towards slow gain and making it possible for such cases to make faster gains in future processing.

†* 11. *STUDY GREEN FORM*. This is a rundown which isolates and handles anything that could be wrong with any student or pupil.

* 12. *STUDENT CORRECTION LIST*. This handles difficulties that a student or pupil may have encountered in his studies and frees him up from these. so that he can now study easily.

* 13. *NEW METHOD ONE WORD CLEARING*. This is far more reaching in clearing up past misunderstands which stuck the person in previously failed subjects he studied .

14. *CONDITIONS AND EXCHANGE BY DYNAMICS*. This is an auditing action which increases the awareness of exchange and flows on the various dynamics, enabling a person to be at cause over them.

†* 15. *THE MARRIAGE INTENSIVE*. This is where husbands and wives handle marital difficulties, enabling them to lead happily married lives. It can restore the bloom of spring romance!

†* 16. *AUDITOR RUNDOWN*. This is an intensive which fully handles any case reasons why an auditor might have difficulty and enables him to move forward without any losses or failures from past efforts to help people stopping him.

†* 17. *TEACHER OR SUPERVISOR INTENSIVE*. This is for any person involved in teaching or supervising or education and enables him to become a vastly better teacher or supervisor.

†* 18. *EXECUTIVE OR BUSINESSMAN'S INTENSIVE*. This enables an executive or businessman to face situations of stress with calmness and frees him from past business stresses.

†* 19. *MONEY PROCESSING INTENSIVE*. This handles the inability to have money resulting in the ability to increase income.

†* 20. *PROFESSION INTENSIVE*. This enables a person to overcome difficulties that he may encounter in his profession or in any given subject.

†* 21. *FIXATED PERSON RUNDOWN*. This enables a person to overcome the condition of having his attention fixated on one person.

†* 22. *SUPPRESSED PERSON RUNDOWN*. This is a magical rundown just now being released to Class IV and other orgs. It utilizes a principle found in an early ACC but never fully developed and released till '78 that one could bring the suppressive in a person's life to communicate to him and seek peace, without ever contacting him. When expertly done on a person who has been the target of suppression by antagonistic people it brings him back to freedom and handles his environment as well. When one realizes that most illness is precipitated by suppression one can understand the need and use of such a miraculous rundown. It's unbelievable until one experiences it.

The way to get processing cheaply is, of course, to take the training route and get trained and make your grades at the same time. Training is very fast these days due to the new checksheets.

Class IV Orgs teach the Co-Audit Auditors Course, the fast New Era Dianetics Course, the NED Validated Auditor Internship, the NED Case Supervisor Course, the Validated NED Case Supervisor Internship, now have all the shorter checksheets for and teach Class 0-IV, the Permanent Class IV Auditor Internship, the Class IV Graduate Auditor and Case Supervisor Course, the Permanent Class IV Graduate Case Supervisor Internship and will teach Expanded Dianetics Auditor and Case Supervisor courses and internships. They also teach a considerable number of public courses, lists of which will shortly be available from Class IV Orgs as in 1978 Department 17 was ordered to get busy handling and training the public as the pre-wave of the New World.

CLASS VI ORGS (SAINT HILLS)

The original Class VI Org was located in a beautiful English estate, Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex. When a Class VI Org was formed in Copenhagen to service Europe in all languages it was staffed by people from Saint Hill who named it, of course, "Saint Hill." And when the American Class VI Organization was formed it was staffed by people taken from Saint Hill and, of course, they called it The American Saint Hill Organization. The services remain the same in each of the organizations .

The following services are given at Saint Hill Orgs:

1. *ALL CLASS IV ORG AUDITING ACTIONS AND COURSES*. They cost a bit more but the Saint Hills are essentially training orgs and the auditing delivered there is usually quickly available and very well done.

2. *POWER PROCESSING*. Grades V and VA are still available and being delivered at Saint Hills for persons who did not make Dianetic Clear, delivered only by Class VII auditors who are specialized on it. Power continues to produce its startling results.

†* 3. *SUPER POWER*. There is no reason a Dianetic Clear should be denied the powerful gains which research made available in '78 (see above). For the public who have gone Dianetic Clear, and those who haven't, Saint Hills will become a mecca where they obtain the most excellent results obtainable in Super Power.

The SAINT HILL SPECIAL BRIEFING COURSE still roars on. This is the place where the auditor gets all the tech that has ever been issued below that level, this is where his sessions are video'd and polished, this is where he gets all his auditing to catch him up on his grades. These are the Dukes of the auditor elite, the Saint Hillers. One joins the clique only by hard work and great results.

CLASS VIII COURSE. This is the course originated on Flag and still taught in its original successful form at Saint Hills. It is a standard tech course and Class VIIIs pride themselves on keeping tech standard over the world.

CLASS IX COURSE. This is the graduate course which contains the materials issued since the Flag Class VIII.

ADVANCED ORGS

Advanced Orgs are located in Los Angeles, England and Copenhagen.

The Advanced Orgs are the OT (Operating Thetan) orgs of the world. Because of the nature of OT auditing the person has to learn to audit himself as most OT levels are too fast to be audited by another (only OT VII, OT IV and NED for OTs are audited on the pre-OT by a professional).

* 1. *SET-UPS*. It often happens that one needs to have case difficulties handled before he goes on up the Grade Chart to the stellar realms of the Advanced Orgs. Sometimes a Drug Rundown isn't complete or one is a bit suppressed or too introverted. It saves time to get a set up before starting the rocket ride up the top end of the Grade Chart. The AOs handle this sort of thing routinely when it is needed. They have a checklist of prerequisites for AO levels and this is what they're most interested in getting completed. To not complete it means a stall somewhere along the way. It usually doesn't take much time and saves time later.

* 2. *SOLO AUDITORS COURSE*. The AOs are the place where the preclear or Dianetic Clear learns to solo audit so he can go on up the Grade Chart. Some people, wanting the best auditing they can get for this Solo flight, also take lower level professional auditor courses and even Class VI at the Saint Hills. At one time a Dianetic Auditors Course was a prerequisite to AO services prior to the Solo Auditors Course and while this is not now demanded, and while the Solo Auditors Course is very good, smart preclears and smart Dianetic Clears take other courses before they get to an AO and then they're sure to make OT even more easily. I've heard Solo auditors say that they only wanted a professional on their case and that's why they were making themselves into one before they went to an AO. Even Class IV Orgs have professional courses .

3. *R6EW*. This is the tech designation of the step a non-Dianetic Clear must take before going onto the Clearing Course in Advanced Orgs. It is Grade VI. It returns powers to act on one's own determinism. It incidentally tells one why the human race often reacts so oddly to life.

†* 4. *DIANETIC CLEAR REHAB*. The state of Dianetic Clear is checked and rehabilitated which is a very fast action in most cases. It has to be verified because if the person didn't make it he has to go onto the Clearing Course and if he did really make Dianetic Clear, to do the Clearing Course would be disastrous because, of course, he's already Clear. This is why AOs are now engaged on a project of recovering folder libraries particularly of the few pcs who have died in the last few decades. Always bring your own folder or get it sent to the AO if you are going.

5. *THE CLEARING COURSE*. This was one of the most famous breakthroughs of all time requiring years of research. Those who did not make Dianetic Clear solo audit themselves to the full bursting glory of Clear.

* 6. *OPERATING THETAN I*. For the Dianetic Clear who has had his Solo Course, set-up and verification as Clear, this is the first solo auditing step. These OT grades have their own end phenomena but they are confidential.

* 7. *OT II*.

* 8. *OT III*. This is the big step and one has to allow time for it.

** 9. *OT III EXPANDED.*

** 10. *OT VII.* This is an auditing step by a professional OT auditor. It can be given in any sequence after OT III and before OT VIII.

†** 11. *NED FOR OTs.* This is the big news of the OT world. AO auditors were specially trained at Flag and are just now on their way back to AOs to deliver it. The new Division 4A Flag is an AO now and had its gala opening and its first avalanche of pre-OTs on December 16. There is very wild excitement on the results of NED for OTs as, of course, they've never been seen before on this or any other planet. NED for OTs can be given any time after OT III and before OT VIII and is a prerequisite for OT VIII.

** 12. *OT IV.*

** 13. *OT V.*

** 14. *OT VI.*

** 15. *OT VIII.* Although several upper OT grades have been researched, pre-OTs were not ready for them and so they were not released. The needed link which makes it possible is NED for OTs which is its prerequisite. The upper levels above VIII will probably be released from time to time into the future. OT VIII's release is a real cap for the tech breakthroughs of '78.

FLAG SHIP ORG

The FSO is beginning its third year at the Flag Land Base in Clearwater, Florida. The FSO was several years afloat and has a long tradition as the top training and processing org of the world. Its quarters in the posh Fort Harrison Resort Hotel still carry its distinctly nautical flavor.

Flag is the top tech org of the world and if they can't do it, nobody can.

What you pay for at Flag is the most expert C/Sing for the best rundowns by the best auditors in the world.

Its services are:

1. *ALL LEVELS AND RUNDOWNS.* NED, CLASS IV, CLASS VI, CLASS Vial and all AO services (in its new Division 4A) as listed above are delivered at Flag. (Super Power will be delivered to the staff first and eventually to the public.) (Flag lower org services cost more mainly because they are even more expert and faster than they are in lower orgs and because the service is highly personalized.)

*** 2. *FULL CASE RESOLUTION.* It is always the fate of the top level org of the world to inherit the rough and "unsolvable" cases. Flag runs on the basis of results or else so far as their tech stuff is concerned. The words "failed case" do not exist on Flag. Therefore they have rundowns and handlings to untangle the most tangled and get it going again. (Flag gets easy cases too. In fact they get some of the easiest and fastest cases in the world because such people have a way of making it through to the top.)

*** 3. *INTEGRITY PROCESSING.* These are a series of processes which result in a vastly improved performance in the area addressed.

* 4. *STUDENT BOOSTER RUNDOWN.* This is a specialty on Flag which also trains the executives of orgs and has to have a fast study remedy. It is also given to business executives so that they can absorb effortlessly and with greater speed the vast quantities of data that pass across an executive's desk.

5. *DIANETIC DEBUG AND REPAIR.* Those who couldn't handle and run engrams or have trouble in Dianetics find this a successful way to start winning with Dianetics or NED.

*** 6. *OT LEVEL DEBUG AND REPAIR*. This is the full repair and resolution of any difficulties a pre-OT may have encountered due to solo auditing errors on any solo level .

7. *NEW VITALITY RUNDOWN (NVRD)*. This was also called the “Special Rundown” when it was being developed in ‘75 and I trained a group of auditors on it at Flag. It has never been exported from Flag. It handled cases that had not progressed well due to suppression or other factors.

8. *POWER REPAIR AND COMPLETION TO FULL EP*. Given in those rare cases where a pc has not made it on Power.

*** 9. *L-10*. This is the first of the famous Ls (“L” is just the technical designation). The L’s are at Flag only. They are not grades in themselves but incredible boosters that can be delivered at any point after a completed DRD and Expanded Grades. The L’s are audited by Class X, XI and XII auditors who are the most highly trained on the planet.

*** 10. *L-11*. The next step from L-10.

*** 11. *L-12*. When I was C/Sing the L’s I would never let a pre-OT leave the ship unless he’d gone exterior with full perceptions and was able to maintain it.

†*** 12. *NED FOR OTs*. Flag was the place where all the NED for OT auditors were trained. When its new AO Division 4A was opened just before Christmas even other hotels in town had to be booked to take care of the OTs arriving for their NED for OTs. The sensation caused over the world rivalled the original landing at Flag in Florida. They considered it a Christmas present and it was.

13. *ALL AO LEVELS*.

14. *OT VIII*.

Flag teaches a large variety of courses for auditors and is the place where top auditors go to become fully proficient in all classes.

Administrative courses at Flag are much sought after by top executives in businesses and other walks of life. It is a mark of considerable distinction to be known as a graduate of a Flag Executive Briefing Course.

In all, Flag teaches 69 courses which are fitted for the special requirements of the Flag clientele.

The International Training Org. for org personnel only, is also located at Flag and org staffs who are lucky enough to be selected to it are trained for executive positions in orgs. It received a new expansion at the end of ‘78 and was put under the command of one of the Sea Org’s most veteran Officers.

1978

Man is a complex being, far more complex than he ever conceived. And he possesses abilities and potentials that neither he nor any of the wise men of the ages ever dreamed of.

In 1978, a great many new insights and revelations about life were disclosed, many of them discovered in my research at very upper levels. These then were used to speed up the lower and more fundamental grades. Without discarding any of the tech we already had, all of which remains very valid, the time and cost for a given result was greatly reduced.

And, as demand for auditors far exceeded supply, new training skills were also developed and the checksheets which govern the time in training were also reduced so that good auditors could be made FAST! A lot of 1978 time was spent on doing this.

But there was one discovery in '78 that I haven't said very much about and am really not likely to since it is a sad thing. It is what really happens to a thetan who is not salvaged or processed and goes on down the route. Man, when I saw that and knew it to be true I actually felt sorry for these guys that try to hit at us. Poor devils. Some religions talk about hell. It's an understatement of what really happens. I got a real close look at what we were salvaging people from. Well, we can do it, better than ever today, especially with these newest discoveries.

All this developmental work was done in '78 despite the fact that I also spent several months of that year directing, photographing, lighting—you name it—nine bright sparkling training films! They are now in the process of being edited and you'll be hearing much more about them when they begin to be released later on in '79.

In case you are under the mistaken impression that Scientology was bruised in 1978 you should know that raw public bought and are buying Scientology texts in a wave of best selling that is making history in the retail and publishing world. There has never before been such a boom in any specialized organization's books. Book publishers use us as an astonishing example of what can happen. Hordes of new public are sweeping these books out of the stores and in the better run orgs, are crowding the Public Division to the rafters for service. Truth doesn't bruise very easily.

New books also got attention in '78. *HAVE YOU LIVED BEFORE THIS LIFE?* was introduced at the Atlanta Book Fair and, in the hands of retail distributors, began its own boom. It has gone 5X the normal best seller and is still going. (After all, we discovered past lives.)

And bright, new, and in your bookstore is a big impressive hard cover book *WHAT IS SCIENTOLOGY?* released at Christmas! It contains all kinds of data, really a huge handbook, just the thing to use to inform and overawe your friends. 347 information packed pages plus over a hundred big full color illustrations contain the answers to just about every question ever asked about Scientology. Although staff wrote it, I had to keep it rounded up and to the printer and many a day was spent in tropic heat getting its photographs shot. There is data in it that hardly any Scientologist knows. It's a reference and reading total must for every Scientologist's library. And, incidentally, it's about half the price, despite its quality, of regular bookstore books of the same size—a triumph in itself in these inflationary times!

In '78 a lot of time was spent in developing the new Mark VI E-Meter. It was needed as OTs were going off the top of the Mark V. The New World Corps is equipped with the specially built Mark VI. This beautiful and far more sensitive meter will be available to general auditors around February '79 from Pubs Orgs. The Mark V will continue to be valid and sold as it's a good meter and less expensive. The Mark VI is just a Rolls Royce.

Needless to say, I was very busy this year!

It sure is a lot of work to dig out a planet!

Well, there it is. All yours—for '79.

Love,

RON
L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:dr

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 29 DECEMBER 1978

Remimeo
CI IV Grad
Checksheet
Class VI
Checksheet
C/Ss
Class IV Grad
Auditors
Ethics Officers

THE SUPPRESSED PERSON RUNDOWN

A MAGICAL NEW RUNDOWN

At times the results of "ordinary" Scientology tech are extremely impressive, even to me. I am by this time, quite accustomed to miracles as usual, but magic is not quite so commonplace an occurrence, even these days, and is worthy of special note.

Many times the suppressive person to whom the pc is PTS exists in present time and is still capable of causing trouble or upset for the pc. It is to this situation that the Suppressed Person Rundown is addressed.

This new rundown, the Suppressed Person Rundown, produces the wondrous result of changing the disposition of an antagonistic terminal at a distance, by auditing the PTS preclear. Where this terminal was antagonistic, invalidative, hostile or down-right suppressive, he will suddenly have a change of heart and seek to make peace with the PTS pc.

This rundown is not considered complete until the magic occurs; that is on this rundown, we take a PTS pc and we audit this pc and audit him and audit him on Problems Processes until a major change occurs in the *antagonistic persons universe* which prompts him to make a friendly overture to or concerning the pc.

This friendly and unprompted origination or attempt at origination from the antagonistic terminal to or concerning the pc will occur in all cases *if* Problems Processes are run and are fully flattened. This happens no matter how out of comm the two terminals have been or what length of time has intervened between their last communication .

The rundown is continued until the EP occurs. Each problem process is run to its own EP.

When the PTS Rundown engram running has to be omitted because of Clear or OT this Suppressed Person Rundown can be substituted for the engram part of the PTS Rundown but if so, it is still run to the full EP of the Suppressed Person Rundown .

This is how it works. The pc, due to some act or acts previously committed, has gone the effect of the antagonistic terminal. The terminal then attempts to suppress the pc. The pc, already the effect of the terminal becomes the effect of the suppression. So the pc's own postulate to improve himself and his conditions is countered by the suppressive terminal's counter-postulate, and he is thus given a present time problem of sufficient magnitude to prevent case gain, as only a present time problem will halt the progress of a case. To the present time problem are added ARC breaks with the antagonistic terminal, and as only ARC breaks will worsen a case, the result is no gain or deterioration of a case by reason of the suppressive connection in the environment .

A possible simple explanation for what occurs is: the pc, on running Problems Processes, comes up to cause on his problems with the terminal and when he is con

tinued on problems, he will break through and actually run out the antagonistic terminal's problems which *he* has given to *him*.

When this occurs, the formerly antagonistic terminal will get into communication with the pc or by communicating in a friendly way to others about the pc. He will write a letter to make peace, or he will make a phone call to say "All is well," or he will tell Aunt Sally he feels much better about the pc and has decided to let bygones be bygones. It sometimes occurs that the antago person does not know where the pc is but he will still try to communicate.

This friendly origination by the antagonistic terminal is the EP of the rundown. If the terminal hasn't yet originated, you haven't run enough Problems Processes. **THE ONLY TIME THIS DOESN'T WORK IS WHEN YOU HAVEN'T RUN ALL POSSIBLE PROBLEMS PROCESSES OR HAVE RUN THEM WITH OUT-TECH SUCH AS A BROKEN METER.**

WHEN THE RUNDOWN IS DONE

The Suppressed Person Rundown is done after the education step laid out in HCOB 31 Dec 78 III EDUCATING THE POTENTIAL TROUBLE SOURCE, THE FIRST STEP TOWARD HANDLING: PTS C/S-1, and after a PTS interview or a 10 August interview or an S&D has located the antagonistic terminal and handlings on that terminal have been done, and after the PTS Rundown has been done.

This procedure is run on the antagonistic terminal in all instances, even when the PTS scene has apparently been relieved for the pc on earlier PTS handling. Always run this problems procedure, no matter how successful earlier handlings were. This RD is to repair the relationship.

The pc is given the R-Factor to let the auditor know of any communication he receives from or about the antagonistic terminal. (He should not, however, be told what the EP of the rundown is.)

HOW THE RUNDOWN IS DONE

The Problems Processes used in the Suppressed Person Rundown are given in HCOB 30 Dec 78 SUPPRESSED PERSON RUNDOWN—PROBLEMS PROCESSES and are run Triple or Quad Flow in the order they are given. Each flow is checked for a read before running and each charged flow is taken to the EP of F/N, cog, VGIs.

The EP of the rundown has been reached when the antagonistic terminal originates in a friendly way to the preclear or seeks to communicate to the pc in a friendly manner. It's not just a cessation of hostilities, it's more than that. Even if the antagonistic terminal doesn't know the pc's address he will find out, or he will put word out and the pc will hear from or about the antagonistic terminal. And it will be a friendly message. Even if the antagonistic terminal doesn't know the pc's address, news will reach the pc that the antagonistic terminal wishes bygones to be bygones. That is the EP you are aiming for, and you continue to audit Problems Processes on the pc on the antagonistic terminal until that occurs.

It is very important not to underrun the rundown. Some auditors will be tempted to end off the rundown because the pc has had a major win or ability regained or some such. When the pc has had a major win, you would of course let him have his win and would leave him off auditing until the persistent F/N dies down, but you do not accept as the EP of the rundown anything other than the formerly antagonistic terminal originating, with no coaxing, in a friendly way to or about the pc. You keep running problems until the EP is attained. You do want to see the magic, don't you? And the only way this rundown can fail is by not continuing to run Problems Processes until this EP is attained.

WHO THE RUNDOWN CAN BE DONE ON

The Suppressed Person Rundown can be done on any PTS person of any case level. It is run with good success on Clears, OTs and Dianetic Clears as well as pcs just beginning their auditing. The only requirement is that any pc who receives the rundown must first have received the PTS C/S-1 per HCOB 31 Dec 78 III and must, if previously unindoctrinated, have been educated into the procedures of Scientology auditing by a Scientology C/S-1 per HCOB 15 Jul 78 SCIENTOLOGY AUDITING C/S-1.

And of course, like any other auditing, it is done with the pc's rudiments in.

AUDITOR AND C/S NOTE

One of the many advantages of the Suppressed Person Rundown is its simplicity. There are very few places where it can go off the rails. This rundown does, however, require expert metering and very standard handling, and the C/S should be alert to the following:

- 1) The auditor must realize that the target of this rundown is not just the pc; the target is the antagonistic terminal the pc is connected to. And the EP is not just a change in the pc, but a change in the antagonistic terminal of a positive, friendly communication to the pc. The auditor and C/S must realize that the above is the target and EP for this rundown.
- 2) *ALL* Problems Processes must be run on the antagonistic terminal, and failure to run enough Problems Processes is the only thing that will prevent this rundown from working.
- 3) *METERING*. The auditor who does this rundown must be skilled at metering so he doesn't miss reads and fail to run reading flows or attempt to run unreading flows. Imprecise metering can undermine the results of the rundown as the running of *all charged flows on problems is vital*. The auditor must be able to read a meter and must take *instant* reads which occur *instantly* on calling the command. (Reference: HCOB 5 Aug 78 INSTANT READS)
- 4) *EPs*. Each reading flow of each Problems Process must be taken to its full EP which is cog, F/N and VGIs. The C/S should ensure that the processes are indeed taken to EP and should suspect, if there is trouble attaining the rundown EP, that one or more Problems Processes have been left underrun, unflat or unrun.

Some auditors may say they've done the rundown and the pc's in beautiful shape and he's had tremendous gains and now the Suppressed Person Rundown is complete.

Your answer to this is: "Finish the rundown. Continue until the terminal gets in touch with the pc to make peace." And sure enough, a day or two or three later the pc, in utter amazement, will report that her sister, who hasn't spoken to her for 10 years has just sent her an affectionate letter or that his father, who disowned him when he got into Scientology, has just called to say "Hello" and that they had a great chat, just like old times.

It always happens when Problems Processes are fully run.

So there you have it, the Suppressed Person Rundown, quite an amazing magical feat, and very easily achieved with good standard auditing. Use it well and fully and you'll get smashing one-for-one successes on PTS pcs.

LRH:jk
Copyright © 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 30 DECEMBER 1978R
REVISED 6 JANUARY 1979

Remimeo
Class IV Grad
Checksheet
Class VI
Checksheet
C/Ses
Class IV Grad
Auditors

(Revisions not printed in a different type style)

**SUPPRESSED PERSON RUNDOWN
PROBLEMS PROCESSES**

References:

HCOB 29 Dec 78	THE SUPPRESSED PERSON RUNDOWN
PAB 84 15 May 56	THE REASON WHY
HCOB 31 Mar 60	THE PRESENT TIME PROBLEM
HCOB 20 Aug 58	PRESENT TIME PROBLEM—RUNNING OF
PAB 126 15 Dec 57	PROBLEMS: HANDLING AND RUNNING

This bulletin contains the Problems Processes to be run on the Suppressed Person Rundown .

DEFINITION OF PROBLEM

The first and most vital action to begin the Suppressed Person Rundown is to fully clear **PROBLEM** with the pc as a *problem*. not as a condition or situation.

THE WAR OF PURPOSES GIVES US WHAT WE CALL PROBLEMS. A PROBLEM HAS THE ANATOMY OF PURPOSES. A *PROBLEM CONSISTS OF TWO OR MORE PURPOSES OPPOSED*. IT DOES NOT MATTER WHAT PROBLEM YOU FACE OR HAVE FACED, THE BASIC ANATOMY OF THAT PROBLEM IS PURPOSE-COUNTER-PURPOSE.

THE DEFINITION OF A PROBLEM IS *INTENTION VERSUS INTENTION* OR TWO OR MORE OPPOSING AND CONFLICTING VIEWS ON THE SAME SUBJECT.

The dominant operating action of this rundown is knowing what a problem is. Without the auditor feeding the pc a cog, he must clear the definition of problem with the pc so that it is fully understood and the pc can easily make up examples of problems. You'll get no place on the rundown unless both auditor and *pc* understand what a problem is.

Running problems comes under a gradient scale of confront and can go on and on before the pc gets up to an awareness of anything.

It's really a fascinating phenomenon. The person is being harassed all over the place by something or somebody and he will eventually name it and identify it, which is an achievement right there, but when you first start to run problems on it, you'll find he does not have anything to do with it. It's all by itself, floating out in space someplace. The problem has nothing to do with him or anything else.

Example:

Auditor: "Tell me a problem with your mother."

Pc: "She's never home."

“She’s never home” is not a problem. A problem is two-terminaled. The problem, as the pc has given it in the example above, does not contain anything live. It’s just a condition. But gradually, as Problems Processes are run, he will come up to an actual statement that the problem is something that has to be solved and that it has something to do with *him*. Now we’re getting someplace!

PROBLEMS PROCESSES

The processes are taken up in the order they are given. Each flow of each process is checked for a read before running it. using the name of the terminal antagonistic to the pc. Each flow taken up is then run to the EP of cognition, F/N and VGIs.

A copy of this process sheet is placed in the folder of the pc being run on the Suppressed Person Rundown and the flows of each process run are initialed and dated by the auditor when they have been taken to a full EP.

END PHENOMENA

THE SUPPRESSED PERSON RUNDOWN IS TAKEN TO THE EP OF A FRIENDLY AND UNPROMPTED ORIGATION TO OR ABOUT THE PC BY THE ANTAGONISTIC TERMINAL.

This EP will occur when enough Problems Processes have been fully run on that terminal. The auditor’s metering must be excellent, as to miss reads and leave charged flows unrun can prevent the pc from attaining the EP of this rundown.

(Reference: HCOB 5 Aug 78 INSTANT READS
HCOB 3 Dec 78 UNREADING FLOWS)

If the pc has a big win, end off the session and let him have his win. Do not try to audit over a persistent floating needle or you will end up leaving charged flows unhandled due to the “needle having floated all the way through them.” So give the pc some time off auditing to let him have his win, then resume his sessions so he can be taken to the full and magical EP of this rundown.

THE ONLY TIME THIS RUNDOWN DOESN’T WORK IS WHEN YOU HAVEN’T FULLY RUN ALL POSSIBLE PROBLEMS PROCESSES.

1. Ref: *CREATION OF HUMAN ABILITY* R2-34

F1: Can you recall a problem about (terminal) which concerned you?

How did it seem to you then?

How does it seem to you now?

Can you recall another problem about (terminal) which concerned you?

(Continue, using the above commands) to EP_____

F2: Can you recall a problem (terminal) has had with you which concerned him?

How did it seem to him then?

How does it seem to him now’!

Can you recall another problem (terminal) has had with you which concerned him?

(Continue, using the above commands)

to EP_____

F3: Can you recall a problem others have had with (terminal) which concerned them?

How did it seem to them then?

How does it seem to them now?

Can you recall another problem others have had with (terminal) which concerned them?

(Continue, using the above commands)

to EP_____

F3A: Can you recall a problem (terminal) has had with others which concerned him?

How did it seem to him then?

How does it seem to him now?

Can you recall another problem (terminal) has had with others which concerned him?

(Continue, using the above commands)

to EP_____

F0: Can you recall a problem you have had with yourself because of (terminal) which concerned you?

How did it seem to you then?

How does it seem to you now?

Can you recall another problem you have had with yourself because of (terminal) which concerned you?

(Continue, using the above commands)

to EP_____

II. Ref: HCOB 31 Mar 60 THE PRESENT TIME PROBLEM

F1: Tell me your problem with (terminal).

What part of that problem could you confront?

to EP_____

F2: Tell me (terminal's) problem with you.

What part of that problem could he confront?

to EP_____

F3: Tell me others' problem with (terminal).

What part of that problem could they confront?

to EP_____

F3A: Tell me (terminal's) problem with others.

What part of that problem could he confront?

to EP_____

F0: Tell me your problem with yourself because of (terminal).

What part of that problem could you confront?

to EP_____

III. Ref: HCOB 31 Mar 60 THE PRESENT TIME PROBLEM

- F1: What problem about (terminal) could you confront? to EP____
- F2: What problem about you could (terminal) confront? to EP____
- F3: What problem about (terminal) could others confront? to EP____
- F3A: What problem about others could (terminal) confront? to EP____
- F0: What problem about yourself concerning (terminal) could you confront? to EP____

IV. Ref: HCOB 6 Jul 61 ROUTINE 1A

To be run as a bracket (1-10, 1-10, 1-10, etc. to EP).

1. What problem about (terminal) could you confront?
2. What problem about (terminal) don't you have to confront?
3. What problem about you should (terminal) confront?
4. What problem about you wouldn't (terminal) confront?
5. What problem about (terminal) should others confront?
6. What problem about (terminal) wouldn't others confront?
7. What problem about others should (terminal) confront?
8. What problem about others wouldn't (terminal) confront?
9. What problem about yourself concerning (terminal) could you confront?
10. What problem about yourself concerning (terminal) don't you have to confront? to EP____

Note: What problem about (terminal) *could* others confront? (or What problem about others *could* (terminal) confront can be used instead of *should* whichever checks out on the meter) .

V. Ref: HCOB 31 Mar 60 THE PRESENT TIME PROBLEM

- F1: What two things about (terminal) can you confront? to EP____
- F2: What two things about you can (terminal) confront? to EP____
- F3: What two things about (terminal) can others confront? to EP____
- F3A: What two things about others can (terminal) confront? to EP____
- F0: What two things about yourself concerning (terminal) can you confront? to EP____

VI. Ref: HCOB 31 Mar 60 THE PRESENT TIME PROBLEM

- F1: Tell me your problem with (terminal).
How does it seem to you now?
Alternate repetitive to EP____
- F2: Tell me (terminal's) problem with you.

How does it seem to him now?

Alternate repetitive to EP_____

F3: Tell me others' problem with (terminal).

How does it seem to them now?

Alternate repetitive to EP_____

F3A: Tell me (terminal's) problem with others.

How does it seem to him now?

Alternate repetitive to EP_____

F0: Tell me your problem with yourself because of (terminal).

How does it seem to you now?

Alternate repetitive to EP_____

VII. Ref: HCOB 19 Nov 65 PROBLEMS PROCESS

F1: What problem have you had with (terminal)?

What solutions have you had for that problem?

(One gets the pc to give his problem then runs TA off solutions. Then a new statement of the problem and more questions about solutions.)

to EP_____

F2: What problem has (terminal) had with you?

What solutions has he had for that problem?

to EP_____

F3: What problem have others had with (terminal)?

What solutions have they had for that problem?

to EP_____

F3A: What problem has (terminal) had with others?

What solutions has he had for that problem?

to EP_____

F0: What problem have you had with yourself because of (terminal)?

What solutions have you had for that problem?

to EP_____

VIII. Ref: HCOB 19 Jan 61 ADDITIONAL HAS PROCESSES

F1: Get the idea of solving a problem with (terminal).

Get the idea of not solving a problem with (terminal).

to EP_____

F2: Get the idea of (terminal) solving a problem with you.

Get the idea of (terminal) not solving a problem with you.

to EP_____

F3: Get the idea of others solving a problem with (terminal).

Get the idea of others not solving a problem with (terminal).

to EP_____

F3A: Get the idea of (terminal) solving a problem with others.

Get the idea of (terminal) not solving a problem with others.

to EP_____

F0: Get the idea of solving a problem with yourself about (terminal).
 Get the idea of not solving a problem with yourself about (terminal). to EP_____

IX. Ref: *CREATION OF HUMAN ABILITY* R2-71

F1: Give me some answers concerning (terminal). to EP_____

F2: Give me some answers for (terminal) concerning you. to EP_____

F3: Give me some answers for others concerning (terminal). to EP_____

F3A: Give me some answers for (terminal) concerning others. to EP_____

F0: Give me some answers for yourself concerning (terminal). to EP_____

X. Ref: HCOB 3 May 59 SOLUTION TO SOLUTIONS

F1: What solution could you make stick about (terminal)? to EP_____

F2: What solution could (terminal) make stick about you? to EP_____

F3: What solution could others make stick about (terminal)? to EP_____

F3A: What solution could (terminal) make stick about others? to EP_____

F0: What solution about yourself concerning (terminal) could you make stick? to EP_____

XI. Ref: HCOB 14 Sep 61 NEW RUDIMENTS COMMANDS

F1: Find out if the pc has a reading problem with (terminal).
 If so, run:
 Tell me what is unknown about that problem with (terminal). to EP_____

F2: Find out if there is a reading problem (terminal) has with the pc. If so, run:
 Tell me what is unknown to (terminal) about that problem with you. to EP_____

F3: Find out if there is a reading problem that others have with (terminal). If so, run:
 Tell me what is unknown to others about that problem with (terminal). to EP_____

F3A: Find out if there is a reading problem that (terminal) has with others. If so, run:
 Tell me what is unknown to (terminal) about that problem with others. to EP_____

F0: Find out if the pc has a reading problem with himself because of (terminal). If so, run:
 Tell me what is unknown about that problem with yourself. to EP_____

XII. Ref: HCOB 7 Sep 64 II PPS, OVERTS AND ARC BREAKS

F1: Tell me something you don't know about (terminal). to EP_____

F2: Tell me something (terminal) doesn't know about you. to EP_____

- F3: Tell me something others don't know about (terminal). to EP____
- F3A: Tell me something (terminal) doesn't know about others. to EP____
- F0: Tell me something you don't know about yourself because of (terminal). to EP____

XIII. Ref: HCOB 24 Feb 59 TECHNICAL BULLETIN
HCOB 3 Jul 59 GENERAL INFORMATION

Run Selected Person Overt Withhold Straightwire on the terminal.

- F1: Think of something (terminal) has done to you.
Think of something (terminal) has withheld from you. to EP____
- F2: Think of something you have done to (terminal).
Think of something you have withheld from (terminal). to EP____
- F3: Think of something others have done to (terminal).
Think of something others have withheld from (terminal). to EP____
- F3A: Think of something (terminal) has done to others.
Think of something (terminal) has withheld from others. to EP____
- F0: Think of something you have done to yourself because of (terminal).
Think of something you have withheld from yourself because of (terminal). to EP____

XIV. Ref: HCOB 15 Oct 58 ACC CLEAR PROCEDURE

- F1: Tell me your problem with (terminal).
What part of that problem could you be responsible for?
Alternate repetitive to EP____
- F2: Tell me (terminal's) problem with you.
What part of that problem could he be responsible for?
Alternate repetitive to EP____
- F3: Tell me others' problem with (terminal).
What part of that problem could they be responsible for?
Alternate repetitive to EP____
- F3A: Tell me (terminal's) problem with others.
What part of that problem could he be responsible for?
Alternate repetitive to EP____
- F0: Tell me your problem with yourself because of (terminal).
What part of that problem could you be responsible for?
Alternate repetitive to EP____

XV. Ref: HCOB 31 Mar 60 THE PRESENT TIME PROBLEM

- F1: What motion of yours has (terminal) been responsible for? to EP____
- F2: What motion of (terminal's) have you been responsible for? to EP____
- F3: What motion of (terminal's) have others been responsible for? to EP____
- F3A: What motion of others' has (terminal) been responsible for? to EP____
- F0: What motion of your own regarding (terminal) have you been responsible for? to EP____

XVI. Ref: HCOB 31 Mar 60 THE PRESENT TIME PROBLEM

- F1: Tell me your problem with (terminal).
What part of that problem have you been responsible for? to EP_____
- F2: Tell me (terminal's) problem with you.
What part of that problem has he/she been responsible for? to EP_____
- F3: Tell me others' problem with (terminal).
What part of that problem have they been responsible for? to EP_____
- F3A: Tell me (terminal's) problem with others.
What part of that problem has he/she been responsible for? to EP_____
- F0: Tell me your problem with yourself because of (terminal).
What part of that problem have you been responsible for? to EP_____

XVII. Ref: PAB 42 24 Dec 54 SIX BASIC PROCESSES

- F1: What kind of problems could you have with (terminal)? to EP_____
- F2: What kind of problems could (terminal) have with you? to EP_____
- F3: What kind of problems could others have with (terminal)? to EP_____
- F3A: What kind of problems could (terminal) have with others? to EP_____
- F0: What kind of problems could you have with yourself
because of (terminal)? to EP_____

XVIII. Ref: PAB 88 12 Jun 56 THE CONDITIONS OF AUDITING

- F1: What problem could (terminal) be to you? to EP_____
- F2: What problem could you be to (terminal) ? to EP_____
- F3: What problem could (terminal) be to others? to EP_____
- F3A: What problem could others be to (terminal)? to EP_____
- F0: What problem could you be to yourself because of
(terminal)? to EP_____

XIX. Ref: HCOB 17 Mar 60 STANDARDIZED SESSIONS

- F1: Tell me a problem (terminal) would be a solution to. to EP_____
- F2: Tell me a problem for (terminal) that you would be a
solution to. to EP_____
- F3: Tell me a problem for others that (terminal) would be
a solution to. to EP_____
- F3A: Tell me a problem for (terminal) that others would be
a solution to. to EP_____
- F0: Tell me a problem concerning (terminal) you yourself
would be a solution to. to EP_____

XX. Ref: *CREATION OF HUMAN ABILITY* page 51—R2-20

- F1: What kind of a problem could (terminal) be to you?
Could he be that problem?
Can you see yourself figuring on it?
Give me another problem (terminal) could be to you.
Can he be that problem? and so on to EP_____
- F2: What kind of a problem could you be to (terminal)?

- Can you be that problem?
 Can you see (terminal) figuring on it?
 Give me another problem you could be to (terminal).
 Can you be that problem? and so on to EP_____
- F3: What kind of a problem could (terminal) be to others?
 Can (terminal) be that problem?
 Can you see others figuring on it?
 Give me another problem (terminal) could be to others.
 Can (terminal) be that problem? and so on to EP_____
- F3A: What kind of a problem could others be to (terminal)?
 Can others be that problem?
 Can you see (terminal) figuring on it?
 Give me another problem others could be to (terminal).
 Can others be that problem? and so on to EP_____
- F0: What kind of a problem could you be to yourself because of
 (terminal)?
 Can you be that problem?
 Can you see yourself figuring on it?
 Give me another problem you could be to yourself because
 of (terminal).
 Can you be that problem? and so on to EP_____

(XI. Repeat same process, using solutions, as follows:

- F1: What kind of a solution could (terminal) be to you?
 Could he be that solution?
 Can you see yourself figuring on it?
 Give me another solution (terminal) could be to you.
 Can he be that solution? and so on to EP_____
- F2: What kind of a solution could you be to (terminal)?
 Can you be that solution?
 Can you see (terminal) figuring on it?
 Give me another solution you could be to (terminal).
 Can you be that solution? and so on to EP_____
- F3: What kind of a solution could (terminal) be to others?
 Can (terminal) be that solution?
 Can you see others figuring on it?
 Give me another solution (terminal) could be to others.
 Can (terminal) be that solution? and so on to EP_____
- F3A: What kind of a solution could others be to (terminal)?
 Can others be that solution?
 Can you see (terminal) figuring on it?
 Give me another solution others could be to (terminal).
 Can others be that solution? and so on to EP_____
- F0: What kind of a solution could you be to yourself because of
 (terminal)?
 Can you be that solution?
 Can you see yourself figuring on it?
 Give me another solution you could be to yourself because
 of (terminal).
 Can you be that solution? and so on to EP_____

XXII. Ref: *CREATION OF HUMAN ABILITY* R1-11

- F1: What kind of a problem can (terminal) be to you in havingness?
 What kind of a problem can (terminal) be to you in not havingness? to EP_____
- F2: What kind of a problem can you be to (terminal) in havingness?
 What kind of a problem can you be to (terminal) in not havingness? to EP_____
- F3: What kind of a problem can (terminal) be to others in havingness?
 What kind of a problem can (terminal) be to others in not havingness? to EP_____
- F3A: What kind of a problem can others be to (terminal) in havingness?
 What kind of a problem can others be to (terminal) in not havingness? to EP_____
- F0: What kind of a problem concerning (terminal) can you be to yourself in havingness?
 What kind of a problem concerning (terminal) can you be to yourself in not havingness? to EP_____

XXIII. Repeat same process using solutions as follows:

- F1: What kind of a solution to havingness can (terminal) be to you?
 What kind of a solution to not havingness can (terminal) be to you? to EP_____
- F2: What kind of a solution to havingness can you be to (terminal)?
 What kind of a solution to not havingness can you be to (terminal)? to EP_____
- F3: What kind of a solution to havingness can (terminal) be to others?
 What kind of a solution to not havingness can (terminal) be to others? to EP_____
- F3A: What kind of a solution to havingness can others be to (terminal)?
 What kind of a solution to not havingness can others be to (terminal)? to EP_____
- F0: What kind of a solution to havingness concerning (terminal) can you be to yourself?
 What kind of a solution to not havingness concerning (terminal) can you be to yourself? to EP_____

XXIV. Ref: *CREATION OF HUMAN ABILITY* R2-20

Have the pc pick out or pick up a room object. have him examine this object until he is sure it is real.

- F1: What problems could this object be to you because of (terminal)? to EP_____
- F2: What problems could this object be to (terminal) because of you? to EP_____
- F3: What problems could this object be to others because of (terminal)? to EP_____

F3A: What problems could this object be to (terminal) because of others? to EP_____

F0: What problems could you cause yourself over this object because of (terminal)? to EP_____

On each flow the command is run repetitively until the pc is convinced that he can create problems at will.

XXV. Ref: HCOB 7 Sep 64 II PPS, OVERTS AND ARC BREAKS

F1: Do you have a problem with (terminal)?
What communication have you left incomplete about that problem? to EP_____

F2: Does (terminal) have a problem with you?
What communication has he left incomplete about that problem? to EP_____

F3: Do others have a problem with (terminal)?
What communication have they left incomplete about that problem? to EP_____

F3A: Does (terminal) have a problem with others?
What communication has he left incomplete about that problem? to EP_____

F0: Do you have a problem with yourself because of (terminal)?
What communication have you left incomplete with yourself about that problem? to EP_____

XXVI. Ref: HCOB 21 Jul 59 HGC ALLOWED PROCESSES

F1: From where could (terminal) communicate to you? to EP_____

F2: From where could you communicate to (terminal)? to EP_____

F3: From where could others communicate to (terminal)? to EP_____

F3A: From where could (terminal) communicate to others? to EP_____

F0: From where could you communicate to yourself concerning (terminal)? to EP_____

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:jk
Copyright © 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 31 DECEMBER 1978
Issue II

Remimeo
Class IV Grad
Class VI
C/Ses
Class IV Grad
Auditors
Ethics Officers

OUTLINE OF PTS HANDLING

PTS situations can arise at any time during a person's Scientology auditing or training program and must be handled speedily and well to get the person back on his course of auditing or training. Many preclears new to Scientology require PTS handling as one of their first actions.

Auditing or training must not be continued over an unhandled PTS sit as processing or study under the duress of suppression will not produce results.

You do not go on hoping or ignore it or call it something else or do any other action except *handle*. Handling PTSness is too easy to allow for any justification or excuse for not doing so, and the steps given below lay out the many handlings which can be used to bring about a full resolution of all PTSness in all pcs.

EDUCATION

A person who is PTS is often the last person to suspect it. He may have become temporarily or momentarily so. And he may have become so very slightly. Or he may be even PTS and have been so for a long time. But he is nevertheless PTS and we must educate him into the subject.

PTS C/S-1

The PTS C/S-1, given in HCOB 31 Dec 78 III EDUCATING THE POTENTIAL TROUBLE SOURCE, THE FIRST STEP TOWARD HANDLING: PTS C/S-1 must be done before any other PTS handling is begun.

This action sets a person up to understand his PTS sit and the mechanics of it. A thorough PTS C/S-1 is the basis of all successful PTS handling.

PTS INTERVIEW

A metered PTS interview per HCOB 24 Apr 72 1, C/S Series 79, PTS INTERVIEWS or a "10 August handling" per HCOB 10 Aug 73 PTS HANDLING done by an auditor in session or an MAA, D of P or SSO will, in most cases, assist the person to spot the antagonistic or SP element. Once spotted, the potential trouble source can be assisted in working out a handling for that terminal, or more rarely, in deciding to disconnect from that person.

(If *all* difficulty is encountered on this step, or if the SP cannot easily be found, the preclear or student is probably not PTS Type I and should be turned over to an auditor qualified to handle Type II PTS situations with more advanced PTS tech.)

HANDLING

Once the antagonistic terminal has been located, a handling is done to move the PTS person from effect to slight gentle cause over his situation. This handling will include whatever is needed to accomplish the result, and will, of course, vary depending on the person and his circumstances.

A good roads, good weather approach to the antagonistic terminal is usually what is needed. The handling must be agreed upon by the potential trouble source and the

person assisting him and must be tailored to put the person at cause over his particular situation.

Handling may include coaching him along to see how he himself actually precipitated the PTS condition in the first place by not applying or by misapplying Scientology basics to his life and relationship with the now antagonistic terminal, per BPL 5 Apr 72RC PTS TYPE A HANDLING.

(Additional references:

HCOB 10 Aug 73. PTS HANDLING
HCOB 24 Apr 72 1, C/S Series 79, PTS INTERVIEWS
HCOB 24 Nov 65 SEARCH AND DISCOVERY
PROBLEMS OF WORK. Chapter 6, Affinity, Reality and Communication
BTB 11 Nov 77 Reiss. 10 Dec 77 HANDLING PTS SITUATIONS.)

WHAT IS SCIENTOLOGY?

It quite often happens that the persons antagonistic to the preclear have no real concept of what Scientology is. This can also be true of a very new Scientologist who then misinforms others.

The book *WHAT IS SCIENTOLOGY?* is a very useful tool. The preclear can send a copy of it to persons antagonistic to him and it will give them hope that the person will respond better to life or if they are antagonistic to Scientology can show them what they're being antagonistic to.

Recommendations that the PTS person obtain and use this book (or anyone else who wants to inform his friends or get them on the right road, as the book was not written for the purpose of de-PTSing people) should be made by the interviewing officer. The book was specially priced so it would be more generally available despite the high cost of publishing. It is a large and imposing book and contains the true answers to all the questions people might ask and so saves the PTS person or any other person a great deal of explanation time.

It is quite a formidable weapon when used in that fashion besides being a good book that Scientologists should own in its own right.

CAN WE EVER BE FRIENDS?

Extraordinary successes in handling PTS situations with the *Can We Ever Be Friends?* cassette and booklet occur when these are used. Parents, friends, relatives of Scientologists, who, due to misunderstandings or misinformation thought they were opposed to Scientology and its aims have discovered, after listening to this cassette, that they are in full agreement with it and now give Scientology their support. This action is vital and must not be omitted.

The results available with this cassette cannot be underestimated. It can be used by itself when communication has really broken down between the two terminals or in conjunction with other PTS handling.

PROGRAM

As a result of interview and the various actions connected with it as given above and in the referenced issues the interviewer must give the person a program to be done by the person. If the person does not do the program or report his actions on it, or the program results in no real change in the situation the interviewing officer must require the person to have auditing on the subject. (Ruds can be flown and/or a PTS RD must be given by a qualified auditor in the HGC.)

Clears and OTs can have ruds flown and can do all the PTS RD except engram handling.

This is usually followed by a Suppressed Person RD.

RUDIMENTS

Flying ruds and overts Triple or Quad Flow on the antagonistic terminal is often done to “get ruds in” and enable the pc to better confront the PTS situation he is faced with. This would of course, be done only in session by a qualified auditor when so ordered by the Case Supervisor.

THE PTS RUNDOWN

The PTS Rundown is done when preclears who have had standard, successful PTS handlings roller-coaster at a later date, become ill, slump after making gains, or continue to find additional terminals they are PTS to.

The PTS Rundown handles a more expanded sector of a pc’s PTSness and is run to the end phenomena of *a pc who is getting and keeping case gains and never again roller-coasters.*

Note: Clears, OTs and Dianetic Clears are not run on the Dianetic section of the PTS Rundown.

References:

HCOB 9 Dec 71 RC Rev. 8 Dec 78 PTS RUNDOWN
HCOB 20 Jan 72R Rev. 8 Dec 78 PTS RUNDOWN ADDITION
HCOB 17 Apr 72, C/S Series 76, C/SING A PTS RUNDOWN
HCOB 3 Jun 72RA Rev. 8 Dec 78 PTS RUNDOWN, FINAL STEP

SUPPRESSED PERSON RUNDOWN

This rundown is beautifully simple and magically effective. It can be done with great success on all PTS persons of any case level, from those just beginning their first auditing to Clears, OTs and Dianetic Clears.

The end phenomena of this handling is a miraculous restoration of communication between the estranged terminals *originated* by the formerly antagonistic person. (Reference: HCOB 29 Dec 78 THE SUPPRESSED PERSON RUNDOWN)

REST, QUIET AND A SAFE ENVIRONMENT

Rest, quiet and a safe environment must be provided for a person who has become PTS Type Three.

“In this case, the Type Two’s *apparent* SP is spread all over the world and is often more than all the people there are—for the person sometimes has ghosts about him or demons and they are just more apparent SPs but imaginary as beings as well.”

“. . . Removed from apparent SPs, kept in a quiet surroundings, not pestered or threatened or put in fear, the person comes up to Type Two and a Search and Discovery should end the matter.”

(HCOB 24 Nov 65 SEARCH AND DISCOVERY)

These are powerful and precision tools. With them we can handle our PTS students, preclears and staffs and get resounding one-for-one successes.

I am counting on you to do this.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:clh
Copyright © 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 31 DECEMBER 1978
Issue III

Word Clearers
HCO
Tech/Qual
C/Ses
PTS/SP Detection.
Routing and
Handling Course
Ethics Officers
Class IV Grad

**EDUCATING THE POTENTIAL TROUBLE SOURCE,
THE FIRST STEP TOWARD HANDLING:
PTS C/S-1**

References:

BPL 5 Apr 72RC I Rev. 29.12.78	PTS TYPE A HANDLING
BTB 11 Nov 77	HANDLING PTS SITUATIONS
HCOB 24 Apr 72 I	C/S Series 79 EXDN Series 5 PTS INTERVIEWS
HCOB 10 Aug 73	PTS HANDLING
HCOB 27 Sep 6h	THE ANTI-SOCIAL PERSONALITY THE ANTI-SCIENTOLOGIST
HCOB 28 Nov 7()	C/S Series 22 PSYCHOSIS
HCOB 24 Nov 65	SEARCH AND DISCOVERY
HCOB 12 Mar 6X	MISTAKES, ANATOMY OF
HCOB 9 Nov h7	REVIEW AUDITORS, <i>BOOK OF CASE REMEDIES</i> — REVISION OF REMEDY A. REMEDY B
AND S AND Ds	
HCOB 5 Feb 66 III	S AND D WARNING
HCOB 9 Dec 71 RC Rev. 8.12.78	PTS RUNDOWN. AUDITED
HCOB 20 Jan 72R Rev. 8.12.78	PTS RUNDOWN ADDITION
HCOB 3 Jun 72RA Rev. 8.12.78	PTS RUNDOWN. FINAL STEP
HCOB 29 Dec 78	THE SUPPRESSED PERSON RUNDOWN
HCOB 30 Dec 78	SUPPRESSED PERSON RUNDOWN—PROBLEMS PROCESSES
HCOB 31 Dec 78 11	OUTLINE OF PTS HANDLING

When you find you have a potential trouble source on your hands the very first thing you must do is educate him on the fundamentals of PTS/SP tech.

Do not begin any other PTS handling on any PTS person until he has completed the basic education steps of the PTS C/S-1 given in this HCOB.

In the absence of education into the basics of PTS tech you will have PTS students and pcs asserting they're not PTS, you will have upsets. protest, recurrences of "once handled" PTSness. PTSes will not cognite, will not take action to handle the antagonistic terminal, will not recover. Failure to educate simply doesn't work. So a very thorough job must be done at this point to guarantee the success of any PTS handlings which follow.

Now people and circumstances and PTS sits vary, and you may wish to carry the education steps of the PTS person beyond what is given here before you begin any

other handling on him. I will leave that to your educated judgment. However, the steps of the PTS C/S-1 given in this HCOB must be done on all PTS students and pcs before *any sort of PTS Interview or 10 August handling or any PTS auditing is undertaken.*

The person should, of course, study the complete PTS/SP Detection, Routing and Handling Course so that he understands the full mechanics that had been upsetting his life, but the PTS C/S-1 will give sufficient data and understanding so that he or she can begin handling the PTS scene.

PTS C/S-1

The following PTS C/S-1 is not a long action and can and should be accomplished speedily. Its purpose is to give to any PTS student or pc the necessary data and RFactor on the basics of PTS/SP tech so that he understands and is able and willing to successfully handle his PTS situation. It can be done by an auditor, in session, or in the course room under the supervision of the Word Clearer and Course Supervisor.

Note: Some pcs and students who have been trained or who have in the past received PTS handling may protest that they know the terms and issues. If this happens acknowledge with excellent TRs and without invalidation or evaluation and tell them that this action is intended to make PTS handling effective for all and is a required step of the handling. If the auditor or Word Clearer uses excellent TRs and a good R-Factor, no ARC breaks will occur and the person will have tremendous wins.

The auditor or Word Clearer should be fully familiar with this issue as well as all issues in the PTS/SP Course pack. He will need to take a very thorough look at what has to be covered with the pc in this C/S-1 and know his materials very well and have them ready for reference and clearing any misunderstands or questions the pc may have.

The following will be needed:

Technical Dictionary

Admin Dictionary

A good English Dictionary

A good dictionary in the pc or student's native language, and for a foreign language case a dual dictionary (English-to-foreign language and foreign language itself).

PTS and SP Definitions Sheet—Attachment No. I of this issue

Demo kit

- A. Have the pc define each term, using the reference. (Note: you don't ask: "Do you know what this word means?" You ask: "What is the definition of ?")

When the pc has told you the definition, have him give you a sentence or two using the term correctly. Where it applies, have him give you examples, using his experiences or those of others. Have him demo with a demo kit. Cover by exact definition all terms used and take each term defined to an F/N.

- B. Check for any questions (or misunderstands) as you go along and ensure any such get handled so the pc or student winds up with a clear understanding of the word.

Don't settle for glibness that does not show understanding, but on the other hand, don't overrun or put duress on the pc or student, either.

Ensure each word cleared is taken to F/N.

PTS C/S- 1 PROCEDURE

1. Give the R-Factor that you are going to clear the basic words and concepts concerning PTSness.
2. Clear the word AFFINITY. Have the pc or student demo its meaning.
3. Clear the word REALITY. Have the pc or student give you sentences and examples showing his understanding.
4. Clear the word COMMUNICATION. Have the pc or student demo its meaning.
5. Clear ARC BREAK. Have the pc or student demo what an ARC break is.
6. Clear PROBLEM. Have the pc or student demo a problem.
7. Clear WITHHOLD. Have the pc or student give you an example of a withhold.
8. Clear MISSED WITHHOLD. Have the pc or student demo a missed withhold.
9. Clear POSTULATE. Ask the pc or student if he's ever postulated anything. Have him tell you about it.
10. Clear COUNTER (the prefix).
11. Have the pc or student demo several examples of a postulate and a counter-postulate.
12. Clear HOSTILE. ANTAGONISM.
13. Clear SUPPRESS. Have the pc Or student demo several different examples of how someone or something could be suppressed.
14. Clear SUPPRESSION. Have the pc or student give you examples of suppression from movies he's seen or books he's read or suppression he's seen or experienced.
15. Clear SUPPRESSIVE PERSON. Have the pc or student demo the definitions.
16. Clear SUPPRESSIVE GROUPS.
17. Clear ROLLER-COASTER. Have the pc or student demo roller-coaster. Ask him if he's ever been around anyone who roller-coastered. Let him tell you about it briefly if he wishes.
18. Clear POTENTIAL TROUBLE SOURCE (PTS). Have the pc or student demo this well.

(If this step is being done by a Word Clearer in the course room, end off at this point and send the pc or student to the Examiner. Then, get him started on the Study Section. If being done in session, the auditor may continue with the Study Section.)

STUDY SECTION

19. The following issues are to be read by the PTS student or pc, word cleared Method 4 and starrated. This may be done in a course room, under the supervision of the Course Supervisor or in session with an auditor.

HCOB 27 Sep 66

THE ANTI-SOCIAL PERSONALITY
THE ANTI-SCIENTOLOGIST

HCOB 28 Nov 70	C/S Series 22
	PSYCHOSIS
HCOB 24 Nov 65	SEARCH AND DISCOVERY
HCOB 12 Mar 68	MISTAKES, ANATOMY OF
BPL 5 Apr 72RC I	PTS TYPE A HANDLING
Rev. 29.12.78	
BTB 11 Nov 77	HANDLING PTS SITUATIONS
HCOB 24 Apr 72 I	C/S Series 79
	EXDN Series 5
	PTS INTERVIEWS
HCOB 10 Aug 73	PTS HANDLING

20. End off and send the pc or student to the Examiner. Route the pc's folder with all worksheets to the C/S who will examine them for thoroughness and completeness and then order the person's next step.

Educating a PTS person is the key to putting him at cause over the PTS sit. Do this PTS C/S-1 thoroughly and well. It is not to be considered a substitute for the full PTS/SP Detection, Routing and Handling Course, but will set up the PTS student or pc for a highly successful PTS handling. *These* you get him signed up for the course.

PTS tech is highly effective and powerful. Get the most out of it by applying it properly, with EDUCATION as the first step.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:jk
Copyright © 1978
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HCOB 31.12.78 III
ATTACHMENT

PTS C/S-1 DEFINITIONS SHEET

AFFINITY

Degree of liking or affection or lack of it. Affinity is a tolerance of distance. A great affinity would be a tolerance of or liking of close proximity. A lack of affinity would be an intolerance of or dislike of close proximity. Affinity is one of the components of understanding; the other components being reality and communication.
(*Diabetics Today*, Glossary, page 1013)

REALITY

The degree of agreement reached by two ends of a communication line. In essence, it is the degree of duplication achieved between cause and effect. That which is real is real simply because it is agreed upon, and for no other reason.
(*Dianetics and Scientology Technical Dictionary*, page 338)

COMMUNICATION

"The interchange of ideas or objects between two people or terminals. More precisely the definition of communication is the consideration and action of impelling an impulse or particle from source point across a distance to receipt point, with the intention of bringing into being at the receipt point a duplication and understanding of that which

emanated from the source point.” “The formula of communication is: Cause, Distance, Effect, with Intention, Attention and Duplication with Understanding.” “Communication by definition does not need to be two-way. Communication is one of the component parts of understanding.”
Dianetics and Scientology Technical Dictionary page 81)
(HCOB 5 Apr 73 Reiss. 19 Sep 74 AXIOM 28 AMENDED)
(*Dianetics Today*. Glossary, page 1020)

ARC BREAK

A sudden drop or cutting of one’s affinity, reality, or communication with someone or something. Upsets with people or things come about because of a lessening or sundering of affinity, reality, or communication or understanding. It’s called an ARC break instead of an upset, because, if one discovers which of the three points of understanding have been cut, one can bring about a rapid recovery in the person’s state of mind. It is pronounced by its letters A-R-C break.
(*Dianetics and Scientology Technical Dictionary* page 21)

PROBLEM

Anything which has opposing sides of equal force; especially postulate-counter-postulate, intention-counter-intention or idea-counter-idea; and intention-counter-intention that worries the preclear
(*Dianetics Today* Glossary, page 1034)

OVERT

1. ... An aggressive or destructive act by the individual against one or more of the eight dynamics (self, family, group, mankind, animals or plants, mest, life or the infinite) .
(*Dianetics Today*. Glossary, page 1032)
2. That thing which you do which you aren’t willing to have happen to you.
(*Dianetics and Scientology Technical Dictionary* page 288)

WITHHOLD

An undisclosed harmful (contra-survival) act.
(*Dianetics Today*. Glossary, page 1043)

MISSED WITHHOLD

An undisclosed contra-survival act which has been restimulated by another but not disclosed. This is a withhold which another person nearly found out about, leaving the person with the withhold in a state of wondering whether his hidden deed is known or not.
(*Dianetics Today*. Glossary, page 1030)

POSTULATE

1. To conclude, decide or resolve a problem or to set a pattern for the future or to nullify a pattern of the past.
(*Dianetics and Scientology Technical Dictionary* page 304)
2. That self-determined thought which starts, stops or changes past, present or future efforts.
(*Dianetics and Scientology Technical Dictionary* page 304)
3. In Scientology the word postulate means to cause a thinkingness or consideration. It is a specially applied word and is defined as causative thinkingness.
(*Dianetics and Scientology Technical Dictionary* page 304)

COUNTER

1. Opposition, as in direction or purpose; for example countermarch, counteract.
(*The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language* page 303)

HOSTILE

1. Of or pertaining to an enemy.
(*The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language*. page 637)
2. Feeling or showing enmity; antagonistic.
(*The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language*. page 637)

ANTAGONISM

1. Mutual resistance; opposition; hostility.
(*The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language*. page 55)
2. The condition of being an opposing principle, force or factor.
(*The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language* page 55)

SUPPRESS

1. To squash, to sit on, to make smaller, to refuse to let reach, to make uncertain about his reaching, to render or lessen in any way possible by any means possible. to the harm of the individual and for the fancied protection of a suppressor.
(*Dianetics and Scientology Technical Dictionary* page 414)

SUPPRESSION

1. Suppression is “a harmful intention or action against which one cannot fight back.” Thus when one can do anything about it, it is less suppressive.
(*Dianetics and Scientology Technical Dictionary* page 414)

SUPPRESSIVE PERSON

1. A person with certain behavior characteristics and who suppresses other people in his vicinity and those other people when he suppresses them become PTS or potential trouble sources.
(*Dianetics and Scientology Technical Dictionary* page 415)
2. A person who has had a counter-postulate to the pc you are handling.
(*Dianetics and Scientology Technical Dictionary* page 415)
3. Is one that actively seeks to suppress or damage Scientology or a Scientologist by suppressive acts.
(*Dianetics and Scientology Technical Dictionary* page 415)

SUPPRESSIVE GROUPS

1. Are defined as those which seek to destroy Scientology or which specialize in injuring or killing persons or damaging their cases or which advocate suppression of mankind.
(*Dianetics and Scientology Technical Dictionary* page 414)

ROLLER-COASTER

1. A case that betters and worsens. A roller-coaster is always connected to a suppressive person and will not get steady gains until the suppressive is found on the case or the basic suppressive person earlier. Because the case doesn't get well he or she is a potential trouble source to us, to others and to himself.

(*Dianetics and Scientology Technical Dictionary*, page 358)

2. Gets better, gets worse, gets better, gets worse.
(*Dianetics and Scientology Technical Dictionary*, page 358)

POTENTIAL TROUBLE SOURCE

1. Somebody who is connected with an SP who is invalidating him, his beingness, his processing, his life.
(*Dianetics and Scientology Technical Dictionary* page 305)
2. It means someone connected to a person or a group opposed to Scientology. It is a technical thing. It results in illness and roller-coaster and is the cause of illness and roller-coaster.
(*Dianetics and Scientology Technical Dictionary* page 305)
3. The PTS guy is fairly obvious. He's here, he's way up today and he's way down tomorrow and he gets a beautiful session and then he gets terribly ill. That's the history of his life.
(*Modern Management Technology Defined* page 400)
4. The mechanism of PTS is environmental menace that keeps something continually keyed-in. This can be a constant recurring somatic or continual, recurring pressure or a mass. The menace in the environment is not imaginary in such extreme cases. The action can be taken to key it out. But if the environmental menace is actual and persists it will just key-in again. This gives recurring pressure unrelieved by usual processing.
(*Modern Management Technology Defined* page 400)

SEARCH AND DISCOVERY

1. Search and discovery of suppression is called an "S and D." It locates the suppressive on the case.
(HCOB 9 Nov 67, REVIEW AUDITORS, *BOOK OF CASE REMEDIES*. REVISION OF REMEDY A, REMEDY B AND S AND Ds)

"Remember that the real suppressive person (SP) was the one that wove a dangerous environment around the pc. To find that person is to open up the pc's present time perception or space. It's like pulling a wrapping of wool off the pc.

"The SP persuaded or caused the pc to believe the environment was dangerous and that it was *always* dangerous and so made the pc pull in and occupy less space and reach less.

"When the SP is really located and indicated the pc feels this impulse not to reach diminish and so his space opens up.

"The difference between a *safe* environment and a *dangerous* environment is only that a person is willing to reach and expand in a safe environment and reaches less and contracts in a dangerous environment.

"An SP wants the other person to reach less. Sometimes this is done by forcing the person to reach into danger and get hurt so that the person will thereafter reach less.

"The SP wants smaller, less powerful beings. The SP thinks that if another became powerful that one would attack the SP.

"The SP is totally insecure and is battling constantly in covert ways to make others less powerful and less able."

(HCOB 5 Feb 66 S AND D WARNING)

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 6 JANUARY 1979

Remimeo

**HCOB 24 JULY 1970 AND
HCOB 28 AUGUST 1970RA CANCELED**

Ref: HCO PL 15 March 1971R
Data Series 21 R
DATA SERIES AUDITING

HCOB 24 July 1970, DATA SERIES, and HCOB 28 August 1970RA, HC OUTPOINT PLUS-POINT LISTS RA, are CANCELED.

The use of the HC Out-Point Plus-Point Lists is most effective when preceded by other specific actions necessary to achieving the full gains possible from the handling of these lists.

The HC Out-Point Plus-Point Lists are being incorporated as a step of a rundown currently under research and are slated to be used or audited in any way otherwise.

After the date of this issue it will be deemed a HIGH CRIME if any auditor is found to have violated the above.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:elb
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

L. Ron Hubbard

EXECUTIVE DIRECTIVE

LRH ED 301-1 INT

6 January 1979

To: All Staff
and Students

From: Ron

CORRECTION TO RON'S JOURNAL 30 REQUIREMENTS FOR SUPER POWER AUDITORS

On page 267 of Ron's Journal 30, the listing of OT III as a requirement for New World Corps candidates to be trained on Super Power is a typo and is hereby canceled.

The requirements for auditors or C/Ses who are to be trained to deliver Super Power are:

1. Class IV (does not have to be Class IV Permanent).
2. Not an R/Ser.
3. No LSD or Sweat Out Program very fully completed.
4. Full Drug Rundown completed.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:cib

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead. Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 9 JANUARY 1979

Remimeo

BTB CANCELLATION

BTB to MARCH 75. ISSUE IV
CRAMMING SERIES 5RB
TRs IN CRAMMING

IS HEREBY *CANCELED*.

No auditor may audit in an HGC who has not done a Hard TRs Course.

Any auditor with good sense will get an honest TRs tape passed by competent authority before he audits *anybody*.

The technical material in the above mentioned BTB is incorrect as once an auditor's TRs are "IN" they don't go out and you certainly don't have to practice to get them back in.

The job is to get them in in the first place.

Auditors whose TRs are out can have false data on TRs found and be word cleared on TR HCOBs and can be put back on a Hard TRs Course.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:kjm
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO POLICY LETTER OF 17 JANUARY 1979

Remimeo
All Staff
EO/MAA Hats

A NEW TYPE OF CRIME

Ref: HCO PL 7 Feb 65	KEEPING SCIENTOLOGY WORKING
HCO PL 2.3 Dec 65	SUPPRESSIVE ACTS SUPPRESSION OF SCIEN TOLOGY & SCIENTOLOGISTS —THE FAIR GAME LAW
HCO PL 7 Aug 65	SUPPRESSIVE PERSONS MAIN CHARACTERISTICS OF
HCO PL 16 Oct 67	AKH No.16—SUPPRESSIVES AND THE ADMINISTRATOR— HOW TO DETECT SPs AS AN ADMINISTRATOR
HCO PL 18 Oct 67	POLICY AND HCOB ALTERA TIONS-HIGH CRIME

TECHNICAL PERVERSIONS

During an evaluation of an organization, a new crime came to light:

It consisted of carefully teaching and coaching auditors to get a snap can squeeze done so they would set their meter sensitivity so low that no reads could be obtained. It was done knowingly and intentionally by the person so there would be no auditor around that could spot his withholds. He also obscured E-Meter Drill No. 5 which shows the correct way to do a can squeeze and get a proper sensitivity.

Anyone who would do something like this, just to protect a withhold, without realizing he would also mess up hundreds of cases quite in addition to messing up his own, is of course not only irresponsible but insane.

We think of “insane people” as being wild-eyed maniacs but such is seldom the case. More often they are quiet types who have little or nothing to say and speak softly. This person would not have been seen as insane on casual observation. And so he had worked his way into the post of training in charge. Just so he could protect his withholds. And, on that post. then carefully mistrained all auditors in sight.

So this brings to view that there is a new type of crime:

**TO ALTER AND PERVERT TECH OR PROCEDURE TO PREVENT
DISCOVERY OF WITHHOLDS.**

This enters many areas: Changing or losing issues. Issuing issues in BTB or BPL form that contain incorrect and misleading data. Posting known criminals or incompetents to training posts. Verbal tech. In short, any action which would prevent tech from being known or correctly used.

These fall under the heading of SUPPRESSIVE ACTS and come under the ethics PLs related to them.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

for the

BOARDS OF DIRECTORS
of the
CHURCHES OF SCIENTOLOGY

BDCS:LRH:cib
Copyright © 1979
By L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO POLICY LETTER OF 19 JANUARY 1979
Issue II

Remimeo

Data Series 47

CANCELLATION

BTB 2 Sept 72R Issue II, WHY FINDING DRILL—TWO, is CANCELED.

The Personal Office of Evaluation and Execution, Cramming Officers, AVC and any other evaluating activity are not permitted to use this BTB.

This BTB contains false tech and invites verbal tech by the coach who may or may not already have MUs on the subject of evaluation.

Any entry of this BTB on a checksheet is to be deleted and students informed of such.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

for the

BDCS:LRH:cib
Copyright © 1979
By L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

BOARDS OF DIRECTORS
of the
CHURCHES OF SCIENTOLOGY

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 28 JANUARY 1979

Remimeo
KOTs
C/Ses
Qual Staff
Tech Staff
HCOs

C/S QUALIFICATIONS

Any C/S C/Sing for a level for which he has not been trained is subject to the suspension of all certificates and deprivation of all bonuses. He is subject also to refund of all bonuses ever obtained while C/Sing as a C/S levels for which he has not been trained above or below his class. This does not limit the penalties which can be applied which can include declare and expulsion.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:jk
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 31 JANUARY 1979

Remimeo
TRs Course
Checksheet
TRs Course Supervisor
Tech Sec
Qual Sec

MOOD DRILLS

Beings can be fixed or stuck in a chronic mood (emotion)—always sad, always angry, always bored, etc. Just in life and livingness this makes them rather hard to live with but in an auditor it is fatal. The mood of an auditor, particularly if fixed and chronic, can color the session and the results he obtains.

TRs are a matter of sound, not how an auditor feels. When an auditor has a stuck or fixated mood, such as monotony, timidity, dullness, showing up in his TR drills or in session, this can slow up a pc's progress or rough up or upset a pc. The auditor's TRs should sound live and interested and natural.

Mood Drills have been developed to handle fixed, uncontrolled or unsuitable tone levels in an auditor. These drills consist of drilling TR I over and over at each tone level of the full Tone Scale (HCOB 25 Sept 71RB, Revised 1 Apr 78 TONE SCALE IN FULL). You start low on the scale and do TRs at each tone level *in that tone*, then up to the next tone, and the next, i.e., TR I done over and over at "Dying," then at the tone of "Useless," and so on up the scale. The coach simply has the student do TR I at the particular tone level so that the coach and the student are both satisfied that the student has conveyed that tone and the student has a win.

A technical fact is that moods or emotions are usually "automatic" which means they are not necessarily under control but tend to control the person himself. It is as if he is under other-determinism. Technically, you can "take over" the automaticity and put it under a being's control just by having him consciously do it over and over. You can also change a chronic tone level by shifting a person's attention from it by making him do something else. (Reference: "Ability 36" and "Ability—Straight Wire.")

Body position, voice tone, facial expression and attitude are all part of conveying the mood or tone level. For example, the student doing Mood Drills is on TR 1 working on the tone "Anger." He gives a line from *Alice in Wonderland*, and it sounds a bit weak. Coach's patter: "That's it. It sounds a bit gentle. Let's get some more G-r-r-r-r in it. Start." Student repeats the line, but smiles a bit although he sounds more angry. Coach: "That's it. It *sounded* more angry, but you smiled. Do it again—you *feel* angry. Start." Student gives the line again, this time frowning fiercely and in a *very* snarly tone of voice, leaning forward aggressively. Coach: "Good! Do you feel you did it?" Coach continues until the student is certain he can do it easily. The coach must be able to identify the various emotions and if he is in question about it the dictionary should be resorted to until both student and coach are in agreement on what the tone is or means and that it is being accurately and demonstrably expressed.

A student drilling these must beware of Mis-Us and the coach must make sure that he and the student both understand each mood (tone). Any moods that are too easy to do should be spotted by the coach and repeated until the automaticity is broken.

If a mood is too hard for the student to master, have him do TR 1 in different beingnesses, e.g., a timid student who is trying to sound antagonistic could be asked to do TR 1 as a panther, a lion, a villain, etc. If you had him do it as a timid bird or some such timid thing that would never be antagonistic you would probably have your student where he lived. Again, do such things to a student win and don't use it to harass him. The whole point is to get him to do TR 1 antagonistically. These shifts of beingness help to shift his attention off a repulsion to an emotion he cannot easily do.

Once begun, Mood Drills should be continued until the whole scale is flat so the auditor doesn't get stuck on the Tone Scale but can do any mood easily and without strain. When an auditor is upset about his voice, you can have him try speaking melodiously, boringly, enthusiastically, until he can change his voice mood about at will.

Mood Drills should be done when the auditor sounds mechanical, or his tone is brush off, not interested or some set emotion. An auditor can be drilled on assessments in the E-Meter Drill Book with Mood Drills, when his assessment is dull or monotonous. Any set emotion like "sweet," "light and airy fairy," or sad, dreary, deadly serious, indifferent can be handled by drilling with Mood Drills.

50 FOOT MOOD DRILLS

50 Foot Mood Drills can be used to cure a fixed mood that doesn't seem to budge with regular Mood Drills. Student and coach go to an area where they can do some shouting without disturbance. The coach and student are at least 50 feet apart and the Mood Drill is done, as described above, at this distance.

Mood Drills are not only fun to do, but also enable an auditor to be at cause over how he sounds in a session, without strain and without his own feelings interfering with the session and thus to get maximum gain for the pc.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH: jk
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 3 FEBRUARY 1979
Issue I
CORRECTED AND REISSUED 8 FEBRUARY 1979

Remimeo

(Also issued as HCO PL same date and title.)

**CHANGE THE CIVILIZATION
EVAL**

POLICY: A course consists of a checksheet, theory and practical.

To audit or even do courses requires an ability to confront and communicate and this is brought about on the TRs Course.

People who can't confront can have trouble communicating, reading meters, studying or even detecting what is going on.
(See Cumulative Index, Vol X Technical Bulletins)

SITUATION: TRs AND TECH ARE OUT INTERNATIONALLY.

STATS: Refunds high .

Majority of Sea Org staff with incomplete courses.

Lots of blown students.

DATA: Auditors pulled in for training couldn't do TRs even though they were trained and had been auditors for years. (OMITTED TRs—COURSES THEY TOOK PREVIOUSLY)

Supervisors didn't know key TR HCOBs, didn't know that you cycle a student through the TRs, not stick him in on one TR for weeks and give him a lose, yet it is clearly expressed in HCOBs. (OMITTED INFORMATION, WRONG TARGET—TR SUPERVISORS)

When I teach a course it takes a week or 6 weeks depending on the course. When it is exported the same course and the same materials can take up to 9 months. (ADDED TIME—SUPERS)

Auditors who had supposedly been trained misread and missed reads on meters. (OMITTED CONFRONTS—AUDITORS)

People who go to writing courses in college almost never become writers. (OMITTED PRODUCTS—COLLEGES)

The common experience of students is they can't do what they're trained to do after they've been "trained" yet the civilization is spending countless billions on "education." (CONTRARY FACTS—CONTEMPORARY TEACHERS)

The "service facsimile" which is processed at Grade IV of Scientology grade processing handles the almost universally present aberration of making others wrong. (ADDED ABERRATION—TEACHERS)

Few teachers are Grade IV Releases. (OMITTED SCIENTOLOGY—TEACHERS)

Because their TRs and metering were out, auditors have not been producing uniformly spectacular results and have not been getting pcs smoothly through their grades. (OMITTED CONFRONT—AUDITORS)

CS-4s who have the responsibility for making up checksheets for courses continue to export a TRs Course without a checksheet that had to be done first before doing TRs. (OMITTED CHECKSHEET—CS-4s)

The identical situation of sticking students in at each TR and making them lose, instead of cycling them through TRs to a win each time was found on Flag some years ago and remedied with an HCOB. Yet the HCOB is unknown, partially because there is no checksheet on the TRs Course. (OMITTED PRESERVATION OF TECHNOLOGY—FLB)

TR Courses over the world uniformly have been taught without being preceded by a theory period. (OMITTED THEORY—TRs COURSE SUPERVISORS)

OUTPOINT COUNT:

OMITTED—9	SUPERVISORS—4
WRONG TARGET—1	TEACHERS—3
ADDED—2	AUDITORS—2
CONTRARY FACTS—1	PREVIOUS COURSES—1
	COLLEGES—1
	CS-4s—1
	FLB—1

WHY: THERE IS NO CHECKSHEET FOR THE TRs COURSE WHICH IS STUDIED BEFORE THE STUDENT DOES HIS TRs.

ETHICS WHY: TARGETTING STUDENTS TOWARD A LOSE BECAUSE THEY ARE DRAMATIZING THEIR SERVICE FACS.

WHO: MAJORITY OF PROFESSORS, TEACHERS, ETC.

IDEAL SCENE: A TRs COURSE TAUGHT AS A COURSE WITH A PROPER CHECKSHEET AND SUPERVISED BY SUPERVISORS WHO ARE NOT DRAMATIZING A SERVICE FAC OR MAKING OTHERS WRONG AND TRAINING AUDITORS WHO CAN CONFRONT AND COMMUNICATE AND IN ADDITION TO HANDING THEIR PCs SO AS TO OBTAIN UNIFORMLY SPECTACULAR WINS, MAY ALSO EVENTUALLY REACH THE REST OF THE TEACHERS IN THE WORLD SO THAT THEY TOO WILL TEACH STUDENTS TO A WIN.

HANDLING:

BRIGHT IDEA: Do a checksheet of the TRs Course and retread all auditors who are not making it on it and TRs.

- | | | | |
|----|---|-------------------------------|-------------|
| 1. | Compile the checksheet. | LRH TECHNICAL
COMPILATIONS | <u>DONE</u> |
| 2. | Push all students now on courses on through their courses without interrupting their studies by making them redo the course. | DIRECTORS OF
TRAINING | _____ |
| 3. | Immediately get the checksheet being applied and the TRs Course redone at the intern level, in the first internship any auditor I/T enters. | QUAL SECS | _____ |

4. Enforce certificate expiration if the person has not done the internship for the level he is certified for.
DIRs OF VALIDITY _____
5. Sell the new TRs Course in one internship.
REGS _____
6. Deliver the new TRs Course complete with checksheet and in practical, cycling through the TRs to a minor win on each instead of sticking the student into one TR at a time to a completion of that TR and so giving them countless loses and extending the course endlessly. But make sure that on the alternate cycle through, they're doing Hard TRs flawlessly.
SUPERS _____
- 6A. Institute the new TRs Course at the beginning of major courses for those students who enter a major course in the future, and at the beginning of any major course substitute the new TRs Course for any existing TRs Course on the checksheet.
DIRECTORS OF TRAINING _____
7. Make DRD and Grade IV a prerequisite for the post of Supervisor and get all Supervisors now on, up to that without removing them from post in a minimum period of time.
HCO _____
8. On all auditors who are failing order a complete modern TRs Course as in Targets 5 and 6, as the only acceptable first cramming order. Time machine it for 2 weeks full time and 6 weeks maximum if done part time.
CRAMMING OFFICERS _____
- 8A. Do not require auditors to "drill TRs" in the morning or evening as TRs do not fall out. Ref: HCOB 9 tan 79, BTB CANCELLATION. Instead, get them through a Hard TRs Course in their study time.
QUAL SEC _____
9. Where the new TRs Course is not running well, inspect and verify that Supervisors exist, that this HCOB is known the WHAT IS A COURSE? P/L is in on the TRs Course or any other reason found and the Supervisors gotten up to Grade IV Release.
LRH COMM _____
10. Only bother to correct other auditor or admin errors after it's verified that the persons sent to Cramming have successfully completed the modern TRs Course to wins on the theory and every TR. When the new TRs Course is obviously and beyond any reasonable doubt fully passed by the auditor, only then and in the future for that auditor, engage in any cramming actions. Do not require that he do the TRs Course again unless it is clearly evident that he failed it the first time.
CRAMMING OFFICER _____
11. If this program is not working, if refunds do not drop, if org stats do not rise, debug this HCOB as it applies to any org or area and get it in and get it working.
LRH COMM _____

12. Program out how we're going to use this to get this civilization functioning.

GUARDIAN
WORLDWIDE _____

13. Report all wins and successes with this HCOB to CS-4.

THOSE APPLYING
THIS PROGRAM _____

14. Take ethics actions on those who refuse to apply this program.

EO/SENIOR EO
INT _____

15. Teach students to a win.

EVERYBODY
TRAINING
ANYONE _____

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

for the

BOARDS OF DIRECTORS
of the
CHURCHES OF SCIENTOLOGY

BDCS:LRH:jk
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

**CONFRONT TECH
HAS TO BE PART OF THE TR CHECKSHEET**

The inability to confront is basically caused by withholds and where a person cannot be drilled into confronting, he has to have his withholds pulled.

That he has committed overts and doesn't want them exposed apparently causes him to withhold his attention and the result is his ability to confront is lessened.

Also where a person has overts on a subject and is withholding, he has a tendency to complicate that subject and cannot get down to its basic simplicities. The world looks very complicated to him, probably because his attention is wrapped up in his withholds instead of on his real problems or the subject.

The new discovery here is that a person who has overts and withholds on a subject cannot perform in that area and introduces complexities, for of course they can't confront it.

Where a person cannot take responsibility for his withholds and he is not benefiting casewise from giving them up, he is half dead as a being. It is a vicious circle: he began to commit overts because he couldn't confront things and then withheld what he had done. Because he had withholds and could not confront, he began to take heavy drugs and alcohol. These pushed him toward deadness and further worsened his ability to confront and even caused him to commit further overts which he then withheld and this further deteriorated his ability to confront. And all this traces back to the fact that he couldn't confront in the first place. There is nothing more irresponsible than a dead man. And when confront drops and withholds enter in, one has entered the death slide as a being.

This vicious circle can be handled in processing at various levels and will unsnarl and the person will become alive and able to confront. But the first steps of it, and ones which could carry him well up the ladder, are the drills of the TR Course if done properly and over and over in rotation each time to a win on each particular drill.

Truly, the world begins anew by regaining the ability to confront.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH: jk
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 7 FEBRUARY 1979R
CORRECTED & REISSUED 12 FEBRUARY 1979
REVISED 15 FEBRUARY 1979

Remimeo
All Auditors
Tech
Qual
C/Ses
Cramming Officers

(Revisions in this type style)
(Ellipsis indicates deletion)

**E-METER DRILL 5RA
CAN SQUEEZE**

The following E-Meter Drill immediately revises and replaces E-Meter Drill 5, as it appears in *The Book of E-Meter Drills* and modifies any data to the contrary in *E-Meter Essentials*.

NUMBER: EM-5RA

NAME: CAN SQUEEZE

PURPOSE:

- I. To demonstrate to the student how an incorrect can squeeze gives an inaccurate, unreliable needle reaction.
- II. To train a student auditor how to get a pc to do an accurate can squeeze.
- III. To train a student auditor how to determine the sensitivity setting to get 1/3 of a dial drop of the needle on the can squeeze, for use in setting the correct sensitivity for each preclear in an auditing session.
- IV. To convince a student auditor that he has to use a correct sensitivity setting for 1/3 of a dial drop of the can squeeze to have a workable and readable E-Meter.

POSITION: The coach and the student auditor sit facing each other across a table with an E-Meter facing the student auditor. The E-Meter is already set up.

TRAINING STRESS:

SECTION 1: To give the student auditor a reality on how a can squeeze can be done *incorrectly*, so he will know all the points he may have to correct to ensure he gets an accurate can squeeze.

1. Coach picks up the cans and holds his hands on the table so the student can clearly see them.
2. Coach has student set sensitivity booster knob to lowest position and the sensitivity at I on the sensitivity knob.
3. Coach has student adjust the needle to the set line on the needle dial.

The coach will have the student readjust the needle to set as necessary at the beginning of each demonstration of the can squeeze.

4. The coach gives the cans a squeeze with an even pressure. If there is no read or a very small one, less than an inch, at sensitivity 1, the student auditor moves the sensitivity knob to 5, and gets another can squeeze. If still no read or it's smaller than an inch, student moves sensitivity to 16 and gets another squeeze. For pur

poses of the following demonstration, you want to set the sensitivity so that you can obviously see a movement of the needle on the can squeeze of about an inch. So the sensitivity could be set lower than 5 or higher than 5, so long as you get a fall of about an inch on the squeeze.

5. With the sensitivity setting determined in 4 above, the coach will then squeeze the cans incorrectly, each time in a different way. The coach shows the student what particular thing he's doing with his hands, and then has the student observe what happens on the meter and the distance the needle falls on the dial when he does each version of an *incorrect* can squeeze as follows:
 - A. Coach holds the cans with cups of palms and all fingers and both thumbs in complete contact with the cans. As he squeezes the cans, he lifts one finger off and then puts the finger back on after relaxing the squeeze. This is an incorrect can squeeze.
 - B. Coach holds the cans as in A. This time he gives the cans a *very* fast light squeeze. This is an incorrect can squeeze.
 - C. Coach holds the cans as in A, squeezes them with a gradual pressure and then when he releases the squeeze he relaxes his grip on the cans so it is much looser than before the can squeeze. This is an incorrect can squeeze.
 - D. Coach holds the cans as in A, and this time gives a hard fast squeeze. This is an incorrect can squeeze.
 - E. Coach holds the cans as in A, squeezes them firmly and only *partially* releases the squeeze. This is an incorrect can squeeze.
 - F. Coach holds the cans as in A, but gives a squeeze in 2 stages. first a little squeeze, then suddenly a harder one. This is an incorrect can squeeze.
 - G. Coach holds the cans as in A, gives a hard fast squeeze, and *holds the grip*. The student should notice that the needle swings way over to the right due to the *sudden* motion, and that it returns only part of the way with the coach still maintaining the squeeze, thus giving an incorrect measurement of the can squeeze. Student should see that the distance between the first needle position at set and the final needle position with the coach still maintaining the squeeze is the *actual* measurement of the can squeeze fall. It is not the distance between the first needle position of set and the needle position at the far swing to the right. A hard, fast can squeeze is an incorrect can squeeze.
 - H. Coach holds the cans so they are not in contact with the cups of his palms and squeezes them. This is an incorrect can squeeze.
 - I. Coach holds the cans with the thumbs going up the sides and sticking out over the top edge of the cans and squeezes them. This is an incorrect can squeeze.
 - J. Coach holds the cans in a fairly tight grip and squeezes the cans. This is an incorrect can squeeze.
 - K. Coach holds the cans with the forefingers lifted slightly off and puts the forefingers on the cans during the squeeze. This is an incorrect can squeeze.

The drill is continued until the student auditor gets the idea that an incorrect can squeeze gives inaccurate, unreliable needle reactions.

SECTION II: To give the student auditor a proper idea as to what a correct can squeeze is, and to train him how to get a correct can squeeze.

1. The following drill should be done first by the coach to demonstrate to the student auditor what a correct can squeeze is:
 - A. The coach has the student auditor shake his hands until the fingers are loose and floppy.
 - B. Then the coach has the student auditor put his hands on the table, palms up, exerting no control on his fingers. The student auditor's fingers will curl in toward the palm.
 - C. Now the coach simply places the cans in the student auditor's hands at an angle across the palms. The natural curl of the fingers is sufficient to hold the cans in place, and the placement of the cans at an angle ensures that the maximum skin area is touching the cans. The cups of the student auditor's palms and all the fingers and both thumbs must be touching the cans. Ensure the thumbs go around the cans and not up the sides.
 - D. Now the coach has the student auditor gradually increase the pressure of his grip on the cans until a light squeeze is achieved, and then relax it. This is a correct can squeeze.
 - E. *NOTE:* Ensure when the student auditor relaxes his grip that he does not take a finger or thumb or his palms off the cans. He should have about the same contact he had at the start as in C above.
2. Having done the above, the coach now has the student auditor do the drill as follows:
 - A. Have the coach pick up the cans *and keep his hands on the table so the student can see them throughout the can squeeze.*
 - B. Check the coach's grip on the cans to ensure it is correct as in B and C above. *The student may have to try out different sizes of cans, small, medium or large, depending on the size of the coach's hands, to obtain the correct size can which he can hold comfortably without strain and that fits into the cup of his palm, with maximum skin contact.*
 - C. Adjust the sensitivity booster knob to the lowest position.
 - D. (a) Set the sensitivity knob at 1 on the sensitivity dial.
(b) Adjust the needle to the set line on the needle dial.
(c) Give the proper commands for getting a can squeeze as follows:
.
.
.
.
"Squeeze the cans, please."
"Thank you."
The student must ensure the coach gradually increases the pressure of his grip on the cans and relaxes it.
(d) Note the distance the needle fell when the coach squeezed the cans.
 - E. Now increase the sensitivity setting to 2 and repeat steps D (b), © and (d) above, again noting the distance the needle fell when the coach squeezed the cans.
 - F. Repeat steps D (b), © and (d) for sensitivity setting at 3, then sensitivity

setting 4, then 5, then 6, and on up until you have the needle hitting the pin on the can squeeze. With the needle hitting the pin on the can squeeze, you wouldn't be able to note the length of the needle fall.

Flunks are given for not having the coach remove all rings or finger jewelry, as they can cause the needle to give unusual reads; for not checking that there is maximum skin contact on the cans; for failing to see that the thumbs go around the can and not up the sides; for failing to set the meter and needle up properly; for failing to notice and handle a sudden or hard or jerky or convulsive can squeeze instead of an even increase of pressure on the cans or sudden letting go of the cans; for not making sure the coach doesn't take a finger or thumb or palm off the cans when he releases the contact; for failing to note accurately the distance the needle fell on the can squeeze; and for giving the wrong commands. Lack of skill in earlier drills is corrected by pink sheet.

SECTION III: To give the student auditor a reality on setting the sensitivity for a 1/3 of a dial drop of the needle on the can squeeze.

The student auditor should know that setting the sensitivity for 1/3 of a dial drop on the can squeeze is an integral part of setting up each and every session he does. It is the sensitivity he will be using during the session. It is vitally important he gets the correct sensitivity setting for each preclear at each session, so that he will not miss reads or F/Ns. A sensitivity setting which is too low or too high for that particular preclear in the particular session will obscure reads and F/Ns, thus upsetting the preclear's case. Therefore, the student auditor must be proficient on this drill.

1. A. Have the coach pick up the cans *and keep his hands on the table so the student can see them throughout the can squeeze.*
- B. Check the coach's grip to ensure it is correct, *also ensuring you have the correct can size.*
- C. Adjust the sensitivity booster knob to the lowest position.
- D. (a) Set the sensitivity knob at 5 on the sensitivity dial.
(b) Adjust the needle to set line on the dial.
(c) Get the coach to squeeze the cans ensuring he does it properly.
(d) Note the distance the needle fell when the coach squeezed the cans.
- E. *On Step D (d) the needle will have fallen a distance of either*
(a) LESS than 1/3 of a dial drop,

or

(b) MORE than 1/3 of a dial drop.

If it's (a) raise the sensitivity a bit and repeat steps D (b), © and (d) and continue to do this until you have 1/3 dial drop. If it's (b) lower the sensitivity a bit and repeat steps D (b), (c) and (d) and continue to do this until you have 1/3 dial drop.

In other words, keep adjusting your sensitivity lower or higher according to whether the drop is more or less than 1/3 of a dial drop, until you get the correct sensitivity setting.

Each time a new can squeeze is asked for, the student auditor is to make sure the coach is holding the cans properly and is giving a correct can squeeze.

F. The student then notes the exact sensitivity setting at which he got the 1/3 dial drop. Flunks are given for errors as in Section II above and for failing to

recognize when a 1/3 dial drop of the needle on the can squeeze has been obtained; for failing to recognize if the coach is giving a considerably harder or lighter can squeeze than he was giving at sensitivity 5, and for failing to establish the correct sensitivity setting for 1/3 of a dial drop on the coach.

2. Now the coach has the student auditor do the drill on a number of other students, with the coach watching, until he is satisfied that the student can easily and accurately establish the correct sensitivity setting for a 1/3 dial drop can squeeze.

SECTION IV: To give the student auditor a reality on how a correct sensitivity setting for 1/3 of a dial drop on the can squeeze gives a readable and workable meter and how an incorrect sensitivity setting gives an unreadable and unworkable meter, so the student will understand why he has to use a sensitivity setting that gives 1/3 of a dial drop.

1. Coach has the student auditor set the sensitivity accurately on a correct can squeeze for 1/3 dial drop as in Section III.
2. The student auditor does a “pinch test” as follows: student pinches the coach’s arm, hard enough to hurt a little bit.
3. Now, while watching the meter, the student says to the coach:

“Recall that pinch I just gave you.”

“Thank you.”
4. Student notes the reaction of the needle to his command and the distance the needle fell.
5. Coach has the student do steps 2, 3, and 4 several times, each time noting what the needle does in response to “Recall that pinch.”
6. Coach now has the student set the sensitivity at 1. Student has coach squeeze the cans and notes whether there’s a read, or not. If there is a read, note size of read and leave the sensitivity at 1. If there’s no read on the squeeze, the student still leaves the sensitivity at 1.
7. The student auditor does a new “pinch test” as in 2, 3, 4 and 5 above, noting the difference in needle response to the command “Recall that pinch” as compared to what it was in Step 5 at the correct sensitivity setting. There may be no read at all and the student should notice that.
8. Coach now has student set the sensitivity at 32, and coach squeezes the cans.
9. Student does the pinch test again and notes the reaction of the needle to his command “Recall that pinch.”
10. Coach has the student then set the sensitivity correctly for 1/3 of a dial drop on a correct can squeeze and does the pinch test again.
11. The student should observe from these pinch tests that an accurate sensitivity setting determined from a correct can squeeze gives a readable and workable meter and that an incorrect sensitivity setting gives an unreadable and unworkable meter. If he does not see this clearly, then the coach would have the student redo steps 7 through 10 until the student sees why the sensitivity must be set for 1/3 of a dial drop determined by a correct can squeeze.

Flunks are given for failing to note what the needle did and size of read in response to student telling coach to recall the pinch and for errors in setting sensitivity accurately and getting a correct can squeeze when called for in the drill.

HISTORY: Developed as a training drill by L. Ron Hubbard at Saint Hill in December, 1963 and revised by L. Ron Hubbard in February, 1979.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:clb/dr.jk
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 9 FEBRUARY 1979

Remimeo

(Also issued as HCO PL 9 Feb 79.
Issue II. same title.)

HOW TO DEFEAT VERBAL TECH

1. If it isn't written it isn't true.
2. If it's written, read it.
3. If you can't understand it, clarify it.
4. If you can't clarify it, clear the Mis-Us.
5. If the Mis-Us won't clear, query it.
6. Get it validated as a written order.
7. Force others to read it.

IF IT CAN'T BE RUN THROUGH AS ABOVE *IT'S FALSE!*

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:dg.kc.ch.cib
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 15 FEBRUARY 1979

Remimeo
Tech
Qual
HCO

(Also issued as HCO PL 15 Feb 79, same title.)

VERBAL TECH: PENALTIES

(Ref: HCOB/HCO PL 9 Feb 79.
HOW TO DEFEAT VERBAL TECH)

ANY PERSON FOUND TO BE USING VERBAL TECH SHALL BE
SUBJECT TO A COURT OF ETHICS.

THE CHARGES ARE: GIVING OUT DATA WHICH IS CONTRARY TO
HCO BULLETINS OR POLICY LETTERS, OR OBSTRUCTING THEIR USE OR
APPLICATION, CORRUPTING THEIR INTENT, ALTERING THEIR CONTENT
IN ANY WAY, INTERPRETING THEM VERBALLY OR OTHERWISE FOR
ANOTHER, OR PRETENDING TO QUOTE THEM WITHOUT SHOWING THE
ACTUAL ISSUE.

ANY ONE OF THESE CATEGORIES CONSTITUTES VERBAL TECH AND
IS ACTIONABLE PER THE ABOVE.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH: jk
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead. Sussex

HCO POLICY LETTER OF 21 FEBRUARY 1979
CORRECTED & REISSUED 26 APRIL 1979
CORRECTED & REISSUED 6 MAY 1979

Remimeo
Tech
Qual
All Auditors
E-Meter Checksheets

(Corrections in this type style)

**E-METER ESSENTIALS
ERRATA SHEET**

The following corrections are to be made in *E-METER ESSENTIALS*:

RE: THE TONE ARM:

Page 9 Section 10:

Delete: “no matter what the preclear says.”

Add: “until the EP of that process is reached.”

The whole section now reads: “If the Tone Arm shows motion, continue the process, until the EP of that process is reached.”

Page 10. Section 12:

Delete: “is a breach of the Auditor’s Code Clause 13. Also to continue a process that is producing no Tone Arm motion is a breach of the same Clause . “

Add: “will leave the pc with By-Passed Charge. The process should be continued to the EP of that process.”

The whole section now reads: “To change a process while the Tone Arm shows good motion will leave the pc with By-Passed Charge. The process should be continued to the EP of that process.”

RE: THE SENSITIVITY KNOB:

Page 13, Section 5:

Delete: “Have the preclear hold the electrodes comfortably in his hands. Have him tighten his hands and then relax them, still holding the cans. The needle should drop exactly one-third of a dial. Adjust the sensitivity knob by asking the preclear to squeeze the cans again and observing the needle fall.”

The whole section is substituted with the following: “The exact setting of the sensitivity knob is done as follows: Have the preclear hold the electrodes (cans) in his hands with the cans in contact with the cups of his palms and all his fingers and both thumbs in a comfortable grip. Set the sensitivity at 5 and adjust the position of the needle to set. Have the preclear squeeze the cans with an even gradual pressure, not a sudden hard squeeze. Watch the distance the needle drops. If the distance the needle fell is less than one-third of a dial drop, raise the sensitivity some and get another can squeeze, continuing this procedure till you’ve got the sensitivity setting that gives you one third dial drop on the can squeeze. If the can squeeze gave you more than one-third dial drop at Sens. 5, lower the sensitivity setting a bit, test

another can squeeze, continuing this procedure till you get one-third of a dial drop.

In other words, keep adjusting your sensitivity lower or higher according to whether the drop is more or less than one-third of a dial drop, until you get the correct sensitivity setting.”

Page 13. Section 7:

Delete: “Adjust the knob to a still needle that will yet move on needed responses . “

Add: “Adjust the sensitivity knob to get a third of a dial drop on the can squeeze, or as close to that as you can.”

The whole section now reads: “In short, adjust the sensitivity knob to get a third of a dial drop on the can squeeze, or as close to that as you can.”

RE: THE NEEDLE:

Page 14. Section 4:

Delete: “A fall always happens with rapidity, within a second or two.”

Add: “A fall always happens at the exact end of the question asked.”

The whole section now reads: “A falling needle (3) makes a dip to the right as you face the meter. A fall may consist of half a division (about one-eighth of an inch) or may consist of fifteen dials (the whole meter face dropped fifteen times). It is still a fall. A fall always happens at the exact end of the question asked. It is also called a drop, a dip and a register. It denotes that a disagreement with life on which the preclear has greater or lesser reality has met the question asked.”

Page 15. Section 9:

Delete: “upon the question being asked. A fall can be in two stages or more providing they take place within a second or two after the question.”

Add: “at the end of the last word of the question asked.”

The whole section now reads: “A fall follows at once at the end of the last word of the question asked.”

RE: CHANGE OF CHARACTERISTIC:

Page 15. Section 17:

Delete: “we must assume that that is it and we use it.”

Add: “it can be further explored with the suppress and invalidate buttons to see if it develops into a sF, F. or BD, which then can be used.”

The whole section now reads: “Change of characteristic occurs when we hit on something in the preclear’s bank. It occurs only when and each time that we ask that exact question. As the question or item alone changes the needle pattern, it can be further explored with the suppress and invalidate buttons to see if it develops into a sF, F. or BD, which then can be used.”

Page 15. Section 18:

Delete: “usually” .

Add: “may”.

The whole section now reads: “A question that stops a rising needle is a change of characteristic question and like a fall means we have struck something. Further exploration may develop it into a fall.”

Page 16, Section 21:

Delete: “within one tenth to one half of a second after you have asked a question of the preclear.”

Add: “An instant read is defined as that reaction of the needle which occurs at the precise end of any major thought voiced by the auditor.”

The whole section now reads: “It is not much used but must be known as it may have to be used sooner or later when we can’t get falls.

“The only needle reactions in which you should be interested are those which occur INSTANTLY. An instant read is defined as that reaction of the needle which occurs at the precise end of any major thought voiced by the auditor.”

RE: ROCK SLAMS:

Page 17, Section 35, ROCK SLAM (7):

Delete: “This originally meant (and still does) that you are on the rock chain.”

Add: “A Rock Slam means a hidden Evil Intention on the subject or question under discussion or auditing.”

The whole section now reads: “In assessing or running you occasionally get a Rock Slam. A Rock Slam means a hidden Evil Intention on the subject or question under discussion or auditing.”

Page 17, Section 36:

Delete: “A Rock Slam is a crazy, irregular, unequal, jerky motion of the needle, narrow as one inch or as wide as three inches happening several times a second. The needle ‘goes crazy’, slamming back and forth, narrowly, widely, over on the left, over on the right, in a mad war dance or as if it were frantically trying to escape. It means hot terminal or hot anything in an assessment and takes precedence over a fall.”

The entire section is replaced with: “A Rock Slam is a crazy, irregular, left-right slashing motion of the needle. It repeats left and right slashes unevenly and savagely, faster than the eye easily follows. The needle is frantic. The width of a Rock Slam (R/S) depends largely on sensitivity setting. It goes from one-fourth inch to whole dial. But it slams back and forth. It means hot item in an assessment and takes precedence over a fall or it means that you have left rings on the pc’s hands or have a loose connection in the leads or meter. If the latter two items verify as not present you are looking at a Rock Slam in the pc.”

RE: FREE NEEDLES:

Page 17, Section 41:

Delete: “It means an idle, uninfluenced motion, no matter what you say about the goal or terminal. It isn’t just null, it’s uninfluenced by anything (except body reactions).”

The entire section is replaced by: “It means the same as a Floating Needle, which is a rhythmic sweep of the dial at a slow, even pace of the needle, back and forth, back and forth, without change in the width of the swing except perhaps to widen as the pc gets off the last small bits of charge. Note that it can get so wide that you have to shift the Tone Arm back and forth, back and forth, to keep the needle on the dial in which case you have a floating tone arm.”

Page 18 Section 44:

Delete: “It doesn’t happen until a person is well above release, so don’t worry about it until you see it.”

The whole section is replaced with: “It can occur after a cognition, blowdown of the Tone Arm, at a release point, or on the erasure of a Dianetic chain.”

Page 18. Section 46:

Delete: “A Free Needle means, when it’s used as a term, ‘The preclear is getting awful close to clear.’”

The whole section is replaced with: “A Free Needle or Floating Needle is one of the parts of the End Phenomena for any process or action.”

RE: SECURITY CHECKING:

Page 21. Section 3:

Delete: “(b) it’s in a past life and he doesn’t consciously know about it (since the meter precedes preclear consciousness).”

The entire line is replaced with: “(b) there’s an earlier similar overt or withhold .”

Page 22. Section 5:

Delete: “In the case of a past life possibility you add, ‘In this lifetime’ to your security question. As you repeat that, if the misdeed was in a past life, the fall will vanish.”

The whole section is replaced with: “In the case of (b) when there’s an earlier similar overt or withhold, you must ask for it and get it.”

Page 22. Section 7:

Delete: “always (as in all Rudiments) ask the question again as this might not be all of it.”

Add: “you get all the data and handle it earlier similar withhold as necessary to an F/N.”

The whole section now reads: “If the preclear tells you a withhold, you get all the data and handle it earlier similar withhold as necessary to an F/N.”

Page 22. Section 9:

Delete: “On a security check sheet, follow up every change of characteristic before you go on.”

Add: “On a security check, follow up every change of characteristic, if it is instant, before you go on.”

The whole section now reads: “On a security check, follow up every change of characteristic, if it is instant, before you go on. Change of characteristic, if it amounts to anything, will develop into a fall.”

Page 22, Section 10:

Delete. “(or it’s a past life)”.

Add: “or there’s an earlier similar overt or withhold”

The whole section now reads: “If the preclear hasn’t told all or there’s an earlier similar overt or withhold, the meter won’t clear.”

Page 22, Section 14:

No deletions.

Add: “except when it’s a false read which can be checked for.”

The whole section now reads: “Grim experience of a decade has taught me that it’s (a) or (b) and never ‘I moved the needle myself’ or ‘I feel nervous just generally’. The E-Meter is right even when it seems to make the preclear wrong, except when it’s a false read which can be checked for.”

RE: METER FRILTIES:

Page 25, Section 7:

Delete: “if that doesn’t stop it, squirt some lighter fluid into the Tone Arm ‘bearing’ from the meter face side.”

Add: “including the Mark V until February 1979”.

The entire section now reads: “One exception: The British and American Hubbard Electrometer early models including the Mark V until February 1979 had a ‘carbon pot’ which is to say the Tone Arm was in ‘pure carbon bearings’, if you could call it that. A speck of dust can get in the ‘pot’ and cause the needle to rock slam whether connected to the preclear or not. Pull the lead wire jack (disconnecting cans) and if the slam continues, it’s the ‘pot’ that’s wrong. Work the Tone Arm vigorously for a short while. If that doesn’t stop it, turn it in to be repaired. Later models of the British and American Hubbard Electrometer have ‘wire wound pots’ and this doesn’t happen . “

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:jk
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO POLICY LETTER OF 22 FEBRUARY 1979

Remimeo
TR Course
Checksheet
Tech
Qual

ERRATA—P.A.B. No. 147

P.A.B. No. 147, as it appears in Technical Volume III, page 335, contains a typo to be corrected as follows:

In the first paragraph, 3rd line, delete the word “curve” and insert the word “career.”

The correct sentence reads:

“And if an auditor doesn’t successfully pass the Communication Course, then to the end of any career he has as an auditor, there will be something wrong with his auditing.”

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:dr
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

GROUP AUDITOR'S HANDBOOK

by

L. Ron Hubbard

Published March 1979

Group Auditing was first introduced to the public view by L. Ron Hubbard in 1952. Continuous research and development of Dianetics and Scientology by L. Ron Hubbard since that time, has consistently improved the results which may be expected from Group Auditing.

The first publications on the subject of Group Auditing were released in 1954; *Scientology: Group Auditor's Handbook* and *Scientology: Group Auditor's Handbook Volume Two*.

March 1979 saw the publication of a new edition of that first two-volume set—revised to bring it up-to-date and aligned with the tremendous technical developments which have occurred in Scientology since 1954.

The Group Auditor's Handbook is a precise manual of definite and proven procedure. In the hands of an alert Group Auditor it can be a means of initiating immediately the first strong steps toward the goals of better conditions and freedom for mankind, for these sessions are applicable to all kinds of groups: mixed groups, congregations, businessmen and women, industrial employees, sports and military units, disabled soldiers and handicapped persons, governmental groups, and criminals now in institutions.

Today, by Group Auditing, excellent results in improved individual ability, awareness and spiritual well-being can be achieved for the many. This offers a great hope for the future of humanity. Wherever and whenever people get together you will find an exciting opportunity to use these books.

144 pages in Volume One, 136 pages in Volume Two, soft cover, with ten sessions in each volume including instructions and appendices giving remedies and codes. Available from your nearest Scientology Organization or Mission, or direct from the publishers: Church of Scientology Publications Organization U.S., 4833 Fountain Ave., East Annex, Los Angeles, California 90029, U.S.A.: or Scientology Publications Organization, Store Kongensgade 55, 1264 Copenhagen K, Denmark.

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 4 MARCH 1979R
REVISED 30 DECEMBER 1979

Remimeo

(Revisions in this type style)

Art Serves 6

ART IN ITS BASICS

Every separate sector of artistic creations has its own basic rules. Such areas include writing, painting, lighting, camera work, costumes, sets, marketing, recording, mixing—every contributory sector to a final art offering.

Each one of these areas has basic stable data which govern it. They are contained in the textbooks on these subjects.

These are the rules—the stable data, the senior data of each specialized activity.

We follow the rules because the rules give impact, effect and message. We don't follow the rules because we're told to, we follow the rules to get a product that is effective and brings about what we want brought about.

Anybody who thinks it's just an odd idea that you just follow the rules should get Short Form Product Clearing, because rules have everything to do with the value of the product.

Anybody can turn out amateur junk. Who looks at it? Who would look at it even if they were paid?

The distance between amateur junk and an effective product is accomplished by knowing and following the basic rules and using them expertly.

When you add to this dexterous handling of materials and equipment and then add some experience you have a professional.

When you add a dash of good sense and talent you have a knockout.

Be professional in whatever you do, the tale is told by the effectiveness of the product on its viewers and intended public.

So whatever your *specialty*, you have to sort out what the senior data are—the rules—and know them cold, so you don't even have to think about them and can think with them.

Did you ever realize that each one of these specialties has only a dozen or two rules?

A=A=A is the way most people handle data, some of these A's however, really have a thousand times the importance of other data.

If you know these and sort them out you have a chance of becoming a professional and if you have sorted them out and can think with them and have manual dexterity with materials and equipment any professional in that field will recognize you as a professional but far more important, your *specialty will* communicate.

If you disregard the above you'll be out of communication with your *specialty* not only *specialty* but every viewer including kids, whether they know the rules or not.

Be a professional in whatever you do.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

Revisions assisted by
Maggie Sibersky
LRH Comps I/C

LRH:MS:jk.dr
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 5 MARCH 1979R
REVISED 6 MARCH 1979

Remimeo
All Orgs
All Missions
C/Ses
Auditors
Ds of P
Tech
Quay
HCO
Ethics Officers
KOTs

(Revisions in this type style)
(Ellipsis indicates deletion)

DIANETIC CLEAR FALSE DECLARES

Any org or mission staff declaring a *Dianetic* Clear “achieved in other practices” is subject to expulsion from the Church.

Technically, a very few thetans have never been anything but Clear. *These few didn't “go Clear” on anything; they have simply always been Clear.* When a natural Clear is found it should be so stated. To assign this condition to some other practice is a suppression of *Dianetics and Scientology*.

Anyone evaluating for or feeding a preclear data to persuade him to declare *Dianetic* Clear is also actionable....

Anyone suppressively validating squirrel practices or groups by stating they are producing *Dianetic* Clears is also actionable as above, as it is not possible. *It requires the exact application of Scientology and/or Dianetic technology to bring a preclear up to the state of Clear.*

Falsely declaring a person a *Dianetic* Clear who isn't, and failing to declare one who made it on *Dianetics* or the Clearing Course or *who has* always been Clear, are also actionable.

People don't go Clear in garbage eating or psychiatry—they perish. *Thus herding people into their hands by falsely validating them is suppressive.*

Any and all such false declares are canceled.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:kjm
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

Remimeo

(Also issued as an HCO Policy Letter
of same date, same title.)

(Revisions in this type style)

Esto Series 35RB

Word Clearing Series 60RB

Product Debug Series 7R

MISUNDERSTOOD WORDS AND CYCLES OF ACTION

MISUNDERSTOOD WORDS AND NO PRODUCT

A misunderstood word can prevent a person from understanding the remainder of what is heard or written.

I have now discovered that: A MISUNDERSTOOD on any given subject CAN PREVENT THE COMPLETION OF A CYCLE OF ACTION related to that subject.

Therefore those people who don't complete cycles of action on certain subjects have a misunderstood word on them.

This then results in no-product situations.

Therefore when you are getting no product, look for the misunderstood word on the subject no matter how long and arduous it is. It's there. And when it's found the person can go on and complete a cycle of action and get a product.

CAUTION: Make sure the person actually does have an inability to complete a cycle of action *before* you get into handling him. You don't handle somebody who is completing cycles of action that result in production.

MISUNDERSTOODS AND PERCEPTION

Misunderstoods can also act as perception shut-offs. They can actually interrupt a person's perception.

It is quite astonishing that perceptions such as sight, sound and even touch can be shut off by Mis-U words.

This opens the door to the fact that people apparently do not see, hear, notice or handle outnesses when they have Mis-Us on them.

This also may open the door to people who have perceptic shut-offs, such as poor eyesight, deafness or other perception difficulties.

MISUNDERSTOODS AND COMPLEXITY

Misunderstoods lead to complexity. People who have Mis-Us in an area are inclined to develop vast complexities. They can generate confusions and complexities beyond belief.

People do this because, having misunderstands, they do not confront and duplicate in the area and so get into a lot of think-think and unnecessary significance. Their ability to get things done in that area dwindles as a result. And at the bottom of all this is simply misunderstood words.

MISUNDERSTOODS AND TOTAL ORGANIZE

When you see an area that is organizing only, you know that area is loaded with misunderstands .

When people have incomplete cycles due to Mis-Us they get bogged down into organization.

You can tell when people have Mis-Us—they are totally involved in organize, organize, organize. They don't know what they are doing.

There is a level below this—they have overts and withholds which prevent even organizing.

Below that level people are PTS.

Lacking a sense of organization actually lies below this. It is below the level of Mis-Us, overts and withholds and PTSness—and you'd have to go north through PTSness and overts and withholds to even get to the Mis-Us.

MISUNDERSTOODS AND NO ORGANIZE

There can also exist a condition where someone does not organize any corner of his area or work or organizations or lines. This manifests itself by irrational demands to only produce and to prevent any organization so that production can occur. At the bottom of this you are very likely to find misunderstood words, particularly on the purpose of the production or why one is producing. It is in this sector that you get overt products most frequently.

HANDLING

The exact procedure for handling these Mis-Us is given in HCOB 17 Jun 79 CRASHING MIS-Us: THE KEY TO COMPLETED CYCLES OF ACTION AND PRODUCTS. Crashing Mis-U finding is done as part of HCO PL 23 Aug 79 / DEBUG TECH. Additional data on the location of Crashing Mis-Us is found in HCOB 14 Aug 79 CRASHING MIS-Us, BLOCKS TO FINDING THEM and HCOB 16 Jul 79 THE "ELUSIVE" *MIS-U* OR CRASHING MIS-U.

With this knowledge we can now handle all the factors that prevent the completion of cycles of action and products.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:gal.dr
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HCO BULLETIN OF 15 APRIL 1979

Remimeo

Art HCOB 7

FINE ARTS VERSUS ILLUSTRATIONS

The division between fine arts and illustrations is that fine arts permit the viewer to contribute his own interpretations or originations to the scene whereas illustrations are “too literal” and give him the whole works.

To evoke an emotion in fine arts, the spectator must be invited to contribute part of the meaning.

In a poster, the viewer is most often intended to be clobbered.

In illustration, the viewer is intended to be informed.

A work of fine art can elicit quite different emotional contributions from one member of an audience to the next as he is left free to some degree to contribute meaning and emotion at his choice.

In fine arts, the viewer must supply something to make it complete.

Fine arts evoke some chord in the viewer’s nature or past.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:cb
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 30 APRIL 1979

All C/Ses
NED Auditors
Qual/Tech Staff
HCO
KOTs

C/S Series 106

AUDITING THE DIANETIC CLEAR

(Ref: HCOB 1 Dec 78 PROGRAMMING THE
DIANETIC CLEAR
FOR HIS NEXT STEP
HCOB 8 Oct 70 C/S Series 20
PERSISTENT F/N
HCOB 19 Apr 72 C/S Series 77
“QUICKIE” DEFINED)

It has recently come to my attention that some auditors are delivering grades in outrageously short periods of time to Dianetic Clear pcs and only giving Quad Grades to the Dianetic Clear without making full use of the Expanded Grades. Such pcs are being denied the full gains of the grade processes due to Quickie Grades—out-tech.

From this point forward, anyone auditing a pc who is Dianetic Clear or Natural Clear, on the grades, must:

- 1) M9 and starrate HCOB 8 Oct 70 C/S Series 20 PERSISTENT F/N,
- 2) M9 and starrate HCOB 19 Apr 72 C/S Series 77 “QUICKIE” DEFINED and
- 3) Clay demo the consequences of Quickie Grades.

It is the responsibility of the C/S to see that the above checkouts occur without stopping or slowing delivery lines.

These actions will ensure that the Dianetic Clear has the opportunity to attain *all* the benefits of the grades. In addition to the immediate abilities gained from the grades being properly delivered, having his grades really IN will prevent the pre-OT from running into difficulties on the OT levels.

The grades are a very essential part of the Grade Chart and must not be delivered over a persistent F/N or skimmed on in any way. Let's *Keep Scientology Working!*

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:jk
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 2 MAY 1979
Issue I

All AOs
All St. Hills
CI IV Orgs

DIANETIC CLEAR SPECIAL INTENSIVE

(Refs: HCOB 24 Sep 78	CONFIDENTIAL, THE
Iss IV	STATE OF CLEAR
HCOB 5 Mar 79R	DIANETIC CLEAR FALSE
	DECLARES
HCOB 29 Nov 78	C/S Series 104
	DIANETIC CLEAR ATTESTS
HCOB 5 Dec 78	C/S Series 105
	DIANETIC CLEAR ATTESTS
	ADDITIONAL DATA
HCOB 24 Sep 78	DIANETIC CLEAR
Iss III	
HCOB 1 May 79	INTERVIEW
HCO PL 1 May 79	DIANETIC CLEAR
	SPECIAL INTENSIVES
HCOB 15 Nov 78	DATING AND LOCATING
HCOB 2 May 79	DIANETIC CLEAR
Iss II	SPECIAL INTENSIVE
	ASSESSMENT LIST
HCOB 3 May 79	DIANETIC CLEAR
	SPECIAL INTENSIVE,
	C/S AND AUDITOR
	REQUIREMENTS)

With the boom in Dianetic Clears it became necessary that a procedure be developed so that the state of Clear would be safeguarded and so that those who did achieve Dianetic Clear could get it properly acknowledged and attain *a full* resurgence of the state.

The Dianetic Clear Special Intensive is designed to sort out and handle the following situations:

1. When an item stating the pc has gone Dianetic Clear has read on a C/S 53, GF, L3RF, End of Endless Drug Rundown List or Int RD Correction List or any other correction list, and the read has been confirmed (i.e. it is not a false or protest read or read on assertion).

Such a read is only two-way commed to F/N, and is not Date/Located or otherwise handled at this point. The person is signed up for a Dianetic Clear Special Intensive, during which he will get the state of Clear fully polished up and rehabilitated.

2. When an individual thinks he is or might be Dianetic Clear.
3. When a person has attested to Dianetic Clear and has not had a formal auditing session to establish it, but has only had a mere D of P interview.

(Trying to audit or rehab in an interview is out-tech, a misuse of D of P interviews, and doesn't verify or rehabilitate the state.)

4. Where there has been some attempt made to rehab the state of Dianetic Clear on

an individual without use of this intensive, which didn't reach the correct end phenomena, or where the person wasn't doing well after the rehab.

(NOTE: The Dianetic Clear Special Intensive is not given to a non-Dianetic Clear in order to audit him up to that state. Its use is for verification and rehabbing of the state of Dianetic Clear, per the above.)

PURCHASE OF AUDITING

If the person is in the middle of an intensive and goes Clear, or it is discovered that he has gone Clear in past auditing, the remaining hours of the intensive may be applied to the Dianetic Clear Special Intensive, provided there is a minimum of 5 hours remaining. If there is less than five hours remaining, then he would need to purchase a minimum of 5 hours for the Dianetic Clear Special Intensive.

This issue gives the exact procedure to follow to handle each of the above situations standardly in an auditing session.

With HCO PL 1 May 79, DIANETIC CLEAR SPECIAL INTENSIVES, we have established the standard lines and policy concerning Dianetic Clear checks and rehabilitation. The state of Dianetic Clear is not to be checked, verified or rehabbed in a D of P interview or any other type of interview. Such actions are done only in the Dianetic Clear Special Intensive.

This intensive is used to:

- a) Establish with no eval or inval in the procedure whether the person has actually achieved the state or not;
- b) Ensure that the person who has made it attains a FULL resurgence of the state;
- c) Establish for the person who has not yet made Dianetic Clear that he hasn't get any win he has achieved acknowledged, and get him programmed and onto his next auditing to get him further toward achieving the state of Clear;
- d) If he thinks this intensive is going to make him Dianetic Clear, it establishes him on his proper rundown and gets him that much closer to Clear.

FES AND FOLDER STUDY

Immediately after the intensive is purchased, and before the intensive is begun the first action is a full FES of the pc's folders so that the C/S has an accurate estimation of the case in general as well as data on any originations or actions taken on the subject of Dianetic Clear. This FES must include examining the person's past auditing on the subject of Int and L&N lists, because if either of these are out you cannot audit anything else until these are handled.

ALTERNATIVE CHOICES

As the FES and folder study may reveal out-Int, out-L&N lists, or past rough auditing needing repair, you would not then be able to proceed with the Dianetic Clear Special Intensive until out-Int, out-L&N lists or rough auditing were repaired.

During the Dianetic Clear Special Intensive you may find that the person has no interest in the questions, that he is there to become Clear and didn't go Clear in past auditing. You may encounter crashing misunderstood words on the subject of Clear or auditing.

This gives a choice between:

- a) If Int or L&N lists are out or the person has had rough auditing, sign him up for and deliver the End of Endless Int Repair Rundown, L&N list repair, or a C/S 53 to F/Ning list. (These are sold by 12t/2 hour intensives, and he would need these actions done anyway before he could proceed up the Bridge.)

NOTE: IF HE HAS GONE DIANETIC CLEAR AND YOU HAVE TO HANDLE INT, LISTS, OR REPAIR PAST AUDITING BEFORE DOING THE DIANETIC CLEAR SPECIAL INTENSIVE, YOU MUST INDICATE THAT HE HAS GONE DIANETIC CLEAR AND THAT THIS HAS NOT YET BEEN PATCHED UP. THIS INDICATION IS MADE BEFORE STARTING TO HANDLE INT, LISTS OR REPAIR.

- b) If there is no evidence of out-Int or out-L&N lists, proceed with the Dianetic Clear Special Intensive.
- c) If during the Dianetic Clear Special Intensive you find that he has no interest and didn't go Clear in past auditing, you would bridge him over to his next auditing step. Don't make him wrong for having bought the Dianetic Clear Special Intensive. but see that he gets onto a proper program and gets that much closer to Clear in the 5 hours.

The correct program is determined by the C/S and would probably be Word Clearing, Objective Processes, or NED (New Era Dianetics). At the end of this 5 hours he would be sent to the Registrar to get further intensives needed to complete.

THE PROCEDURE

Each step of the intensive is carried out in a formal auditing session (*never* in an interview).

STEP I: TWO- WAY COMM

Each of the following questions are taken up with the pc with good two-way communication.

It is important that all reads, tone arm action with length of reads and BDs and needle behaviour are noted clearly in the worksheets.

If the pc shows no interest in the questions, or in Dianetic Clear, don't push him to answer or let him run on an unreading question. Instead clear any misunderstood word or words on the subject of Clear, and end off to get the folder to the C/S to handle per choice c) above under Alternative Choices. This way he will at least get further toward Clear within the 5 hours and it makes him right for having got the auditing.

A typical C/S for Step 1 of the Dianetic Clear Intensive would read as follows:

- A. Give the pc an R-Factor on what you are going to be doing in this session.
- B. Fly each rud.
1. When do you feel you went Dianetic Clear?
 - 1A. (If pc doesn't feel he did go Dianetic Clear and is not interested, ask him "Tell me what you would like to accomplish in auditing?" Take this to F/N and end off for a new C/S.)
 2. What happened at that time?
 3. Is there anything else that occurred that made you feel you went Dianetic Clear?

4. How were you running Dianetics before the point you feel you went Dianetic Clear?
5. How were you running Dianetics after the point you feel you went Dianetic Clear?
6. Did you experience life differently after the point you feel you went Dianetic Clear and since that time? If so, tell me about it.
7. Has there been any invalidation of your originations regarding Dianetic Clear? (If so, get who and what was said.)
8. Has anyone evaluated for you on the subject of Dianetic Clear? (If so, get who and what was said.)
- 8A. (If it turns out that someone suggested that he was Dianetic Clear, or tried to feed him the EP or cognition, take the evaluation or suggestion earlier similar to F/N, and end off for a new C/S.)
9. Did you experience any other changes not already mentioned in this session, that you connect with the state of being Clear?
10. Has anyone discussed the subject of Dianetic Clear with you? (If so, get details and pull strings to ensure there has been no feeding of cognitions.)
11. Have you always been Clear?
12. Is there anything else you would like to say regarding being Dianetic Clear that hasn't already been covered here?

The C/S may add questions of his own to the above at his discretion but these must not be evaluative nor invalidative.

When you have full data on each of the two-way comm questions, end the session and send the folder to the C/S. The auditor should include in his proposed C/S a recommendation as to whether Step II or III should be done as the next action.

STEP II: CONDITIONAL: DATE/LOCATE

The C/S would ONLY order Step II at this point after full review of data obtained in Step I, and under the following conditions:

- a) It has been verified unquestionably in Step I that the pc had attained the state of Dianetic Clear.
- b) The pc must have given the proper evidences of having gone Clear.
- c) Pc is F/Ning with VGIs.
- d) By content of the session and pc indicators there is no bypassed charge concerning the state of Dianetic Clear to be handled.

It will be in rare instances that Step II is done at this point in the intensive. Ordinarily Date/Locate is done as the last action following the Dianetic Clear Intensive Assessment List. It is included here as a conditional step for the pc who is manifesting all of the above indicators following Step I.

Step II consists of:

1. DATE/LOCATE the point the pc went Dianetic Clear, using the full and exact procedure given in HCOB 15 Nov 78, DATING AND LOCATING.

2. When the point the pc went Dianetic Clear has been correctly dated and located, the folder is sent to the C/S.
3. If Date/Locate has been correctly done, the pc has stated the Clear cognition in some wording, has a floating, floppy needle and floating TA, with VVVGIs, the C/S may send the pc to attest to the state of Dianetic Clear.

If all of the above indicators (a thru d) are not in or if it appears the pc has any bypassed charge to be handled, the C/S would not order Step II at this point but would order Step III, the Dianetic Clear Special Intensive Assessment List be done.

STEP III: THE DIANETIC CLEAR SPECIAL INTENSIVE ASSESSMENT LIST

(Ref: HCOB 2 May 79 Iss II, THE DIANETIC CLEAR SPECIAL INTENSIVE ASSESSMENT LIST.)

1. Assess the Dianetic Clear Special Intensive Assessment List by Method 3, and handle each reading item per list instructions.
2. When each reading item has been handled, with pc F/Ning and VGIs, the Date/Locate step (No. 49) is taken to completion, and folder is sent to the C/S.
3. If the assessment list, including the Date/Locate step, has been correctly done, the pc has stated the Clear cognition in some wording, has a floating, floppy needle and floating TA with VVGIs, the C/S may send the pc to attest to the state of Dianetic Clear.

END PHENOMENA

The end phenomena of the Dianetic Clear Special Intensive is A FULL RESURGENCE OF THE STATE OF DIANETIC CLEAR, for the person who has achieved Dianetic Clear.

STEP IV: CONDITIONAL: FOR THE PERSON WHO HASN'T YET ATTAINED DIANETIC CLEAR.

On one of the above steps of the intensive, it may become obvious that the person hasn't yet attained Dianetic Clear.

Once this has been adjudicated by the C/S, the following step is done. (If the pc still has any hours remaining from the 5-hour intensive, they would be used for this C/S as it is still part of the intensive. As more hours are needed they would of course have to be purchased.)

- 1) Give the pc the R-Factor that he hasn't attained the state of Dianetic Clear at this point and that he is being programmed so as not to be denied any of the gains on the Grade Chart, as in this way he will be adequately prepared to do Advance Course levels. The C/S then programs the case so that this can occur and the pc is informed he should continue with his auditing program. (If he had already been allowed to attest earlier, that the person handling the attest cycle didn't have all the data at that time .)

If there is any upset on this indication, go to step 2; if no upset, go to step 3.

- 2) Assess a GF M5 to locate and handle the remaining charge. (A C/S 53 may be assessed if more appropriate.)
- 3) With pc VGIs in, find out if there is some valid win or gain of ability that he has achieved. There will usually be one, so get it and give him a good acknowledgement.
- 4) Send the folder to the C/S for adjudication. Unless other actions are necessary, C/S will have the pc sent to Qual to attest to his win and completion of the Dianetic Clear Special Intensive.

The end result of Step IV should be a person who feels good about the gains he has made and who is eager to continue up the Bridge.

D/L CAUTION

It has been found that a pc who has gone Dianetic Clear, and who then receives a messed up D/L of the point, may become uncertain as to when he actually did achieve this state. At this point the pc may feel that he didn't go Dianetic Clear after all. He may also be a Natural Clear, in which case there would not be any point to Date/Locate.

(NOTE: A messed up Date/Locate would be handled per HCOB 15 Nov 78, DATING AND LOCATING.)

AUDITORS AND C/SES TAKE NOTE

The questions in the above sessions indicate what kind of questions should be asked to verify the state of Dianetic Clear or Natural Clear. There may be other questions the C/S may ask in addition to these. Bear in mind that you may often be dealing with untrained pcs who don't know quite what is being looked for. An excellent comm cycle is essential in these sessions, and very smooth TR 3 and TR 4 are needed to really get your questions answered and clarified as necessary. Otherwise you may lose people who have actually made it. On the other hand, the questions are geared to find out what actually did occur and not to evaluate or lead a person into a premature attestation.

You MUST NOT EVALUATE for a pc by asking questions that ask him to describe his current case state in relation to his bank or mental image pictures, or asking him what his abilities are in relation to his mental image pictures. The rule here is not to evaluate at all as it will only lead you and the pc into trouble.

A SMOOTH LINE

So, now you have it clearly stated. We can now get pcs through these verification cycles smoothly. An important point here is that whatever the outcome of the DIANETIC CLEAR SPECIAL INTENSIVE, each individual should continue right along and not get parked somewhere on the Bridge. There is a lot of progress to be made and with this intensive, many more people will be enjoying the upper levels sooner.

So, get to it! This planet needs more OTs, NOW!

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH :jk
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 2 MAY 1979
Issue II

All AOs
All St. Hills
CI IV Orgs

**DIANETIC CLEAR SPECIAL INTENSIVE
ASSESSMENT LIST**

(Ref	HCO PL 1 May 79	DIANETIC CLEAR SPECIAL INTENSIVES
	HCOB 2 May 79	DIANETIC CLEAR
	Issue I	SPECIAL INTENSIVE
	HCOB 3 May 79	DIANETIC CLEAR SPECIAL INTENSIVE C/S AND AUDITOR REQUIREMENTS)

IMPORTANT: THIS LIST MAY ONLY BE DONE AS PART OF THE DIANETIC CLEAR SPECIAL INTENSIVE AND MAY ONLY BE USED BY A FULLY QUALIFIED AUDITOR WHO HAS MET ALL REQUIREMENTS STATED IN HCOB 3 MAY 79 DIANETIC CLEAR SPECIAL INTENSIVE—C/S AND AUDITOR REQUIREMENTS.

This assessment list is the list which is assessed as Step III of the Dianetic Clear Special Intensive. It will sort out and handle any bypassed charge which would prevent a resurgence of the state of Clear. This list is only to be assessed when the C/S has ordered the auditor to do so.

It is to be assessed M3 and may be reassessed as needed. If an item is seen to read but is met with the pc groping, puzzling, or frowning, check False and then Protest if necessary. Don't let him stew in a baffled state on a question as he can easily go into inval at this point.

The end phenomena of the Dianetic Clear Special Intensive Assessment List is a full resurgence of the state of Clear with the accompanying meter phenomena. (Ref: HCOB 5 Dec 78 C/S Series 105 DIANETIC CLEAR ATTESTS—ADDITIONAL DATA). The session must *be ended off* when that occurs. No "say or ask." Just a good ack and an "End of Session." This can happen before getting to item No. 49.

When all reading lines on this list have been handled to F/N. the auditor would then Date/Locate the point per item 49 (if the Clear cog has been originated).

On occasion, it may show up that the person didn't actually make it. When this happens or is suspected. send the folder to the C/S upon completing the item you're working on. Refer to HCOB 2 May 79 Iss I DIANETIC CLEAR SPECIAL INTENSIVE for the handling should the C/S confirm that this is the case.

1) Assess the following:

- A) INTERIORIZED INTO SOMETHING? _____
- B) GO IN? _____
- C) WENT IN? _____
- D) PUT IN? _____
- E) WANT TO GO IN? _____

- F) CAN'T GET IN? _____
- G) KICKED OUT OF SPACES? _____
- H) CAN'T GO IN? _____
- I) TRAPPED? _____
- J) FORCED IN? _____
- K) PULLED IN? _____
- L) PUSHED IN? _____

(If you get a valid read on any of the above, and it is not a false read or protest read, end off for a new C/S, as if Int is out you must handle it before doing any other auditing action. Ref: HCOB 24 Sep 78RA, Int Series 4RA, THE END OF ENDLESS INT REPAIR RUNDOWN.)

- 2) IS THERE A LIST ERROR?
(L4BRA and handle) _____
- 3) HAVE YOU BEEN GIVEN A WRONG ITEM?
(L4BRA and handle) _____
- 4) HAVE YOU BEEN GIVEN A WRONG INDICATION?
(L4BRA and handle) _____
- 5) IS THERE AN OUT-LIST?
(L4BRA and handle) _____
- 6) DO YOU HAVE AN ARC BREAK?
(Handle the ARC break E/S to F/N) _____
- 7) ARE YOU UPSET?
(Handle the ARC break E/S to F/N) _____
- 8) DO YOU HAVE A PROBLEM?
(Itsa E/S to F/N) _____
- 9) ARE YOU WITHHOLDING ANYTHING?
(Use withhold system E/S to F/N) _____
- 10) IS THERE SOME SORT OF WITHHOLD?
(Use withhold system E/S to F/N) _____
- 11) HAVE YOU COMMITTED ANY OVERTS?
(Get what it is E/S to F/N) _____
- 12) REGARDING DIANETIC CLEAR, DO YOU HAVE AN ARC
BREAK?
(Handle the ARC break E/S to F/N) _____
- 13) REGARDING DIANETIC CLEAR, ARE YOU UPSET?
(Get what upset is and handle the ARC break) _____
- 14) REGARDING DIANETIC CLEAR, DO YOU HAVE A
PROBLEM?
(Itsa E/S to F/N) _____

- 15) REGARDING DIANETIC CLEAR, ARE YOU WITHHOLDING ANYTHING?
(Use withhold system E/S to F/N) _____
- 16) HAVE YOU BEEN AUDITED OVER OUT-RUDIMENTS?
(Standard handling of the out-rud(s) E/S to F/N) _____
- 17) H A V E Y O U B E E N I N T E R V I E W E D O V E R
OUT-RUDIMENTS?
(Standard handling of the out-rud(s) E/S to F/N) _____
- 18) HAVE YOU BEEN AUDITED ON ENGRAMS AFTER GOING CLEAR?
(Indicate and do L3RF INDICATING READING ITEMS ONLY—DO NOT RUN ANY DIANETICS) _____
- 19) HAVE YOU HAD TROUBLE WITH DIANETICS?
(Indicate and do L3RF *INDICATING* READING ITEMS ONLY—DO NOT RUN ANY DIANETICS) _____
- 20) HAVE YOU HAD TROUBLE GOING EARLIER SIMILAR ON DIANETICS?
(Indicate and do L3RF INDICATING READING ITEMS ONLY—DO NOT RUN ANY DIANETICS) _____
- 21) DO YOU HAVE UNFLAT R3RA . . . UNFLAT R3R?
(L3RF and *INDICATE*—DO NOT RUN ANY DIANETICS) _____
- 22) HAVE YOU BEEN FORCED TO RUN DIANETICS?
(L3RF and *INDICATE*—DO NOT RUN ANY DIANETICS) _____
- 23) WAS IT NOT YOUR INCIDENT?
(Ind. E/S to F/N) _____
- 24) WERE YOU PUTTING THINGS THERE TO RUN?
(Ind. 2 W C to F/N) _____
- 25) WERE YOU LOOKING FOR THINGS NOT THERE?
(Ind. E/S to F/N) _____
- 26) WERE YOU PUTTING SOMETHING THERE WHERE THERE WAS NOTHING?
(Ind. 2WC to F/N) _____
- 27) ARE YOU PROTESTING ANYTHING?
(Itsa E/S to F/N) _____
- 28) DID YOU GO CLEAR FROM PAST-LIFE AUDITING?
(Ind. to F/N and then DATE/LOCATE after remaining lines on this list have been F/Ned) _____
- 29) HAS THERE BEEN ANY INVALIDATION OF DIANETIC CLEAR?
(2WC to F/N) _____
- 30) HAS THERE BEEN ANY INVALIDATION OF YOUR PREVIOUS AUDITING?
(2WC to F/N) _____
- 31) HAVE YOU BEEN INVALIDATED?
(Itsa E/S to F/N) _____

- 32) HAVE YOU INVALIDATED THE FACT YOU WENT
DIANETIC CLEAR?
(2WC to F/N) _____
- 33) HAS ANYONE SUGGESTED TO YOU THAT YOU DIDN'T
MAKE IT?
(Itsa E/S to F/N) _____
- 34) HAS THERE BEEN ANY EVALUATION?
(2WC to F/N) _____
- 35) HAS YOUR BEHAVIOR BEEN EVALUATED?
(2WC to F/N) _____
- 36) REGARDING DIANETIC CLEAR, HAS THERE BEEN ANY
EVALUATION?
(2WC to F/N) _____
- 37) DO YOU FEEL SUPPRESSED?
(2WC to F/N. C/S to program as needed for further PTS
handling) _____
- 38) ARE YOU PTS?
(Same handing as question 37) _____
- 39) IS SOMEONE OR SOMETHING HOSTILE TO YOU?
(Same handing as question 37) _____
- 40) HAVE YOU BEEN PREVENTED FROM ATTESTING?
(2WC to F/N. Get off any protest and/or out-rud) _____
- 41) REGARDING DIANETIC CLEAR, DO YOU FEEL YOU
CAN'T BELIEVE IT?
(2WC to F/N) _____
- 42) ARE YOU WAITING FOR A SPECIAL COGNITION?
(2WC to F/N) _____
- 43) COULDN'T YOU UNDERSTAND WHAT WAS BEING
DONE?
(2WC to F/N) _____
- 44) HAVE YOU BEEN OVERREPAIRED?
(Find out what and clean up any protest. Rehab to F/N or D/L. If
it is Dianetics or Dianetic Clear, DATE/LOCATE ONLY and END
OFF!) _____
- 45) IS THERE SOMETHING THAT SHOULD HAVE READ BUT
HASN'T?
(Get what and handle) _____
- 46) HAVE YOU ALWAYS BEEN CLEAR?
(Indicate. Get off any invalidation. Do *not* attempt to do No. 49,
Date/ Locate.) _____
- 47) ARE YOU PRETENDING TO BE CLEAR TO GET FREE
SERVICE OR FOR STATUS?
(Pull this as a W/H. Do *not* try to Date/Locate as he has not
attained the state of Clear. He may have other W/Hs missed in
auditing which need to be pulled. Such a person is probably PTS
and should be C/Sed for a PTS interview and handling.) _____

- 48) IS SOMETHING ELSE WRONG?
(2WC to find what. Handle it if you can or return to C/S)
-

THE AUDITOR MAY REASSESS THIS LIST M3,
ESPECIALLY IF HEAVILY CHARGED THE FIRST TIME
THROUGH.

AFTER ALL READS ARE HANDLED AND THE PC IS VGIs,
DO THIS STEP BUT ONLY IF THE CLEAR COG HAD
ALREADY BEEN GIVEN.

(THIS IS *NOT* ASSESSED: IT IS *DONE*)

- 49) DATE/LOCATE THE POINT THE STATE OF DIANETIC
CLEAR WAS ATTAINED.
(Ref: HCOB 15 Nov 78 DATING AND LOCATING for exact
procedure)
-

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:jk
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 3 MAY 1979

All AOs
All St. Hills
CI IV Orgs
HCOs in CI IV
Orgs and above
KOTs
Qual Hats
C/S Hats

IMPORTANT

**DIANETIC CLEAR SPECIAL INTENSIVE
C/S AND AUDITOR REQUIREMENTS**

(Ref:	HCO PL 1 May 79	DIANETIC CLEAR SPECIAL INTENSIVES
	HCOB 2 May 79 Issue I	DIANETIC CLEAR SPECIAL INTENSIVE)

Due to the nature of the Dianetic Clear Special Intensive and the vital data in its accompanying issues, the following requirements must be fully met by every C/S and auditor delivering this intensive to any pc:

1. Must be Dianetic Clear, Clear or above.
2. Must not fall under any of the A-J categories covered in HCO PL 27 Oct 64, POLICIES ON PHYSICAL HEALING, INSANITY AND TROUBLESOME SOURCES.
3. Must be fully bonded by HCO of their org before given access to any confidential Dianetic Clear HCOBs.
4. Must have a valid permanent cert for the level of CI IV or above in order to audit the Dianetic Clear Special Intensive.
5. Must have a valid permanent cert as a CI IV or above C/S in order to C/S the Dianetic Clear Special Intensive.
6. Must Method 9 Word Clear and get a starrate checkout on this HCOB (HCOB 3 May 79 DIANETIC CLEAR SPECIAL INTENSIVE—C/S AND AUDITOR REQUIREMENTS). . .
7. Must have full Qual OK to do two way comm.
8. Must Method 9 Word Clear and get a starrate checkout on HCOB 15 Nov 78 DATING AND LOCATING.
9. Must clay demo the Date/Locate procedure.
10. Must Method 9 Word Clear and get a starrate checkout on the following HCOBs:
 - A) HCOB 24 Sep 78 Iss III DIANETIC CLEAR.
 - B) HCOB 24 Sep 78 Iss IV CONFIDENTIAL—THE STATE OF DIANETIC CLEAR.
 - C) HCOB 29 Nov 78 C/S Series 104 DIANETIC CLEAR ATTESTS.
 - D) HCOB 5 Dec 78 C/S Series 105 DIANETIC CLEAR ATTESTS—ADDITIONAL DATA.

- E) HCOB 5 Mar 79R DIANETIC CLEAR FALSE DECLARES.
- F) HCO PL 1 May 79 DIANETIC CLEAR SPECIAL INTENSIVES.
- G) HCOB 2 May 79 Iss I DIANETIC CLEAR SPECIAL INTENSIVE.
- H) HCOB 2 May 79 Iss II DIANETIC CLEAR SPECIAL INTENSIVE ASSESSMENT LIST.

11. Must fully drill each step of the Dianetic Clear Special Intensive (per HCOB 2 May 79 Iss I—DIANETIC CLEAR SPECIAL INTENSIVE).

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:jk
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HCO BULLETIN OF 10 JUNE 1979

Remimeo

Art Series 8

A PROFESSIONAL

Ref: HCOB 4 March 1979 Art Series 6
ART IN ITS BASICS

A professional is somebody that can produce a high quality product. A professional is not an audience, and when he views things, he looks for what's good in them and neglects the poor, low-grade things. The reason he does this is so he has an ideal scene. Without an ideal scene, he just operates off technical data and produces, artwise, a low quality product and isn't a professional. Without an ideal scene, he can never get a preconception of the shot.

In viewing things that approach an ideal scene, the true professional works out how they did it and when presented with similar tasks of production, can bring off things which approach an ideal scene in his own work.

Another thing that separates a member of the audience from a professional is that the professional only thinks in terms of getting out an actual product. It never enters his head that he's just there for the ride or that being an "expert" is enough. A member of the audience has no faintest concept or idea of getting out a product.

A professional knows the rules of the game as a matter of course so that he can achieve in the upper strata above that, a high quality of art.

When a person simply looks at everything as to whether he "likes them" or "not likes them," he's just an audience and he's on the wrong side of the footlights.

This applies to a writer, a director, an actor, a cameraman, a makeup man, a propsman, a wardrobe man, a producer, an artist, any professional.

Without this viewpoint, he never accumulates ideal scenes, so how could he produce anything good? He never has a memory library to compare his own products to.

Be a professional.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:gal
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 17 JUNE 1979

Remimeo
Execs
Estos
Crse Sups
All Staff

Word Clearing Series 61

Product Debug Series 3

URGENT—IMPORTANT

**CRASHING MIS-US: THE KEY TO COMPLETED
CYCLES OF ACTION AND PRODUCTS**

Ref: HCOB/PL 26 Mar 79R MISUNDERSTOOD WORDS AND
CYCLES OF ACTION
HCO PL 26 Jan 72 1 Admin Know-How Series 29
Exec Series 5
NOT DONES. HALF DONES AND
BACKLOGS
HCO PL DEBUG TECH (LRH ED 302 INT Rewritten)
THE STUDY TAPES

INCOMPLETE CYCLES

A cycle of action is the sequence that an action goes through, wherein the action is started, is continued for as long as is required and then is completed as planned.

To produce products one has to also have completed cycles of action. A completed cycle of action normally results in a product.

Where steps A-H of HCO PL DEBUG TECH (LRH ED 302 INT Rewritten) have been done to no avail, meaning products are not yet rolling out of the area, then the tech herein is to be used as step I of DEBUG TECH to get the area producing.

Just as a misunderstood word can prevent a person from understanding the remainder of what is heard or written, a misunderstood can prevent a cycle of action from completing.

This is extremely valuable data as it gives us *the* major reason people don't complete cycles of action. It is utterly amazing and magical. An area is plagued with not dones and half dones and no products resulting and one would swear that the reasons were infiltration, sabotage, evil intentions, you name it. But in the majority of cases it will be found that the above discovery is operating. The person has a Mis-U on a key word involved in the cycle of action.

The person usually doesn't realize he has a misunderstood. It is revelatory to him when he finds it so it isn't necessarily true that he will know. So he himself additionally has a number of wrong Whys and wrong reasons.

There is usually one principal misunderstood that is preventing the cycle of action from completing. This is called the "Crashing Mis-U."

APPLICATION

While finding Crashing Mis-Us is not a substitute for full Word Clearing and while it is also true that the person can be PTS and be engaged in creating problems, it is nevertheless uniformly true that a Crashing Misunderstood lies somewhere in the subject matter of the cycle of action which is not being completed.

The tech given in this HCOB can be used by anyone who has checked out on it and drilled it. The steps given here cover metered and nonmetered Crashing Mis-U finding. Using a Word Clearing meter will make the action faster and more accurate but it is not vital.

The whole action does not take long to do and will save hours of purple-faced desk pounding and frustrated attempts to get people to produce.

It is to be USED by execs, Supervisors, Cramming Officers, Estos, missionaries, etc., etc.—anyone who is responsible for seeing that products are gotten out.

Crashing Mis-U tech is used in debugging products. It comes as step I of HCO PL DEBUG TECH. If any of the earlier steps are out then you can find all the Crashing Mis-Us you like and still not get one single product.

THEORY

A cycle of action is a parallel to a cycle of understanding or a cycle of communication. The cycle of action is the physical universe expression of a cycle of communication. The cycle of communication occurs in the physical universe! A misunderstood interrupts not only the cycle of communication or understanding, but also interrupts the motion or action. That is the discovery. A person is trying to get the product of a finished house. He doesn't understand the word "plumbing." He may tell you that it is because of the price of materials, that nobody can dig in that kind of ground, that certain types of pipes aren't available, that he is having trouble with his wife—and his supervisors and bosses will tell you that he is just plain lazy, that he has been bribed not to, that he is a secret drinker maybe, and even less printable Whys. But when you get right down to it and use the Tech you find that he has a Crashing Mis-U on the word "plumbing." He thinks it is defined as "drilling holes." Mentally this interrupts his ability to think any thoughts through on the subject. His cycle of understanding is being interrupted by the Crashing Mis-U. This then has a parallel cycle, the cycle of action of trying to get the finished house. Thus for want of understanding of a word we get the actual physical inability to finish a cycle of action on a connected subject. I can assure you that ethics conditions, threat of suit, physical violence, none of these things are going to get anybody a finished house. Only when his Crashing Mis-U "plumbing" is found and properly handled are you going to get a finished house.

This tells you incidentally that the time track of shattered civilizations must have been strewn with these things. It doesn't only apply to a house, it applies to almost anything man has ever set out to produce. It would even apply to some general who suddenly won't finish a battle or a war. Amazingly you will discover that the Crashing Mis-U has probably been Man's single greatest barrier to actually creating and maintaining a civilization. You have to work with the tech yourself to actually appreciate its depth and power.

CRASHING MIS-U FINDING

Where you have a person not getting products, not completing cycles of action despite attempts to debug per A-H of HCO PL DEBUG TECH, you have to get in there and find the Crashing Misunderstood. It will be directly on the subject. There are various approaches to doing this, starting very simply and getting more complex. You would start off using the simplest approach and then, if that didn't handle, you would go into a more thorough handling, and so forth.

PROCEDURE

1. It is apparent or it is reported that someone is failing to complete cycles and is not getting out his products.
2. Before even talking to him you inspect his area as regards products per HCO PL DEBUG TECH:

- A. You look for what products have been gotten out in the past.
- B. You look for products that are there completed.
- C. You look for products that can be attained in the immediate future.
- D. You look for value of products as compared to overall cost of production.
- E. You look for overt products or cycles where products continuously have to be redone, resulting in no or few products.

This requires a bit of homework.

3. *CONDITIONAL*: If your inspection finds he is getting out actual products and that he is not producing overt products, correct the reports and let him get on with it. Do not go on with the steps in this procedure.

4. Now if the earlier debug steps per HCO PL DEBUG TECH have been done and it is obvious from your inspection that this person is still not getting out the products he is expected to get out or should be getting out you know that he has a Crashing Mis-U. You just start hunting and punching around for the Crashing Mis-U on the subject of the products he should be but is not getting out. "What don't you understand about that subject?" "What Mis-U word is there on this subject?" You keep at it this way until you get the Crashing Mis-U. On the meter you would use reads to steer him to the area and the Mis-U.

Crashing Mis-U finding differs from regular Word Clearing in that it is an investigatory procedure which utilizes all methods of Word Clearing and whatever else it takes to find the Crashing Mis-U. You can use Method 2, Method 3, Method 4, Method 5, Method 6 or Method 9 to help you find the misunderstood. The person you are handling may be sure that the Mis-U is in a certain issue but doesn't know what the word is. It may require Method 2 or Method 9 to actually dig it out. Often Method 5 is used whereby the Word Clearer asks for the definition of individual words, checking to make sure that *he* knows the definition as well.

The point is that you are trying to narrow down the area further and further until you finally get the Crashing Mis-U and any method of Word Clearing or investigation that helps you do this is legitimate.

5. You clear this word fully to VGIs (on the meter it would F/N). Don't assume the dictionary will necessarily give the right definition—a missing or false definition might be the root of his trouble. If no dictionary, textbook or encyclopedia can be found that gives a satisfactory definition for the word you are still not stopped. You can go over all of the related material to the word and work out with him what definition has been omitted or what is the proper definition for it. This is a last resort but it is necessary that anyone doing Crashing Mis-U knows this as Man has not necessarily properly defined everything in his technical sphere or culture. **WARNING**: The inability to find the definitions is a rare case. Only work out the definition when you have exhausted all possible texts and dictionaries and have cleared all of the words you have encountered in them. Whether you looked it up and found it or couldn't find it and had to evolve it make sure the definition is useful to him and that it blows his difficulty with it.

6. Assure yourself that this was his Crashing Mis-U and that it is real to him. When he finds it he will quite often be chagrined and then go into VGIs and cognite and may change considerably right in front of your eyes.

7. Send him to the Examiner.

8. Run some Reach and Withdraw in the area where he had difficulty to a good win and tell him to get on with it.

9. Check back later to see that he is now completing cycles of action and getting his products out. -If he is then you have got it. That *is* the EP.

10. If he still isn't getting out the product then you haven't yet found the Crashing Mis-U and you have some more work to do. Go over his area with him and look for things that he has difficulty with. Often it will leap right up at you. Get him to tell you what the difficulty is.

11. Now question him to find the Mis-U on that subject that is behind those difficulties. Often his statement of the difficulty will contain the Mis-U itself. On a meter you would get a read as he says it. Off the meter you would have to take the words that he said and ask him what they meant. For example he might say "The plumbing always seems to be the hardest part." On a meter "plumbing" would read and you would take it up right away. If you weren't using a meter you could say "Well what does the word 'plumbing' mean?" and he'll say "Well, it means, uh . . . 'drilling holes'" and there you have it. Now clear the word as in step 4 above, run your Reach and Withdraw and send him back to work.

END PHENOMENON

The end phenomenon (EP) of this action is the person now producing the products he wasn't able to produce before and completing the cycles of action related to his product.

The end phenomenon is not: the fellow now all VGIs and saying he can get the products, feeling great, etc. That is all very well but **IS HE NOW GETTING OUT THE PRODUCTS?** And you keep handling him with Crashing Mis-Us and related handling until he is producing the products and then you know you have completed the Crashing Mis-U handling.

ADDITIONAL FACTORS

There are various factors which must be known by anyone doing Crashing Mis-U finding and used if the above simple steps do not get the desired result of the person now tearing along getting his products.

O/Ws

Since overts and withholds stem from Mis-Us in the first place, you are liable to run into O/Ws when doing Crashing Mis-U finding. If the person has O/Ws in the area this will be manifested in the form of resistance to finding the misunderstood word either overtly or covertly. An example of this would be the person misdefining a word and then when you have him look it up in the dictionary he says that he knew it all along. Or it could be straight noncooperation.

If you are using the Word Clearing meter, the handling for the situation above would be to pull the O/Ws. To do this simply ask "Do you have any overts in the area of ?" and pull them, each one earlier similar to F/N with all specifics until the question F/Ned on asking. Do the same with withholds and missed withholds. Since you run the risk of missing withholds if you try pulling withholds without a meter, in doing the nonmetered Crashing Mis-U finding the way you would handle the above situation is to ask the person if he has some withhold concerning the area you are trying to handle, and getting him to tell you about it. If you do this then you must get him meter checked to ensure nothing has been missed.

There is another manifestation which can be encountered. A Crashing Mis-U simply cannot be found at all yet it obviously must be there. The person seems to cooperate somewhat but no Crashing Mis-U turns up. This is again an O/W phenomenon. The person is holding on to his withhold so hard it is burying the Crashing Mis-U.

Again you could run the risk of missing a withhold if you simply ask him for his withhold on the subject but we cannot rule out the fact that doing so sometimes works. The Crashing Mis-U simply doesn't seem to exist yet by all evidence of no products or overt products it must exist so simply asking him if he has a withhold on the subject gives us the gain of finding it straight off immediately and, if we meter check him afterwards to find out if he has any more withholds, it is very likely to pay off. Once he has gotten off the withholds the Crashing Mis-U can pop right up. When you miss a withhold, remember, a fantastic amount of upset can be caused for the Word Clearer or the person himself. So don't indulge in missing withholds.

Once the O/Ws have been pulled or gotten off by whichever of the above methods, you will now be able to find the Crashing Mis-U and clear it up.

Sometimes in clearing the Mis-U you will hit a chain of overts connected with the subject, and these will have to be cleared up or you may not get your product. An example of this was a cleaner who could not clean. The Crashing Mis-U found was the word "clean" and this went straight into whole track overts which had to be fully handled. When the overts and the Mis-Us were cleared up the person went straight out and started getting real products.

DEFENSE MECHANISM

You may find the person has a defense mechanism which would make it impossible to find the person's Crashing Mis-U as he believes it is OK to have Mis-Us in that area. The defense mechanism consists of false data which acts as a justifier for the Mis-U. An example of this would be "I don't have to know that as I'm not a professional" or "Well I'm new to the post" and so on. The handling would be simply to ask the person if there was some reason why it would be OK to have Mis-Us in that subject and then strip off the false data and justifications. Then you can recheck for the Crashing Mis-U and you will find it is now available. (See HCOB FALSE DATA STRIPPING)

THE WORD CLEARER'S MIS-Us

When he has found a Crashing Mis-U on the subject the Word Clearer's first action is to himself look up the definition and the derivation of the word so he himself understands it. He then gets it fully cleared up with the person. This is all done right there in the Crashing Mis-U session. If he doesn't do that he won't be able to perceive how the person has misunderstood it or misapplied it previously.

Example: A person in charge of the lights in a theater could never get anything lit. A Crashing Mis-U was looked for and the word "scene" was found. However the person glibly read the dictionary definition and said he had it already. The Word Clearer made him look up the derivation wherein it was found that the glib person didn't understand it at all, for the person, when asked for an example, described an actor and how he would put the light on the actor.

The Word Clearer having looked it up first, before handing the dictionary over, knew that a scene was a stage. It was found that the person's Crashing Mis-U had so introverted him that he had never perceived that a stage had backdrops, scenery and a floor. The Word Clearer practically had to pry him out of his head to get him to see that a stage had walls and backdrops and that these had to be lighted.

If the Word Clearer had not known the correct definition of "scene" he would never have detected that the person thought it meant "actor" even though the dictionary said it had to do with scenery.

Crashing Mis-U tech would have failed as the person was very convincing as to how he knew it all already yet in the example was giving a totally incorrect demonstration.

Showers of light broke through when the person realized for the first time that he had to light the whole stage and had been in total mystery why people kept yelling at him. This had been going on for a long, long time in the person's job and was making him a total failure at it.

PRACTICAL USAGE

Always ask for instances of practical usage from the person you have found a Crashing Mis-U on. From these you can detect if he's got it and if he hasn't got it he may have to work and work to clear it further.

The end phenomenon of Crashing Mis-U tech is not finding the Crashing Mis-U but getting the person totally straight on it and actually getting out the product.

DEBUG TECH

Crashing Mis-U finding is an integral part of debug tech as covered fully in HCO PL DEBUG TECH. It comes as step I of the whole procedure. When products are not getting out, cycles are not being completed, there will invariably be Crashing Mis-U's but there may be other factors involved which also have to be resolved. The handling is just to go through the steps of the HCO PL, including Crashing Mis-U finding (step 1) and Product Clearing (step J). You may find more Crashing Mis-U's come up during or after the Product Clearing.

The whole point is that you use the whole debug tech procedure without trying to short cut it. Otherwise you get the ridiculous situation of clearing up the fellow's Crashing Mis-U on "plumbing" and then find he can't get out the product of a finished house because there are no pipes and won't be any for 3 months because the owner can't afford them. This all has to be resolved.

IMPORTANT NOTE

Since the *sole* purpose of this debug tech is to get the person or area producing what it should be producing you would not continue past a point where this had been achieved. So for example, if after step C of HCO PL DEBUG TECH had been done (any Mis-U's on issues related to the area of production had been cleared up) the person was turning out great products in the expected quantity and time period, you would not then start looking for Crashing Mis-U's. This would act as harassment, not help. Similarly, don't use any other step of A-M of the above PL where it does not apply.

One should let people have their successes. Once you have achieved what is desired with this tech, don't carry on.

The rule is: **DON'T CONTINUE DEBUGGING PAST THE POINT WHERE THE PERSON OR AREA HAS BEEN SUCCESSFULLY DEBUGGED AND PRODUCTS ARE NOW ROLLING.**

And you would know it was debugged because products of the expected quality would be coming out of the area in the expected quantity.

GRADIENT APPROACH

The whole idea is to try the simplest approach first and then if that doesn't work go deeper.

The end phenomena for all this is a person cheerfully and willingly getting his products and these appearing visible in the physical universe.

EXAMPLES

This is how it might go: you might find yourself in the position of being responsible for seeing that the house, in the example given earlier on this bulletin, got

finished. You notice that the deadline has been exceeded by weeks and still there is no house.

The first thing to do would be the inspection as in step 2 of the procedure above. You would discover that the house has no plumbing; that is what is holding up its completion. Points A to H in HCO PL DEBUG TECH have been gone over but things still aren't moving. So you approach the contractor personally and go over this with him. You start hunting and punching around for the Mis-U. Ask him "Is it possible that there is some word you don't fully understand in the area of building this house?" And he'll say: "Well, no—it's just that I don't have enough men to do the plumbing." (Now you already know from step E of your prior inspection that he does have adequate personnel.) So you say "Well, what about plumbing? Is there some word connected with plumbing that you don't get?" He'll say "No, but I've always had trouble with it." Now you ask him "What does 'plumbing' mean?" And when he says, "Everybody knows that plumbing means drilling holes," you have his Crashing Mis-U. As you clear this up his initial embarrassment will turn into floods of relief and off he will go and get the house finished up in no time.

Now if you were able to use a Word Clearing meter, so much the better. You would put him on the meter and ask him something like: "Now on the subject of building houses is it possible you could have a misunderstood?" The meter will read on this and you use the read to steer him to the area and find the misunderstood word. This is then cleared to a floating needle (F/N) and very good indicators (VGIs).

It might not be as straightforward as above. The case could arise where there was plenty of evidence that the person has a Crashing Mis-U yet, despite arduous search, nothing comes up. You would then ask the person: "Is there something about all this you haven't told me?" If your TRs are good and you don't have a challenging or accusative attitude he will come up with it: "I can't finish the house because the machine that cuts and bends pipes is broken." With a bit of further questioning you find that he broke the machine and has been withholding this for weeks and didn't even dare mention that it needed repair for fear of being punished. A simple meter check would ensure that nothing was missed. Then up would pop the misunderstood on "plumbing" which he thought meant drilling holes. No wonder he broke the machine: he was trying to drill holes with it! So now with his withhold off and his MisU cleared up he will feel immensely relieved and will most likely be able to go right off and finish up the house. At the most you might need to product clear him and run some Reach and Withdraw in the area per the issues on Product Clearing in this series.

CASE HISTORIES

Here are some actual case histories to show how Crashing Mis-U finding goes and the sort of things one might expect to come across and have to handle in order to debug a cycle or product with this tech.

CASE A: This was a senior executive who was on the verge of being removed from post. The general manager was impatient with the lack of products from that area.

A. The Word Clearer inspected the executive's department and found that the main area of difficulty seemed to be handling personnel.

B. The Word Clearer put the executive on the meter and asked him if there were any products he should be getting out but wasn't. No Crashing Mis-U came up on this directly.

C. By two-way communication the Word Clearer confirmed that the main area of difficulty was handling personnel.

D. He took the words that were directly related to the area mentioned—"personnel," "staff," etc.—and asked the executive what each one meant. He checked the dictionary to ensure the person had a full understanding of the words. The exec seemed fine on these.

E. There was one word the executive seemed to have some hesitation on so the definition of that word was word cleared Method 9. A few words were cleared up but none of them turned out to be the Crashing Misunderstood.

F. The area of difficulty was further narrowed down by two way comm to “the obtaining and posting of personnel.”

G. Words relating to this area were checked. Some of the definitions were M9ed to make sure the exec really did have them straight—still no Crashing Mis-U was found.

H. The executive originated an area of difficulty to do with handling authority that he felt was interfering with his ability to obtain and post personnel. No MisUs were found in this area however.

I. The Word Clearer asked for overts and withholds in the area (“Is there something you’re not telling about this area?”, “Is there something you’ve done you don’t want known?” etc.) but none were found.

J. He then checked for false data (something that would justify having misunderstands on that subject) and found that the exec was loaded with false data on the subject of authority. This was handled by two way comm—it did not take much to clean up as the exec was realizing by this time where his trouble was coming from and was only too willing to get it sorted out.

K. The word “authority” was found as the Crashing Misunderstood. This became obvious as soon as the false data came off. This word was fully cleared to a floating needle and very good indicators and the executive volunteered that he felt ready to go back on post and produce.

The Word Clearer ended off and returned the executive to work. He started producing actual products and doing well.

CASE B.: This was a technician in a highly specialized and complex field who was having difficulty with his job and was unable to get approval on some tests that were urgently needed.

A. An inspection of his area revealed the situation to be exactly as described.

B. The Word Clearer put him on the meter, oriented him to the situation and asked him: “Is there any single misunderstood word in the area of these tests?”

C. A long search ensued in which several words were cleared, none of which turned out to be the Crashing Mis-U.

D. The Word Clearer then checked for a withhold and found out that the technician had never understood an important dispatch relating to the cycle and had been withholding the fact. This withhold was cleared up to a floating needle.

E. This was followed by further search for the misunderstood which uncovered an area of upset and losses to do with technical writing.

F. The Word Clearer checked for the misunderstood that must have preceded the losses and the Crashing Mis-U was found—a very basic technical word in the subject.

G. He attempted to clear the word with a dictionary but found no adequate definition .

H. Encyclopedias and textbooks were consulted but none of them had a useful definition .

I. Eventually, by combining textbooks and working out what it should be, a workable definition was arrived at and the subject became clear to the technician who was greatly relieved.

The technician returned to work and started producing. The very next set of tests submitted were approved.

CASE C: This case was an executive who was having trouble getting people in his area to produce. The actions below were done unmetered.

A. The product inspection showed the executive to be unable to get his juniors to produce.

B. He arrived for the Crashing Mis-U finding quite upset and this had to be handled before anything else.

C. The Word Clearer went over his upset with him and sorted it out to a point where he was willing to go ahead with the action.

D. Various words were checked (“What does ‘junior’ mean?” “What is the definition of ‘executive’?”) and so forth. No Crashing Mis-U was found.

E. The area of difficulty was narrowed down further to “getting compliance.”

F. On checking, the Word Clearer found that the exec had a Crashing Mis-U on the word “compliance” which was cleared to very good indicators.

The executive went back to work and found he could now handle his juniors.

CASE D: This person was in charge of briefing missions. He had recently had trouble with this and some missions had fired without full briefing resulting in failures.

A. The Word Clearer asked him, on the meter, if there was anything concerning his post he was having difficulty with.

B. The difficulty was narrowed down by two-way comm until it was established that he felt he couldn’t brief them fully due to lack of time.

C. The Word Clearer checked for a Crashing Mis-U concerning this difficulty. None was found.

D. He then asked if there was something the person was withholding about the subject. Several chains of overts were taken up, each one to a floating needle, until the question itself produced a floating needle on asking.

E. The Word Clearer again asked for a Crashing Mis-U in the area and one of the words in the person’s own post title was found and cleared. This was the Crashing Mis-U.

The person was then able to get out his products.

CASE E: This was an auditor who was being product cleared on her post.

A. The Product Clearer discovered that there was a certain part of her post that this auditor could not handle. It was a certain aspect of handling the preclear

B. He asked her if there was one single Mis-U in the area, and helped her trace it, using the meter reads.

C. The Crashing Mis-U was found and cleared in the dictionary to very good indicators.

D. The auditor was then able to complete the Product Clearing and get back to work, her main difficulty no longer impeding her from getting products.

The above case histories show the variety of situations that can come up and the handlings that would be done. They are by no means all the situations that can arise in doing Crashing Mis-U finding.

CAUTIONS

Make sure you guide him on the subject of products all the time. You could get right off the track and find yourself clearing up a whole subject that had nothing to do with getting out his product. An example would be trying to clear up the whole of chemistry on a photographer. There is chemistry involved in photography: the film is developed and so forth with chemicals. But the person is a photographer, not a photo laboratory technician, so he does *not* need to know all of chemistry to get his product.

Another point is that sometimes a person will have a Crashing Mis-U cleared up on himself and immediately suppose that this is the Crashing Mis-U everyone else has. This is not necessarily the case. When one has a Crashing Mis-U on “crackers” it is not necessarily true that everyone else has a Crashing Mis-U on “crackers.” The* Crashing Mis-Us will be different. It is *their* Mis-Us one is after.

REPAIR

If the action bogs down and can’t be sorted out, or the person becomes upset during or after Crashing Mis-U finding, then the difficulty should be sorted out right away with a Crashing Mis-U Repair List. This list is done on a meter by someone qualified to do so. A botched or bogged Crashing Mis-U finding must be repaired within 24 hours.

EFFECTS OF CRASHING MIS-US

You can tell someone has a Crashing Mis-U because when you start to question them about the cycle of action or demand the products they will go robotic on you. They sometimes just stand there gaping at you and won’t even answer your question. They won’t even be able to talk to you. There’s another manifestation you will come up against and that is the person becoming annoyed with you. This indicates either that he *was* getting out products in the first place, or that he had a withhold in addition to a Crashing Mis-U.

The solution is not to immediately shoot them for not getting out the product. Find their Crashing Mis-U. If they get annoyed then find out which of the above it was and handle. And then the justice factor would consist of disciplining them for going past misunderstandings without clearing them. You have to teach someone to get in his own ethics in this respect so that others do not have to take justice actions on him.

SUMMARY

Well, here you have the tech that will enable you to debug failures to produce the products required of him. The person using this tech has to learn it well and become practiced in its application. Then he will get the full benefit of it and total reality on its power.

Let’s get busy and, along with the remainder of debug tech, find the crashing Mis-U when products aren’t coming off the line.

This is indeed miracle tech so let’s go get some miracles!

LRH:dr.gal
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 18 JUNE 1979

Remimeo
Tech
Word Clearers
Auditors
C/Ses

Product Debug Series 4

Word Clearing Series 62

THE CRASHING MIS-U REPAIR LIST—LC1

Ref: HCOB 17 Jun 79 CRASHING MIS-Us: THE KEY
TO COMPLETED CYCLES OF
ACTION AND PRODUCTS

The Crashing Mis-U Repair List is the list to use in repairing Crashing Mis-U finding. It can be done on the spot by the person doing the Crashing Mis-U finding or in session by an auditor. The Crashing Mis-U Repair List is used in the event of a bog or trouble during Crashing Mis-U finding or a red tagged exam after a Crashing Mis-U finding session. It can also be done if, after the fact of a Crashing Mis-U being found, the person is still not getting out his products or is not completing cycles of action in his area. (Note: The person could be up against a *new* Crashing Mis-U on a whole different cycle of action in the same area.)

If after the Crashing Mis-U Repair List has been done and fully handled, there seems to be some other bypassed charge or BIs connected with the Crashing Mis-U finding, a C/S 53 or WCCL should be done. This would be determined by the C/S.

Any person using this list must have excellent TRs and be able to make a list read and correctly interpret E-Meter reads. They must also be drilled on this correction list and have their High Crime checkouts done on this list as well as HCOB 17 Jun 79 CRASHING MIS-Us: THE KEY TO COMPLETED CYCLES OF ACTION AND PRODUCTS.

This list can be assessed Method 3 or Method 5. Each line that reads is carried to F/N.

0. HAVE YOU FAILED TO UNDERSTAND WHAT A
“CRASHING MISUNDERSTOOD” IS? _____
(Check and clear any words in the above that read on the meter.)
1. WAS CRASHING MIS-U FINDING DONE WHEN YOU
ALREADY HAD AN UPSET? _____
(Handle the ARC break to F/N VGIs.)
2. DID YOU BECOME UPSET BECAUSE OF THE CRASHING
MIS-U FINDING? _____
(Handle the ARC break to F/N VGIs.)
3. WAS THE CRASHING MIS-U FINDING DONE WHILE YOU
WERE WORRYING ABOUT SOMETHING ELSE? _____
(Handle the problem to F/N VGIs.)
4. DID THE CRASHING MIS-U FINDING CAUSE YOU TO
BECOME WORRIED OR CONCERNED? _____
(Handle the problem to F/N VGIs.)
5. DURING YOUR CRASHING MIS-U FINDING WAS THERE
SOMETHING YOU WERE NOT SAYING? _____

(Handle by usual missed W/H pulling per HCOB 12 Feb 62 and HCOB 3 May 62.)

6. WAS THERE SOMETHING YOU'D DONE YOU WEREN'T SAYING?
(Handle as in No. 5.) _____
7. WAS THERE SOMETHING YOU WEREN'T SAYING ABOUT THE AREA THAT WAS BEING ADDRESSED?
(Handle as in No. 5.) _____
8. WAS THERE SOMETHING YOU'D DONE IN THE AREA BEING ADDRESSED THAT YOU WEREN'T SAYING?
(Handle as in No. 5.) _____
9. WAS THE WRONG AREA ADDRESSED?
(Indicate to F/N. Get him to the W/Cer to complete the Crashing Mis-U finding.) _____
10. WAS THE CRASHING MIS-U FINDING DONE ON THE WRONG PRODUCT?
(Handle as in No. 9 above.) _____
11. COULDN'T YOU FIND THE CRASHING MIS-U?
(Indicate and take it E/S to F/N if necessary. Get him back to the W/Cer for completion of the action.) _____
12. WAS THERE NO CRASHING MIS-U IN THE AREA IN THE FIRST PLACE?
(Indicate that the Crashing Mis-U finding was an unnecessary action and take it to F/N.) _____
13. WAS THE CRASHING MIS-U FOUND ONLY SIMILAR TO THE ACTUAL CRASHING MIS-U?
(Indicate and get an F/N. Send him back to the W/Cer to find the actual Crashing Mis-U.) _____
14. IS THERE ANOTHER CRASHING MIS-U IN THE AREA?
(Indicate to F/N. Send to W/Cer to handle.) _____
15. IS THE WORD FOUND STILL MISUNDERSTOOD?
(Get it fully cleared up to F/N.) _____
16. DIDN'T YOU UNDERSTAND WHAT WAS GOING ON?
(Clear up the questions and confusions to F/N and get him back to the W/Cer.) _____
17. WAS THERE METER OR F/N TROUBLE?
(Indicate and clean it up with false TA handling or L1C, etc.) _____
18. WERE AREAS THAT YOU WERE NOT HAVING DIFFICULTY WITH TAKEN UP?
(Indicate that these areas should not have been taken up. Take it to F/N.) _____
19. WERE AREAS THAT YOU WERE HAVING DIFFICULTY WITH NOT TAKEN UP?
(Indicate and get an F/N. Send back to the W/Cer for handling.) _____
20. DID AN AREA YOU FELT SHOULD HAVE BEEN HANDLED NOT GET TAKEN UP OR HANDLED? _____

(Indicate. Find out what area to F/N and send back to the W/Cer for handling.)

21. DID YOU GET INVALIDATED?
(Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.) _____
22. DID YOU GET EVALUATED FOR?
(Itsa E/S itsa to F/N.) _____
23. DID THE CRASHING MIS-U FINDING ANNOY YOU?
(Determine if (a) he has O/Ws as well as a Crashing Mis-U or (b) the Crashing Mis-U finding wasn't necessary in the first place. Handle accordingly.) _____
24. WAS THE CRASHING MIS-U FINDING DONE IN THE MIDDLE OF SOME OTHER INCOMPLETE CYCLE?
(Indicate the BPC and take it to F/N.) _____
25. WERE YOU MADE TO GO E/S ON CRASHING MIS-U FINDING WHILE IN THE NON-INTERFERENCE ZONE?
(Indicate it as an incorrect action and it should not have been done and get your F/N.) _____
26. DO YOU NOT BELIEVE YOU HAVE MISUNDERSTOODS?
(Clear him up on Word Clearing Series 60R. Handle his Mis-Us and get his agreement to do the action unless it is determined it was an unnecessary action. Take this to F/N.) _____
27. DID FALSE DATA GET IN YOUR WAY?
(Strip off the false data per HCOB FALSE DATA STRIPPING. Take it to F/N.) _____
28. IS IT ACTUALLY OK TO HAVE MISUNDERSTOODS IN THE AREA?
(Get why this is OK and strip off the defense mechanism per the Crashing Mis-U HCOB. Take it to F/N.) _____
29. IS THERE SOME OTHER WORD CLEARING ERROR?
(Indicate. Find out what and handle or do a WCCL if necessary.) _____
30. WERE YOU NOT HAVING ANY TROUBLE WITH YOUR PRODUCTS IN THE FIRST PLACE?
(Get the data. If this is the case indicate that the Crashing Mis-U finding was an unnecessary action. Take it to F/N.) _____
31. ARE THERE OTHER PRODUCT DEBUG ACTIONS THAT SHOULD HAVE BEEN TAKEN?
(2WC to F/N. Program him to get Product Debugging per HCO PL DEBUG TECH.) _____
32. AREN'T YOU HATTED?
(2WC to F/N. Program him to get hatted.) _____
33. IS YOUR PRODUCT TOTALLY UNKNOWN TO YOU?
(2WC to F/N. Program him to be Product Cleared.) _____
34. ARE YOU LACKING PRODUCT CLEARING?
(Handle as in No. 33 above.) _____
35. ARE YOU CONNECTED TO ANTAGONISTIC PEOPLE?
(2WC to F/N. Get the PTS Clay Table Handling done or corrected to EP.) _____

36. WAS YOUR CRASHING MIS-U FINDING OVERRUN? _____
(Indicate and rehab.)
37. ARE YOU HAVING CASE TROUBLE? _____
(Assess and handle a C/S 53.)
38. IS THERE SOMETHING ELSE WRONG? _____
(Find out what and handle or do the appropriate correction list and handle.)
39. WAS THERE NOTHING WRONG IN THE FIRST PLACE? _____
(Indicate and get it to F/N.)

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:gal
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 7 JULY 1979

Remimeo

CRASHING MIS-U DEFINITION

I started calling it Crashing Mis-U because it crashed the person. That's what crashed the subject and crashed the person. That's why it's called a Crashing Mis-U.

It's what crashes something across the dynamics.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:mj.dr
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 16 JULY 1979

Remimeo
All Supervisors
All Word Clearers
Execs
Estos
Cram Offs
All Staff

Product Debug Series 5

Word Clearing Series 63

THE “ELUSIVE” MIS-U OR CRASHING MIS-U

Ref:	HCOB 17 Jun 79 Series 3,	W/C Series 61, Product Debug URGENT—IMPORTANT. CRASHING MIS-Us: THE KEY TO COMPLETED CYCLES OF ACTION AND PRODUCTS
	HCOB 30 Jan 73RB Rev. 1.6.79	W/C Series 46RB, METHOD 9 WORD CLEARING THE RIGHT WAY
	HCOB 18 Jun 79 Series 4	W/C Series 62, Product Debug THE CRASHING MIS-U REPAIR LIST—LC1
	HCOB/HCO PL 26 Mar 79R Rev. 25.5.79	Esto Series 35R, W/C Series 60R MISUNDERSTOOD WORDS AND CYCLES OF ACTION

Miraculous as it is, a Word Clearer must be aware of the fact that M9 Word Clearing does not uncover false data, Crashing Mis-Us, overts, withholds or PTSness. Other tech exists to handle these. However they also, sometimes in a shadowy way, make their appearance doing M9. People doing M9 are doing it to get something understood or get some order done or get some product actually made and out. In most cases M9 will produce a marked gain. However, when it doesn't work, one of the above is also present. Handling of these is covered in detail in other HCOBs.

However, the item the M9er is most likely to collide with in situations where M9 is really not getting much done is the mysterious Crashing Mis-U.

A Crashing Mis-U, while it is always sitting right there in PT, big as life, can sometimes appear to be elusive. It eludes the most conscientious Word Clearer and the person himself, despite honest efforts to find it.

Let's say you've made an exhaustive search for the CRMU, you've hunted and punched in the area of his products, you've word cleared him on the texts covering his products and you've found and cleared some misunderstood words, none of which are IT. You've done the full CRMU and Product Debug procedure by the book and you still haven't gotten the Crashing Mis-U—as evidenced by no products.

At this point you could suspect one of the following:

1. The word that has caused him to crash may be right there in plain view, it does appear in the texts and orders covering the person's post and products, but it has been missed.

Why? Because the Crashing Mis-U (which is not your ordinary common garden variety of misunderstood word) will not always show up for what it is in M9ing. The word may appear in the materials but the person reads it with no stumble or reaction whatsoever, as he is so certain he knows it and his misunderstanding of it is so obscured by false data and false definitions. It doesn't even read on the meter on Method 2 or 4 because it's way below his awareness.

So you wouldn't just assume there was nothing there because the word didn't turn up on Method 9 or Methods 2 or 4. You'd need to move in with Method 5 or 6 and probably also False Data Stripping in a case like this to really pry it into view.

Or:

2. The Crashing Mis-U may not be on the subject of the product itself but in an area *related to* the subject. If this is suspected you look for the CRMU in the related areas and it's very likely you'll come up with the prize!

The reason it wasn't found in the first place is because the word didn't appear in the materials he was word cleared on and it didn't come up in a search in the area of his products. But it was sitting there, all the time, in an adjacent, a related area!

In two cases recently where staff members were being crammed on rejects of their products this phenomena turned up and was used and it all straightened out nicely!

The watchword is: you utilize all methods of Word Clearing *and whatever else it takes* to find the Crashing Mis-U.

ALERT RE METHOD 9

The data above applies to routine Method 9 Word Clearing as well as to Crashing Mis-U finding. Thus, if you've M9ed the person on his post materials and he's not getting it or making it, realize that he may be sliding over an MU or even a Crashing Mis-U where the word actually appears in the materials and is obscured for the above reasons. Or that the misunderstood may be in an area *related to* the subject and the word itself doesn't appear in the text you're handling.

Method 9 is a superlative Word Clearing tool. Word Clearers must keep it effective, and not permit that effectiveness to be dimmed by a failure to know and use the data in this bulletin.

So you check the related areas where it's indicated, or you marry up routine Method 9 with Crashing Mis-U finding and all of its steps where the person isn't making it otherwise. And you'll find the elusive misunderstood or Crashing Mis-U is not so elusive after all. It will come plainly into view—ripe for the plucking!

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:kjm
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

Remimeo
Word Clearers
Tech
Staff

Word Clearing Series 64

THE MISUNDERSTOOD WORD

DEFINED

Ref:	HCOB 23 Mar 78RA	Word Clearing Series 59RA
	Rev. 14.11.79	CLEARING WORDS
	HCOB 25 Jun 71R	Word Clearing Series 5R
	Rev. 25.11.74	BARRIERS TO STUDY
	HCOB 26 Mar 79RB	Esto Series 35RB
	Rev. 2.9.79	Word Clearing Series 60RB
		Product Debug Series 7R
		MISUNDERSTOOD WORDS AND
		CYCLES OF ACTION

“MIS-UNDERSTOOD” or “NOT-UNDERSTOOD” are terms used to define any error or omission in comprehension of a word, concept, symbol or status.

Most people go around thinking that a misunderstood is just something they obviously don’t know—a “not-understood.”

A “not-understood” is a misunderstood but there are additional ways a person can misunderstand a word.

A MISUNDERSTOOD WORD OR SYMBOL IS DEFINED AS A WORD OR SYMBOL FOR WHICH THE STUDENT HAS:

1. *A FALSE {TOTALLY WRONG} DEFINITION:* A definition that has no relationship to the actual meaning of the word or symbol whatsoever.

Example: The person reads or hears the word “cat” and thinks that “cat” means “box.” You can’t get more wrong.

Example: A person sees an equals sign (=) and thinks it means to subtract something twice.

2. *AN INVENTED DEFINITION:* An invented definition is a version of a false definition. The person has made it up himself or has been given an invented definition. Not knowing the actual definition he invents one for it. This is sometimes difficult to detect because he is certain he knows it, after all he invented it himself. There is enough protest preceding his invention of it to make it read on a meter. In such a case he will be certain he knows the definition of the word or symbol.

Example: The person when very young was always called “a girl” by his pals when he refused to do anything daring. He invents the definition of “girl” to be “a cowardly person.”

Example: A person never knew the meaning of the symbol for an exclamation point (!) but seeing it in comic strips as representing swear words invents the definition for it “a foul curse” and regards it accordingly in everything he reads.

3. *AN INCORRECT DEFINITION:* A definition that is not right but may have some relationship to the word or symbol or be in a similar category.

Example: The person reads or hears the word “computer” and thinks it is “typewriter.” This is an incorrect meaning for “computer” even though a typewriter and a computer are both types of machines.

Example: A person thinks a period (.) after an abbreviation means that you halt in reading at that point.

4. **AN INCOMPLETE DEFINITION:** A definition that is inadequate.

Example: The person reads the word “office” and thinks it means “room.” The definition of the word “office” is: “a room or building in which a person transacts his business or carries on his stated occupation.” (Ref: Funk and Wagnalls Standard Dictionary of the English Language) The person’s definition is incomplete for the word “office.”

Example: The person sees an apostrophe (‘) and knows that it means that something is owned (‘s) but does not know that it also is used to show that a letter has been left out of a word. He sees the word “can’t” and immediately tries to figure out who can is.

5. **AN UNSUITABLE DEFINITION:** A definition that does not fit the word as it is used in the context of the sentence one has heard or read.

Example: The person hears the sentence: “I am dressing a turkey.” The person’s understanding of “dressing” is “putting clothes on.” That is *one* definition of “dressing” but it is an unsuitable definition for the word as it is used in the sentence he has heard. Because he has an unsuitable definition he thinks someone is putting clothes on a turkey. As a result the sentence he has heard doesn’t really make sense to him. The definition of “dressing” that correctly applies in the sentence he has heard is: “to prepared for use as food, by making ready to cook, or by cooking.” (Ref: The Oxford English Dictionary)

The person will only truly understand what he is hearing when he has fully cleared the word “dressing” in all its meanings. as he will then also have the definition that correctly applies in the context.

Example: The person sees a dash (-) in the sentence: “I finished numbers 3 - 7 today.” He thinks a dash is a minus sign, realizes you cannot subtract 7 from 3 and so cannot understand it.

6. **A HOMONYMIC (one word which has two or more distinctly separate meanings) DEFINITION:** A homonym is a word that is used to designate several different things which have totally different meanings; or a homonym can be one of two or more words that have the same sound, sometimes the same spelling, but differ in meaning.

Example: The person reads the sentence: “I like to box.” The person understands this sentence to mean that someone likes to put things in “containers.”

The person has the right meaning for the word “box,” but he has the wrong word! There is another word “box” which is being used in the sentence he has just read and means: “to fight another in a boxing match.” (Ref: Funk and Wagnalls Standard Dictionary of the English Language)

The person has a misunderstood because he has a homonymic definition for the word “box” and will have to clear the second word “box” before he understands the sentence.

Example: The person sees a plus sign (+) and as it resembles a cross he thinks it is something religious.

Example: The person hears the word “period” in the sentence: “It was a disorderly period in history” and knowing that “period” comes at the end of a sentence and means stop, supposes that the world ended at that point.

Example: Homonymic misunderstands can also occur when a person does not know the informal or slang usage of a word. The person hears someone on the radio singing: “When my *Honey* walks down the street.” The person thinks a “thick, sweet, yellow or golden liquid, good to eat, that bees make out of the nectar they collect from flowers” is walking down the street! He doesn’t know the informal definition of “honey” which is: “sweet one: a pet name.” which is how it is being used in the song. (Ref: Funk and Wagnalls Standard Dictionary of the English Language)

7. *A SUBSTITUTE {SYNONYM—*a word which has a similar but not the same meaning*) DEFINITION:* A substitute definition occurs when a person uses a synonym for the definition of a word. A synonym is not a definition. A synonym is a word having a meaning *similar* to that of another word.

Example: The person reads the word “portly” and thinks the definition of the word is “fat.” “Fat” is a synonym for the word “portly.” The person has a misunderstanding because the word “portly” means: “of a stately appearance and carriage; impressive, especially on account of size.” (Ref: Funk and Wagnalls Standard Dictionary of the English Language) The person does not have the full meaning of “portly” if he thinks it just means “fat.”

Knowing synonyms for words increases your vocabulary but it does not mean you understand the *meaning* of a word. Learn the full definition for a word as well as its synonyms.

8. *AN OMITTED {MISSING} DEFINITION:* An omitted definition is a definition of a word that the person is missing or is omitted from the dictionary he is using.

Example: The person hears the line “The food here is too rich.” This person knows two definitions for the word “rich.” He knows that “rich” means “having much money, land, goods, etc.” and “wealthy people.” Neither of these definitions make much sense to him in the sentence he has just heard. He cannot understand what food could have to do with having a lot of money.

Omitted definitions can come about from using dinky dictionaries. If the person had looked up “rich” in a small paperback dictionary, he would probably still be stuck with his misunderstanding. A dinky dictionary probably will not give him the definition he needs. In order to understand the word he would have to get a good sized dictionary to ensure it gives him the omitted definition which is: “having in a high degree qualities pleasing to the senses; luscious to the taste: often implying an unwholesome excess of butter, fats, flavoring, etc.” (Ref: Funk and Wagnalls Standard Dictionary of the English Language)

Example: The person reads “He estimated the light at f 5.6.” He can’t figure what this “f” is, so he looks up “f” in the American Heritage Dictionary and wonders if it is temperature or money or sports for “foul” or maybe the money “franc.” The text doesn’t refer to France so he can’t figure it out. Omitted in the American Heritage is the photography definition of “f” which simply means “the number which shows the width of the hole the light goes through in the lens.” The moral of this is to have enough dictionaries around.

NOTE: It can occur that an accurate definition for a word is not given in any dictionary which is an error in the language itself.

9. *A NO-DEFINITION:* A no-definition is a “not-understood” word or symbol.

Example: The person reads the sentence “The business produced no lucre.” No understanding occurs, as he has no definition for “lucre.” The word means:

“money, especially as the object of greed; gain.” (Ref: Funk and Wagnalls Standard Dictionary of the English Language) It isn’t that he has the word incorrectly, unsuitable or any other way defined, he has *no* definition for it at all. He has never looked it up and gotten it defined. Thus he does not understand it. The definition does not exist for him until he looks it up and gets it clearly understood .

Example: The person sees a dot at the end of a word on a printed page and having no definition for “a period (.)” tends to run all of his sentences together.

10. **A REJECTED DEFINITION:** A rejected definition is a definition of a word which the person will not accept. The reasons why he will not accept it are usually based on emotional reactions connected with it. The person finds the definition degrading to himself or his friends or group in some imagined way or restimulative to him in some fashion. Although he may have a total misunderstanding on the word he may refuse to have it explained or look it up.

Example: The person refuses to look up the word “mathematics.” He doesn’t know what it means, he doesn’t want to know what it means, and he won’t have anything to do with it. A discussion of why he refuses to look it up discloses that he was expelled from school because he flunked with violence his first month of his first course in mathematics. If he were to realize that he flunked because he didn’t know what he was supposed to study he would then be willing to look the word up.

Example: The person refuses to look up the definition of asterisk (*). On discussion it turns out that every time he sees an asterisk on the page he knows the material will be “very hard to read” and is “literary,” “difficult” and “highbrow.”

Discussion of why he won’t look it up usually reveals and releases the emotional charge connected with it which he may never have looked at before. Properly handled he will now want to look it up, having gained an insight into why he wouldn’t.

Any word you come across which fits one or more of the above definitions of a misunderstood word or symbol must be cleared up, using a good size dictionary or more than one dictionary or text book or encyclopedia.

It is catastrophic to go on past or ignore a misunderstood word or symbol as one simply will not understand what he is studying.

A student must discipline himself not to go past misunderstood words. He should learn to recognize from his reaction to what he is reading, especially the mental blankness which usually ensues right after one, that he has gone by a misunderstood. He should look them up and get them fully defined before going on with his reading. Students must be persuaded to do this. It is a self-discipline that has to be learned.

The definitions of “misunderstood” and “not-understood” and their different types, must be clearly understood by a person seeking to clear them in himself and others. The commonest error in Word Clearing is for the person being word cleared to believe that a misunderstood is something he simply does not know. With this limited definition he cannot adequately be word cleared nor can he adequately word clear others. So these definitions of “misunderstood” and “not-understood” should be very well known as it will often be necessary to clarify them to the person being word cleared.

Good reading.

LRH:gal
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 7 AUGUST 1979

Remimeo
Sups
Tech
Execs

(Also issued as
HCO PL 7 Aug 79
FALSE DATA STRIPPING)
ALL STAFF

Product Debug Series 8

Esto Series 36

FALSE DATA STRIPPING

(Ref: The Study Tapes	Vol I Numbers 1-2
Dianetic Auditor's Bulletin	STANDARD PROCEDURE
	Tech Vol 1, pgs. 15-20
Dianetic Auditor's Bulletin	Vol I Number 3
	HOW TO RELEASE A CHRONIC SOMATIC
	Tech Vol 1, pgs. 24-26
NOTES ON THE	
LECTURES	Pgs. 52-66, 112-1 13)

When a person is not functioning well on his post, on his job or in life, at the bottom of his difficulties will often be found *unknown* basic definitions and laws or *false* definitions, false data and false laws, resulting in an inability to think with the words and rules of that activity and an inability to perform the simplest required functions. The person will remain unfamiliar with the fundamentals of his activity, at times appearing idiotic, because of these not-defined and falsely defined words.

Verbal hatting is the main source of false definitions and false data. Someone who "knows" tells someone else a definition or a datum. The person now thinks he knows the definition (even though nothing in the field makes any sense to him). The word may not even read on the meter during misunderstood checks because the person "thinks he knows."

A politician is told by an advisor, "It doesn't matter how much money the government spends. It is good for the society." The politician uses this "rule" and the next thing you know, inflation is driving everybody to starvation and the government to bankruptcy. The politician, knowing he was told this on the very best authority, does not spot it as false data, but continues to use it right up to the point where the angry mobs stand him up in front of a firing squad and shoot him down. And the pity of it is that the politician never once suspected that there was anything false about the data, even though he couldn't work with it.

There is no field in all the society where false data is not rampant. "Experts," "Advisors," "Friends," "Families" seldom go and look at the basic texts on subjects, even when these are known to exist, but indulge in all manner of interpretations and even outright lies to seem wise or expert. The cost, in terms of lost production and damaged equipment is enormous. You will see it in all sectors of society. People cannot think with the fundamentals of their work. They goof. They ruin things. They have to redo what they have already done.

You'll find people whose estimate of the environment is totally perverted to the point they're walking around literally in a fog. The guy looks at a tree and the reality of the tree is blurred by the "fact" that "trees are made by God" so he won't take care of the tree because he is convinced.

What we're trying to cure in people is the inability to think with data. This was traced by me to false data as a phenomenon additional to misunderstood words, although the misunderstood word plays a role in it and will have to be allowed for.

When a person is having difficulty in an area or on a post, when he can't seem to apply what he has "learned" or what he is studying or when he can't get through a specific drill or exercise in his training materials, you would suspect he has false data in that area or on those materials. If he is to use it at all effectively he must first sort out the true facts regarding it from the conflicting bits and pieces of information or opinion he has acquired. This eliminates the false data and lets him get on with it.

INABILITY TO HAT

We are looking here at a brand new discovery I have made which is that it can be nearly impossible to hat anyone who is sitting on false data on the subject you are trying to hat him on. This is the *primary* reason people cannot be hatted and False Data Stripping therefore enables a person to be hatted even though other approaches have failed. This is a very valuable discovery—it solves the problem of inability to hat or train.

SOURCES

False data on a subject can come from any number of sources. In the process of day-to-day living people encounter and often accept without inspection all sorts of ideas which may seem to make sense but don't. Advertising, newspapers, TV and other media are packed with such material. The most profound false data can come out of texts such as Stanislavsky (a Russian actor and director); and even mothers have a hand in it, such as "children should be seen and not heard."

Where a subject, such as art, contains innumerable authorities and voluminous opinions you may find that any and all textbooks under that heading reek with false data. Those who have studied study tech will recall that the validity of texts is an important factor in study.

Therefore it is important that any supervisor or teacher seeking to use False Data Stripping must utilize basic *workable* texts. These are most often found to have been written by the original discoverer of the subject and when in doubt avoid texts which are interpretations of somebody else's work. In short, choose only textual material which is closest to the basic facts of the subject and avoid those which embroider upon them.

It can happen, if you do False Data Stripping well and expertly without enforcing your own data on the person, that he can find a *whole* textbook false—much to his amazement. In such a case, locate a more fundamental text on the subject. (Examples of false texts: Eastman Kodak; Lord Keynes treatises on economics; John Dewey's texts on education; Sigmund Freud's texts on the mind; the texts derived from the "work" of Wundt (Leipzig 1879—Father of Modern Psychology); and (joke) a textbook on "Proper Conduct for Sheep" written by A. Wolf.)

USE OF FALSE DATA STRIPPING

False Data Stripping should be used extensively in all hatting and training activities. Current society is riddled with false data and these must be cleared away so that we can hat and train people. Then they will be able to learn useful data which will enable them to understand things and produce valuable products in life.

False Data Stripping can be done on or off the meter. It can be done by an auditor in session, by a Supervisor, Cramming Officer or Word Clearer or by an exec, Esto or any administrator. Students and staff can be trained to do it on each other.

Not a lot of training is required to deliver this procedure but anyone administering it must have checked out on this HCOB/PL and have demoed and drilled the procedure. If it is going to be done on the meter (which is preferable) the person doing it must have an OK to operate an E-Meter.

GRADIENTS

It will be found that false data actually comes off in gradients.

For example, a student handled initially on false data on a particular drill will appear to be complete on it. He goes on with his studies and makes progress for a while and then sometimes he will hit a bog or slow in his progress. This is usually an indication that more false data has been flushed up (restimulated or remembered as a result of actually doing studies or drills). At that point more basic false data will come off when asked for. The reason for this is: when you first give a student false data handling he doesn't know enough about the subject to know false data from the true. When he has learned a bit more about the subject he then collides with more false data hitherto buried. This can happen several times, as he is getting more and more expert on the subject.

Thus the action of stripping off false data can and must be checked for and used in any training and hatting.

The rundown has to be given again and again at later and later periods, as a student or staff member may come up against additional faulty data that has been not-ised. It can be repeated as often as necessary in any specific area of training until the person is finally duplicating and is able to use the correct tech and *only* the correct tech exactly.

THEORY

There is a philosophic background as to why getting off false data on a subject works and why trying to teach a correct datum *over* a false datum on the subject does not work. It is based on the Socratic thesis-antithesis-synthesis philosophical equation.

Socrates: 470 B.C. - 399 B.C. A great Greek philosopher.

A *thesis* is a statement or assertion.

Antithesis: opposing statement or assertion.

The Socratic equation is mainly used in debate where one debater asserts one thing and the other debater asserts the opposite. It was the contention of Socrates and others that when two forces came into collision a new idea was born. This was the use of the equation in logic and debate. However, had they looked further they would have seen that other effects were brought into play. It has very disastrous effects when it appears in the field of training.

Where the person has acquired a *false* thesis (or datum), the *true* datum you are trying to teach him becomes an antithesis. The true datum comes smack up against the false datum he is hanging on to, as it is counter to it.

In other words, these two things collide, and *neither one will* then make sense to him. At this point he can try to make sense out of the collision and form what is called a synthesis, or his wits simply don't function. (*Synthesis*: a unified whole in which opposites, thesis and antithesis, are reconciled.)

So you wind up with the person either:

- (a) attempting to use a false, unworkable synthesis he has formed, or
- (b) his thinkingness locks up on the subject.

In either case you get an impossible-to-train, impossible-to-hat scene.

GLIBNESS

Probably we have here the basic anatomy of the "glib student" who can parrot off whole chapters on an examination paper and yet in practice uses his tools as a door stop. This student has been a mystery to the world of education for eons. What he has probably done in order to get by, is set up a circuit which is purely memory.

The truth of it is his understanding or participation is barred off by considerations such as "nothing works anyway but one has to please the professor somehow."

The less a person can confront, the more false data he has accumulated and will accumulate. These syntheses are simply additives and complexities and make the person complicate the subject beyond belief. Or the collision of false data and true data, without the person knowing which is which, makes him look like a meathead.

Therefore, in order to cure him of his additives, complexities, apathy and apparent stupidity on a subject, in addition to cleaning up misunderstood words, it is necessary to strip the false data off the subject. Most of the time this is prior to the true data and so is basic on the chain. Where this is the case, when that basic false data is located and stripped the whole subject clears up more easily.

FALSE DATA PRONE

Some people are prone to accepting false data. This stems from overts committed prior to the false data being accepted. The false data then acts as a justifier for the overt.

An example of this would be a student studying past Mis-Us on a subject, cheating in the exam and eventually dropping the subject entirely. Then someone comes along and tells him that the subject is useless and destructive. Well, he will immediately grab hold of this datum and believe it as he needs something to justify his earlier overts.

This actually gets into service facsimiles as the person will use the false data to make the subject or other people wrong.

So if you see someone who is very prone to accepting false data on a particular subject or in general, the answer is to get the prior overts pulled. Then the person will not need to justify his overts by accepting any false data that comes his way.

PROCEDURE

You may not easily be able to detect a false datum because the person believes it to be true. When False Data Stripping is done on a meter the false datum won't necessarily read for the same reason.

You therefore ask the person if there is anything he has run across on the subject under discussion which he couldn't think with, which didn't seem to add up or seems to be in conflict with the material one is trying to teach him.

The false datum buries itself and the procedure itself handles this phenomenon.

When the false datum is located it is handled with elementary recall based on 1950 Straightwire. Straight memory technique or Straightwire (so called because one is stringing a line between present time and some incident in the past, and stringing that line directly and without any detours) was developed originally in 1950 as a lighter process than engram running. Cleverly used, Straightwire removed locks and released illnesses without the pc ever having run an engram.

Once one had determined whatever it was that was going to be run with Straightwire, one would have the pc recall where and when it happened, who was involved, what were they doing, what was the pc doing, etc. until the lock blew or the illness keyed out.

Straightwire works at a lock level. When overdone it can key in underlying engrams. When properly done it can be quite miraculous.

STEPS

A. Determine whether or not the person needs this procedure by checking the following:

1. The person cannot be hatted on a subject.
2. No Crashing Mis-Us can be found on a subject yet it is obvious they exist.

3. The person is not duplicating the material he has studied as he is incorrectly applying it or only applying part of it, despite Word Clearing.
4. He is rejecting the material he is reading or the definition of the word he is clearing.
5. You suspect or the person originates earlier data he has encountered on the materials that could contain false data.
6. The person talks about or quotes other sources or obviously incorrect sources.
7. He is glib.
8. The person is backing off from actually applying the data he is studying despite standard Word Clearing.
9. He is bogged.
10. He cannot think with the data and it does not seem to apply.

B. Establish the difficulty the person is having—i.e. what are the materials he can't duplicate or apply? These materials must be to hand and the person must be familiar with the basic true data on the subject being addressed.

C. If the action is being done metered, put the person on the meter and properly adjust the sensitivity with a proper can squeeze.

D. Thoroughly clear the concept of false data with the person. Have him give you examples to show he gets it. (This would be done if the person was receiving False Data Stripping for the first time.)

E. The following questions are used to detect and uncover the false data. These questions are cleared before they are used for the first time on anyone. They do not have to read on a meter and may not do so as the person will not necessarily read on something that he believes to be true.

1. "Is there anything you have run across in (subject under discussion) which you couldn't think with?"
2. "Is there anything you have encountered in (subject under discussion) which didn't seem to add up?"
3. "Is there something you have come across in (subject under discussion) that seems to be in conflict with the material you are trying to learn?"
4. "Is there something in (subject under discussion) which never made any sense to you?"
5. "Did you come across any data in (subject under discussion) that you had no use for?"
6. "Was there any data you came across in (subject under discussion) that never seemed to fit in?"
7. "Do you know of any datum that makes it unnecessary for you to do a good job on this subject?"
8. "Do you know of any reason why an overt product is alright?"
9. "Would you be made wrong if you really learned this subject?"
10. "Did anyone ever explain this subject to you verbally?"

11. "Do you know of any datum that conflicts with standard texts on this subject?"
12. "Do you consider you really know best about this subject?"
13. "Would it make somebody else wrong not to learn this subject?"
14. "Is this subject not worth learning?"

The questions are asked in the above sequence. When an area of false data is uncovered by one of these questions one goes straight on to Step F—handling.

F. When the person comes up with an answer to one of the above questions locate the false datum as follows:

1. Ask: "Have you been given any false data regarding this?" and help him locate the false datum. If this is being done on the meter, one can use any meter reads one does get to steer the person. This may require a bit of work as the person may believe the false data he has to be true. Keep at it until you get the false datum.

If the person has given you the false datum in Step E then this step will not be needed: just go straight on to Step G.

G. When the false datum has been located, handle as follows:

1. Ask: "Where did this datum come from?" (This could be a person, a book, TV, etc.)
2. "When was this?"
3. "Where exactly were you at the time?"
4. "Where was (the person, book, etc.) at the time?"
5. "What were you doing at the time?"
6. If the false datum came from a person ask: "what was (the person) doing at the time?"
7. "How did (the person, book, etc.) look at the time?"
8. If the datum has not blown with the above questions ask: "Is there an earlier similar false datum or incident on (the subject under discussion)?" and handle per Steps 1-7.

Continue as above until the false datum has blown. On the meter you will have a floating needle and very good indicators.

DO NOT CONTINUE PAST A POINT WHERE THE FALSE DATUM HAS BLOWN.

If you suspect the datum may have blown but the person has not originated then ask: "How does that datum seem to you now?" and either continue if it hasn't blown or end off on that datum if it has blown.

H. When you have handled a particular false datum to a blow, going earlier similar as necessary, you would then go back and repeat the question from E (the detection step) that uncovered the false datum. If there are any more answers to the question, they are handled exactly as in Step F (location) and Step G (handling). That particular question is left when the person has no more answers. Then, if the person is not totally handled on the subject under discussion, one would use the other

questions from Step E and handle them in the same way. All the questions can be asked and handled as above but one would not continue past a point where the whole subject has been cleared up and the person can now duplicate and apply the data he has been having trouble with.

I. *CONDITIONAL*: If False Data Stripping is being done in conjunction with Crashing Mis-U finding one would now proceed with the Crashing Mis-U finding.

J. Send the person to the Examiner.

K. Have the person study or restudy the true data on the subject you have been handling.

END PHENOMENA

When the above procedure is done correctly and fully on an area the person is actually having difficulty with he will end up able to duplicate, understand and apply and think with the data that he could not previously grasp. The false data that was standing in the road of duplication will have been cleared away and the person's thinking will have been freed up. When this occurs, no matter where in the procedure, one ends off the False Data Stripping on that subject and sends the person to the Examiner. He will have cognitions and VGIs and on the meter you will have an F/N. This is not the end of all False Data Stripping for that person. It is the end of that False Data Stripping on the person at that particular time. As the person continues to work with and study the subject in question, he will learn more about it and may again collide with false data at which time one repeats the above process.

NOTE

False data buries itself as the person may firmly believe that it is true. Sometimes the person will have such faith in a particular person, book, etc. that he cannot conceive that any data from that particular source might be false. One artist being false data stripped had received some false data from a very famous painter. Even though the data didn't really add up and actually caused the artist tremendous problems, he tended to believe it because of where it came from. It took persistence on the part of the person administering the False Data Stripping to eventually blow this false datum with a resulting freeing up of the artist's ability to think and produce in the area.

MISUNDERSTOODS

Misunderstoods often come up during False Data Stripping and should be cleared when they do. One would then continue with the False Data Stripping. One person being false data stripped knew he had some false data from a particular source but the false data was a complete blank—he couldn't remember it at all. It was discovered that he had a Mis-U just before he received the false data and as soon as this was cleared up he recalled the false data and it blew.

This is just one example of how Word Clearing can tie in with False Data Stripping.

REPEATED USE

False Data Stripping can be done over and over as it will come off in layers as mentioned before. If False Data Stripping has been done on a specific thing and at some later point the person is having difficulty with a drill or the materials, the stripping of false data should be done on him again.

In such a case it will be seen that the person recognizes or remembers *more* false or contrary data he has accumulated on the subject that was not in view earlier.

As he duplicates a drill or his materials more and more exactly, former "interpretations" he had not-ised, incorrect past flunks that acted as invalidation or evaluation, etc., may crop up to be stripped off.

CAUTIONS

CODE. False Data Stripping is done under the discipline of the Auditor's Code. Evaluation and invalidation can be particularly harmful and must be avoided. All points of the code apply.

RUDIMENTS. One would not begin False Data Stripping on someone who already has out-ruds. If the person is upset or worried about something or is critical or nattery, then you should fly his ruds or get them flown before you start False Data Stripping.

OVERRUN. One must be particularly careful not to overrun the person past a blow of the false datum. The stress in recall is that it is a light action which does not get the person into engrams or heavy charge. Keep it light. If you overrun someone past the point of a blow, he may drop into engrams or heavy charge. Just take the recall step to a blow and don't push him beyond it.

DATE/LOCATE. Date/Locate is another way of getting something to blow. If a false datum does not blow on the recall steps despite going earlier similar, then it could be handled with Date/Locate *in session* as ordered by the C/S. This would normally be done as part of a False Data Stripping Repair List. Date/Locating false data would never be done except in session as ordered by the C/S or as directed by the False Data Stripping Repair List. The auditor must be totally startrated on Date and Locating and practiced in it before he attempts it.

FALSE DATA STRIPPING REPAIR LIST. The False Data Stripping Repair List is used in session by an auditor when False Data Stripping bogs inextricably or the person is not F/N GIs at exams or gets in trouble after False Data Stripping has been done. A bogged False Data Stripping session must be handled within 24 hours.

NEW STUDENTS. Students who are new to Scientology should not use this procedure on each other as they may be insufficiently experienced to deliver it competently. In this case the Supervisor or someone qualified would administer False Data Stripping to those students who need it.

SUMMARY

The problem of the person who is unable to learn or who is unable to apply what he learns has never been fully resolved before. Misunderstoods were and are a major factor and Word Clearing must be used liberally. Now, however, I have made a major breakthrough which finally explains and handles the problem of inability to learn and apply.

Man's texts and education systems are strewn with false data. These false data effectively block someone's understanding of the true data. The handling given in this HCOB/PL makes it possible to remove that block and enable people to learn data so they can apply it.

With the ability to learn comes stability and the production of valuable products. With stability and the production of valuable products comes the achievement of one's purposes and goals, high morale and happiness.

So let's get to work on stripping away the false data which plagues Man, clogs up his ability to think and learn and reduces his competence and effectiveness. Let's increase the ability of individuals and the human race.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:gal
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 19 AUGUST 1979

Remimeo
Exec Hats
Tech & Qual
HAS Hat
Dir I&R
LRH Comm Hats

(Also issued as an HCO PL of
same date same title.)

HIGH CRIME—ADDITION

HIGH CRIME CHECKOUTS AND WORD CLEARING

Ref:	HCOB 24 Oct 76R	C/S Series 96R (Modified by this HCOB/PL)
	HCOB 30 Jan 73RB	Word Clearing Series 46RB METHOD 9 WORD CLEARING THE RIGHT WAY
	HCOB/PL 26 Mar 79R Rev. 25.5.79	Esto Series 35R Word Clearing Series 60R MISUNDERSTOOD WORDS AND CYCLES OF ACTION
	HCOB 16 Jul 79	Product Debug Series 5 Word Clearing Series 63 THE "ELUSIVE" MIS-U OR CRASHING MIS-U
	HCO PL 8 Mar 66 HCO PL 4 Apr 72R III	HIGH CRIME ETHICS AND STUDY TECH

For many years the top auditors, Case Supervisors and Supervisors have used Word Clearing in their High Crime checkouts, but until now there has not been an issue that makes this a mandatory action.

From now on, High Crime checkouts require Word Clearing in addition to star-rates. The miracle results of Word Clearing make all the difference in the world to the quality of technical delivery.

CONSEQUENCES OF NO WORD CLEARING

Lack of Word Clearing has recently brought about some false declares and a return of Quickie Grades.

In many orgs it was found that new HCOBs were not being word cleared AT ALL.

The right thing to do is make full use of Word Clearing technology.

WORD CLEARING REQUIREMENTS

From the date of this issue the Qualifications Division, when giving High Crime checkouts, must require *at least* Method 9 Word Clearing on the materials in addition to starrates and drills. Qual should employ other methods of Word Clearing such as Methods 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 or 8 when deemed necessary to ensure full understanding of the materials being High Crimed. Methods 2, 4 and 5 are very effective in the hands of a Word Clearer who is expert in reading an E-Meter. Method 9 is stressed here and made mandatory because it can be applied easily and is probably the top key method of Word Clearing today.

Where False Data Stripping, Crashing Mis-U tech, O/W handling and service facsimile tech are available and in use these must be employed where needed in checkouts.

The point is that Qual must make full use of Word Clearing in all High Crime checkouts and the student, interne or staff member must be word cleared to where he honestly has no misunderstood words on the materials.

FORMER HIGH CRIME CHECKOUTS

Where High Crime checkouts have been done previously and attested they remain valid. But, if the auditor, Case Supervisor or Word Clearer is later crammed on those materials the cramming must include full Method 9 Word Clearing of the materials on which he goofed and other Word Clearing as decided by Qual.

TECHNICAL RESULTS

The technology works when it is applied exactly.

Exact application depends on complete understanding.

Complete understanding depends on freedom from misunderstood words.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

Assisted by
Commodore's Staff
Captain

LRH:PS:gal
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 21 AUGUST 1979

Remimeo
All Courses
Ds of T
Supervisors
STOs
Cramming Offs
Tech Div
Qual Div

TWINNING

(CANCELS: BTB 16 Mar 71
STUDENT AND COURSE MORALE,
TOUGH CHECKOUTS & COACHING)

Cancellation of Issues Canceling Twinning

The following BPLs and HCO PLs which canceled issues on twinning, or canceled or suspended twinning itself, are now CANCELED:

1. HCO PL 29 Jul 72 II FAST FLOW IN TRAINING written by Training and Services Aide. Though the issues it canceled remain canceled, this HCO PL itself was canceled by BPL 10 Oct 75 X CANCELLATION OF POLICY LETTERS 1972 and remains so.
2. HCO PL 31 Aug 74 FAST FLOW TRAINING REINSTATED which suspended twin training or checkouts, was previously canceled and remains so.
3. BPL 18 Oct 76RD, Rev. 10.9.78 URGENT, IMPORTANT, SUCCESSFUL TRAINING LINEUP, which canceled requirements of twin training or checkouts for Academy, has been canceled and replaced by HCO PL 25 Sep 79 I URGENT, IMPORTANT, SUCCESSFUL TRAINING LINEUP.

THERE ARE NO VALID BPLs OR HCO PLs NOW EXISTING WHICH CANCEL TWINNING.

“Twinning” is the pairing up of two students training on the same subject to work together on their materials.

It is a Scientology innovation in training. For years it was used highly successfully when done correctly and as a standard action on Scientology courses.

Recently I discovered a big WHY behind course failures. That is that twinning as a subject and practice has become confused and fallen into misuse or fallen out completely and one of the reasons behind that is that a number of HCOBs on twinning were canceled and no one issue exists that covers the subject in its entirety.

THIS HCOB REINSTATES TWINNING FIRMLY AND WITH EMPHASIS.

It is NOT subject to cancellation.

It fully lays out the purpose of twinning, the basics and rules and correct use of twinning, when and how it is done, the responsibility of twins and the responsibility of the Supervisor and the handling of twinning bugs.

It re-establishes mandatory twinning on all practical courses, such as the TRs

Course, or on the practical sections of a course, such as E-Meter Drills. It also covers twinning in some areas of theory study where it is obviously called for, such as Method 9 Word Clearing when done between students.

BACKGROUND

In 1954 we found that when you teamed up students of comparable case level and ability they then made progress. When we find something that is that workable we put it to use. Twinning was installed as a fundamental part of the Scientology system of training and it immediately and effectively brought up the participation and action levels of entire course rooms of students. Students grasped the application of the materials faster. It gave us results.

Originally twinning was used almost exclusively on practical drills. Later, in the early '60s, it was carried over into twin checkouts on theory. Still later, with the advent of Word Clearing, applied study tech and fast flow, twinning as a broad mandatory action for all students on theory was canceled.

Even so, some orgs continued twinning students unnecessarily on admin courses and some theory courses and were not enforcing twinning on courses where it is mandatory, such as a TRs Course.

Twinning on practical courses and practical actions has never been canceled by me and was never intended to be canceled. However, a line in a BPL (BPL 18 Oct 76RD Rev. 10.9.78 URGENT, IMPORTANT, SUCCESSFUL TRAINING LINEUP) which stated: "Requirements of twin training or checkouts for Academy are canceled" caused twinning to be dropped out even on practical drills in some areas and threw a confusion into the scene in other areas. The above BPL has now been canceled with a vengeance and is replaced by HCO PL 25 Sept 79 I URGENT, IMPORTANT, SUCCESSFUL TRAINING LINEUP.

And this bulletin restores twinning to its rightful place in training as the vital tool that it is.

WHY TWINNING?

One reason twinning is so vital is that it brings those people who have sunk back into their First Dynamic up out of their First Dynamic and onto the Third. It gives the student a terminal to work with. It puts students into communication, into doingness and participation. One doesn't learn by being a spectator. Twinning not only gets students extroverted but also gets them to take some responsibility for their fellow man. These are factors that are sadly lacking in modern permissive education.

TWINNING VERSUS MODERN PERMISSIVENESS

With twinning we are cutting right across modern "permissive" teaching.

The modern tendency is to just let everybody do as they please and put their attention on whatever they please. This is the "think" of the day, and it is in in most basic school systems and has spread as well into many different fields.

Probably someone somewhere thought it would be much quicker and easier and require much less confront to just let a student sit there permissively, with his attention wandering around in the total significance of it all and then claim he had passed the subject when he had never gone near it.

It is a symptom of people who can't confront not to make anyone else confront.

We don't buy this. It is totally batty. The creeping disease of permissiveness, non confront and spectatorism is simply a part of "the beautiful world of irresponsible slop." It has no place in Scientology training.

Real twinning, enforced, effectively pulls the student right up out of the vague permissiveness of modern think and lands him with some responsibility right from the start. With that he can be honestly trained.

CAUSE AND EFFECT

A person being trained is mainly working on an inflow basis. Day after day it is inflow, inflow, inflow. This tends to put him at effect.

In twinning, the person can balance his inflow with outflow. This keeps him from going totally into effect. It puts some cause into the scene.

A person, when he is expected to apply knowledge or skills, must, of course, be at cause. When he is trained totally at effect, he can get into what is called a “stuck flow” phenomenon whereby he can’t outflow the subject. Yet, if he is ever going to apply it, he is going to have to outflow it.

Twinning has the virtue of balancing inflow and outflow. It will be found that when the person comes to apply the tech, he is already able to outflow if he was trained using twinning.

WHEN TO TWIN

It is not necessary to twin students on admin courses, nor, as a general rule, on tech theory courses. You ensure the student is applying study tech and is not going past misunderstood words and you let him get on with it.

Practical and practical courses are another matter.

Mandatory Twinning

Twinning is mandatory on those courses where the essence of the course is to train the student in the practical application of the data. This would include the TRs Course, any Upper Indoc and Objectives Course, a specialized E-Meter Drills Course and courses of a similar nature.

Even though such courses also include theory, the final objective of such a course is a person trained and drilled in the doingness involved and twinning is absolutely essential for this purpose.

Thus, on such a course, twins are assigned at the beginning of the course and they remain assigned through to the completion of that course. We call it “assigning twins in concrete.” One does not musical chair twins, once assigned, nor allow them to drift from one twin to another.

The whole essence of twinning is to get two students to work together, to assist each other and take responsibility for getting each other successfully through the course.

Twinning On Practical Sections Of Courses

On certain courses containing both theory and practical, such as Academy Levels, you would not necessarily twin students on the theory section of the course. You would, however, definitely and mandatorily twin them on the practical sections.

For example, twinning is a must on E-Meter Drills, or such actions as assessment drilling, drilling of special rundown procedures where this is called for, Learning Drills, Obnosis Drills and other practical applications.

Twinning On Word Clearing

One always twins students where Method 9 Word Clearing is to be done between the students themselves and not by a Word Clearer.

Similarly, Method 8 Word Clearing is twinned, on exactly the same turn-about basis as described in Method 9. (Ref: HCOB 30 Jan 73RB Rev. 1.6.79 Word Clearing Series 46RB METHOD 9 WORD CLEARING THE RIGHT WAY)

An example of turn-about on Method 8 would be: First twin clears word "a." Second twin then clears word "a" AND word "b." First twin then clears word "b" AND word "c," etc. You do two actions consecutively every time.

Twins may also be assigned to get each other through other methods of Word Clearing in this way.

Henceforth, on courses such as the Primary Rundown, where Word Clearing is the essence of the course, twinning is mandatory.

Wherever twins are assigned, whether for an entire course or for practical sections of a course, the rules of twinning apply.

ASSIGNING TWINS

The Supervisor is responsible for assigning twins.

He should take care to team up students of comparable case level and training and abilities inasmuch as possible. In this way both twins make the best progress. Twinning a very fast student with a slow student should be avoided, if possible, as it can be frustrating and upsetting to both students. This must never be used as an excuse NOT to twin students. However, ideally, one matches them up according to their capabilities and twinning goes smoothly and produces best results when this is done.

In some rare instances it may be necessary to reassign twins who have been incorrectly paired. But it should not be necessary if care was taken in teaming them up correctly to begin with.

Otherwise, once assigned, twins work together through to successful completion of the course or activity.

TURN-ABOUT

THE RULE OF TWINNING IS THAT IT IS DONE ON A "TURN-ABOUT" BASIS.

"Turn-about" is done as follows:

One student coaches his twin through a drill or a section of a drill. They then turn-about and the second twin does the same drill or section of that drill PLUS the next drill or next section of the drill. They then turn-about again, with the first student doing the drill his twin has just done PLUS the one following.

The same system applies in Method 9 or Method 8 Word Clearing. One twin clears a word or M9s a paragraph or section of the text. They turn-about and the second twin clears the word or M9s the paragraph or section PLUS the following one. They turn-about again, with the first twin now clearing or M9ing the word or section his twin just cleared PLUS the one following.

Turn-about is applied as well to starrate checkouts where these are called for. It may be done by checking out an entire bulletin on one's twin before the turn-about is done. Or, where a very long text is to be starrated, the turn-about can be done after each section.

With the turn-about system one person is not constantly leading and misunderstandings are kept picked up between twins. The twins keep apace with each other, we don't get unbalanced flows and both are kept progressing.

THE TWIN'S RESPONSIBILITY

A TWIN IS RESPONSIBLE FOR SEEING THAT THE STUDENT WITH WHOM HE IS TWINNED KNOWS AND CAN APPLY THE MATERIAL HE HAS STUDIED .

Twins must be made aware of this responsibility at the onset of the course.

The twin word clears his fellow student. He listens to his sentences and sees that they are correct and fit the definition of the word being cleared. He makes sure his twin understands the materials. If the student doesn't know them cold, the twin helps the student find his misunderstood words and gets him through any difficulties.

Twins do practical drills together. They coach each other to wins and certainty in applying the materials 100% correctly.

If a student flunks a Supervisor checkout on materials he's been passed on by his twin, both students get a flunk. The twin must have a misunderstood himself if he missed the other student's goof.

Morale and Production

MORALE depends on production.

PRODUCTION, in training, is the evidence of the demonstration of competence.

MORALE IS UP WHEN COMPETENCE IS DEMONSTRATED.

MORALE IS UP WHEN PRODUCTION IS UP.

Morale isn't necessarily built by being "nice." Twinning actions *are* carried out with good ARC, but being "nice" is not enough.

A student getting a good stiff coaching session from his twin and passing—or getting a good, stiff checkout and passing, feels great. He has really accomplished something. He *knows* that he knows the data or drill.

A student who gets poor or nonstandard coaching or checkouts feels and knows that he has been cheated. If his twin is just being "nice" he doesn't win and doesn't appreciate the checkout. His morale will be down.

One keeps his twin's morale and production high. One gives him tough standard coaching sessions so he *becomes* competent. One gives him tough standard checkouts so he **KNOWS HE HAS DEMONSTRATED HIS COMPETENCE IN THE MATERIALS**. It is always done with good ARC.

It must be real to student and Supervisor alike that twinning is not a namby-pamby, brush-off activity.

One is responsible for getting his twin *through* the course. If one twin goes to Review, the other goes to Review. If one twin goes to Ethics, the other goes to Ethics. If one twin should blow, the other twin must go and get him. One is responsible for getting his twin *through* the course.

There have been cases in the past where one twin worked like mad to get the other twin through an extensive section right at the end of the course. The other twin then

simply went off and would not do the same so the first student could also finish the course.

IT IS NOW FIRM POLICY THAT WHERE SUCH AN INSTANCE OCCURS, THE STUDENT WHO ABANDONED HIS TWIN JUST BECAUSE HE HIMSELF WAS FINISHED MAY *NOT* BE CERTIFIED

AND MAY *NOT* BE GIVEN A COURSE COMPLETION UNTIL HE HAS COMPLETED HIS TWIN.

Twins are responsible for getting each other *through* the course.

THE SUPERVISOR'S RESPONSIBILITY

It is the Supervisor's responsibility to enforce twinning per the points in this bulletin.

He assigns twins, pairing them according to their capabilities.

He ensures twinning is being done by the book, on a turn-about basis, with *both* twins making progress.

He makes sure twins are wearing their hats as twins and taking responsibility for getting each other through, exactly as laid out in course materials.

A "double flunk" is given when a student flunks a Supervisor checkout on materials his twin has passed him on. "Double flunk" means the student and his twin are both flunked in such a case, as, if the twin has missed the student's goof, he must have misunderstands of his own.

The Supervisor maintains high tech standards by adhering firmly to this system and when he must double flunk he makes sure *both* twins get handled on the goof.

A situation can occur where a student and his twin get into a "games condition," one with the other. This gives a no-progress, problem situation. The students who are twinned are not both working toward the same goal but one is in opposition to the other in some way. This gives no progress, no wins, no production, no demonstration of competence being permitted and low morale.

It is the responsibility of the Supervisor as well as the twinned students to not permit such a situation to occur. With any failure of a twin to be a twin and assume that responsibility, the Supervisor gets the student checked out on this bulletin and any other applicable course material and ensures the student is fully handled.

To keep the course morale high, Supervisors must insist on production and on the demonstration of competence on all materials by the student and his twin.

In a case where a student gets sent to Review or Ethics, the Supervisor must uphold the rule that his twin *always* gets sent as well. He ensures that any blown student is recovered by his twin. In all such cases the Supervisor keeps track of his students and ensures they're handled and gotten back on course rapidly.

A Supervisor who understands the WHY of twinning and sees it is carried out standardly is going to produce causative, responsible graduates who can apply what they have learned.

EMPHASIS ON NO VERBAL DATA

All students should be made aware, from the beginning of training, that the answers to their questions are in their course materials or other source references.

The issues on verbal tech, HCOB 9 Feb 79 HOW TO DEFEAT VERBAL TECH and HCOB 15 Feb 79 VERBAL TECH PENALTIES, should be well-known in the course room.

Even so, students, particularly when they are new, sometimes get into an exchange of verbal data or opinion while they are twinning. A Supervisor must be on the alert for this and step in to handle at once when he observes it happening. He uses study tech to straighten the scene out and always refers the students to the above mentioned HCOBs on verbal tech.

Twinned students, of course, bear a responsibility for not spreading verbal tech, neither between themselves nor to anyone else, for that matter. A twin always refers his fellow student to source materials.

HANDLING BUGS ON TWINNING

The main twinning bugs which could arise are those which were encountered earlier on the Saint Hill Special Briefing Course. One twin would get sent to Ethics or Cramming or Review and the other one then had no twin. Thus, twinning could get to be a little bit unpopular and could block somebody from finishing the course unless these factors are handled.

The remedy for this sort of thing is to send *both* twins to Ethics, *both* twins to Cramming, *both* twins to Review and if anybody blows, send his twin after him. In other words, we don't buy the idea that everybody is totally irresponsible for everybody else in this wonderful First Dynamic world. This is not a mere expediency. It takes only a good, straight look at the purpose and WHY of twinning to recognize the value of this system. Those who do recognize its value will enforce and maintain it.

There is another situation which could act as a bug in twinning. What happens when one twin actually does disappear from the scene totally, in spite of checkouts and cramming and ethics? What do you do with the remaining twin? Unhandled, it can stop a course for a student, so handled it must be and with no time wasted. You don't let the odd twin rattle around on his own for very long.

If he's not too far advanced into the course he can be twinned with an oncoming student. (A well-run course room will always have new students enrolling.) Every effort is then made to get the newcomer caught up with his twin as rapidly as possible.

But what of the more advanced student who loses a twin? If there's absolutely no other single terminal to team him up with, there is still a solution far preferable to having him continue on his own. You match him up with a set of twins of comparable ability and advancement to his and you turn that twinship into a trio. Once formed, you run that trio as tightly as you would any twinship. The turn-about system would then need to be adjusted to a "round robin." (Example: A coaches B, B coaches C, C coaches A.) And it would then reverse. This is more easily seen if it is diagrammed:

A coaches B on the 1st
action (drill, definition,
etc.) _____ B

B coaches C on the 1st
action _____ C

C coaches A on the 1st
action _____ A

And then it reverses.

B _____ C coaches B on the
2nd action

A _____ B coaches A on the
2nd action

C _____ A coaches C on the
2nd action

And now it reverses back.

A coaches B on the 3rd
action _____ B

B coaches C on the 3rd
action _____ C

C coaches A on the 3rd
action _____ A

And now it would reverse back again (C coaches B. etc.) and so on through the drill, definition or M9 section.

All the rules of twinning then apply to these three. You “assign the trio in concrete” and you ensure they keep advancing. Again, the point here is that we operate on the Third Dynamic where one does take some responsibility for his fellow man.

HANDLING BOGGED STUDENTS AND THEIR TWINS

When a bogged student cannot be handled in the course room with standard Word Clearing and study tech and he is sent to Cramming, Review or, where indicated, to Ethics, his twin is also always sent.

The idea is not only to keep the twins together and taking responsibility for each other but to also correct and repair *both* of them as needed.

In other words, one twin doesn’t simply sit there and observe the other twin being handled. The twin of a bogged student will also require handling in Review, Ethics, or Cramming himself. If a student has ended up on Review lines, it must be assumed that the twin has goofed as a twin and has misunderstands on the course materials. This must be sorted out and resolved by the Dir Review when the twins route through Review.

The Dir Review determines, by interview, what the trouble is and how it should be resolved. This is done on an individual basis for each twin.

For example, the bogged student may need Word Clearing or a Word Clearing Correction List and his twin may need to restudy this twinning bulletin or other course materials.

In Ethics, for example, a student may be involved in some sort of out-ethics situation, such as continually being late for course. In any ethics situation one would look for the possibility of mutual rudiments between twins. Whether or not mutual ruds exist, the twin would always be given some handling in regard to his responsibility in the situation.

In the above example, the Ethics Officer might handle the student with an amends project. He would then go over with the twin the matter of *his* responsibility and what steps *he* could take to ensure the student gets to course on time. The twin would then get the student through his amends, get through his own handling, whatever its nature and the two of them would then go back to course.

The twin sees the student through his review, cramming or ethics cycle and, whenever possible, is used to help the student get through it. He also receives appropriate handling himself.

THE RULE IS: WHEN ONE STUDENT BECOMES BOGGED, HIS TWIN *IS* ALWAYS SENT WITH HIM TO CRAMMING, REVIEW OR ETHICS.

In the rare case where a student is going to require extensive handling in Review or Ethics, such as case handling or suspension from course where such would be truly warranted, the Dir Review or Ethics Officer may send the other twin back to course to be retwinning with another student.

The Supervisor always checks up on any students who have been routed off course temporarily. He must keep himself informed as to their whereabouts and progress on correction lines and see that they are returned to course corrected, as rapidly as possible. He does not allow any student or twin to simply drop off his lines with an incomplete course, unhandled or unaccounted for. Any twin has the responsibility of getting himself and his fellow student back onto the course as well.

Once students get the idea that their own progress on a course depends utterly on the quality of their twinning you will start to see some quite magical results. They're now out of the irresponsibility of it all and operating on the Third Dynamic.

It simply takes standard supervision plus REAL TWINNING.

That is the winning combination.

So enforce twinning.

It will show up in F/Ning students and high and genuine course completions, which any Supervisor, any org. any graduate can be proud of.

And I will be proud of you, too.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:gal
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 23 AUGUST 1979
Issue I

Remimeo
Word Clearers
Cram Officers
Supervisors
Estos
Tech
Qual

Word Clearing Series 65

Product Debug Series 6

CRASHING MUs, BLOCKS TO FINDING THEM

Ref:	HCOB 17 Jun 79	CRASHING MIS-Us: THE KEY TO COMPLETED CYCLES OF ACTION AND PRODUCTS
	HCOB 8 Sep 64	OVERTS. WHAT LIES BEHIND THEM?
	HCOB 7 Aug 79	FALSE DATA STRIPPING
	HCOB 7 Jul 64	JUSTIFICATIONS
	HCOB 21 Jan 60	JUSTIFICATION
	HCOB 5 Sep 78	ANATOMY OF A SERVICE FAC SIMILE
	HCOB 6 Sep 78II	SERVICE FACSIMILES AND
	ROCK SLAMS	
	HCOB 6 Sep 78III	ROUTINE THREE SC-A FULL SERVICE FACSIMILE HAND LING UPDATED WITH NEW ERA DIANETICS

It may occur in Crashing MU finding that no Crashing MU can be found even though it is obvious from the person's inability to complete a cycle of action or get out a product that a Crashing MU must exist.

It is vital, in attempting to find someone's Crashing MUs, that one does not abandon the search simply because, on enquiry, the person is unable to come up with anything. It may take skill and hard work to uncover the Crashing MU but it must be found, no matter how arduous the search. The completion of the cycle of action and the accomplishment of the product depend on locating and clearing up the Crashing MU that is getting in the way.

Crashing MUs can be buried. They can be buried by

- A) Other MU words
- B) Overts or withholds
- C) False data
- D) Justifications
- E) Service facsimiles.

Any one of A, B, C, D or E above or a combination of these can prevent one from finding the Crashing MU. One handles by

- a) Clearing up the other MUs
- b) Pulling the overts or withholds

- c) Stripping off the false data
- d) Getting off the justifications
- e) Handling the service facsimile or sending the person to an auditor to get audited on it.

If the Word Clearer came across the situation where no Crashing MU could be found despite obvious indications that one existed, he would check for each of the above blocks in turn and handle anything there was to handle on each point. After handling one of the above blocks, he would recheck for the Crashing MU and if still not available to be found and cleared, he would proceed to check the next block and so on until the Crashing MU was found and cleared. One would check for the blocks in the sequence given (A-E) and only go so far as necessary to uncover the Crashing MU.

CRASHING MUs

The full handling of a Crashing MU itself will be found in:

HCOB 17 Jun 79	CRASHING MIS-US: THE KEY TO COMPLETED CYCLES OF ACTION AND PRODUCTS
HCOB 18 Jun 79	THE CRASHING MIS-U REPAIR LIST—LC1
HCOB 16 Jul 79	THE “ELUSIVE” MIS-U OR CRASHING MIS-U
HCOB 26 Mar 79RA	MISUNDERSTOOD WORDS AND CYCLES OF ACTION—MU WORDS AND NO PRODUCTS
HCOB 7 Jul 79	CRASHING MIS-U DEFINITION

A. OTHER MISUNDERSTOODS

Ref: Word Clearing Series

The person may have MUs and confusions which are obscuring the *Crashing* MU. In attempting to find the Crashing MU one might have to find and clear these other MUs before the person can locate the Crashing MU which has been buried by these other MUs and which is hanging up the cycle of action or the product.

A Crashing MU is a MU that crashes a subject and crashes a person. It is straight on the subject-line that is giving trouble and is totally blocking the person's comprehension of the subject. This is not to be confused with other MUs. These would include grammatical MUs, MUs on disrelated subjects or MUs on simple words. A Crashing MU is quite different. It is directly on the subject and it totally blocks the person's understanding of the subject and stops any cycles of action or products on that line.

HANDLING: Other MUs obscuring the Crashing MU are located and cleared using any of Word Clearing Methods 2-9 or a combination of these. One might have to do Method 2 and Method 4 on certain materials, for example, before the Crashing MU can then be located. Method 9 is a very thorough and fruitful method of word clearing materials. By whatever method, the MUs are found and cleared. Any MUs that come up during Crashing MU Finding are immediately cleared. This does not mean, however, that one has found the Crashing MU. One has simply unburdened it.

B. OVERTS AND WITHHOLDS

Ref: Academy Class II Materials

As covered in HCOB 8 Sep 64 OVERTS, WHAT LIES BEHIND THEM?, overts and withholds can enter in after the person encounters a misunderstood word or symbol on the subject or in the area. Having committed the overt, the person may now be withholding so hard that it can become impossible to get his attention onto the MU word that comes earlier in time and is more basic than the withhold.

An example of this would be someone who had broken a machine as a result of trying to operate it over his MU on how it worked. His attention would become so caught up with withholding this overt that he might not be able to confront the area at all, let alone find the underlying Crashing MU.

The person's withholds on the subject of the area not only prevent him from talking about it sensibly to the person trying to find his Crashing MUs, but also tend to withhold him from the subject itself. He won't be able to think well on that subject because he is withholding data concerning it. The person might also be frightened of punishment or discipline if he did reveal his overt. Therefore, communication with the Crashing MU finder or the subject, also may block up his memory or his ability to think on the subject and so a Crashing MU can be buried totally out of sight.

HANDLING: The handling of O/Ws would depend on whether or not one was using a meter for the Crashing MU finding.

In metered Crashing MU finding one would ask:

"Concerning (subject under discussion) is there anything you are withholding?" and if it was reading one would handle per HCOB 11 Aug 78, I, RUDIMENTS, DEFINITIONS AND PATTERN. Suppress and False could be used as needed.

One could also check and handle:

"Concerning (subject under discussion) have you committed any overt?"

"Concerning (subject under discussion) has a withhold been missed?"

In nonmetered Crashing MU finding one could ask the person if he had any overt or withhold concerning the subject under discussion. Very often, if one is in good communication with the person and there is no accusativeness or duress, he will say, "Well, actually, I didn't want to tell anyone but I lost all the ruddy rods," or whatever the withhold was. In nonmetered asking for overts or withholds one must get the person meter checked immediately afterwards to ensure nothing gets missed. It goes without saying that a person can get very misemotional or blow or get very angry with the Crashing MU finder if you miss a withhold on him. So don't be surprised if you get a sudden blow-up when you use unmetered overt or withhold questions.

C. FALSE DATA

Ref: HCOB/PL 7 Aug 79 FALSE DATA STRIPPING

A person who has been given and has accepted false data or false definitions on a subject may become convinced that he "knows" the words when in fact the data and definitions may be entirely false. This may even prevent the misunderstandings from reading on the meter. It can certainly bury a Crashing MU because the person's certainty that he "knows" the data will prevent him from looking for the Crashing MU which is blocking him from getting products.

HANDLING: One handles false data by stripping it off exactly per HCOB/PL 7 Aug 79 FALSE DATA STRIPPING. This is a procedure which locates the false data and then blows it by recall. It is an extremely effective way of getting off the false data which is blocking the person's understanding of a subject by giving him a false understanding.

D. JUSTIFICATIONS

Ref: HCOB 21 Jan 60 JUSTIFICATION
HCOB 7 Jul 64 JUSTIFICATIONS

A person can have a defense mechanism whereby he justifies having a Crashing MU by giving reasons why it is OK not to understand the subject or area. He explains

why he doesn't have to understand and makes others wrong for trying to set him straight on it. Examples of this would be:

"I'm new and haven't been at it too long."

"I have to spend so much time on my post, I don't have time to learn about it."

"Only a professional could really understand this."

"No one really knows anything about that subject anyway."

"They keep changing the terminology so how could I learn it."

HANDLING: The handling of justifications is covered in HCOB 7 Aug 79 FALSE DATA STRIPPING which has several questions in the section on locating the false data which are designed to pull off the person's justifications for failure to understand a subject or inability to turn out professional products in an area. Basically the questions ask for anything that makes it OK not to know a particular subject or not to get results with that subject. When the justifications are located they are blown with recall, just as with false data in general.

If there are no justifications present or if the trouble does not resolve with pulling off justifications, then it will be handled with the next section—service facsimiles—since justifications as used here are really a specialized kind of self-serving service facsimile. Justifications and service facsimiles are actually cousins.

E. SERVICE FACSIMILIES

Ref: Academy Class IV Materials
HCOB 5 Sep 78 ANATOMY OF A SERVICE FACSIMILE
HCOB 6 Sep 78 II SERVICE FACSIMILES AND ROCK SLAMS
HCOB 6 Sep 78 III ROUTINE THREE SC-A FULL SERVICE
FACSIMILE HANDLING UPDATED WITH
NEW ERA DIANETICS

A service facsimile is an idea someone uses to make himself right and others wrong. These ideas are held in by engrams. For the purposes of Crashing MU finding, they can be handled by recall.

If you are trying to find someone's Crashing MU and he has a service facsimile getting in the way, then his efforts will be taken up entirely with trying to make himself right and you and others wrong and you will not be able to get to the Crashing MU. He would even feel made wrong if a Crashing MU *was* found.

One person who was being checked for a Crashing MU in an area in which she was goofing could not even see her goofs, let alone a Crashing MU. Eventually she admitted that she had the idea that she could not be wrong regarding this particular subject. When this was spotted and cleared up the Crashing MU could be located and the whole area straightened out.

HANDLING: If the person is manifesting the symptoms of a service facsimile or if the failure to find a Crashing MU where one obviously must exist is not resolved with A-D above, then the Word Clearer would ask, "Is there some idea you are using which makes you right and others wrong?" and two-way comm with him about it without getting into listing for an item. Usually the person will give up the service facsimile and realize that he has been making himself right and others wrong. He will feel very relieved to have spotted it and will be able to look for and find his Crashing MU. If, however, the service facsimile does not come up on request and two-way comm, then the person should be sent for handling by an auditor on service facsimiles.

CAUTIONS

The remedies given in this HCOB must be understood to be remedies for inability to locate the Crashing MU—they are not substitutes for standard application of the tech of finding and clearing Crashing MUs.

One would always begin with the standard approach to finding the Crashing MU and, if none was found, only then would one check for and handle each of the blocks given above in the sequence given.

If one found something on any of A-E above, one would handle it and then check again for the Crashing MU. One does not automatically check all of A-E. The sole idea is to handle whatever is burying the Crashing MU and as soon as that has been achieved one returns to Crashing MU finding.

If half way through the False Data Stripping, for example, the person realizes he has had a Crashing MU on , then that's it. You wouldn't now continue the False Data Stripping. You would complete the step you were on and then end off.

The same goes for any of the remedies. As soon as the Crashing MU is found or findable, the purpose of the remedy has been achieved and that would be it.

As it is fatal to miss a withhold on someone, it is very important that any withhold pulling done is thorough and goes to real VGIs whether it is metered or unmetered. Withhold pulling off the meter must be followed by a meter check, whether anything is found or not.

Similarly in asking for a service facsimile it is possible to start the person listing and if he gets sick or caves in later one must assume that this has occurred and get the action repaired in session rapidly.

NOTE: Of course if the person is PTS and dramatizing creating problems, you may not be able to get anywhere at all until he has been run on Clay Table de-PTSing to full EP.

These cautions are not given here to make it look difficult or dangerous to do Crashing MU finding. It is usually very straightforward. However, if one is going to get results every time, he must be aware of the possible errors or barriers that he may run into and should know how to handle them.

SUMMARY

Sometimes Crashing MU finding draws a blank even though there is plenty of evidence that a Crashing MU exists.

Other misunderstands, overts or withholds, false data, justifications and service facsimiles can bury the Crashing MU.

If one runs into this situation, one must not abandon the Crashing MU finding as the person will continue to have difficulty and will not get out his products.

The answer is to handle the blocks that are preventing the Crashing MU from being found and then find and clear the Crashing MU.

Then one can get the spectacular results of this miracle tech every time.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:gal
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 23 AUGUST 1979
Issue II

Remimeo
Tech
Qual
C/Ses

Product Debug Series 10

PRODUCT DEBUG REPAIR LIST

Ref: HCO PL 23 Aug 79 I Product Debug Series 1,
Esto Series 37
DEBUG TECH
HCO PL 23 Aug 79 II Product Debug Series 2,
Esto Series 38
DEBUG TECH CHECKLIST
Product Debug Series

The purpose of this list is to repair a messed up Product Debug (as covered in HCO PL 23 Aug 79 I Product Debug Series 1, Esto Series 37 DEBUG TECH and HCO PL 23 Aug 79 II Product Debug Series 2, Esto Series 38 DEBUG TECH CHECKLIST).

In the event of somebody getting messed up because of faulty debugging, use this list to clean up the BPC and then get the person back to complete the debug actions.

This list is done in session by an auditor and is assessed Method 3.

Preface each line with: "On your Product Debug handling_____."

Each reading line is taken to F/N per the instructions.

Any R/S turned on on this list must be immediately reported to the Ethics Officer.

Any such assessment sheet as this must be placed in the person's pc folder.

PC's NAME: _____ DATE: _____

AUDITOR: _____

SECTION 1

- 1A. DID YOU HAVE AN OUT-LIST? _____
(Handle per HCOB 11 Apr 77 LIST ERRORS CORRECTION OF, section on "Use of L4BRA.")
- 1B. WERE YOU GIVEN A WRONG ITEM? _____
(Indicate and handle per HCOB 11 Apr 77 LIST ERRORS CORRECTION OF and C/S Series 78.)
- 1C. WERE YOU GIVEN A WRONG WHY? _____
(Indicate and handle per HCOB 11 Apr 77 LIST ERRORS CORRECTION OF and C/S Series 78.)
- 1D. WERE YOU BEING DEBUGGED ON THE WRONG PRODUCT? _____
(Indicate and handle per C/S Series 78.)
- 1E. WAS THE WRONG AREA ADDRESSED? _____
(Indicate and handle per C/S Series 78.)

1F. WERE YOU ASSIGNED A WRONG CONDITION? _____
(Indicate and handle as a wrong item.)

SECTION 2

2A. DID YOU HAVE AN ARC BREAK? _____
(Fly the ARC break.)

2B. DID YOU HAVE A PROBLEM? _____
(Fly the problem.)

2C. DID YOU HAVE A WITHHOLD? _____
(Pull the withhold.)

2D. DID YOU HAVE AN OVERT? _____
(Pull the overt.)

2E. DID THE PERSON DOING THE DEBUG MISS A WITHHOLD? _____
(Pull the withhold.)

2F. WERE OVERTS OR WITHHOLDS RESTIMULATED BUT NOT BLOWN? _____
(Pull the overts or withholds.)

2G. WAS THERE AN OVERT OR WITHHOLD THAT WAS GOTTEN OFF MORE THAN ONCE? _____
(Indicate it and 2WC E/S to F/N.)

2H. DID SOMEBODY SAY YOU HAD AN OVERT OR WITHHOLD WHEN YOU DIDN'T? _____
(Indicate it and 2WC E/S to F/N.)

2I. WAS THERE SOME OTHER KIND OF OUT-RUD? _____
(Find out what and handle.)

2J. WERE YOU USING THE DEBUG AS AN EXCUSE NOT TO PRODUCE? _____
(Handle as a withhold.)

2K. WAS THERE SOME KIND OF OUT-ETHICS? _____
(Handle as a withhold.)

2L. DID YOU HAVE COUNTER-INTENTION? _____
(Handle as a withhold.)

2M. DID YOU HAVE OTHER-INTENTION? _____
(Handle as a withhold.)

2N. WAS THERE ANY INVALIDATION? _____
(2WC E/S to F/N.)

2O. WAS THERE ANY EVALUATION? _____
(2WC E/S to F/N.)

2P. WERE THERE IGNORED ORIGINATIONS? _____
(2WC E/S to F/N.)

2Q. WERE YOU PROTESTING? _____
(Indicate and 2WC E/S to F/N.)

2R. DID YOU HAVE NO INTEREST IN THE ACTION? _____

(Find out if it's out-ruds, MUs or past failures and handle.)

2S. WAS THERE A FAILED PURPOSE? _____
(2WC E/S to F/N.)

SECTION 3

3A. DID YOU RESENT THE DEBUG ACTIONS? _____
(Find out why and 2WC E/S to F/N putting in any out-ruds. If the debug was unnecessary indicate it and take it E/S to F/N.)

3B. WAS THERE NO INSPECTION DONE TO DETERMINE WHAT TO DEBUG? _____
(Indicate and 2WC E/S to F/N. Program him to have the inspection done and then a proper debug.)

3C. WAS THE INSPECTION MISDONE IN SOME WAY? _____
(Indicate and 2WC E/S to F/N. Program him to have the inspection done properly and then a proper debug.

3D. DID YOU FEEL THE PERSON DOING THE DEBUG WAS ACTING OUT OF REVENGE? _____
(Quad ruds and overts on the terminal.)

3E. DID YOU FEEL THE PERSON DOING THE DEBUG WAS JUST TRYING TO GET EVEN WITH YOU? _____
(Quad ruds and overts on the terminal.)

SECTION 4

4A. DIDN'T YOU UNDERSTAND WHAT WAS BEING DONE? _____
(Handle his MUs and questions.)

4B. WERE THERE WORD CLEARING ERRORS? _____
(Assess and handle a WCCL.)

4C. WAS AN MU FOUND THAT WAS NOT CLEARED? _____
(Fully clear the MU to F/N.)

4D. WAS THE WORD CLEARED NOT REALLY A MISUNDERSTOOD? _____
(Indicate and 2WC E/S to F/N.)

4E. WERE YOU TOLD YOU HAD MUs WHEN YOU DIDN'T? _____
(Indicate and 2WC E/S to F/N.)

4F. WAS YOUR CRASHING MIS-U FINDING MESSED UP? _____
(Assess and handle a Crashing Mis-U Repair List.)

4G. WAS THE CRASHING MIS-U FOUND NOT FULLY CLEARED? _____
(Clear it fully to F/N.)

4H. COULDN'T YOU FIND THE CRASHING MIS-U? _____
(Assess and handle the Crashing Mis-U Repair List.)

4I. WERE YOU TOLD YOU HAD A CRASHING MIS-U WHEN YOU DIDN'T? _____
(Indicate and take E/S to F/N. Do a Crashing Mis-U Repair List if necessary.)

4J. WAS YOUR CRASHING MIS-U FINDING MISDONE? _____

(Assess and handle a Crashing Mis-U Repair List.)

- 4K. COULDN'T COMPLETE SOME CYCLE OF ACTION?
(2WC E/S to F/N. Send to the Word Clearer for handling with
Crashing Mis-U tech.) _____

SECTION 5

- 5A. WAS THERE FALSE DATA?
(2WC E/S to F/N. Send to the debugger for False Data Stripping
on the area.) _____
- 5B. WAS YOUR FALSE DATA HANDLING MESSED UP?
(Assess and handle the False Data Stripping Repair List.) _____
- 5C. WAS THE "FALSE DATA" FOUND NOT REALLY FALSE
DATA?
(Indicate it and have him spot this. Take it E/S to F/N.) _____
- 5D. WAS SOME FALSE DATA UNCOVERED BUT NOT
BLOWN?
(Handle the false data to a blow with the False Data Stripping
procedure.) _____
- 5E. DID THE PERSON DOING THE DEBUG GIVE YOU FALSE
DATA?
(Indicate and strip off the false data per HCOB 7 Aug 79 Product
Debug Series 8, Esto Series 36 FALSE DATA STRIPPING.) _____
- 5F. WAS THE TRUE OR CORRECT DATA NEVER FOUND?
(Indicate and 2WC E/S to F/N. Program him to have this handled
with False Data Stripping.) _____
- 5G. DID SOMEBODY SAY YOU HAD FALSE DATA WHEN YOU
DIDN'T?
(Indicate and 2WC E/S to F/N.) _____
- 5H. HAD THE FALSE DATA ALREADY BEEN HANDLED?
(Indicate and 2WC E/S to F/N.) _____
- 5I. WERE YOU GIVEN ANY VERBAL DATA?
(2WC E/S to F/N. Program this to be handled with the "How to
Defeat Verbal Tech Checklist.") _____
- 5J. ARE YOU OPERATING OFF FALSE OR VERBAL DATA?
(Indicate and 2WC E/S to F/N. Program this to be handled with
False Data Stripping and the "How to Defeat Verbal Tech
Checklist.") _____
- 5K. HAVE YOU GIVEN OTHERS FALSE DATA?
(Pull as a withhold. Then strip off any false data he has in the
area.) _____
- 5L. HAVE YOU TOLERATED FALSE DATA BEING GIVEN
YOU?
(Pull as a withhold. Then strip off the false data.) _____
- 5M. HAVE YOU CONCLUDED SOMETHING WITHOUT
CHECKING IT OUT TO OBTAIN THE FULL FACTS?
(Handle as a withhold. Then strip off any false data he has on the
area.) _____

- 5N. HAVE YOU FAILED TO DO YOUR HOMEWORK IN YOUR SUBJECT?
(Handle as a withhold. Then strip off any false data he has in the area.) _____
- 5O. HAVE YOU JUST HOPED SOMETHING WAS OKAY AND PASSED IT ON AS OKAY WHEN YOU DIDN'T KNOW?
(Handle as a withhold. Then strip off any false data he has in the area.) _____
- 5P. HAVE YOU PRETENDED KNOWLEDGE AND EXPERIENCE YOU DID NOT HAVE?
(Handle as a withhold.) _____
- 5Q. HAVE YOU GIVEN FALSE DATA TO GET OUT OF SOMETHING?
(Handle as a withhold.) _____
- 5R. HAVE YOU EVER LIED ABOUT ANYTHING IN THIS AREA?
(Handle as a withhold.) _____

SECTION 6

- 6A. WAS YOUR ETHICS HANDLING MESSED UP?
(Indicate it and 2WC E/S to F/N. If necessary, assess the appropriate correction list to handle the BPC.) _____
- 6B. WERE YOU NOT HANDLED ON YOUR ETHICS WHEN YOU SHOULD HAVE BEEN?
(Indicate and 2WC E/S to F/N. Program this ethics situation to be handled by the debugger.) _____
- 6C. WERE YOU TOLD YOU WERE OUT-ETHICS WHEN YOU WEREN'T?
(Indicate and 2WC E/S to F/N.) _____
- 6D. WAS THERE SOME OUT-ETHICS SITUATION THAT WAS NOT DETECTED?
(Pull this as a withhold. Then program for handling according to what comes up.) _____

SECTION 7

- 7A. WERE YOU TRYING TO JUSTIFY YOUR ACTIONS?
(2WC the justifications E/S to F/N. Then check for and pull any O/Ws in the area of the justifications.) _____
- 7B. WERE YOU TRYING TO JUSTIFY AN OVERT?
(2WC the justifications E/S to F/N. Then pull the overt.) _____
- 7C. WERE YOU TRYING TO LESSEN AN OVERT?
(2WC this E/S to F/N. Pull the overt.) _____
- 7D. IS THERE SOMETHING THAT MAKES IT OK FOR YOU NOT TO GET YOUR PRODUCT OUT?
(Have him tell you about it E/S to F/N. Then strip off the justification per HCOB 7 Aug 79 Product Debug Series 8, Esto Series 36 FALSE DATA STRIPPING.) _____
- 7E. IS THERE SOME REASON WHY PRODUCING AN OVERT PRODUCT IS ALL RIGHT? _____

(2WC it E/S to F/N. Then strip off the justification per HCOB 7 Aug 79 Product Debug Series 8, Esto Series 36 FALSE DATA STRIPPING.)

7F. IS THERE SOMETHING THAT MAKES IT OK FOR YOU NOT TO BE COMPETENT ON YOUR POST?

(2WC it E/S to F/N. Then strip off the justification per HCOB 7 Aug 79 Product Debug Series 8, Esto Series 36 FALSE DATA STRIPPING.)

SECTION 8

8A. IS THERE SOME IDEA YOU WERE USING TO MAKE YOURSELF RIGHT AND OTHERS WRONG?

(2WC him on this and get him to spot and tell you the service facsimile without getting into listing for it. What you are trying to do is get him to find and blow the service facsimile by recall. If he does not come up with the service facsimile complete the 2WC to F/N and program him for full service facsimile handling.)

8B. WERE YOU TRYING TO MAKE YOURSELF RIGHT AND OTHERS WRONG?

(Handle this as in 8A above.)

8C. IS THERE SOMETHING YOU ARE DOING TO MAKE YOURSELF RIGHT?

(Handle as in 8A above.)

8D. IS THERE A METHOD OF MAKING OTHERS WRONG?

(Handle as in 8A above.)

8E. ARE YOU CONCERNED ABOUT BEING RIGHT OR WRONG?

(2WC this E/S to F/N. Program him for full service facsimile handling.)

8F. WAS YOUR SERVICE FACSIMILE HANDLING MESSED UP?

(Determine if it is an L&N error or an incomplete list and if so, handle per HCOB 11 Apr 77 LIST ERRORS CORRECTION OF and C/S Series 78. Otherwise clean up the BPC with an L1C and program him to have any incomplete handling on service facsimiles completed.)

SECTION 9

9A. WAS THERE BAD CRAMMING?

(Assess and handle a Cramming Repair List.)

9B. WERE YOU NOT CRAMMED WHEN YOU SHOULD HAVE BEEN?

(2WC E/S to F/N. Program him to get the needed cramming done.)

9C. WAS THERE SOMETHING ELSE WRONG WITH YOUR CRAMMING?

(Assess and handle a Cramming Repair List.)

9D. FAILED TO LOOK OVER THE MATERIALS OF WHICH YOU HAD FALSE DATA OR MU_s ON AFTER YOU WERE CLEANED UP AND WERE STILL BLANK ON THE

MATERIALS BECAUSE YOU HADN'T GONE THROUGH THEM AGAIN?

(2WC E/S to F/N. Program him to re-cover and restudy the materials and send the Cramming Officer to Ethics.)

9E. DID THE CRAMMING OFFICER JUST SYMPATHIZE WITH YOU?

(2WC E/S to F/N. Send the Cramming Officer to Ethics.)

SECTION 10

10A WAS THERE SOME PERSONNEL BUG THAT WAS NOT HANDLED?

(2WC E/S to F/N. Program this to be handled with debug tech.)

10B WAS THERE SOME SORT OF TROUBLE WITH PERSONNEL THAT WAS NOT FOUND?

(Indicate and 2WC E/S to F/N. Program for handling according to what comes up.)

SECTION 11

11A IS THERE SOME PROBLEM WITH YOUR COMM LINES?

(2WC E/S to F/N. Note for further handling with debug tech.)

11B NO ORDERS?

(2WC E/S to F/N. Note for handling with debug tech.)

11C CROSS-ORDERS?

(2WC E/S to F/N. Note for handling with debug tech.)

11D ILLEGAL ORDERS?

(2WC E/S to F/N. Note for handling with debug tech.)

11E SOME OTHER TROUBLE WITH ORDERS?

(2WC E/S to F/N. Note for handling with debug tech.)

SECTION 12

12A ARE YOU UNABLE TO STUDY?

(2WC E/S to F/N. Program him for the M8 and M9 program and PCRD if necessary.)

12B WAS THERE SOME DIFFICULTY WITH HATTING THAT WAS NOT FOUND?

(2WC E/S to F/N. Program for handling with debug tech.)

12C WERE YOU PREVENTED FROM GETTING HATTED?

(Indicate and 2WC E/S to F/N. Program for handling with debug tech.)

12D WAS THERE SOME OTHER PROBLEM WITH HATTING OR STUDY?

(2WC E/S to F/N. Program for handling with debug tech.)

12E IS THERE NO HATTING COURSE?

(2WC E/S to F/N. See that a hatting course is established and that he studies meanwhile.)

12F ARE THERE NO HATS?

(2WC E/S to F/N. Program him to compile his A-I Hat.)

SECTION 13

- 13A WAS YOUR PRODUCT CLEARING MESSED UP? _____
(Assess and handle a Product Clearing Correction List.)
- 13B WAS YOUR PRODUCT INVALIDATED? _____
(2WC E/S to F/N.)
- 13C DIDN'T YOU KNOW WHAT YOUR PRODUCT WAS? _____
(2WC E/S to F/N. Program for Product Clearing.)
- 13D WAS PRODUCT CLEARING NOT DONE? _____
(2WC E/S to F/N. Program for Product Clearing.)

SECTION 14

- 14A WAS YOUR CLAY TABLE PTS HANDLING MESSED UP? _____
(Assess and handle the PTS Clay Table Repair List.)
- 14B ARE YOU CONNECTED TO SOMEONE WHO IS ANTAGONISTIC TO YOU? _____
(2WC E/S to F/N. Send him to get routine PTS handling and program him for PTS Clay Table Handling.)
- 14C ARE YOU CONNECTED TO SOMEONE OR SOMETHING THAT IS SUPPRESSIVE TO YOU? _____
(2WC E/S to F/N. Send him to get routine PTS handling and program him for PTS Clay Table Handling.)
- 14D DID SOMEONE SAY YOU WERE PTS WHEN YOU WEREN'T? _____
(Indicate and 2WC E/S to F/N.)
- 14E ACCIDENTS? _____
(2WC E/S to F/N. Send him to get routine PTS handling and program him for PTS Clay Table Handling.)
- 14F ARE THERE LOTS OF PROBLEMS IN YOUR AREA? _____
(2WC E/S to F/N. Program him and any other PTS personnel in his area for PTS handling including Clay Table De-PTSing.)

SECTION 15

- 15A WAS THERE SOME EXTERIOR INFLUENCE THAT WAS NOT HANDLED? _____
(2WC E/S to F/N. Program for handling with debug tech.)
- 15B IS THERE SOMETHING STOPPING YOUR PRODUCTION WHICH IS OUT OF YOUR CONTROL? _____
(2WC E/S to F/N. Program for handling with debug tech.)

SECTION 16

- 16A WAS THERE SOME SORT OF ORGANIZATIONAL PROBLEM? _____
(2WC E/S to F/N. Program for handling with debug tech.)
- 16B WAS THERE SOME ORGANIZATIONAL TROUBLE THAT WAS NOT LOCATED? _____
(2WC E/S to F/N. Program for handling with debug tech.)

SECTION 17

- 17A WERE THERE FALSE READS?
(Indicate and take E/S to F/N.) _____
- 17B WERE THERE MISSED READS?
(Indicate and take E/S to F/N. Program him to get what was missed handled with debug tech.) _____
- 17C WERE YOU HANDLED ON SOMETHING THAT DIDN'T NEED HANDLING?
(Get what and indicate the unnecessary action. Take it E/S to F/N.) _____
- 17D WAS THERE SOMETHING WHICH SHOULD HAVE BEEN TAKEN UP THAT WASN'T?
(2WC E/S to F/N. Program for handling with debug tech.) _____
- 17E WAS SOMETHING QUICKIED?
(Indicate and 2WC E/S to F/N. Note for handling with debug tech.) _____
- 17F WAS SOMETHING LEFT INCOMPLETE?
(2WC E/S to F/N. Program this to be completed per debug tech.) _____
- 17G WAS SOME PART OF THE DEBUG OVERRUN?
(Indicate and rehab to F/N.) _____
- 17H WAS SOMETHING MISSED?
(Find out what and 2WC E/S to F/N. Pull any M/W/Hs.) _____

SECTION 18

- 18A WAS SOME PART OF THE DEBUG UNNECESSARY?
(Indicate and 2WC E/S to F/N.) _____
- 18B WERE YOU NOT HAVING ANY TROUBLE GETTING OUT YOUR PRODUCTS IN THE FIRST PLACE?
(If this is actually the case indicate to him that trying to debug his products when he was already getting them out was an unnecessary action. If necessary take it E/S to F/N.) _____
- 18C WERE YOUR PRODUCTS ACTUALLY BEING GOTTEN OUT?
(If this is actually the case indicate to him that trying to debug his products when he was already getting them out was an unnecessary action. If necessary take it E/S to F/N.) _____

SECTION 19

- 19A WAS THERE SOMETHING ELSE WRONG?
(Find out what and handle with the appropriate correction list.) _____
- 19B WERE YOU IN SOME SORT OF CASE TROUBLE?
(Assess and handle a C/S 53.) _____

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:gal
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO POLICY LETTER OF 23 AUGUST 1979
Issue I

Remimeo
Product Officers
Org Officers
Execs
All Staff
Programs Chiefs
Project Operators
Mission Operators
Missionaires
Assistant Guardians
Flag Representatives
LRH Communicators
Cramming Officers
Review

Product Debug Series 1

Esto Series 37

DEBUG TECH

Ref: LRH ED 302 INT	DEBUG TECH BREAKTHROUGH
HCO PL 23 Aug 79 II	DEBUG TECH CHECKLIST
HCOB 23 Aug 79 II	PRODUCT DEBUG REPAIR LIST
HCOB 17 fun 79	URGENT, IMPORTANT—CRASHING
	MIS-Us: THE KEY TO COMPLETED
	CYCLES OF ACTION AND PRODUCTS
HCOB 7 Aug 79	FALSE DATA STRIPPING
HCO PL 26 Mar 79RA	MISUNDERSTOOD WORDS AND
	CYCLES OF ACTION—MU
	WORDS AND NO PRODUCTS
HCOB 23 Aug 79 I	CRASHING Mu's BLOCKS TO
	FINDING THEM

When I wrote LRH ED 302 DEBUG TECH BREAKTHROUGH in February of this year I promised that there would be a policy letter issued covering the tech more fully. Well, there have been further breakthroughs in the area of debugging production. The tech given in that LRH ED has been acclaimed by hundreds to be miraculous. This policy reissues that tech and brings it up to date with the new discoveries.

HISTORY

Recently I noticed quite a few programs were not progressing rapidly. I found many targets bugged. Project Operators did not seem to know what to do and were getting losses and becoming frustrated. Their targets were “bugged.”

“Bugged” is slang for snarled up or halted.

“Debug” means to get the snarls or stops out of something.

I had always been given to believe somebody had developed and written up debug tech. People would often tell me they had debugged this or that, so of course I assumed that the tech existed and that issues and checksheets existed and were in use. Yet here were people operating projects who couldn't get the targets done by themselves or others.

I didn't recall ever having written any policy letter containing the tech of debugging programs or targets.

So I called for the various “Debug Checksheets” and “Debug Issues” they were using and found something very astonishing. None had any real tech on them to debug something. They just had various quotes that did not necessarily apply.

I did a study of the subject based on what people trying to debug should be doing and what they were not doing and developed a fast, relatively simple system. Some Project Operators were located in very bugged areas which had brought them to apathy and even tears of frustration. The new debug tech was put into their hands and they came streaming back in wild excitement. It worked! Their areas were rolling!

I am releasing this tech to you as it is vital that programs are quickly executed and that production occurs.

This debug tech is tested, fully valid and for immediate use.

Debug tech is a vital executive tool. Anyone who is responsible for getting targets and programs executed, getting production out, turning insolvency into solvency and generally making a better world frankly can't live without it.

Debug tech is used to debug program targets, programs, a lack of completion of the cycles of action which lead to production and in short, whenever there is *any* insufficiency of viable products coming from an area, org or individual.

THE TECH

1. *INSPECTION*

The first action in debugging an area is an inspection to see what is going on in terms of production. In inspecting the area you do the following:

1. You look for what products have been gotten out in the past.
2. You look for products that are there completed.
3. You look for what products can be attained in the immediate future.
4. You look for the value of the products produced as compared to the overall cost of the production organization.
5. You look for overt products or cycles where products continuously have to be redone, resulting in no or few products.

The full volume of data on how to do an investigation is given in the Investigations Checksheet on page 175 of *The Volunteer Minister's Handbook*.

When you first inspect an area for products you just look. Policies on “Look Don't Listen” apply (HCO PL 16 Mar 72, Esto Series 8, LOOK DON'T LISTEN). Don't listen to how they are going to get 150 products, just look and walk around with a clipboard.

If you don't see 150 products waiting to be shipped or invoices showing they have been, they don't exist. If you don't see receipts for 150 shipped products, they don't exist and never have. The product is either there or there is ample shipping or departure or finance evidence that they have just left or been shipped. Products that are only in people's heads don't exist.

Dreams are nice—in fact they are essential in life but they have to be materialized into the physical universe before they exist as *products*.

The most wide trap the debugger can fall into is, “But next week . . .,” since experience will tell you that next week’s production may never arrive. The definition of product is something that can be exchanged for a valuable product or currency. They have subproducts. These are necessary. A subproduct can also be an overt product and block final products.

When you have done your product inspection, you then look over the period of time from a viewpoint of time and motion. This is to answer the question, “Are things arranged so that there is no time wasted in useless motions which are unnecessary?” This includes poor placement of materiel on a flow line or tool sheds five miles from the site of work so that one has to go there every time one wants a hammer, out-of-sequence flows or waits.

One counts up the amount of wasted time simply because of the disorganization of a place. It isn’t enough to say a place is disorganized. How is this disorganization consuming time and motion which is not resulting in a higher quantity of production? Examples of this are quite gross.

When you have done this study, during which of course you have made notes, you will have the raw materials necessary to make an estimation of the area.

If there is not an adequate and even spectacular record of products getting out and if products have to be redone or if no products are coming out, you proceed as follows:

II. *PERSONAL HANDLING*

Find a product that *can* be gotten out, any product, and insist that it and products like it or similar cycles be gotten out flat out by the existing personnel.

Do not let this debug act as an excuse for them not to produce. The first step of this handling is to demand production.

When you have gotten them on that, you enter in upon a second stage of debug. This consists essentially of finding if the place is knowledgeable enough and able enough to produce what is actually required and what is actually valuable or being needed from it.

This is accomplished as follows:

(Note: You should not attempt to find Crashing MUs, etc. until the above inspection and the Steps A to H below have been done.)

A. Where are the orders relating to this target (or project or production area)? (Can include policies, directives, orders, bulletins, issues, despatches, tapes, valid texts and previous debugs and any and all files.)

Handling: Collect up all of the orders relating to this target (or project or production area). This includes the orders and policies the person is operating off of as well as all those he should be operating off of. At this point you may need to employ the “How to Defeat Verbal Tech Checklist”:

1. If it isn’t written it isn’t true.
2. If it’s written, read it.
3. Did the person who wrote it have the authority or know how to order it?
4. If you can’t understand it, clarify it.
5. If you can’t clarify it, clear the MUs.
6. If the MUs won’t clear, query it.

7. Has it been altered from the original?
8. Get it validated as a correct, on-channel, on-policy, in-tech order.
9. Only if it holds up this far, force others to read it and follow it.

IF IT CAN'T BE RUN THROUGH AS ABOVE *IT'S FALSE!* CANCEL IT!
And use HCOB 7 Aug 79 FALSE DATA STRIPPING as needed.

B. Have you read the orders?

Handling: If he has not read them then have him read, word clear and starrate them.

Ca. Do you have MUs on these orders?

Handling: Get the orders word cleared using M4, M9 or M2 Word Clearing—whatever Word Clearing is needed to fully clear any MUs he has.

Cb. Do you have false data on these orders?

Handling: Strip off the false data per HCOB/PL 7 Aug 79 FALSE DATA STRIPPING .

Handle this step (Ca and Cb) until the person has duplicated the orders and issues relating to this production area.

D. Are there financial or logistics problems on them?

Handling: Debug using HCO PL 14 Mar 72, Issue II, Esto Series 7, FOLLOW POLICY AND LINES and Flag Divisional Directive of 25 Aug 76 FINANCIAL PLANNING MEMBER HAT CHECKSHEET. Debugging this may require getting the whole FP Committee through the FP pack.

E. Are there personnel problems?

Handling: Debug this using HCO PL 16 Mar 71 Org Series 25, Personnel Series 19, LINES AND HATS and the Personnel Series, as given in *The Management Series*.

It may be necessary to do this debug on the HAS or any person responsible for getting the products of staff members who produce.

F. Are there hatting problems?

Handling: Handle this using full Word Clearing and False Data Stripping and get the scene debugged using HCO PL 29 Jul 71 Personnel Series 21, Org Series 28, WHY HATTING? and HCO PL 22 Sep 70 Personnel Series 9, Org Series 4, HATS and HCO PL 27 Dec 70, Personnel Series 16, HATS PROGRAM PIT-FALLS .

Hatting problems may include the total and utter lack of a hatting course for the staff or a hatting course where WHAT IS A COURSE? PL is flagrantly not in and if you find this you have gotten to the root of why you are working hard debugging all over the place and it had better be handled quick.

It may also be that the area senior doesn't make sure his staff puts in study time off production hours and in this you may find the senior is a failed student himself and this you would also have to handle.

Note: A person who cannot be hatted at all has false data. The handling would be to strip off the false data.

G. Is there exterior influence stopping the production which cannot be handled in the production area?

Handling: Handle using HCO PL 31 Jan 72, Data Series 22, THE WHY IS GOD and HCO PL 25 May 73 Data Series 27, SUPPLEMENTARY EVALUATIONS and HCO PL 30 Dec 70, Org Series 20, ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL.

When told that these exterior influences exist the wise debugger immediately verifies. The simplest way to verify is to ask the person who is supposed to be putting stops on the line if he has issued such orders. You commonly find out he hasn't. But if he has, then you have started to locate your area to handle.

You commonly run into verbal tech at which moment you use the "How to Defeat Verbal Tech Checklist."

H. What other excuses exist?

Handling: As per HCO PL THE WHY IS GOD, HCO PL 19 May 70, Data Series 8, SANITY, HCO PL 30 Sep 73, Data Series 30, SITUATION HANDLING and HCOB 19 Aug 67, THE SUPREME TEST.

And once any obvious ones in the above have been handled, and production *still* isn't rolling, you have:

I. Routine finding of MUs per Word Clearing Series.

J. Crashing MU tech per HCOB 17 Jun 79 CRASHING MIS-Us: THE KEY TO COMPLETED CYCLES OF ACTION AND PRODUCTS. Crashing MU finding is done exactly per this HCOB. Crashing MUs can be buried or suppressed as covered in HCOB 23 Aug 79, CRASHING MUs, BLOCKS TO FINDING THEM. The factors as listed in that HCOB which can cause a Crashing MU to remain hidden and unknown may have to be handled before the Crashing MU appears.

K. Do they have any idea at all that they should be getting out any products? Or do they pretend to but don't?

Handling: Simply two-way comm of why the guy was there. It might come as a startling realization that he is supposed to get out products. This can be backed up with Exchange by Dynamics, HCO PL 4 Apr 72, Esto Series 14, ETHICS and Short Form Product Clearing, HCO PL 13 Mar 72, Esto Series 5, PRODUCTION AND ESTABLISHMENT ORDERS AND PRODUCTS or HCO PL 23 Mar 72, Esto Series 11, FULL PRODUCT CLEARING LONG FORM.

There is also such a thing as a person who will not complete a cycle of action. This is normally true of what we call a "suppressive person" or even an insane person.

Handling: Get the person's case looked into by a competent C/S and also by the Ethics Officer for background.

But as PTS people are in suppressive persons' valences he may only be PTS.

Handling: See Section P below for de-PTSing.

L. Wrong stat. The person has been given a stat that has nothing to do with what he is supposed to produce.

Handling: get the right stat figured out so that it agrees with what he is supposed to produce and actually measures his actual production.

M. Wrong VFP or wrong product? Do they have the idea of VFP right? (or does the org think it's the award rather than the product, i.e. GI rather than an audited paying pc or a trained paying student?).

It of course can occur, amazingly, that the person or department, etc. is trying to turn out a product that has no exchange value. This can occur because what they do produce is so flabby as to be called "an overt product" which nobody can use further on up the line or even at the end of the line. You handle this by coming down on their sense of fitness of things. Overt products waste resources and time and personnel and are actually more destructive than on first glance. They cannot be exchanged but they also waste resources as well as lose any expected return. You can remedy this sort of thing by improving their tech so they do turn out something decent and useful.

They can also be turning out a type of product nobody wants—such as 1819 buggy whips in a Space Age. They may be great buggy whips but they won't exchange because nobody wants them.

They may also be getting out products of excellent quality but never tell anybody they have or do them. This can apply as narrowly as one worker who doesn't tell anybody he is having or doing them or a whole organization which, with complete asininity, never markets or advertises their products.

It is also possible that a combination of all three things above may be found.

It also may be they have all sorts of products they could get out but they never dreamed of getting them out yet their life blood may depend upon it.

Handling: HCO PL 24 Jul 78, SUBPRODUCTS, which tells how to compile a subproducts list and attain VFPs. Exchange by Dynamics per HCO PL 4 Apr 72, Esto Series 14 ETHICS and Full Product Clearing Long Form on the correct and actual VFP (as well as any other products the person or area may have), as well as marketing and PR tech.

N. Never figured out what they would have to do to get a product?

Handling: Handle this using HCO PL 7 Aug 76, Issue I, II and III, Admin Know-How Series 33, NAME YOUR PRODUCT, Admin Know-How Series 34, WANT YOUR PRODUCT, Admin Know-How Series 35, TO GET YOU HAVE TO KNOW HOW TO ORGANIZE, HCO PL 24 Jul 78, SUBPRODUCTS and HCO PL 14 Jan 69, OT ORGS.

O. Out-ethics?

Handling: Determine the situation and handle with O/W write-ups or auditing and ethics conditions or correction of past conditions and the ethics policies that apply.

P. Is the area or individual creating problems and demanding solutions to them?

Handling: Give the person PTS handling as per ethics policies. If and when available, get the personnel de-PTSed using Clay Table De-PTSing as per HCOB CLAY TABLE DE-PTSing—THEORY AND ADMINISTRATION. (Note: Clay Table De-PTSing can only be done on someone by a person who has had the step himself .)

Q. Total organize? (Is the area organizing only?)

Handling: This is an indicator of many misunderstands in the area, especially on the part of its senior. The senior and the personnel in the area need full Word Clearing on the materials to do with the production area, including Crashing MU

finding as in J (ref: HCO PL 26 Mar 79RA MISUNDERSTOOD WORDS AND CYCLES OF ACTION—MU WORDS AND NO PRODUCTS) off production hours and meanwhile make them produce what they can.

R. Organization adequate to get the product?

Inadequate organization:

Handling: Debug the organization per HCO PL 13 Sep 70, Org Series 1, BASIC ORGANIZATION, HCO PL 14 Sep 70, Org Series 2, COPE AND ORGANIZE, HCO PL 14 Sep 70, Org Series 3, HOW TO ORGANIZE AN ORG, HCO PL 8 Oct 70, Org Series 8, ORGANIZING AND PRODUCT, HCO PL 29 Oct 70, Org Series 10, THE ANALYSIS OF ORGANIZATION BY PRODUCT.

No organization:

Handling: This is the situation where someone does not organize any corner of his area or work or organizations or lines. This manifests itself by irrational demands to only produce and to prevent any organization so that production can occur. The handling is to clear the misunderstands (including Crashing MUs) in the area, particularly on the purpose of the production and why one is producing.

Lacking a sense of organization?

Handling: Lack of a sense of organization lies below the level of MUs, overts and withholds and PTSness—and you have to go north through PTSness and overts and withholds to even get to the MUs.

The handling would be de-PTSing as in Step P. Then handle any overts and withholds and then clear the MUs in the area being addressed (including Crashing MUs.)

Debug tech is laid out as a checklist in HCO PL 23 Aug 79, Issue II, DEBUG TECH CHECKLIST. It is a very useful checklist as the points of debug can be assessed on a meter by an auditor (or any person trained to use an E-Meter) or be administratively used by anyone wishing to debug an area.

HCOB 23 Aug 79, Issue II's PRODUCT DEBUG REPAIR LIST is for use by an auditor to repair someone who has been messed up by somebody trying to debug his area. As faulty debugging can mess a person up, this repair list has been written to remedy that, should it occur.

Normally, in an area that is very bogged and not producing, the first question or two will deliver the reasons right into your hands. They are trying to produce blue ruddy rods but the order they finally dig up after a fifteen minute search says specifically and directly that green finglebums are what are wanted here and that blue ruddy rods are forbidden. It is usually outrageous and large. As you go down the list you will find out that you are running into things which open the door to justification. So you take very good care to notice the justifications which are being used. The handling of justifications is indicated in HCOB 23 Aug 79, Issue I, CRASHING MUs, BLOCKS TO FINDING THEM and the HCOB of JUSTIFICATIONS 21 Jan AD10.

WHAT TO HANDLE

Handling of course is indicated by what you find and the above references. But handling must always be in the direction of at least 50% production. Even while debugging do not go for an all-organize handling. Also do not go for an all-production handling.

A person, once trained on the data as contained in this PL, Crashing MU tech, False Data Stripping and Product Clearing, will be able to get almost any area debugged

and producing. It is important to remember that debug tech applies from the very small expected action to the huge expected project.

THE EP OF DEBUG

The above debug actions are never carried on past the point where the target or area or individual or org has been debugged.

Once production has been debugged and desirable products are now being gotten for real in adequate quantity, the debug has been accomplished.

This could occur at any one of the above steps. And when it does you let the area get on with producing the products they are now able to produce.

EVALUATION AND PROGRAMMING

There is a whole different technology called Evaluation. The full tech on how to execute and program is contained in the Data Series and the Data Series Evaluator's Course and BPL 4 Jul 78 ELEMENTARY EVALUATOR'S COURSE and the Target Series HCO PLs: 14 Jan 69 OT ORGS, 16 Jan 69 TARGETS, TYPES OF, 18 Jan 69, Issue II, PLANNING AND TARGETS, 24 Jan 69, TARGET TYPES, 24 Jan 69, Issue II, PURPOSE AND TARGETS and HCO PL 4 Dec 73, Data Series 32, TARGET TROUBLE. One is expected to know how to evaluate. But even after you have evaluated, evaluations contain targets. And targets get bugged. So you will need debug tech even when you are an accomplished evaluator.

With the debug tech and the added steps of Crashing MU finding, overts and withholds, False' Data Stripping, Product Clearing, etc. you will be able to crack the back of the most resistive nonproducing areas and get them into roaring, high-morale production.

Between February 79 and 23 August 79 I have spent a great deal of development time on the technology needed to completely debug people, projects, targets and production. A very large number of missions researches and pilots were undertaken to discover and polish up this tech. It can now be considered a completed development cycle.

The above IS the tech.

USE IT!

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:kjm
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO POLICY LETTER OF 23 AUGUST 1979
Issue II

Remimeo
Tech
Qual
All Execs
Programs Chiefs
Project Operators
Mission Operators
Missionaires
AGs
LRH Comms
FRs

Esto Series 38

Product Debug Series 2

DEBUG TECH CHECKLIST

Ref:	HCO PL 23 Aug 79	DEBUG TECH
	Issue I	
	HCOB 23 Aug 79	PRODUCT DEBUG REPAIR LIST
	Issue 11	
	The Product Debug Series	

(This checklist is clarified by HCO PL 23 August 79, Issue I,
DEBUG TECH, and is used in conjunction with that PL.)

Production is the basis of morale. People who don't get products have low morale.

Executives and responsible people have the task of getting out products. When they don't get them out, the unit or organization fails.

It is extremely upsetting and puzzling to a staff member and to his seniors when he can't get out the products expected of him. I have seen an executive going around in circles for weeks trying to guess why such and such a staff member couldn't get out the products of his post area. I have seen staff members actually in tears because they were unable to achieve the products of their post. I have also seen people busy, busy, busy and totally unaware of the fact that they were producing absolutely nothing.

LRH ED 302 was a breakthrough. It has now been written into HCO PL 10 June 79, DEBUG TECH and contains a considerably expanded tech on how to debug products. People have had very great success in applying it.

To give them even greater successes, I have rewritten LRH ED 302-1 into this PL. The whole object of this checklist is to debug a lack of products and accomplishments of an org or post.

This Debug Checklist is used in conjunction with HCO PL DEBUG TECH. It gives the person doing the debug a list of things that could be standing in the way of production. The sequence of handling is as laid out in the debug tech PL. The first action is an inspection of the area. Then come the personal handling steps.

This sequence must be followed in any debug action. For instance, if you haven't done the inspection then how would you know what it is you are trying to debug?

This checklist can be assessed on a meter or be administratively used (off the meter) by Mission Operators, Program Operators, Project Operators, evaluators, executives and anyone else needing to debug a cycle of action or lack of products, including any staff member or student himself.

When assessed on a meter, each reading line would be taken to F/N by doing the handling given for that line.

When doing this checklist the individual should have the issues and references he may need to carry out the handlings along with him.

THE EP OF DEBUG

Debug actions are never carried on past the point where the target or area or individual or org has been debugged.

Once production has been debugged and desirable products are now being gotten for real in adequate quantity, the debug has been accomplished.

This could occur at any one of the steps. And when it does you let the area get on with producing the products they are now able to produce.

PRODUCT DEBUG REPAIR LIST

In case of a bog or trouble on the following checklist use HCOB 23 Aug 79, Issue II, Product Debug Series 10, PRODUCT DEBUG REPAIR LIST to repair the person so he can continue with the debug actions.

INSPECTION

00. The first action in debugging an area is an inspection to see what is going on in terms of production. In inspecting the area you do the following:

- 1) You look for what products have been gotten out in the past.
- 2) You look for products that are there completed.
- 3) You look for what products can be attained in the immediate future.
- 4) You look for the value of the products produced as compared to the overall cost of the production organization.
- 5) You look for overt products or cycles where products continuously have to be redone, resulting in no or few products.

Full data on how to do this inspection is given in HCO PL 23 Aug 79, Issue I, DEBUG TECH.

0. Find a product that *can* be gotten out, any product, and insist that it and products like it or similar cycles be gotten out flat out by the existing personnel.

THE CHECKLIST

Section A:

A1. NO ORDERS?

(Find out if (a) he's needing orders due to not knowing his hat or if (b) he's not getting any direction or guidance from his senior. Handle (a) by getting him hatted, or (b) by doing this checklist on his senior.)

A2. NEVER RECEIVED THE ORDERS?

(Have him get the orders and handle any cut line that isn't relaying the orders.)

A3. CROSS-ORDERS?

(Find out what and handle per HCO PL 13 Jan AD29, ORDERS, ILLEGAL AND CROSS.)

A4. ILLEGAL ORDERS?

(Find out what and handle per HCO PL 13 Jan AD29, ORDERS, ILLEGAL AND CROSS.)

A5. VERBAL TECH?

(Find out what and handle per the "How to Defeat Verbal Tech Checklist" and HCO PL 7 Aug 79, FALSE DATA STRIPPING.)

Section B.:

B1. HASN'T READ THE ORDERS?

(Have him read, word clear and starrate the orders.)

B2. AVOIDANCE OR NEGATION OF POLICY?

(Pull the O/Ws per W/H system. Then clear up his MUs on the relevant policy.)

B3. POLICY UNKNOWN?

(Determine what applicable policy is unknown to him and have him read, word clear and starrate it.)

B4. NO POLICY?

(Have him work out what the policy should be and submit it for approval.)

B5. LACK OF TECH?

(Have him get familiar with the exact problem he's encountering and make him work out a solution that will handle it.)

Section C:

C1. MISUNDERSTOODS?

(Find and clear the MUs.)

C2. MISUNDERSTOODS ON THE ORDERS?

(Find and clear the MUs.)

C3. DOESN'T UNDERSTAND THE ORDERS?

(Handle with Word Clearing and False Data Stripping.)

C4. FALSE DATA ON THE ORDERS?

(Handle with HCO PL 7 Aug 79, FALSE DATA STRIPPING.)

C5. OUT OF AGREEMENT WITH THE ORDERS?

(Handle any out-ruds. Then handle with Word Clearing and False Data Stripping.)

C6. LACK OF INTEREST?

(Find out if it's out-ruds or MUs or past failures and handle accordingly.)

C7. NO INTEREST?

(Find out if it's out-ruds or MUs or past failures and handle accordingly.)

- C8. LACK OF VALUE OF THE CYCLE OF ACTION ITSELF?
(Find his MUs and handle. Have him demo out the cycle of action.) _____

Section D:

- D1. FINANCE BUGS?
(Find out what and get it debugged and also if it amounts to that, get the whole FP Committee through the FP pack.) _____
- D2. LOGISTICS PROBLEMS?
(Find out what it is and handle with HCO PL 14 Mar 72, Issue II, Esto Series 7, FOLLOW POLICY AND LINES, and any other debug tech needed.) _____
- D3. NO EQUIPMENT?
(Find out what is needed, if it is really needed, and if so debug it per Do and D2 above so it is gotten. Remember that there are enormous percentages of people who absolutely have to have before they can possibly do and use that usually as an excuse not to produce.) _____

Section E:

- E1. SCARCITY OF PERSONNEL?
(Indicate it and then investigate and handle HCO which is usually up to its ears in personnel requests and busy on them instead of putting an HCO there that properly recruits, hats, and utilizes personnel. This may mean doing this Debug Checklist on the HAS or any person responsible for that division or activity because they aren't getting the products of staff members who produce .) _____
- E2. SOME OTHER PROBLEM WITH PERSONNEL?
(Debug this using HCO PL 16 Mar 71, Org Series 25, Personnel Series 19, LINES AND HATS and the Personnel Series as given in *The Management Series*.) _____

Section F.:

- F1. ABSENCE OF HATTING?
(Find out if it's (a) lack of a hatting course for the staff, (b) a hatting course where WHAT IS A COURSE? PL is flagrantly not in, (c) the area senior doesn't make sure his staff put in study time off production hours or (d) some other reason why he does not go to study. Handle according to what comes up and HCO PL 23 Aug 79, Issue I, DEBUG TECH.) _____
- F2. DOESN'T ATTEND STUDY?
(Find out if it's (a) lack of a hatting course for the staff, (b) a hatting course where WHAT IS A COURSE? PL is flagrantly not in, (c) the area senior doesn't make sure his staff put in study time off production hours or (d) some other reason why he does not go to study. Handle according to what comes up and HCO PL 23 Aug 79, Issue I, DEBUG TECH.) _____
- F3. ABSENCE OF DRILLING?
(Get any needed drilling on equipment and actions done.) _____
- F4. ABSENCE OF CRAMMING?
(Get the subject cramming is needed on and send him to Cramming.) _____

- F5. FALSE CRAMMING?
(Handle per HCO PL 7 Aug 79, FALSE DATA STRIPPING.
Assess and handle a Cramming Repair List if necessary.) _____
- F6. A DISASSOCIATION BETWEEN THE DEFINITION AND
THE PHYSICAL UNIVERSE?
(Have him demonstrate—in clay if necessary—and give real
examples of the definition. Program him for M8 and M9 program
and the Disassociation Rundown.) _____
- F7. FALSE DATA ON THE HATTING MATERIALS?
(Handle with False Data Stripping.) _____
- F8. LACK OF TECHNICAL KNOW-HOW?
(Locate the area of technical know-how he is lacking in and get
him studying and drilling the tech on it.) _____
- F9. UNABLE TO BE HATTED?
(Strip off the false data in the area with False Data Stripping.) _____

Section C:

- G1. EXTERIOR INFLUENCE STOPPING THE PRODUCTION
WHICH CANNOT BE HANDLED IN THE PRODUCTION
AREA?
(Handle per Section G of HCO PL 23 Aug 79, Issue I, DEBUG
TECH.) _____

Section H:

- H1. OTHER EVENTS?
(Find out what and handle per HCO PL 23 Aug 79, Issue I,
DEBUG TECH.) _____
- H2. OTHER REASONS?
(Find out what and handle per HCO PL 23 Aug 79, Issue I,
DEBUG TECH.) _____
- H3. HUGE PRODUCTION BUG?
(Find out what and use full debug tech to handle.) _____
- H4. TIME?
(Find out if there's just NOT ENOUGH time to do what he has to
do or if he's wasting time by not being organized or is being
Dev-Ted and handle.) _____
- H5. LACK OF PROXIMITY TO THE SCENE?
(Have him get on the correct comm lines and get in ARC with the
scene. Handle ruds if necessary.) _____
- H6. NO COMM LINES?
(Determine whether this is from W/Hs or MUs and handle
accordingly.) _____
- H7. INABILITY TO COMMUNICATE?
(Pull his W/Hs. Make him do Reach and Withdraw on the people
and objects of his area. Program him for the M8 and M9 program
course.) _____
- H8. ABSENCE OF ALTITUDE?
(Have him read HCO PL 4 Oct 68, ETHICS PRESENCE and
Exec Series 1 and 2 and have him demo how he can use them.) _____

H9. BAD HEALTH?
(Send him to the MO on an MO Routing Form and get it handled.
Get any needed PTS handling done.)

H10. LUCK?
(2WC his considerations on it and bring his cause level up by
getting him to look at what *he* can do about it.)

Section I:

I1. MISUNDERSTOODS IN THE PRODUCTION AREA?
(Routine Word Clearing per the Word Clearing Series.)

I2. MISUNDERSTOODS ON WHAT IS SUPPOSED TO BE
DONE?
(Routine Word Clearing per the Word Clearing Series.)

I3. CONFUSIONS IN THE AREA?
(Routine Word Clearing per the Word Clearing Series.)

Section J:

J1. CRASHING MISUNDERSTOOD?
(Crashing MU finding per HCOB 17 June 79, CRASHING
MIS-Us: THE KEY TO COMPLETED CYCLES OF ACTION
AND PRODUCTS.)

J2. TROUBLE COMPLETING CYCLES OF ACTION IN THE
PRODUCTION AREA?
(Crashing MU finding per HCOB 17 June 79 CRASHING
MIS-Us: THE KEY TO COMPLETED CYCLES OF ACTION
AND PRODUCTS.)

Section K:

K1. NO IDEA AT ALL THAT PRODUCTS SHOULD BE GOTTEN
OUT?
(Simple two-way comm of why the guy is there. It might come as
a startling realization that he is supposed to get out any products.
This can be backed up by Exchange by Dynamics—HCO PL 4
Apr 72, Esto Series 14, ETHICS and Short Form Product
Clearing per HCO PL 13 Mar 72, Esto Series 5, PRODUCTION
AND ESTABLISHMENT ORDERS AND PRODUCTS or HCO
PL 23 Mar 72, Esto Series 11, FULL PRODUCT CLEARING
LONG FORM.)

K2. PRETENDING TO KNOW THAT PRODUCTS SHOULD BE
GOTTEN OUT BUT DON'T?
(Simple two-way comm of why the guy is there. It might come as
a startling realization that he is supposed to get out any products.
This can be backed up by Exchange by Dynamics— HCO PL 4
Apr 72, Esto Series 14, ETHICS and Short Form Product
Clearing per HCO PL 13 Mar 72, Esto Series 5, PRODUCTION
AND ESTABLISHMENT ORDERS AND PRODUCTS or HCO
PL 23 Mar 72, Esto Series 11, FULL PRODUCT CLEARING
LONG FORM.)

K3. WON'T COMPLETE A CYCLE OF ACTION?
(Get the person's case looked into by a competent C/S and an
Ethics Officer for background. If you are dealing with a
suppressive or insane person, handle per ethics policies. If it is
PTSness, get the person de-PTSed.)

Section L:

- L1. WRONG STAT?
(Get the right stat figured out so that it agrees with what he is supposed to produce and actually measures his actual production.) _____
- L2. DOES THE STAT HAVE NOTHING TO DO WITH WHAT IS SUPPOSED TO BE BEING PRODUCED?
(Get the right stat figured out so that it agrees with what he is supposed to produce and actually measures his actual production.) _____

Section M:

- M1. WRONG VFP?
(Use HCO PL 24 July 78, SUB PRODUCTS and Exchange by Dynamics and Full Product Clearing Long Form on the correct and actual VFP—as well as any other products the person or area might have.) _____
- M2. WRONG PRODUCT?
(Use HCO PL 24 July 78, SUBPRODUCTS and Exchange by Dynamics and Full Product Clearing Long Form on the correct and actual VFP—as well as any other products the person or area might have.) _____
- M3. NO IDEA OF THE PRODUCT?
(Get a complete and accurate statement of the correct product and Product Clear him on it. See also HCO PL 7 Aug 76, Issue I, Esto Series 31, PRODUCT/ORG OFFICER SYSTEM, NAME YOUR PRODUCT.) _____
- M4. UNSURE OF WHAT THE PRODUCT IS?
(Get a complete and accurate statement of the correct product and Product Clear him on it. See also HCO PL 7 Aug 76, Issue I, Esto Series 31, PRODUCT/ORG OFFICER SYSTEM, NAME YOUR PRODUCT.) _____
- M5. THINKING IT'S THE AWARD RATHER THAN THE PRODUCT?
(Use HCO PL 24 July 78, SUBPRODUCTS and Exchange by Dynamics and Full Product Clearing Long Form on the correct and actual VFP—as well as any other products the person or area might have.) _____
- M6. DOES THE PRODUCT HAVE NO EXCHANGE VALUE?
(Use HCO PL 24 July 78, SUB PRODUCTS and Exchange by Dynamics and Full Product Clearing Long Form on the correct actual VFP—as well as any other products the person or area might have, and per HCO PL 23 Aug 79, Issue I, DEBUG TECH, Section M.) _____
- M7. OVERT PRODUCTS?
(Handle any W/Hs connected with this. Then handle per HCO PL DEBUG TECH, Section M.) _____
- M8. IS THE PRODUCT A PRODUCT THAT NOBODY WANTS?
(Handle any W/Hs connected with this. Then handle per HCO PL DEBUG TECH, Section M.) _____
- M9. NO MARKETING OR ADVERTISING OF THE PRODUCT?
(Handle any W/Hs connected with this. Then handle per HCO PL DEBUG TECH, Section M.) _____

Section N:

- N1. NEVER FIGURED OUT WHAT WOULD HAVE TO BE DONE TO GET A PRODUCT?
(Handle per HCO PL DEBUG TECH, Section N.)
-

Section O:

- O1. OUT-ETHICS?
(Determine the situation and handle with O/W write-ups or auditing and ethics conditions or correction of past ethics conditions and the ethics policies that apply.)
-
- O2. ACTIVE COUNTER-INTENTION?
(Pull the O/Ws and then locate the MUs. Then watch him and remove him if he remains CI.)
-
- O3. ACTIVE COUNTER-INTENTION ON THE PART OF OTHERS?
(Find out who. Handle any agreement he has with their CI as a W/H. Get the person or persons who have CI handled on their O/Ws and get their MUs found. Remove if the person or persons remain CI.)
-
- O4. OTHER- INTENTIONEDNESS?
(Pull the O/Ws and then locate the MUs. Then watch him and remove him if he remains other-intentioned.)
-
- O5. OTHER-INTENTIONEDNESS ON THE PART OF OTHERS?
(Find out who. Handle any agreement he has with their other-intention as a W/H. Get the person or persons who have other-intention handled on their O/Ws and get their MUs found. Remove if the person or persons remain other-intentioned.)
-

Section P.:

- P1. CREATING PROBLEMS AND DEMANDING SOLUTIONS TO THEM?
(Give the person PTS handling as per ethics policies. If and when available get the personnel de-PTSed with Clay Table De-PTSing, as covered in HCOB 28 Aug 79, CLAY TABLE DE-PTSING—THEORY AND ADMINISTRATION.)
-
- P2. LOTS OF UNSOLVABLE PROBLEMS IN THE AREA?
(Give the person PTS handling as per ethics policies. If and when available get the personnel de-PTSed with Clay Table De-PTSing, as covered in HCOB 28 Aug 79, CLAY TABLE DE-PTSING—THEORY AND ADMINISTRATION.)
-
- P3. CONNECTED TO SOMEONE OR SOMETHING ANTAGONISTIC?
(Give the person PTS handling as per ethics policies. If and when available get the personnel de-PTSed with Clay Table De-PTSing as covered in HCOB 28 Aug 79, CLAY TABLE DE-PTSING—THEORY AND ADMINISTRATION.)
-
- P4. PTS?
(Give the person PTS handling as per ethics policies. If and when available get the personnel de-PTSed with Clay Table De-PTSing, as covered in HCOB 28 Aug 79, CLAY TABLE DE-PTSING—THEORY AND ADMINISTRATION.)
-

P5. ACCIDENTS?
(Give the person PTS handling as per ethics policies. If and when available get the personnel de-PTSed with Clay Table De-PTSing, as covered in HCOB 28 Aug 79, CLAY TABLE DE-PTSING—THEORY AND ADMINISTRATION.) _____

Section Q:

Q1. ORGANIZING ONLY?
(Handle his MUs in the area including any Crashing MUs.) _____

Q2. TOTAL ORGANIZATION?
(Handle his MUs in the area including any Crashing MUs.) _____

Section R.:

R1. ORGANIZATION INADEQUATE TO GET THE PRODUCT?
(Handle per Section R of HCO PL 23 Aug 79, DEBUG TECH.) _____

R2. LACK OF ORGANIZATION?
(Handle per Section R of HCO PL 23 Aug 79, DEBUG TECH.) _____

R3. NO ORGANIZING?
(Clear the misunderstood including Crashing MUs, in the production area, particularly on the purpose of the production and why one is producing.) _____

R4. LACK OF A SENSE OF ORGANIZATION?
(De-PTSing as covered in Section P. Then handle any overts and withholds and then clear the MUs in the area, including Crashing MUs.) _____

R5. NO GRASP OF THE CONCEPT OF ORGANIZATION?
(De-PTSing as covered in Section P. Then handle any overts and withholds and then clear the MUs in the area, including Crashing MUs.) _____

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:nc
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 23 SEPTEMBER 1979

Remimeo
TR Course
TR Supervisors
Cramming Officers
Auditors
C/Ses

(Also issued as
HCO PL 23 Sep 79
same title.)

**CANCELLATION OF DESTRUCTIVE
BTBs AND BPLs ON TRs**

There are many valid issues on TRs, all of which remain in full force.

The following issues are hereby canceled for the reasons stated in this issue:

BTB 15 Aug 71R Rev. & Reiss. 3.7.74 as BTB	TR COURSE BUGS HANDLING
BTB 16 Aug 71R Rev. & Reiss. 31.7.74 as BTB	BREAKTHROUGH, TR COURSE
BTB 18 Aug 71R Rev. & Reiss. 24.8.74 as BTB	TR COURSE—HOW TO RUN
HCO PL 4 Nov 71 II (not by LRH)	ACADEMY PREREQUISITES
BTB 5 Nov 71R Rev. 24.4.78	TR COURSE DEBUG DRILL
HCO PL 6 Nov 71 III	INTERNSHIPS LINEUP, AUDITOR INTERNSHIPS
HCOB 7 Apr 73RA Rev. 22.2.79	GRADIENTS IN TRs
HCOB 8 Dec 74 BTB 8 Mar 75 IV	TR 0—NOTES ON BLINKING Cramming Series 5RB TRs IN CRAMMING
BTB 20 Sep 72 Reiss. 20.9.74 as BTB	TR TRAINING UNDER LRH
BTB 13 Mar 75R Rev. 30.4.75	TRs TRAINING BREAKTHROUGH
FDD 32 DIV IX INT 7 Jun 71	TRs THE HARD WAY

REASONS FOR CANCELLATION

The only source of technical data is LRH HCOBs, books and tapes.

The issues listed above have introduced false data, verbal data and technical alter-is. See HCOB 23 Oct 75 TECHNICAL QUERIES, HCOB/HCO PL 9 Feb 79 HOW TO DEFEAT VERBAL TECH, HCOB/HCO PL 15 Feb 79 VERBAL TECH PENALTIES.

The specific points of out-tech introduced by these canceled issues are given here, so that all will know what the specific out-tech is that is being canceled.

1. *BTB 15 Aug 71R, Rev. & Reiss. 3. 7. 74 as BTB, TR COURSE BUGS HANDLING.*

This BTB is canceled because it states that if the student reads on “overrun?” that he is passed on the TR. This has given rise to false passes and the idea that the TR student has a case on course.

TRs are not processes, they are drills. The student passes the TR when he can do it competently.

2. *BTB 16 Aug 71R, Rev. & Reiss. 31.7.74 as BTB. BREAKTHROUGH, TR COURSE.*

This BTB is canceled because it introduces the idea of a “Major Stable Win,” stressed that the 2 hour confront had been lifted and not to overrun a person on TRs. It also states “It may take minutes to hours to a hundred hours to achieve the major win.”

Of course wins are gotten on doing TRs. But TRs are drilled until the student does the TR competently and passes. The idea that TRs could take “hundreds of hours” to get in is completely false. A competent Supervisor, using LRH tech and not omitting any of it, should be able to get students through the TR Course in a couple weeks at the most.

3. *BTB 18 Aug 71R, Rev. & Reiss. 24.8.74 as BTB. TR COURSE—HOW TO RUN.*

This BTB gives the idea that wriggling around, moving, fidgeting, watering red eyes and blinking and swallowing are OK.

These manifestations show that a person is not confronting, is nervous, afraid, flinching, or in grief. Of course the coach never insists that the student mustn’t blink, nor that he mustn’t ever swallow. He coaches the student to do the TRs until he can do them comfortably and competently, at which point those manifestations of nonconfront are no longer present. A good auditor can be there comfortably and would never distract a pc.

4. *HCO PL 4 Nov 71 II. ACADEMY PREREQUISITE.*

This issue omitted mention of the Hard TRs Course as an Academy prerequisite, as a result of which the Hard TRs Course was dropped out of the training for auditors.

A Hard TRs Course is essential to the ability to audit at any level of auditing.

“Pat-a-cake” (meaning child’s game) TRs were originally used in Div 6 for raw public to get them on a co-audit in London in the ‘50s and still might be of some small value for raw public that never intended to be auditors. But they sure won’t pass or make a real auditor. In this era of permissive education, forget the permissiveness. The day we dropped out hard TRs, we entered an era of less case gain for pcs.

5. *BTB 5 Nov OR, Rev. 24.4. 78, TR COURSE DEBUG DRILL.*

This issue stresses the same points covered in No. 1 and No. 2 above and gave rise to false passes and quickying.

6. *HCO PL 6 Nov 71 III, INTERNSHIPS LINEUP. AUDITOR INTERNSHIPS.*

This issue states that interns and auditors do daily TRs and gave rise to the false idea that one’s TRs could go out overnight and you’d have to get them in again the next morning!

Once an auditor’s TRs are in, they are IN. The way to get your TRs in is to do the TRs Course. This doesn’t mean that you can’t do TRs again; it is usual to check an auditor’s TRs in Cramming and handle any outnesses. But once TRs have been done fully and honestly, they are in! And they stay in from there on out.

7. *HCOB 7 Apr BRA, Rev. 22.2. 79. GRADIENTS IN TRs.*

The earlier system, the one I originally used was successful. The trouble was that others added in the idea “it takes a hundred hours,” and actually thought it would take them months to get through a TRs Course and were sticking students in on one TR.

Cycling through the TRs remedies that but one must ask what it is remedying? It's remedying a bunch of knuckle-headedness and invalidation in the first place!

Cycling through the TRs has been given a new definition and action. The student goes up through the TRs until he or she sticks, and then starts back at the beginning of the TRs. It is a technical fact, that when one cannot do a lower level TR, one is not likely to do an upper level TR. Get the student through the TRs the Hard Way, each one to a pass, one at a time. If the student hangs up or fails on a later TR, start him or her from the beginning of the TRs again.

8. *HCOB 8 Dec 74. TR 0—NOTES ON BLINKING.*

This issue has been misinterpreted by some who figured that because the coach doesn't flunk the student for a blink, that it was then OK for the student to blink excessively in a distracting manner. This issue also points out that the person is a thetan and not a body, but that doesn't mean that it is OK for the student to writhe nervously in the chair and call that TR 0.

The coach does not flunk a person because he blinked, nor does he flunk the person because he breathed! But there's a big difference between someone who can't confront who blinks excessively and squirms around nervously and an auditor who can comfortably be there without flinching or being distractive in any way to the preclear—which would be an Auditor Code break. A good auditor is never distractive to a pc. And a person who can confront doesn't have excessive body motion of any kind, he can be there comfortably confronting.

“Blinkless TR 0” needs to be defined. It means that when a person's TR 0 is in he doesn't exhibit any manifestation of inability to confront including blinking nervously, flinching or doing anything else that shows a nonconfront.

Automatic body functions don't have anything to do with TRs and are not taken up by the coach or Supervisor.

Nor do you do “pat-a-cake” TRs and you never pass someone who makes reactive body motions. Get the student able to confront. Any good auditor or Scientologist takes this ability for granted.

Totally blinkless wide open staring-eyed TR 0 and TR 0 Bullbait are not a requirement for pass but any truly competent auditor can do it.

9. *BTB 8 Mar 75 IV. Cramming Series 5RB, TRs IN CRAMMING.*

This issue called for “daily TRs,” the same error as is covered above in No. 6.

10. *BTB 20 Sep 72. Reiss. 20. 9. 74 as BTB. TR TRAINING UNDER LRH and BTB 13 Mar 75R. Rev. 30.4. 75 TRs TRAINING BREAKTHROUGH.*

Both these issues introduced the false idea that an auditor should make a question sound like a statement when assessing. This is incorrect as a statement can be accusative or evaluative. This idea was a misinterpretation of the fact that an assessment should have impingement.

The correct way to do assessments is covered in HCOB 22 Jul 78 ASSESSMENT TRs.

11. *FDD 32 DIV IX INT (7 JUNE 71) TRs THE HARD WAY.*

This issue stated “It may take weeks to get through plain TR 0.” That false idea gave TR Course students and Supervisors the idea that a TR Course could take a long time and set everybody up for a lose.

Honestly, TRs the Hard Way can be done fully, thoroughly and to a result of excellent TRs in a very short time. I can get somebody through TRs in three days, and often have.

SUMMARY

False data on TRs, and how “difficult” they are to do, were entered into the original tech. The tech then got dropped out of use and “permissive TRs” crept in and then the TRs Course the Hard Way got dropped out of the training of an auditor. There’s no such thing as an *auditor* who can’t do TRs. Excellent TRs are the hallmark of a good auditor. Scientologists are known for their TRs. But an auditor can’t get results without TRs and a good auditor gets case gain on a pc on his TRs and comm cycle alone.

All the tech on TRs and TR training is available. Use it and make real professional auditors who get results on every pc, every time.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:dm.gal
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 24 SEPTEMBER 1979

Remimeo
All Tech/Qual
Cramming Hat

Cramming Series 19

FLYING RUDS IN CRAMMING

(Ref: HCOB 15 Oct 74 Cramming Series 15
CRAMMING OVER OUT-RUDS
HCOB 2 Jun 78R Cramming Series 18R
Rev. 14.6.78 CRAMMING REPAIR ASSESSMENT LIST)

Per HCOB 15 Oct 74 CRAMMING OVER OUT-RUDS, a Cramming Officer must not try to cram over out-ruds. Despite this, there still have been instances of persons being "handled" in cramming without the ruds having been gotten in, so no handling got done at all.

HOW TO FLY RUDS IN CRAMMING

TO BEGIN ANY CRAMMING OF ANYONE, ASSESS THE RUDS INCLUDING OVERTS, INVALIDATION AND EVALUATION AND FLY ANY THAT READ. THEN WHEN YOU HAVE CLEARED UP THE READS TO F/Ns AND HAVE AN F/N, BEGIN THE EXACT CRAMMING ORDERS INDICATED.

You can mimeo a small form on which to assess these and mark reads which will save time. The form would look like this:

"Do you have

or,

"On (subject), do you have

an ARC break?" _____
a present time problem?" _____
a withhold?" _____
an overt?" _____

"Has there been any

invalidation?" _____
evaluation?" _____

The Cramming Officer would assess on the form above and clip it to the work-sheets.

PREVIOUSLY MISDONE CRAMMING

Misdone crammings and failure to fly the ruds in cramming will mess up staff members, and undisclosed overts and withholds will prevent any gain, not just in auditing but in Word Clearing or cramming or other Qual corrective actions.

Resistance to cramming, protest of cramming or natter about cramming, or other Qual corrective actions are indicative of out-ruds, especially overts and withholds against cramming or Qual or on the subject on which the cramming order was written.

These symptoms of resistance or natter can also stem from having been crammed over out-ruds in the past, or having been mishandled in cramming.

The way to handle someone who has been crammed over out-ruds in the past is to assess the following and fly each reading line to F/N:

“Have you been crammed over

an ARC break?”	_____
a present time problem?”	_____
a withhold?”	_____
an overt?”	_____
any invalidation?”	_____
any evaluation?”	_____

If someone is nattery about Cramming, Qual correction actions, or Qual, use the assessment above on the subject of their complaint. E.g. you could assess: “Have you been *Word Cleared* over _____?”

If the above does not resolve the matter fully, use the Cramming Repair Assessment List (HCOB 2 Jun 78R), or other specific list such as the Word Clearing Correction List (WCCL).

CRAMMING OFFICER QUALIFICATIONS

Because the Cramming Officer is required to do these actions, he or she must get checked out on how to do them. Possibly a reason why some did not fly the ruds despite HCOB 15 Oct 74 CRAMMING OVER OUT-RUDS, is that the Cramming Officer did not know how to fly ruds and had not gotten himself trained to do so, then either didn’t fly ruds before he attempted to do the cramming order, or did not do the cramming order at all “because the ruds were out.” Both of these errors show an effect attitude that no real Cramming Officer (or Scientologist for that matter), would be guilty of. Cramming Officers get tech in and being applied, staff members successful and winning on their post and are therefore very causative.

A CRAMMING OFFICER MUST GET CHECKED OUT ON FLYING RUDS AND OVERTS AS THESE ARE VITAL TECH OF THE CRAMMING HAT. IF A CLASSED AUDITOR, HE MUST GET CHECKED OUT ON USE OF CORRECTION LISTS SUCH AS THE CRAMMING REPAIR ASSESSMENT LIST, WCCL, ETC. FAILURE TO CHECK OUT ON AND USE THE TECH OF THE POST IS AN ETHICS MATTER.

WORKSHEETS

The worksheets (W/Ses) of all such actions (i.e. ruds, Word Clearing, crammings, Cramming Repair Lists, Product Debug Assessments and any other Qual corrective action), are put in the pc folder and sent to the Case Supervisor (C/S). The C/S will correct any out-tech or failure to fully handle, and in the case of no F/N at Exams or other out-tech, red tags the folder, until the matter is fully repaired.

These worksheets must be complete, accurate and legible. In the case of a non F/N exam or other bad indicator, these have rush priority and must be handled fast. All the rules regarding worksheets apply to cramming and any other Qual corrective actions.

IS A C/S NEEDED BEFORE FLYING RUDS IN CRAMMING?

Someone may wonder if he needs to get a C/S to fly the ruds before doing so in a cramming action. The answer is: no. You do not need to get the pc’s folder to the C/S

before you fly the ruds in cramming. To do so would make an unnecessary delay, and you don't need a C/S to fly somebody's ruds.

The C/S (Case Supervisor instruction) is contained in this issue, and that is what you do.

FOLDER CHECK BEFORE CRAMMING

Sometimes a staff member has been known to have been started on and left incomplete on several different actions. E.g. the staff member is started on a cramming order, but before this is complete, someone starts doing a Crashing Misunderstood handling on him, they end for lunch and after lunch someone tries to start yet another action on the staff member. This is a serious situation indeed and it could be enough to spin somebody. So it is mandatory that before starting an action, you must check the folder first. Cramming orders and flying ruds in cramming and other Qual corrective actions do not require C/S OK before doing them as this would put an unnecessary and arbitrary delay on the line, and could be used as an excuse not to do the action. (E.g. "I couldn't fly his ruds because I didn't have a C/S to 'fly the ruds', so I didn't do anything.") But since one would not start a new cycle in the middle of another incomplete cycle, and would not try to fly ruds or word clear over mutant or out-lists (provided these really were out and not just a false or protest read), the folder must be checked by the person who is going to do the action (this only takes a minute to do).

BEFORE STARTING A CRAMMING OR OTHER QUAL CORRECTIVE ACTION, LOOK IN THE FOLDER TO ENSURE THE PERSON ISN'T IN THE MIDDLE OF ANOTHER QUAL CORRECTIVE ACTION, OR C/SED TO GET A FLUBBED ACTION REPAIRED. AFTER THE CRAMMING OR OTHER QUAL CORRECTIVE ACTION, SEND THE FOLDER TO THE CASE SUPERVISOR WITH LEGIBLE WORKSHEETS ON WHAT YOU DID AND THE EXAM FORM.

FESing

If a person has been "crammed" or has had other Qual corrective actions and has gotten worse, or made no improvement, then get all Qual corrective actions done on the person FESed by the Case Supervisor, and a program and C/S to repair these, and get that program done. Comm Ev anyone who interrupts or cross-orders or prevents such a program from being done, as that would be suppressive. Such a program has the priority of repairing a flubbed session and the folder is red tagged, until handled.

USE THE TECH

There are several new Qual corrective actions as well as all the earlier tools of cramming. These produce spectacular results when done correctly. Use this tech to make greatly enhanced staff members.

YOUR CRAMMING WILL BE MANY TIMES MORE EFFECTIVE AND POPULAR IF YOU DO IT WITH THE CORRECT TECH.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

As assisted by
Snr C/S Int

LRH:DM:gal
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HCOB 24.9.79
ATTACHMENT 1

You can mimeo a small form on which to assess these and mark reads which will save time. The form would look like this:

“Do you have

or,

“On (subject) . do you have

an ARC break?” _____

a present time problem?” _____

a withhold?” _____

an overt?” _____

“Has there been any

invalidation?” _____

evaluation?” _____

The Cramming Officer would assess on the form above and clip it to the work-sheets.

HCOB 24.9.79
ATTACHMENT 2

The way to handle someone who has been crammed over out-ruds in the past is to assess the following and fly each reading line to F/N:

“Have you been crammed over

an ARC break?” _____

a present time problem?” _____

a withhold?” _____

an overt?” _____

any invalidation?” _____

any evaluation?” _____

If someone is nattery about Cramming, Qual Correction actions, or Qual, use the assessment above on the subject of their complaint. E.g. you could assess: “Have you been word cleared over _____?”

If the above does not resolve the matter fully, use the Cramming Repair Assessment List (HCOB 2 Jun 78R), or other specific list such as the Word Clearing Correction List (WCCL).

HCO BULLETIN OF 13 OCTOBER 1979

Remimeo

Word Clearing Series 66

CONCEPTUAL UNDERSTANDING

People who have no idea of concept get bogged into terms and mechanics. They can't operate at the level of concept and are extremely literal.

If anybody did this he couldn't do otherwise than find himself mixed up in tanglefoot. It does a lot of good to clean up his tanglefoot and meanings of words but unless this gets him up to conceptual thinking he'll just continue to get in more and more tanglefoot.

Understanding is conceptual. You could handle things, objects and symbols endlessly without achieving understanding or real communication unless one finally was able to graduate up to conceptual comprehension.

People who are literal rather than literate simply haven't achieved conceptual understanding.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:gal
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO POLICY LETTER OF 29 OCTOBER 1979

Remimeo
Staff Section
Officer
Staff Section
Hat
Quad
All Execs
All Staff

SSO RESPONSIBILITY FOR STANDARD STAFF COURSES

(Ref:	HCO PL 7 Feb 65	KEEPING SCIENTOLOGY WORKING
	Reiss. 15.6.70	
	HCO PL 22 May 76	STAFF SECTION OFFICER HAT
	HCO PL 30 Nov 76R	ONLY SSO CAN TIP
	Rev. 25.4.79	
	HCO PL 2 Aug 71	STUDY TIME
	HCO PL 16 Mar 71R	WHAT IS A COURSE?
	Rev. 29.1.75	
	HCO PL 16 Mar 72	WHAT IS A COURSE, HIGH CRIME
	HCO PL 30 Oct 78	COURSES—THEIR IDEAL SCENE
	HCO PL 24 Oct 68	SUPERVISOR KNOW-HOW, RUNNING
		THE CLASS
	HCOB 21 Aug 79	TWINNING
	HCO PL 15 Sep 70R	ETHICS, IMPORTANT, EXECUTIVE
	Rev. 25.4.79	RESPONSIBILITY FOR TRAINING STAFF
	BPL 11 Dec 71 RB 11	HUBBARD MINI COURSE SUPERVISOR
	Rev. 22.9.77	COURSE)

If staff are to be correctly hatted and trained, standard staff courses must actually exist in the Staff Section in Qual to get this done

The purpose of the Staff Section Officer is to help Ron make real staff members. It is not accomplished haphazardly.

Therefore, STANDARD STAFF COURSES ARE MANDATORY.

With this policy letter, it becomes a responsibility of the ED to make sure that an SSO (Staff Section Officer) is posted (whether full or part time as an additional duty) and it becomes a responsibility of any SSO already posted or so posted to ensure that:

1. Staff courses are provided and running.
2. Staff courses are attended.
3. WHAT IS A COURSE? PL is in on all staff courses.
4. Staff courses must approach an ideal scene, per HCO PL 10 Oct 78 COURSES —THEIR IDEAL SCENE.

This requires manning up the Staff Section accordingly and it requires getting the necessary study materials there.

An org that pleads “not enough personnel” to post an SSO and a Staff Study Supervisor, or “no funds” for course materials is simply not going to expand because they won’t have the trained, hatted staff they need to expand with.

PUTTING STAFF COURSES THERE

It has been demonstrated time and again over the years that tightly scheduled, tightly run, well-supervised courses fill up and turn into expanding courses. This is as true of staff courses as it is of courses offered to the public.

Sloppy staff scheduling, no enforcement of study schedules, missing course materials, lack of proper supervision are all contributory to staff not studying. Under these conditions staff members stay away in droves. Such conditions provide an excuse for the staff member who tries to avoid study anyway (due to his misunderstandings) and they make it difficult for the staff member who is honestly trying to get through his study TIP.

There is no valid excuse for any org not providing standard Scientology study courses for its staff. Staff members are the most on-purpose Scientologists around. They need and deserve to study on standard Scientology courses and by that is meant courses with WHAT IS A COURSE? PL fully in.

So the order for the SSO to "Put staff courses there" means WHAT IS A COURSE? PL put in, in full force.

That requires a trained Staff Course Supervisor in there *supervising* during scheduled staff study periods and doing it by the book. He has a roll book and he calls the roll for each scheduled course period. He targets his students, he enforces twinning, he ensures that misunderstandings are being picked up and handled, he sees that checkouts get properly done, he directs students with questions to the correct source reference and he ensures slow or bogged students are debugged and gotten moving, using all the new and current debugging tech.

It requires that checksheets exist for staff courses with the bulletins, policy letters, tapes, mimeo issues and reference books called for on the checksheet, available in the staff course room.

It means that materials such as clay, tape players, routing forms, bulletin boards, student files, stat graphs, progress boards and other items required in a standard course room are there for use and are *used*.

For a large staff a Staff Course Admin will be needed.

And there's got to be space provided so staff courses *can* be put in. Ideally this would be in the Staff Section in Qual, not mixed in with public courses.

Schedules are handled by working out three or four regular staff course periods a day, morning, afternoon and evening. Each period would be 2 1/2 hours long, which is the amount of time each staff member is entitled to daily. A staff member then gets assigned to the specific period which best fits with his particular post or post time. He gets enrolled for that period and he does his study during that period on a standard staff course.

Day staff would ordinarily study on Foundation hours and Foundation staff would study during the day.

GETTING STAFF COURSES ATTENDED

The final and essential part of a course, per WHAT IS A COURSE? PL, is STUDENTS .

The SSO is responsible for seeing to it that staff courses are attended. One-half this battle is won by putting complete, standard, snap-and-pop staff courses there. Such courses are inviting and students gravitate to them.

EXECUTIVE SUPPORT

The other half of the battle is won by the SSO getting in firm liaison lines with execs and seniors for their support in maintaining regular staff study. Executives and seniors are also responsible for ensuring their juniors are studying and getting hatted and trained. (Ref: HCO PL 15 Sep 70R, Rev. 25.4.79 ETHICS, IMPORTANT, EXECUTIVE RESPONSIBILITY FOR TRAINING STAFF.)

Any executive worthy of the post will see to it that his staff are being enhanced. That is actually one of the attributes of a successful executive. Such a person demonstrates that he is aware of what it takes to expand, that he's capable of that much planning and prediction and that he's aware of the consequences of trying to maintain any kind of production with untrained staff.

Too often seniors don't make their juniors study. The common plea will be "production demands" or "we need to get this job done now and staff can make up their study time later . . .," etc., etc. But "later" never comes. This is simply an indication of out-planning, out-targetting and the inability to get in routine, organized actions. Such an exec is walking a downhill road into a deeper and deeper mire of cope. He'll never get out of cope with untrained, unhatted staff.

His only hope is to get in proper planning of the work load, with a scheduled study time set up for each of his juniors and that schedule enforced.

And here the SSO can be of real assistance to him.

PEOPLE WHO DON'T STUDY

The REAL underlying reason for people not studying is always misunderstands.

It will be found that executives and seniors who don't push study or don't demand their juniors study, are very often bugged students themselves.

It will also be found that those areas where staff aren't studying regularly are, in most cases, the bugged and non-producing areas or areas that are having a lot of difficulty .

These are actually both old known and proven facts that have been proven time and time again.

The SSO must get the staff study Sups to debug those bugged students, executives and staff alike, as behind every staff member or any student who does not study is solely misunderstood words. It is not post problems or other excuses. It will just be misunderstands. Getting these cleaned up will get the student winning on course and working to get himself or herself to study daily.

Areas and people that study are in turn organized, upstat and productive.

SSO AUTHORITY

With the SSO given the responsibility and the job of getting staff hatted and trained, he or she must also be given the authority to get that responsibility carried out and the job done.

THEREFORE, WITH THIS POLICY LETTER THE SSO IS EMPOWERED TO ASSIGN PENALTIES AND TAKE ETHICS ACTION ON ANY EXECUTIVE OR SENIOR WHO DOES NOT REGULARLY SEND HIS STAFF TO THE STAFF HATTING COURSE.

Such actions would range from a beginning warning or caution to ethics chits or the calling of an Executive Court of Ethics on the offending exec or senior. In the latter case, any amends assigned as the result of such a Court would be done for the SSO in the SSO area or in the Staff Course Section at the discretion of the SSO. In the case of continued omission or refusal to get or support actions to get his juniors hatted and trained, the executive or senior is subject to a Committee of Evidence on the charge of treasonable neglect. (Ref: HCO PL 15 Sep 70R, Rev. 25.4.79 ETHICS, IMPORTANT, EXECUTIVE RESPONSIBILITY FOR TRAINING STAFF.)

In situations where it becomes necessary for the SSO to issue chits or take other action, per the above, on *any* executive, whether posted higher on the org board or not, the SSO must be given ethics protection. No executive or staff member has the right to prevent the SSO from doing his job, to penalize him for such or to attempt to intimidate an SSO who is validly carrying out the responsibilities of his post.

In any of the above justice actions initiated by the SSO, upstats would always be taken into account, per the Justice PLs. An additional factor to be considered, however, is that rising statistics do not continue rising for long in the face of neglected hatting and training. Even upstats are required to study and enforce study. "Upstats" is no excuse to not study or for an exec to not push study.

SOME ADVICE FOR SSOs

If I were an SSO I'd grab this policy letter and run with it. I'd get a real gung-ho campaign going for staff hatting and training. I'd get it all handled *before* it became any kind of ethics situation.

I'd call a meeting of all the execs and Div Heads from the top down and get their support and solid agreement on getting staff courses in and maintained. That would have to include some finance personnel as well, as *their* support and assistance and know-how will be needed when it comes to obtaining the materials needed to comply with WHAT IS A COURSE? PL. I'd make this policy letter as well as WIAC PL well known to each and every one of them.

I'd do a survey on staff and execs and from it work out the three or four best daily study times of 2t/2 hours each. I'd dispatch every senior individually and meet with him personally if needed to get each of his juniors assigned to a specific study period and make sure, from an org crew list, that every single staff member was accounted for, schedule-wise. And that every single one of them was there, at the assigned time, studying.

I'd demand a Staff Courses Supervisor and get recruitment actions going, recruitment letters being written, to beef-up the Staff Section.

I'd make sure the Supervisor ran tight, snap-and-pop, absolutely standard, in-tech, on-policy staff courses and I'd be in there checking routinely to make sure it was happening.

I'd take a hard, cold, honest look at and inventory of training materials and I'd work out a sound plan for getting the most needed items first and start accumulating the rest as fast as possible. I'd have my POs in to the FP Committee every week.

I'd promote training and hatting to the staff loud and clear in the org newspaper, at musters and make sure they heard of staff course wins and successes at every opportunity.

I'd just push relentlessly until I had every point of WHAT IS A COURSE? PL soundly *in*.

And if I were an executive in an org I'd back up an SSO who did that, 100%.

Before long, staff courses would be humming along smoothly and routinely and getting even bigger and better attended with word of mouth promotion from satisfied staff members.

Production will increase, morale will shoot up, stats will rise and with that staff pay will be higher and conditions improved. The whole scene will be one of bustling, expanding activity, with staff enhanced.

There's a little work to it. It's not accomplished haphazardly or by one person alone. But it can be done.

I'm counting on SSOs to get it done. And I'm counting on the executives of every org to back up the SSO in getting it done.

Staff courses are not a luxury. They're a vital ingredient for the sound future of your org.

So make staff courses well known and well thought of.

PUT STANDARD STAFF COURSES THERE.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:gal
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 21 DECEMBER 1979

Remimeo
Case Supervisors
Cramming Officers
Ds of P
Ds of T
Dir Correction
Tech/Qual

C/S Series 107
Cramming Series 20
Qual Corrective Actions on OTs Series 1

**AUDITOR ASSIGNMENT POLICIES,
CRAMMING ASSIGNMENT POLICIES**

(Ref: HCOB 23 Jul AD19 AUDITOR ASSIGNMENT POLICIES)

We have long had the rule that auditor-pc assignments must be by comparable grade and class. Reasons for this are given in HCOB 23 Jul AD19, which also sets the policy: "Therefore it is policy not to assign an auditor whose grade and class is less than that of the pc."

This policy becomes even more important when handling assignments on pre-OTs, because if the auditor were of lower case grade it would prevent the pre-OT from communicating to the auditor and the auditor not being aware of or trained on the materials of the level of case of the pre-OT, would not be able to audit that pre-OT and would risk disaster for the pre-OT as well as himself.

As Cramming Officers fly ruds in Cramming and as some of the Cramming and Qual corrective actions can get into a person's case, this policy is extended to apply to Cramming Officers, as well as auditors.

Therefore the following policies apply:

1. IT IS POLICY NOT TO ASSIGN AN AUDITOR WHOSE GRADE AND CLASS IS LESS THAN THAT OF THE PC. (HCOB 23 Jul AD19)
2. IT IS POLICY TO ASSIGN ONLY GOOD PROVEN AUDITORS TO GOOD AUDITORS. (HCOB 23 Jul AD19)
3. IT IS POLICY NOT TO ASSIGN NON-OT CRAMMING OFFICERS TO OTs AND THE CRAMMING OFFICER MUST NOT BE OF LOWER CASE LEVEL THAN THE OT.
4. A PERSON WHO HAS BEEN AUDITED ON NED FOR OTs, MAY ONLY BE AUDITED OR CRAMMED BY A NED FOR OTs AUDITOR.

The terms "auditor" and "Cramming Officer" in these policies above are intended to include anyone acting in the capacity of an auditor or Cramming Officer and the fact that one is not a trained or posted auditor or Cramming Officer does not permit one to do auditing or Qual corrective actions in violation of the policies above.

These policies apply to any auditing actions and to Qual corrective actions such as Why Finding, metered debug actions, False Data Stripping, Confessionals (whether done in Qual or HCO), Clay Table auditing and these policies are intended to apply to any new Qual corrective actions released in the future.

Subjective questions and metered actions which lead into a person's case are not OK on OTs. Such actions are not advised on lower level cases either, unless these have

been C/Sed for and are part of standard tech. Otherwise this type of action is only a covert way of auditing the person while not calling it auditing and is forbidden in C/S Series 29 CASE ACTIONS, OFFLINE. Nonstandard actions or interviews done by untrained persons whose TRs and metering are out are especially forbidden, as detrimental to cases. Definition of “subjective”: “Consultation with the preclear’s own universe, with his mock ups, and with his own thoughts and considerations.” (COHA, page 167) “Recall, think, remember or return on the time track processes are subjective.” (HCOB 2 Nov 57RA)

There are actions which are OK to do in Cramming. These are not related to the person’s case. They relate to his post and performance. These are *objective* questions or actions. Definition of “objective”: “Of or having to do with a material object as distinguished from a mental concept, idea or belief.” (Dictionary) “Means here and now objects in PT as opposed to ‘subjective’.” (HCOB 2 Nov 57RA) Questions or actions by the Cramming Officer which are objective and pertain to the person’s post, the materials which cover his post or that he is studying, clearing words misunderstood, hatting actions and post or Product Debugs (provided subjective questions are not asked on OTs) are all OK. The most usual and successful cramming action is simply to take the materials or text that covers the subject of the cramming order and word clear and cram those materials. This is always safe and OK to do. (The only other caution is not to give verbal data, nor to evaluate or invalidate or throw the person’s ruds out while doing the cram!)

It is not that OTs are difficult to handle. To the contrary OTs are far easier and faster to handle than non-OTs. But OT cases must be handled as OT cases or the person doing the handling risks invalidation of case level of the OT and could get into aspects of the case that he/she knows nothing about and is thus incapable of handling or repairing. OTs when handled on the appropriate auditing and Qual corrective actions for their state of case by auditors, Cramming Officers and C/Ses who are qualified to do so, make very fast and spectacular gains.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

As assisted by
Senior C/S Int

LRH:DM:kim
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 24 DECEMBER 1979

Remimeo
TR Course
TR Super
visors
Cramming
Officers
Auditors
C/Ses

TRs BASICS RESURRECTED

Refs:	HCOB 16 Aug 71 II	TRAINING DRILLS REMODERNIZED
	Rev. 5.7.78	
	HCOB 23 Sep 79	CANCELLATION OF DESTRUCTIVE
		BTBs and BPLs ON TRs
	HCOB 5 Apr 73	AXIOM 28 AMENDED
	BOOK: <i>DIANETICS</i> '55!	
	Chapter VII:	COMMUNICATION
	BOOK: <i>PROBLEMS OF WORK</i>	
	Chapter 6:	AFFINITY, REALITY AND COMMUNICATION
	BOOK: <i>FUNDAMENTALS OF THOUGHT</i>	
	Chapter 5:	THE A-R-C TRIANGLE
	HCO PL 7 Aug 79	Product Debug Series 8
		Esto Series 36
		FALSE DATA STRIPPING
	HCO PL 9 Feb 79 II	HOW TO DEFEAT VERBAL TECH

TRs have been under study and pilot for the past year as, just about this time last year it became all too obvious, through review of the video-taped TRs of special corps of auditors as well as those from piloted TRs Courses, that students seemed to have become incapable of mastering the TRs.

This presented a mystery, as I have always been able to teach TRs effectively in about a week's time, give or take a few days. Once the student has his basics in it's done by simply getting the student to DO it, as TRs are not a "think" action nor a subjective action. They're practical drills on the comm cycle. There's nothing subjective about them. TRs are a doingness.

But we suddenly had entire corps of student auditors unable to master these drills.

What had happened to the teaching of TRs?

A good many months were spent in isolating exactly what had gone wrong, and it has now all been boiled down to a very few factors:

1. Hard TRs had been dropped out.
2. Doing the communication formula in clay had been omitted.

Those were the two major points of change and when these two were omitted, that was it. That was the end of anybody being able to do TRs. One can't master TRs without familiarity with the comm cycle. One can't master TRs with permissive, pat-a-cake drilling. TRs are gotten in by drilling them HARD.

It is one thing to try to teach Hard TRs to raw public and it is quite another to make an auditor. People studying to become auditors have to be made into auditors.

It's all right to teach a mild TRs Course in Division 6 and one should, but when it comes to making auditors, there is no substitute for Hard TRs.

Somewhere along the line doing the communication formula in clay as the beginning part of the TRs Course was dropped out. This left the student with no slightest concept of why he was doing TRs. The communication formula is a Scientology discovery and when you omit teaching it, the student suffers from out-basics. So the omission of doing the communication formula in clay on a TRs Course was fatal.

There were also three additional factors found to be further influencing the scene:

3. Student auditors had no real understanding of the ARC triangle. Thus, their Communication was stuck because their Affinity and Reality and, therefore, their Understanding, were deficient.
4. The lack of a bona fide TRs checksheet had opened the way for all kinds of false data to be entered into the subject.
5. Ignorance of the end phenomena of a TRs Course or why they were doing TRs.

The result of this past year's study and piloting and the isolation of these factors has now culminated in a full and final TRs Course which will be issued very soon in unalterable book form.

Meantime, this bulletin is being issued as a holding action to make these errors and omissions in the teaching and drilling of TRs broadly known so that they can be remedied at once wherever auditor TRs are being taught.

OMITTED CHECKSHEET AND FALSE DATA

Since the cancellation of HCO PL 24 May 71 THE PROFESSIONAL TR COURSE, there has been no real TRs checksheet, complete with the basics of communication and the theory of communication which underlie the TRs. That was a huge out-basic right there. TRs as drills appeared on various checksheets, sometimes with several accompanying bulletins, but omitted was any thorough preliminary insequence study of the theory upon which the TRs are based.

Here we had a course without a checksheet, which made it possible for false data to spring in from various quarters. And so it did. It wasn't that people were willfully entering false data into the subject. It was simply that there was no standard checksheet which took the student through the true data, and *only* the true data, on the simple basics (the ARC triangle and the communication formula) underlying the TRs and then the TRs drills themselves. With that situation you can get all kinds of false data coming into an area. And that is exactly what was found. Almost one for one the students coming onto the special piloted courses conducted this past year were ridden with false data, various types of "think" and figure-figure and alter-is of the tech of the TRs.

A number of BTBs and BPLs on the subject contributed to this scene and actually perpetrated out-tech in the area, and these have now been canceled, by specific title, by HCOB 23 Sep 79, CANCELLATION OF DESTRUCTIVE BTBs AND BPLs ON TRs, which lists and corrects the outnesses these issues introduced.

A further handling is to give the student the true data on communication and TRs, as covered in the chapters on ARC in *Problems of Work* and *Fundamentals of Thought*, the chapters on communication in *Dianetics •55!*, and HCOB 16 Aug 71R, TRs REMODERNIZED. As he studies this, one then digs up and strips off the false data accumulated on the subject or drill, using HCO PL 7 Aug 79, FALSE DATA STRIPPING.

Where false data on a subject exists it hits immediately and directly up against the true data, and until this conflict is blown by False Data Stripping the person can be untrainable on the subject.

Thus this brand new tech tool, False Data Stripping, is and has been tremendously useful in correcting TR outnesses and ensuring correct training on the TRs.

It might be noted in passing that the most false subject on the planet at this time is psychology because the mission of a psychologist is a government one—to make the population into controllable zombies—the subject is being taught earlier and earlier in schools and a lot of your students and even Supervisors have been subjected to this propaganda and false data about Man and the mind. I recall that the people it took longest to get through TRs Courses were professional psychologists. The basis of this is false data—they are loaded with it. It is not that psychology teaches anything about communication (they never heard of the subject until we came along) but that they simply have so many false data about life that they actually can't study or drill in a life subject such as Scientology. And you may find it necessary to clean this up. This prevents horrible slows on TRs Courses. It's not an action that would be done in the course, of course, but would be done in Review.

THE COMMUNICATION FORMULA IN CLAY

The TRs are drills on the various parts of the communication formula.

This basic datum seems to have become obscured in recent years. It appeared that, to many, TRs were considered to be drills that were done for the sake of doing drills, with only some vague accompanying idea of their actual use or application or how they related to auditing and an auditing session.

The truth of the matter is that TRs are simply the drills that enable a person to polish and perfect his comm cycle.

But if one doesn't know what the cycle of communication is to begin with, if one isn't totally familiar with the various parts of the communication formula, the TRs as drills are not going to make much sense to him. Drilling becomes a struggle because he doesn't even know what it is he's trying to handle.

So one of the first things a TRs student needs is a sound understanding of the communication formula.

The way to learn the communication formula is to do it in clay. That defines it, puts it there in the physical universe for him. By demonstrating the communication formula, all of its parts, in clay, he will actually *see* how it works. It becomes real to him. Now he knows what it is he's drilling.

Unfortunately, with the cancellation of the 24 May 71 TRs Checksheet the basic action of demonstrating the communication formula in clay was dropped out and with that a real understanding of the use of TRs was obscured for many.

Representing the comm formula in clay is now reinstated firmly as a vital preliminary step to drilling TRs.

USE OF THE ARC TRIANGLE

Even below an understanding of the communication formula comes an understanding of the ARC triangle. Now we are getting more basic.

This turned up as a very interesting technical factor in reviewing countless TR video tapes this past year. It was actually a very interesting technical bug. I studied and studied these flunked video TR sessions to find the common denominator of all of them, and I finally nailed it. What I found was that they were specializing in "C," communication, on the ARC triangle. They were specializing in "C" but what was out was their "A" (affinity) and "R" (reality) and their "C" was being pegged—it would go up just so far—because they weren't anywhere up the line on their "A" and "R."

As a result they couldn't *understand* anything the other guy was saying. Most of the flubs were on this basis. They didn't have any pc there, they weren't listening to what the pc said, the ARC was out the bottom.

The person gets stuck without full use of the ARC triangle. You can raise the communication level but then you have to raise the reality and then you have to raise the affinity and then you get some understanding. Only then can you continue to improve each point of the triangle.

On most of those videos they were stuck with the communication being raised just a bit, and that was that, because they weren't raising the affinity and reality levels along with it. So they did not advance or improve.

A handling is to make sure the student gets a very sound understanding of the ARC triangle and its use before he tackles the TRs.

This can be accomplished by having him represent it in clay, using the chapters on ARC in *Fundamentals of Thought* and *Problems of Work* and Chapter VII of *Dianetics* 55!.

When he knows how A and R and C interrelate and how they're used to bring about Understanding, he's then prepared to really grasp the communication formula. And when he has a good familiarity with the communication formula he can drill the TRs and polish up his own communication cycle and improve with comparative ease.

TRs THE HARD WAY

When TRs the Hard Way slipped out of use and permissive TRs entered the picture. the results were less competent auditors and less case gain for pcs.

Auditor TRs must be taught rough, tough and hard. This does not mean invalidative drilling or coaching or supervision. It does mean you get the student to DO the TRs. He's got to drill the TRs, not figure-figure on them or dive into his case to avoid them.

TRs the Hard Way means stringent, spot-on coaching and supervision on the proper gradient. Each button found on the student is flattened before it is left. Flunks are given when the student flunks. And when he flunks he goes right back in again and he drills it until he's got it.

The TRs are taught and drilled per the 16 Aug 71R bulletin, TRAINING DRILLS REMODERNIZED, and per the advices in HCOB 23 Sep 79, CANCELLATION OF DESTRUCTIVE BTBs AND BPLs ON TRs. The student is coached to wins, not losses. You make sure he understands the drill and after that it's a matter of his DOING it. It's a matter of keeping him at it, getting him through it, regardless of what buttons crop up to be flattened, until he's mastered each TR and can handle any comm cycle with ease.

Permissive, namby-pamby, pat-a-cake TRs have no place in the training of an auditor or on a bona fide TRs Course. A student who hasn't mastered his TRs won't master any of the training that follows them. The way to master TRs is to drill them the hard way.

It is Hard TRs that make an auditor. (A more gradient approach to TRs would be taken on the HAS Course where the new Scientologist is getting his first taste of how to handle communication in his everyday life and livingness.)

Given sound training on the basics, ARCU and the formula of communication with any false data stripped off, and the student then drilled on TRs the Hard Way, to perfection, you'll find he comes through with flying colors to a smooth, flubless comm cycle. And it doesn't take a year or even months to accomplish it.

END PHENOMENON OF TRs

As the students really had no idea of the communication formula as such due to the omission of the requirement that they do it in clay and learn it, they of course didn't know where they were going. A surprising number of students were heard making stupid remarks like, "I would never use the TRs in auditing" which is about the same as saying "I would never use food when I eat."

Practically no students on TRs Courses had any idea why they were doing TRs or what had to be achieved in order to be a finished product on a TRs Course. This unfortunately included the Supervisors and of course the coaches. So one got all sorts of silly, invalidative, evaluative teaching and coaching.

If they didn't know where they were going and what the end phenomenon of a TRs Course was, of course they couldn't train a student toward it and so TRs Courses which would only involve a week or two turned into months and months of floundering around due to miscoaching and mainly destructive criticism which had no purpose.

Instruction and coaching are not based on opinion. They should be based on producing the end phenomenon.

The PRIMARY VALUABLE FINAL PRODUCT of TRs is:

A professional auditor who with comm handling alone can keep a pc interested in his own case and willing to talk to the auditor.

The SECONDARY VALUABLE FINAL PRODUCT of TRs is:

A person with the session and social presence of a professional auditor and that presence can be summed up as a being who can handle anyone with communication alone and whose communication can stand up faultlessly to any session or social situation no matter how rough.

The END PHENOMENON of TRs is:

A being who knows he can achieve both of the above flawlessly and from here on out.

That's the EP and that's the direction all instruction and coaching must take. Each TR must be in against the standard above.

As we know the communication formula and as the TRs are parts of it, the end phenomenon can be achieved relatively rapidly. It is that we know, for the first time in man's history, the communication formula that makes it possible to drill people on it and produce the above end phenomenon. This was a major point that was being missed—that one was trying to produce something. If you don't know what you're trying to produce it can take forever, can't it?

PREREQUISITE

There is one factor that would effectively block a smooth run through this training, basics or no basics. You're not going to get a person who has been loaded up with drugs to grasp this data and come out the other end as any kind of product until he's had his drugs handled.

You now have the Purification Rundown to handle that, along with Objectives and the Drug Rundown. With this fantastic new rundown, which is an undercut to all training and processing, we have the means to make even the seemingly untrainable trainable.

SUMMARY

I wanted to let you know what has been happening in regard to TRs study and training over the past year, and what bugs have now been uncovered. Each of the points taken up in this bulletin have now been solved. You will have a very complete professional TRs Course released in book form in the near future.

Meantime, the materials exist and are available on which to train students in TRs and do so very effectively.

Therefore, this issue is your license to include on any current checksheet which calls for auditor TRs the materials and actions covered herein.

The data is being given you for your immediate use.

So I'll expect to see you turning out crops of auditors with flawless TRs!

It *can* be accomplished by getting in the five points covered in this bulletin alone.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:dr
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 30 DECEMBER 1979

Remimeo

HOW TO BUILD A SAUNA

Refs:	HCOB 6 Feb 78RA	THE PURIFICATION RUNDOWN
	Rev. 4.12.79	REPLACES THE SWEAT PROGRAM
	HCOB 6 Feb 78RA-1	HE PURIFICATION RUNDOWN—
	Addition of 20.12.79	ERRATA AND ADDITIONS

ANYONE BUILDING A SAUNA SHOULD BE WARNED THAT
IT HAS TO BE PROPERLY BUILT SO AS NOT TO CAUSE
INJURY OR CASUALTY TO PERSONS USING IT.

The Purification Rundown is not only the initial necessary preliminary undercut to the majority of cases planet-wide, but orgs will find it in great popular demand in the immediate future as news of its remarkable results have already spread rapidly through word-of-mouth.

Every org must be able to deliver the Purification Rundown. In order to deliver the rundown an org will need the use of a sauna, and in order to deliver it most efficiently an org would have its *own* sauna.

The major part of the 5-hour daily period on the Purification Rundown is spent in the sauna bath, after working up the circulation by a period of running.

Thus, if the sauna bath is situated right on the org premises or adjoining or very close to the org premises, and operated under the org's jurisdiction, it will not only be more convenient and more workable but more economical as well.

This issue is written to provide the basic data on how an org can equip itself to deliver the rundown most ideally—via its own sauna bath.

SAUNA: DEFINITION OF

The word “SAUNA” is a Finnish word which describes the Finnish custom of bathing or deep cleansing by intense heat which induces perspiration.

Technically, the term “sauna bath” refers to a specially constructed wooden room, properly insulated, and heated to temperatures of between 140° and 200°F (or approximately 71° to 93°C) to induce profuse sweating.

It is equipped with wooden benches at different height levels on which the bathers sit or recline. As heat rises, the air is hotter around the higher benches and somewhat cooler around the lower benches, so one can take his choice, depending upon his heat tolerance.

The sauna room is also, necessarily, equipped with its own heat source.

BUILDING A SAUNA

In building a sauna, the two main factors to be taken into consideration are: (a) location of the sauna room and (b) the type of heat source to be used.

The choice of location of the room can depend upon what type of heat is available and most economical, and the location of the main source of heat.

With these two factors determined, one can then get into the other aspects of sauna installation which include size of the room, foundation and flooring, wiring, walls, ventilation, insulation, exterior finishes, safety measures and any accessories needed.

COST ANALYSIS: Before undertaking the building of a sauna, all of the following data will need to be taken into consideration and a cost analysis must be done, based on building codes and local prices and accessibility of materials and equipment.

In other words, cost analysis and planning is done first so that all the aspects of an effective, operational sauna are considered and provided for in advance. A properly targetted construction program can then be carried out rapidly.

In the PAC area a very workable sauna was constructed for approximately \$1600 —\$1200 of which was for construction and construction materials, \$400 of which was for sauna unit heaters. With good planning, it is possible for any org to equip itself with a standard sauna room, vital to the delivery of the Purification Rundown. Its construction and maintenance should then more than pay for itself as the rundown is sold and delivered.

BUILDING CODES AND PERMITS: It will be necessary to check with your building department to determine what permits are needed for sauna installation, and what the local building codes require in the way of structural design and construction materials. Most building codes in the United States set standards similar to or based on those of the Uniform Building Code and the National Electric Code for foundations, framing and wiring.

Zoning laws may enter into it. However, as you will not be in the business of operating a commercial sauna and as many home owners and even business executives now install their own private home or office saunas, there should be no difficulty in obtaining the necessary permit for installation.

Building codes and building permit requirements must be complied with, for both safety and legal reasons. It would be foolish for an org to endanger its tenancy of a building by any infraction of such regulations.

LOCATION OF THE SAUNA: A sauna room can be built inside another room. In other words, one could use a fairly small room for this purpose by insulating and paneling it properly, or one could partition off a part of a large room, with proper wall construction, insulation and interior finishing.

An ideal selection for a sauna would be a room with a drain in the floor or one where a drain could be easily installed. It should be located near a shower (which may also need to be installed), as cooling showers may be necessary for a large majority of people during the hours of sweat out. In any case, a nearby source of running water is a must, as sweaters should drink plenty of water to prevent dehydration and this must be easily available. It is also needed for convenience in taking salt or potassium gluconate tablets and vitamins.

Ideally, two showers and two locker rooms, one each for men and women, would be located conveniently near the sauna.

Depending upon the type of heat to be used, it may be advantageous to select a room fairly close to the heat source to prevent the necessity for extensive additional wiring or piping. For example, if steam heat is used, the closer the room is to the steam furnace or boiler the better, as steam pipes, all well and properly insulated, would need to be run from the heat source to the sauna steam heaters. The more such piping is

needed the greater the cost, naturally, and there is also the additional factor of it taking longer to get the steam actually coming through to the sauna heaters.

Choosing a room with the least outside wall or window surface is also desirable.

This is due to the fact that the more outside wall surface there is involved, the more insulation and heat is required to maintain proper sauna room temperatures.

It may be necessary to select the sauna room according to local building code and permit regulations.

Where an org simply does not have the space for building a sauna right on the org premises, it may be necessary to rent or purchase additional space in a nearby or adjoining building for the installation of its sauna.

SIZE OF SAUNA ROOM: A sauna room must not be too large, for the sake of economy. Too large a room is too expensive and too difficult to heat.

An org. however, will want a sauna room that can accommodate between 10 and 15 people at once, as the traffic will require it. Some suggested sizes are: 12 x 10 x 7 feet; 14 x 14 x 7 feet; or 12 x 16 x 9 feet. The height of the room is never more than 9 feet, and most often 7 feet is best, as a high ceiling simply results in loss of heat, because heat rises. Thus a low ceiling prevents the heat from rising into space where it won't be used.

The size of the room should be determined by the number of people you expect to be using it at any one time. It is usual to allow 65 cubic feet of space for each person.

A rectangular or square-shaped room provides the optimum shape space for arrangement of the tiered benches.

It should be kept in mind that the size and power of the sauna stove required to heat the room will depend directly upon the size of the room.

SOURCES OF HEAT

By far the biggest single factor to be taken into consideration in installing a sauna is the source of heat to be used.

The types of sauna stoves available are:

1. Gas
2. Electric
3. Wood burning (which would not be practical for an org), and
4. Steam heaters can also be used, where the org has a year-round supply of steam, such as would be routinely supplied for dish-washers, laundry or hot water heating. Otherwise, where steam is used only for central heating of the building, it would be far too expensive to run a steam boiler in the summer for sauna use only. Additionally, there would be heat loss, even with shut-offs to different areas. In a large building, however, where steam is required all the time for other purposes, steam would probably be the most economical. Or, it might be practical to purchase a small steam generator for sauna heating purposes only.

The choice of the type of heater to be used should be determined by the most economical heat available to the org. Cost comparisons should be made locally to determine installation costs and operating costs of different heating systems.

ELECTRIC STOVES: Electric sauna stoves are by far the easiest to install and the cleanest. They are efficient but they may not be the most economical to operate, depending upon the cost of electricity in the area.

With an electric stove, you will need at least a 220 volt supply of electrical power to the sauna. This is the same voltage that operates a kitchen stove or a clothes dryer, but it must be determined that the existing power supply in the org can safely support the *additional* power required for the sauna heater. If not, you may need to install an additional power supply.

The size of the stove is important—it must be the correct size, power-wise, to produce the required sauna temperatures. The size will depend upon the size of the room and the location of the room. Less power, for example, would be required for a small room or a room with no outside wall exposure.

The power of an electric stove is measured by the number of kilowatts needed to heat the stove elements. One kilowatt (kw) = 1000 watts. Prefabricated electric sauna stoves come anywhere from 2.2 kilowatts to 18 kilowatts in power.

To compute the size electric stove needed, allow 1 kilowatt for every 45 cubic feet of room space.

Find the number of cubic feet of room space by multiplying the length by the width by the height of the room. This gives you the total cubic feet, or *volume*, of the room.

Divide the volume by 45 to get the number of kilowatts needed to heat that room.

Example: The volume of a 12 x 10 x 7 foot room = 840 cubic feet.

$$\frac{840}{45} = 18.44 \text{ kilowatts}$$

18.44 kilowatts is the power required to heat a 12 x 10 x 7 foot room to proper sauna temperatures.

The above is the formula that would be used in temperate climates. In a colder climate, a stronger stove would probably be required.

Prefabricated electric sauna stoves have a control unit that is always installed *outside* the sauna room, as the controls are not built to withstand high temperatures. These stoves usually also include a safety device that cuts off the electrical current should there be a malfunction of any kind.

GAS STOVES: The power of a gas stove is measured by the number of British Thermal Units (BTUs) of heat the stove generates. (A British Thermal Unit is the amount of heat necessary to raise 1 pound of water 1 degree Fahrenheit.) Gas heaters are graded according to the number of BTUs they provide in one hour.

To compute the size gas stove required, allow 1000 BTUs for every 15 cubic feet of sauna room volume.

Example: The volume of a 12 x 10 x 7 foot room = 840 cubic feet.

$$840 \text{ cubic feet divided by } 15 \text{ cubic feet } ((840/15)) = 56.$$

Multiply 56 x 1000 BTUs = 56,000 BTUs needed from a gas heater to heat a 12 x 10 x 7 foot sauna room.

Gas heaters usually cost less to operate than electric heaters. They are a bit more complicated (but not necessarily more expensive) to install. The heater would need to be connected to the building's gas supply line by approved gas piping. Standard

approved galvanized steel pipe with threaded ends and standard galvanized steel fittings for any bends (elbows) in the pipe, with approved thread sealout, would be required. Such piping would need to be done professionally, possibly contracted, or inspected professionally when completed.

Old gas heaters, however well-renovated they might be, are *not* permitted for this purpose. Modern gas heaters for sauna use are built with special safety shut-off valves and safety pilot flame, designed to cut the gas supply off should the pilot light go out. If an org is to use gas heat in the sauna, *on/v* a modern gas heater with these safety features is permissible for org installation.

With a modern gas heater, the gas is piped in to a small burner in a sealed combustion chamber in the stove. Air is drawn into the chamber from outside the sauna and expelled through the flue.

Gas heaters, especially, require an adequate air supply and suitable venting.

SOAP BUBBLE TEST FOR LEAKS IN GAS LINES: The following test can be done to detect leaks in a gas pipe line, particularly at the elbow joints or any place where two pieces of pipe are spliced together with threaded ends. Mix 1 part liquid detergent with 4 parts water, in a cup or can.

Pressurize the line by opening the gas line valve. With a soft brush, mix up the soap solution and daub it well around any joints of pipe. If there is the slightest leak, it will show up in big soap bubbles. If any such leaks are found, the pipe connections would need to be redone, and then thoroughly reinspected.

Both gas and electric prefabricated sauna stoves are metal-encased, usually with two or even three layers of noncorrosive metal with air between them. The outermost layer of metal, which is usually of stainless steel or baked enamel, prevents the surface from becoming too hot and inhibits the loss of heat from the front and sides of the stove. Both the gas and electric type stoves are thermostatically controlled.

SAUNA STONES

Prefabricated sauna stoves are designed with a metal tray at the top to hold and heat a pile of stones or rocks called konno rocks. Konno rocks, technically known as peridotite maim, are quarried in Finland. They are ideal for the sauna as they store heat well, help to distribute a soft heat evenly throughout the sauna room and help to maintain the required sauna temperature. Another virtue of these stones is that they can withstand high temperatures and do not crack or explode when subjected to high heat pressure.

To allow for good air circulation, the stones should be loosely packed in the tray. If one wishes to add steam to the sauna, a long-handled ladle is used to pour water on the hot stones, resulting in bursts of steam which fill the sauna room.

A supply of konno rocks or stones is usually included with the purchase of a prefabricated sauna stove. The stones will usually last through five years of routine use. They may also be purchased separately, commercially.

WOOD BURNING STOVES: Although the wood burning stove is in the best Finnish sauna tradition, it is highly impractical for org use. It takes a good quantity of wood to heat a sauna adequately and routine stoking would be required, as well as a convenient storage space for the wood supply. Further, the cost of wood as fuel can be high, and there is a wide variance in the heat-producing qualities of different woods. It takes considerable time to heat a sauna room to the required temperature with a wood burning stove, and the ashes from a wood fire must be removed periodically.

For all of the above reasons, a wood burning sauna stove is not recommended.

STEAM HEATERS: Where steam is available the year round, steam “unit heaters” can be used, as was done in the sauna built in PAC, and this is probably the most economical method of heating the sauna.

A unit heater is a combination heater and fan, so arranged that the fan blows air through the heater, thus speeding the transfer of heat from the heater to the room air.

Steam unit heaters are rated according to the number of BTUs they will produce when supplied with 60 degree F. entering air and 2 pounds per square inch steam pressure. As entering air becomes hotter, the heater puts out fewer BTUs. But by increasing the steam pressure to the unit heater you can increase the amount of BTUs it puts out. Check the steam capacity of the unit (which is probably 150 pounds per square inch). By adjusting the pressure reduction valve and so admitting more or less steam pressure to the unit heater, you can raise or lower the temperature of the sauna. A safety valve is used to protect the unit heater in the event that the pressure reduction valve should fail (though this is quite unusual). One should insist on clear instructions on how to mount any safety valve that is purchased.

Actually, the unit heater can be heated by electricity, gas, steam or hot water. An electric unit heater is the easiest to install (depending upon the existing voltage supply, as described earlier) but an electric heater will use around 1/3 of a watt to put out one BTU per hour. To heat a room 14 x 14 x 9 feet high, this would require upwards of 18,000 watts per hour which, depending upon the geographical location, can be quite an expensive operation.

Hot water heaters require high water temperatures, around 180 degrees F. minimum. Gas or oil heaters, depending upon local availability of fuel, can be fairly inexpensive to purchase and use, but they require sufficient air supply and the proper venting to be safe.

REMEMBER THAT ANY COMBUSTION INCLUDING ELECTRICAL COMBUSTION CONSUMES OXYGEN AND AS OXYGEN DIMINISHES IT WILL GIVE OFF CARBON MONOXIDE, WHICH IS QUITE A DEADLY POISON.

Perhaps your best bet, from the standpoint of safety and economy, would be a small steam generator, external to the sauna and well vented, providing steam to a unit heater in the sauna.

SPECIFICATIONS FOR STEAM FITTINGS: If steam is used, the following specifications might be used as a guide in the selection of steam fittings:

For Steam Piping, maximum pressure 150 pounds per square inch, use standard weight black steel pipe, ASTM A53 or A-120, Grade A or B. Use screwed fittings of 150 pound black malleable iron. Use unions of 250 pound malleable iron, ground iron to bronze seat. Use RP 8 C shut-off valves, 30 ITF, bronze body ball types with Teflon seats. For pressure reduction valves and safety valves use C.M. Bailey.

For Condensate Return, use seamless copper tubing, drawn temper, ASTM B88, Type “L,” fittings of wrought copper solder joint ASA B16 22; unions wrought copper screwed Nibco No. 633; shut-off valves Nibco-Scott S-595-Y bronze body, solder end, ball type with teflon seats; check valves Nibco Y-type brass body screwed. Solder, Easy Flo or equivalent with melting point higher than 1000 degrees F., suitable flux.

The basic hook-up is: steam main to higher elbow (for drainage), to shut-off valve, to strainer, to union, to pressure reduction valve, to union, to shut-off valve, to safety valve, to elbow, down to union, to elbow, to unit heater. From unit heater to scale pocket (a short capped length of vertical pipe same size as exit hole from unit heater into which scale from the heater can fall), to union, to strainer, to “Float & Thermostatic Trap,” to shut-off valve, to check valve, to elbow, to condensate return pipe.

Again, the foregoing should be considered a guide only, as installation instructions for your heating system should be available from the manufacturer.

The unit heaters described above, whether heated by steam, gas, electricity or hot water, provide a dry heat sauna of a less sophisticated type than the specially designed prefabricated gas or electric sauna stoves.

You will need to check the various systems and costs with your local dealer to determine the most suitable sauna heater for your area.

CONSTRUCTION OF THE SAUNA

FOUNDATION AND FLOORING: The floor of the room you are converting into a sauna serves as the foundation.

If it's a concrete floor, all you would then need to do would be to add wooden slats, in a duckboard construction. Duckboards are easy to remove for cleaning purposes. Or you could cover the floor with ceramic tile.

If the original floor is wood, the handling would be to install a wood frame, made of 2 by 4 sleepers, add some good thermal insulating material (*not* fiberglass), and put down a subfloor of plywood over that. The plywood floor could then be covered with ceramic tile or seamless sheet vinyl, for waterproofing.

Only waterproof adhesives would be used. Ideally the floor would be slightly sloped toward a drain, as it will need to be scrubbed down routinely to be kept clean.

FRAMING: The first walls put up can be sheets of sheet rock.

The room is then framed with 2 x 4 studs, spaced to permit insertion of 3-inch thick insulation batts. The studs are nailed to the wooden 2 x 4 sleepers below the subfloor. If the floor is concrete they are attached with anchor bolts or concrete nails. The studs may be 16 or 24 inches apart, according to building codes.

The ceiling, lowered to 7 or 9 feet, is constructed exactly as the walls are, with the rafters spaced for insertion of insulation batts.

NOTE: At this point, although the wall construction is not yet complete, any needed holes for conduits for electricity or other heat should be drilled in the sheet rock and studs.

INSULATION: Good insulation is important in a sauna, as-it helps retain the heat and keeps the cost of heating down. The best insulation is expanded polyurethane. The insulation batts, 3 inches thick, come in strips, with flanges which can be stapled (do not use glue) to the framing studs. The 3-inch thick part of the insulation batt is inserted between the studs.

FINISHING OF THE WALLS: With the insulation installed, a vapor barrier (of construction plastic) is then nailed or stapled to the studs. The vapor barrier prevents moisture from collecting inside the walls.

The same insulation and vapor barrier is also installed in the ceiling.

Walls and ceiling are then covered with one-half inch gypsum board.

For the final covering, walls and ceiling are paneled with saw textured 1-inch by 6-inch wood paneling, with the smooth face exposed inside the sauna. Kiln-dried redwood is commonly used for such paneling, where it is easily available. This and cedar are especially popular because of their high insulation factor. They make the sauna easier to heat and remain cooler to the touch. Other low-density softwoods which

resist heat can also be used, such as white pine, sugar pine, ponderosa pine, spruce and hemlock.

Care should be taken to select finished, vertical-grain woods, as free of knotholes and resin pockets as possible. Any knotholes or resin pockets must be placed near the floor, never on the ceiling or high on the walls, as the melting resin could drip and burn the bathers.

Any nails or staples used in the construction should be of rust-resistant, hot-dipped galvanized finish.

VENTILATION: The sauna needs to be properly ventilated to provide enough oxygen, a free flow of air and an escape for the bad air, while still retaining the room heat. Poor ventilation in the sauna can cause dizziness or even asphyxiation.

Building requirements usually call for a vent area of 1/20 the floor area, but not less than 1 1/2 square feet, but this must be checked with your building department.

The intake vent is located near the floor and can be approximately a 1/2-inch slit under the door. The outlet vent would be on the opposite wall, near the ceiling, and could be a vent of about 4 inches in diameter. With this arrangement, the good air is pulled in low in the room and can circulate, while the bad air leaves through the vent near the ceiling. It is a good idea to provide a slide cover for the outlet vent so it can be adjusted to control the amount of air leaving the room.

WIRING: Any wiring to be done will have to meet very strict electrical standards for complete safety. The installation of wiring and electrical circuits to handle an electric stove (should your sauna have one), control panel, thermostat and lighting for the room, will probably be required to be done professionally. In a sauna wiring must be used that can hold up under 200 degree F. or higher temperatures and also withstand moisture. Also, the wiring must be located in the dry areas behind the insulation. All switches and controls are installed outside the sauna, as these do not withstand high heat.

SAUNA DOOR: The recommended door is a solid-core Philippine mahogany slab door. This is not a true mahogany and should not be too costly. It should be solidly mounted with 4 hinges and not too tight in the frame, as it will expand slightly, (or shrink), with changes in temperature.

When a solid core door is used, you would also add a frame, insulation and paneling to it.

CAUTION: Sauna doors should always open out and must *never* be fitted with any type of latch that could get caught or stuck and lock you in. Ball or roller catches are probably best for this purpose. There is never an outside lock installed on the door.

Wooden handles must be used on the door, never metal as it becomes too hot to touch.

One should avoid using any metal frames or hardware in the sauna wherever possible, for the same reason. Any metal fasteners or lighting fixtures which must be used should be noncorrosive and placed well away from where bathers could touch or brush against them accidentally, as they could cause burns.

SAUNA BENCHES: The sauna benches are preferably made of redwood, 2 x 2 and 2 x 4, as other materials can leak hot pitch or give off toxic fumes when heated.

Bench sizes vary but the best approximate size is about 15 3/4 inches wide, 32 inches high with an 8 inch step. Benches are installed in tiers at various levels in the room. The lower benches should be a bit wider than the upper ones to provide room for people's feet.

Benches can be located on three sides of the room, but would never be placed against the wall where the stove is located.

ADDITIONAL SAFETY MEASURES: Whatever the choice of a sauna stove, it must be mounted according to building department regulations, whatever distance from the wall is required and with whatever type wall insulation behind it that is required.

A wooden railing is placed around the front and sides of the stove for bathers' protection.

ACCESSORIES: *You will* need a thermometer that reads at least up to 200 degrees Fahrenheit, and you will probably want to install a clock in the sauna. These accessories should be of the type that are manufactured for sauna use.

CURING THE SAUNA: Curing the sauna means preparing it for its first use. When your sauna is complete, sweep down and vacuum all walls, ceilings, floor, benches, corners. Next wipe all walls, ceiling, benches, fixtures, stove, accessories, etc. with a damp cloth and warm water. With the sauna door propped open, turn the heater on for about half an hour. (The stove may smoke a bit if it is burning off its protective coating.) Finally, close the sauna door, bring the room temperature up to 200 degrees F. for about 5 or 6 hours. Your sauna will then be ready for use.

HYGIENE: The sauna must be scrubbed down routinely to keep it sanitary and free from perspiration odors. Any duckboards on the floor should be removed and scrubbed and then replaced. It is also a good idea to routinely fully ventilate the room, particularly after heavy use, so wood surfaces can be given a chance to dry.

HOW TO TAKE A SAUNA: Before going into the sauna, all jewelry, wristwatches, eye glasses or contact lenses should be removed, as these could become uncomfortably hot or be damaged by the heat.

It is best to shower briefly with warm water just before going into the sauna and after the running period which has brought up the circulation.

The period of sweat out in the sauna would then be followed by another cleansing shower.

In an org sauna, used by all, swim wear (not too tight-fitting) or loose shorts and a tank top, for women, would be worn. Ideally, an org would have two saunas for its public, one for men and one for women. The same dress could be worn in this case, if preferred, or the bather could simply sauna in a large towel.

Complete, prefabricated saunas are available on the market, and possibly these should be priced, but an org in any area will probably find it more economical to build its own.

With careful planning and costing, economical use of materials, but without stinting on safety measures, a very workable, pleasant sauna can be built for the org's use in delivering the Purification Rundown.

As saunas are becoming more popular by the day, there are numerous reference books or magazines which can be found in the library or on newsstands which would give you further data on saunas.

Two of these are:

HOW TO BUILD A SAUNA. by Carlton Hollander, a Drake Publication of Sterling Publishing Co., Inc.. New York.

HOT TUBS. SPAS & HOME SAUNAS. by the Editors of Sunset Books, Lane Publishing Co., Menlo Park, California.

And the 3-volume *HEATING. VENTILATION & AIR CONDITIONING.* by James E. Brumbaugh, published by Theodore Audel & Co., a division of Howard W. Sams & Co., Inc., 4300 West 62nd Street, Indianapolis, Indiana, 46268" (catalog 23227) will provide valuable data on routine heating and determining heat requirements.

With the issue of this bulletin as a guide, each org should now get busy and acquire its own sauna in very short order—to be able to deliver the Purification Rundown !

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

As assisted by
Captain William B.
Robertson
George Smith
and
Mats Markowicz
who piloted this
construction in the
PAC area.

LRH:WBR:GS:MM:dr
Copyright © 1979
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

SUBJECT INDEX

1978-1979

A

- A=A=A is the way most people handle data, 326
- aberration(s), aberrate(d),
 - making others wrong, the most universally present aberration, 307
 - no humanoid is free while aberrated in the body cycle, 12
 - one would have to de-aberrate a man before his whole social structure could be de-aberrated, 12
 - aberrance vs. Clear on the meter, 1
- ability, abilities,
 - cycle of deterioration of ability to confront, 311
 - evaluating for pc by asking what his abilities are in relation to his mental image pictures, 338
 - inability to think with data, reason for, 368
 - increasing the ability of individuals and the human race, 374
 - judging oneself by one's successes breeds confidence in one's ability, 15
 - why ability to get things done in an area dwindles, 330
- Academy, Hard TRs Course as an Academy prerequisite, 418
- accidents, handling person who has, 415
- accusative or challenging attitude, 353
- aches, standard C/S for, 33
- acknowledge(ment)(s); *see also* TR 2
 - confession and, 225, 227
 - giving pc an appropriate acknowledgement on his win, 258
 - unacknowledged Dianetic Clears, recognition of, 243, 256
 - working only to be thanked or admired, 15
- action(s),
 - indicating an unnecessary action, 81
 - low TA caused by incomplete actions, 149, 152
 - major actions; *see* major actions
 - most important single auditing actions an auditor can do, 189
 - offline case actions forbidden, 432
 - subjective vs. objective questions or actions, 432
- action, cycle of; *see* cycle of action
- additives, curing him of his additives, complexities, apathy and apparent stupidity on a subject, 370
- administration,
 - auditor admin; *see* auditor admin
 - orders; *see* orders
 - responsibilities of leaders, 11
 - seven things about power, 19
- admire(d),
 - one is what one is, not what one is admired or hated for, 15
 - working only to be thanked or admired, 15
- advance; *see* case gain
- Advanced Organization(s),
 - always bring your own folder or get it sent to the AO if you are going, 272
 - services given at, 272
 - set-ups and, 272
 - Solo Auditors Course and, 272
 - where they are located, 272
- advertising, false data and, 368
- AESPs, Int RD and, 104, 199
- affinity,
 - definition, 296
 - importance of knowing how A and R and C interrelate, 436
- agree(ment)(s),
 - agreed upon out-ethics, 223
 - group agreement in an out-ethics course room, 223
- alcohol,
 - compulsion to still drink, lessening of, 23
 - definition, 74
 - high TA on alcohol case, 22
 - is included as a drug and receives the same treatment in auditing, 74
 - pc not making it in auditing, check for drug or alcohol history, 75
 - pc who has drunk alcohol, handling, 65
 - prior assessment and, 22
 - reason person took up drugs or, 22, 311
 - resistive case and, 75
 - somatic shut-off due to, 22
 - taking heavy drugs and alcohol because he had withholds and could not confront, 311
 - Vitamin B1 vs., 171
- Alice in Wonderland*, 305
- "All Blend" oil, 169
- allergy, allergies,
 - run out by niacin, 173
 - to a certain antibiotic, handling, 159
 - to antibiotics or other medicines, 74
- alter~ing~,
 - altering the content of HCOBs or P/Ls, 318
 - crime to alter and pervert tech or procedure to prevent discovery of withholds, 303
- altitude, handling absence of, 412
- amends project, 384
- anarchy, war only brings, 14
- anemic, Purification Rundown and, 167, 177
- Angel Dust,
 - can lodge in the tissues, 165
 - developed by crooked gamblers, 267
 - LSD and Angel Dust are the worst, 74
 - remaining in the body, 267
- animal, fact which disproved that Man was an, 211
- answer(s)(ed),
 - getting one auditing question answered before you ask a second question, 248
 - pc volunteers some answer to an unreading prepared list question, handling, 71
- antagonism, antagonistic; *see also* hostilities
 - definition, 298
 - good roads, good weather approach to the antagonistic terminal, 290
 - how pc goes the effect of the antagonistic terminal, 276
 - others antagonistic to what pc is doing, handling, 63
 - pc connected to someone hostile or antagonistic to Scientology, handling, 63
 - sending a copy of *What Is Scientology?* to antagonistic person, 291
 - Suppressed Person RD handling of an antagonistic terminal, 276
- antibiotics,
 - administering of, 156
 - allergy to, 74, 159
 - blood leveling time and, 156
 - definition, 156
 - disease cycles vs., 159
 - getting the temperature subnormal with, 156
 - given too irregularly, 157
- intestinal bacteria and, 161
 - not enough, 157
 - oral antibiotics, side effects of, 159
 - penicillin, 160

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- antibiotics (*cont.*)
 - penicillin taken orally, 160
 - side effects, 159
 - stopped too soon, 157
 - sulfadurges. 159
 - temperature and, 157
 - toxicity, 157
 - virus diseases respond badly to, 156
 - Vitamin B1 and, 161
 - vitamins given before session to person on, 158
 - what antibiotics are effective against, 156
 - wrongkind, 157
 - yoghurt and, 161
- antithesis, definition, 369
- AO; *see* Advanced Organization
- apathy, curing him of his additives, complexities. apathy and apparent stupidity on a subject, 370
- application, applying,
 - exact application depends on complete understanding. 376
 - how standard tech slips down to some of the tech being applied when we can, 223
 - how you get auditors who misapply tech, 223
 - inability to learn and apply, handling, 374
 - person backing off from applying data he is studying despite standard Word Clearing, handling, 371
 - person must be at cause when he is expected to apply knowledge or skills, 379
 - producing causative, responsible graduates who can apply what they have learned, 382
 - when he can't seem to apply what he has learned, suspect false data, 368
 - when person comes to apply the tech, he is already able to outflow if he was trained using twinning, 379
- ARC,
 - books with chapters on, 434, 436
 - importance of knowing how A and R and C interrelate, 436
 - triangle; *see* ARC triangle
 - TRs and, 435, 436
- ARC break(s); *see also* rudiments
 - ARC broke meter that won't record a read, 7
 - audited over ARC break, handling, 61
 - auditing over ARC break, effect of, 220
 - definition, 297
 - LIC used for, 70
 - only ARC breaks will worsen a case, 276
 - persisting ARC breaks, handling, 69
- ARC break long duration, Feel Sad equals, 148, 152
- ARC broke meter with Bls vs, free needle, 7
- ARC triangle,
 - communication formula and, 435
 - improving each point of the, 436
 - TRs and, 435
 - TRs Course and, 434
 - use of, 436
- art,
 - art in its basics, 326
 - fine arts vs, illustrations, 331
 - how the distance between amateur'd junk and an effective product is accomplished, 326
 - professionals and, 326, 346
 - why we follow the rules, 326
- as-is(ness); *see also* blowing; erasure
 - bringing about an as-isness, 228
 - how to get any unwanted persisting thing to vanish, 228
 - that which is viewed in its own time, place, form and event can be as-is'd, 228
 - truth and, 228
- aspirin, do not audit a pc who has taken, 27
- assess(ment)(s),
 - asking pc not to say a word throughout the, 2
 - Auditing by Lists procedure, 70
 - auditor's assessment is dull or monotonous, handling 306
 - bad metering giving wrong assessments, 194
 - call prepared list questions without looking at the pc, 70
 - do not take up things that don't read, 71
 - F/Ning assessment on C/S 53, procedure, 221
 - F/Ning prepared lists, 144, 254
 - F/N packs up during assessment of prepared list, handling, 254
 - getting a suppressed read by not being able to read through an F/N, 145
 - Joburg assessment procedure, 3
 - maximum time between questions, 2
 - Method 3; *see* Method 3
 - Method 5; *see* Method 5
 - mistaking an F/N right swing for a read, 149, 152
 - nulling and F/Ning prepared lists, 144
 - Prehav Scale assessment procedure, 2
 - prior assessment; *see* prior assessment
 - reading through an F/N, 145, 254
 - Resistive Cases Assessment, 30, 60
 - slow assessments, reason for, 2
 - statement (in assessing) can be accusative or evaluative, 419
 - twinning on such actions as assessment drilling, 379
 - why assessments are wrong sometimes, 1
- assignment, auditor-pc assignment policies, 431
- atmospheric poisons, 164
- attest(s)(ed); *see also* declare
 - attempting to attest to Dianetic Clear in order to save money or for status reasons, 256
 - Dianetic Clear attests, 243
 - Dianetic Clear attests—additional data, 256
 - letting pc attest to a state he achieved, 258
 - person has attested to Dianetic Clear but hasn't made it, handling, 257
 - person wanting to attest to Dianetic Clear but clearly hasn't made it, handling, 257
 - suppressive act to send pc through to attest to Dianetic Clear when he hasn't truly made it, 244
 - who can send pc through to attest to Dianetic Clear, 244
- attitude(s),
 - auditor attitude and TRs during a Confessional, 250
 - challenging or accusative attitude, 353
 - drugs changing the attitude of a person, 164
- attraction, what obsessive attraction is based on, 93
- audience, what separates a member of the audience from a professional, 346
- audit(ed)(ing),
 - actions; *see* actions
 - ARC break, effect of auditing over an, 220
- audited over exterior, symptoms of, 32
- auditing past exterior, effects of, 34, 43, 98
- auditor-pc assignment policies, 431
- becoming inactive as a Scientologist due to being audited past Dianetic Clear, 243
- bringing the person up to the level where he is now ready for processing, 179
- by lists; *see* Auditing by Lists
- cannot audit over anything else if Int or L & N are out, 334
- can't audit a case on anything if Int is out, 220
- Confessional done for justice reasons is not auditing, 246
- consistently not making the expected gains from his processing, 166

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

audit(ed)(ing) (*cont.*)

C/S-I isn't auditing, 100
 Data Series auditing, 56
 delivery; *see* delivery
 discoveries and advancements in tech in 1978, 266
 doesn't want auditing, handling, 60
 do not try to fly ruds or word clear over out-Int or out-lists, 423
 don't audit things that don't read, 42
 errors; *see* errors
 general rule of, 228
 getting one auditing question answered before you ask a second question, 248
 getting processing cheaply, 271
 has not had auditing, handling, 64
 hours; *see* hours
 how fast and thorough NED is, 265
 how to get any unwanted persisting thing to vanish, 228
 how uncharged areas are run and charged ones are missed, 155, 254
 husband/wife teams, possible reason they often fail, 242
 intensive; *see* intensive
 major actions; *see* major actions
 mental actions do not work in the presence of drugs, 164
 misunderstandings caused pc trouble in auditing, handling, 69
 misunderstandings in auditing, handling, 69
 most important single auditing actions an auditor can do, 189
 must be very limited if a list is out, 220
 must not be continued over an unhandled PTS situation, 290
 no auditor may audit in an HGC who has not done a Hard TRs Course, 302
 offline case actions forbidden, 432
 OTs are easier and faster to handle than non-OTs, 432
 out-Int, do not audit over, 190, 192, 217, 220, 334
 out-lists and, 220, 334
 out-tech to try to audit or rehab in an interview, 333
 overwhelmed by auditing, handling, 62
 pc has been audited in an earlier life, handling, 64
 pc not making it in auditing, check for drug or alcohol history, 75
 persistent F/N in auditing, handling, 277, 280
 power of, 244
 preparing the majority of pcs for making optimum case gain, 164
 prices; *see* prices
 problem, effect of auditing over a, 220
 processing or study under the duress of suppression will not produce results, 290
 processing results attained on person who has been on heavy drugs vs. non-drug person, 165
 protesting auditing, 61
 Purification Rundown is not a substitute for, 164
 Purification Rundown is not done concurrently with, 167
 purpose of, 253
 reactivity, auditing is aimed at, 253
 refusing auditing, handling, 61
 rough auditing, handling, 264, 335
 subjective vs. objective questions or actions, 432
 undercut to all training and processing, 437
 unreading flows or items are not run, 253
 what happens if you only run the end of incidents, 44
 what really happens to a thetan who is not salvaged or processed and goes on down the route, 275
 why the person has to learn to audit himself on OT auditing, 272

audit(ed)(ing) (*cont.*)

why you cannot run anything not charged (reading), 190
 why you run what reacts on the meter, 1, 253
 withhold, effect of auditing over a, 220
 Auditing by List(s),
 pc volunteers some answer to an unreading question, 71
 procedure, 70
 auditing room, auditor seated closer to the door, 246
 auditor(s),
 assigning only good proven auditors to good auditors, 431
 assignment policies, 431
 attitude and TRs during a Confessional, 250
 audited pc past exterior, correcting the auditor, 100
 backing off from having to F/N a list, reason, 146, 255
 building pc's confidence in the, 250
 by the skill of his auditors you know the C/S, 106
 cannot make a prepared list read, handling, 145
 can't F/N a C/S 53, handling, 222
 can't get results without TRs, 420
 can't smoothly audit Int RD, handling, 106
 communication formula done in clay, 433-435
 C/S must be very sure auditors are fully checked out on things they are to run before running them, 106
 Dianetic auditors; *see* Dianetic auditors
 errors; *see* errors
 evidence that auditor's eyesight is bad, 145
 failing auditors, handling, 309
 flubbing auditors, handling, 52
 getting an honest TRs tape passed by competent authority before he audits anybody, 302
 good auditor gets case gain on a pc on his TRs and comm cycle alone, 420
 good auditor is never distractive to a pc, 419
 Group Auditors Handbook, description, 325
 handling fixed, uncontrolled or unsuitable tone levels in an, 305
 Hard TRs and, 433
 Hard TRs make an auditor, 436
 has more control over pc's reactive mind than the pc, 2
 how you get auditors who misapply tech, fail to handle their pcs' ethics, give and accept verbal data, have nonstandard admin, etc, etc, 223
 mechanical sounding auditor, handling, 306
 missing a withhold, what it stems from, 242
 missing withholds, penalty for, 242
 mood of auditor fixed or chronic, effects of, 305
 most important single auditing actions an auditor can do, 189
 must be checked out and drilled on new materials, 52
 no auditor may audit in an HGC who has not done a Hard TRs Course, 302
 no auditor may audit materials or apply HCOBs on which he has not been star-rated, 52
 no read auditors, reasons for, 145
 not required to "drill TRs" in the morning or evening as TRs do not fall out, 309
 offline case actions forbidden, 432
 once an auditor's TRs are in, they are in, 418
 once an auditor's TRs are 'IN' they don't go out, 302
 out-ethics and, 224
 pc dislikes talking to an auditor, handling, 61
 pc had trouble understanding an auditor, handling, 69
 placing his meter in the wrong position, 145
 rabbiting, cause of, 145
 requirements before being allowed to do any two-way comm, 104
 requirements to deliver Dianetic Clear Special Intensive, 344

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

auditor(s) (*cont.*)
 requirements to do Confessionals, 246
 requirements to do Date/Locate, 374
 requirements to do Int RD, 99,100,189
 requirements to do Int RD correction, 80
 seated closer to the door, 246
 session and social presence of a professional auditor, 437
 TRs are out, handling, 302
 TRs Course before correcting other auditor or admin errors, 309
 TRs should sound live and interested and natural, 305
 uneducated pcs require flawless topnotch auditors, 101
 unusual solutions and, 6
 which ones not to let near an Int pc, 197
 who can handle anyone with communication alone, 437
 why auditors of lower case level aren't assigned to pre-OTs, 431
 why the C/S can't be the auditor supervisor and pc interviewer, 7
 why the individual practitioner breaks down, 7
 auditor admin,
 Auditing by List procedure and admin, 70
 auditors who have nonstandard admin, how you get them, 223
 prepared list remains in the folder stapled to the worksheet, 70
 reads, TA action, BDs and needle behavior noted clearly in the worksheets, 335
 Word Clearing worksheets, contents and handling of, 140
 Auditor Rundown, what it handles, 270
 Auditor's Code,
 continuing a process that has ceased to produce change is a crime, 5
 dirty needle caused by breaking the, 10
 False Data Stripping and, 374
 "automatic," moods or emotions are usually, 305
 aware, becoming more aware on the Purification Rundown, 176
 axiom(s),
 Axiom 30, 228
 Axiom 38 excerpt, 228

B

backtrack; *see* whole track
 bad indicators, free needle vs, 7
 balance, 74, 159
 bank; *see* reactive mind
 basic has to be run for the chain or incident to erase, 44
 basics,
 art in its basics, 326
 TRs basics resurrected, 433
 TRs vs, out-basics, 434
 BD; *see* blowdown
 be, SPs deny be and enforce unwanted be, 127
 being(s); *see also* case; individual; Man; preclear; thetan
 death slide as a, 311
 fixed or stuck in a chronic mood, 305
 half dead as a, 311
 beliefs, handling pc who has held Eastern beliefs, 66
 betterment; *see* case gain
 bio, definition, 164
 biochemical,
 definition, 164
 restoring the biochemical balance of the body, 179
 biochemical substances,
 can prevent case gain, 163, 165

biochemistry and fluid balance of the body probably disarranged by drugs, 165
 Biolactyl, 161
 biophysical, definition, 164
 biophysical processes, 165
 birth, interiorization and, 44
 BIs; *see* bad indicators
 blame, still stuck in the shame, blame and regret of the unconfessed overt or withhold, handling, 227
 blank(ness),
 drug case and blank periods, 74
 misunderstood words and, 366
 person can go blank after he passes a word or symbol he doesn't understand, 130
 blink(ing),
 blinklessTR0, definition, 419
 TRs and, 419
 blood, running to get the blood circulating, 167
 blood leveling, definition, 156
 blood pressure, Purification Rundown and, 167,177
 blow(s)(ing) (as-is-ing mass); *see also* as-is; erasure
 a blow (in dating) is accompanied by a BD and F/N, 230
 Date to Blow—Locate to Blow steps, 81
 definition, 82, 229
 how the earliest session blows the later sessions, 58
 no blow on pc giving the date or auditor meter dating it, handling, 231
 blow(s), blown (departures),
 auditing past exterior as a cause of, 34
 blown student is recovered by his twin, 381, 382
 handling blown students and pcs with C/S 53, 220
 if one twin should blow, the other twin must go and get him, 381
 missing a withhold and, 388
 M/W/Hs and, 242
 overts as a cause of, 34
 blowdown,
 blow (in dating) is accompanied by a BD and F/N, 230
 marking what TA it blew down to, 220
 Board Technical Bulletin(s),
 cancellation of destructive BTBs and BPLs on TRs, 417
 issuing issues in BTB or BPL form that contain incorrect and misleading data, 303
 body,
 all people have some fatty tissue, 170
 biochemistry and fluid balance probably disarranged by drugs, 165
 cleaning up the fat tissue in the, 170
 drug deposits inside cells, 170
 drugs can lodge in the tissues, 165
 drugs staying in the body and releasing themselves now and then, 221
 endocrine system and minerals, 174
 exchanging good oil for bad fat in the, 170
 flushing poisons and chemical substances out of the, 168
 holding onto something it is short of, 170
 LSD lodging in the tissues, 165
 LSD or Angel Dust remaining in the, 267
 niacin breaks up and unleashes LSD, marijuana and other drugs and poisons from the tissues and cells, 172
 no humanoid is free while aberrated in the body cycle, 12
 no such thing as a fat cell, 169
 nutritional deficiencies created by toxins and drugs, 171
 pc currently doing body practices, handling, 66
 pc has body parts missing, handling, 64
 pc has had body parts removed, handling, 64
 pc has physically damaged parts, handling, 64

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

body (*cont.*)

- proof that the individual is not a, 44, 211
 - reason we are handling drugs and drug deposits in the, 165
 - reconstructing itself from the damage done by drugs and other biochemical substances, 179
 - removal of life-hostile chemical substances from the, 166
 - replenishing body fluids, 168
 - restoring the biochemical balance of the, 179
 - standard C/S for body aches, 33
 - tissues; *see* tissues
 - toxic substances in the body causing restimulation, 166
 - toxic substances tend to lock up mainly in the fat tissue, 169
 - TRs and reactive body motions, 419
 - weight changes on the Purification Rundown, 170
- bog(s),
- handling bogged students and their twins, 383
 - primary reasons for case bogs, 189
- Bolivar, Simon, 11
- bonded by HCO before given access to confidential Dianetic Clear HCOBs, 344
- B1; *see* Vitamin B1
- bones, pc has broken bones, handling, 63
- bonuses, when a C/S would have to refund them, 304
- books, only source of technical data is LRH HCOBs, books and tapes, 417
- BPC; *see* bypassed charge
- brain, drug damage to the, 178
- bravery, having the bravery needed to trust inferior minds and stand by their often shocking blunders, 15
- break(s), session break(s),
- Int RD and, 41
 - physical PTP and, 41
 - students taking unscheduled breaks, 224
- breakthroughs in tech in 1978, 265
- Bridge,
- not moving up the Bridge but remaining parked at some point due to being audited past Dianetic Clear, 243
 - pc not continuing up the Bridge on his grades, 166
- Bright Think Rundown HCOBs withdrawn, 260
- BTB; *see* Board Technical Bulletin
- Buddhism, Int RD solves the total goal of, 42
- bugged,
- areas where staff aren't studying regularly are, in most cases, the bugged and nonproducing areas, 428
 - definition, 400
- Businessman's Intensive, what it handles, 270
- button(s),
- ensure nothing was suppressed, invalidated or misunderstood before leaving an unreading item, flow or question, 253
 - Int button read on an MU, handling, 79
 - Int buttons list, 46, 193
 - Int buttons must be assessed before clearing, 103
 - Int buttons, no reads on, 79
 - Invalidate button; *see* Invalidate button
 - Misunderstood Words button; *see* Misunderstood Words button
 - Protest; *see* protest
 - putting in Suppress, Invalidation or Misunderstood Words on the prepared list, 145
 - rabbit buttons, 145
 - Suppress button; *see* Suppress button
- bypassed charge,
- changing process while TA shows good motion will leave pc with, 319
 - on improperly done or unnecessary prepared lists or repair actions, handling, 214

C

calcium

- creating a deficiency in C by administering B and calcium, 174
 - deficiency of, 174
 - has to have an acidic base to operate in, 174
 - healing and, 174
 - ineffective and inactive in an alkaline system, 175
 - magnesium and, 174
 - nervous system and, 174
 - spasms and, 174
 - teeth problems due to Vitamin B1 taken without calcium, 175
 - toxic substances and, 174
 - vinegar and, 175
- calcium gluconate, 175
- Cal-Mag,
- formula, 175
 - making it correctly vs, making it incorrectly, 175
 - Purification Rundown dosage, 171
 - ratio of magnesium to calcium, 175
 - sore muscles prevented with, 175
 - stays good for 2 days, 175
 - Vitamin B1 balanced out by, 175
 - what it is and what it does, 174
- can(s), electrode(s),
- can fiddle can fake or upset reads, 70
 - fiddling with cans, how to stop it, 249
 - obtaining maximum skin contact, 314
 - obtaining the correct can size, 314
- can squeeze,
- convulsive or incorrect can squeeze, effects of, 154
 - E-Meter Drill 5RA—Can Squeeze, 312
 - how an incorrect can squeeze gives an inaccurate, unreliable needle reaction, 312
 - how it can be done incorrectly, 312
 - how to determine the sensitivity setting, 312
 - how to get a pc to do an accurate can squeeze, 312
 - incorrect can squeeze, examples, 313
 - noting accurately the distance the needle fell, 315
 - procedure for setting sensitivity, 319
 - sensitivity is set for 1/3 of a dial drop on a correct can squeeze, 4, 9, 70, 155, 312, 315, 316, 320
 - what a correct can squeeze is, 314
- "can't have," definition, 94, 126
- Can We Ever Be Friends?*, use in PTS handling, 291
- carbon pot vs, wire wound pot, 323
- case(s); *see also* being; preclear; thetan
- appearing to be no case gain, out-ethics case due to being audited past Dianetic Clear, 243
 - becoming inactive as a Scientologist due to being audited past Dianetic Clear, 243
 - bogs, primary reasons for, 189
 - drug case; *see* drug case
 - drug, medicine and alcohol cases, 22
 - drug personality, 164
 - gain; *see* case gain
 - getting an estimate of a, 261
 - harboring hostilities and hatreds, 164
 - manifesting PTSness and illnesses due to being audited past Dianetic Clear, 243
 - most thorough way to set up a, 264
 - no case gain; *see* case gain
 - not making it in auditing, check for drug or alcohol history, 75
 - not moving up the Bridge but remaining parked at some point due to being audited past Dianetic Clear, 243
 - offline case actions forbidden, 432
 - only a PTP will halt the progress of a, 276

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- case(s) (*cont.*)
 - PTS RD remedies case conditions not previously remedied, 92
 - resistive case; *see* resistive case
 - Resistive Cases Assessment, 30, 60
 - rundown that undercuts every case, 164
 - still appears resistive after GF, handling, 261
 - suppressed physical condition and person on drugs, drink or medicine, 22
 - untangling a, 261
- case analysis, C/S 53 and, 221
- case gain, gain(s),
 - appearing to be no case gain, out-ethics case due to being audited past Dianetic Clear, 243
 - audit over a problem and pc won't make case gain, 220
 - biochemical substances vs, 163
 - drugs can prevent it, 165
 - drugs vs, 163, 164, 165, 166
 - EXGF 40RD and no change case, 92
 - GF or EXGF 40RD handles no or slow case gain, 262
 - good auditor gets case gain on a pc on his TRs and comm cycle alone, 420
 - how suppression results in no gain or deterioration of a case, 276
 - if pc's case is improving he becomes more independent of the meter, 229
 - only a PTP will halt the progress of a case, 276
 - only ARC breaks will worsen a case, 276
 - OTs make very fast and spectacular gains, 432
 - pc consistently not making the expected gains from his processing, 166
 - pc not making it in auditing, check for drug or alcohol history, 75
 - pcs who do not hold their gains are PTS, 90
 - permissive TRs vs, 436
 - poor case gain due to unacknowledged state of Dianetic Clear, 256
 - preparing the majority of pcs for making optimum case gain, 164
 - PTS RD EP is a pc who is getting and keeping case gains and never again roller-coasters, 292
 - Purification RD and, 164
 - running Dianetics on a Dianetic Clear gives semblance of no case gain, 268
 - slow gain, handling, 270
 - why SP's case doesn't improve, 8
- case level, why auditors of lower case level aren't assigned to pre-OTs, 431
- case supervising, case supervision, C/Sing,
 - audited past Dianetic Clear, manifestations of, 243
 - auditing must be very limited if a list is out, 220
 - auditor back-off or protest on F/Ning a list, cause of, 146
 - auditor cannot make a prepared list read, reasons, 145
 - auditor can't F/N a C/S 53, handling, 222
 - auditor-pc assignment policies, 431
 - biophysical processes do not work in the presence of drugs, 165
 - body aches, standard C/S for, 33
 - bringing the person up to the level where he is now ready for processing, 179
 - case bogs, primary reasons for, 189
 - case still appears resistive after GF, handling, 261
 - Confessional worksheets, primary thing to inspect, 249
 - correcting any adverse reaction to the Power to Forgive Proclamation, 227
 - C/S Checklist of Int Errors, 217
- C/Sing for a level for which he has not been trained, penalties for, 304
- Dianetic Clear, adjudication and declare of the state of, 200
- Dianetic Clear, programming for his next step, 252
- case supervising, case supervision, C/Sing (*cont.*)
 - Dianetic Clear Special Intensive, C/Sing of, 335, 336
 - Dianetic Clear state unacknowledged, recognition and handling of, 256
 - Dianetic Clear, who can send pc through to attest to, 244
 - Dianetic pc who has had drug handling omitted, handling, 75
 - Dianetics is its own field of C/Sing, 55
 - discomfort, standard C/S for, 33
 - don't need a C/S to fly somebody's ruds, 422
 - getting an estimate of a case, 261
 - had Qual corrective actions and has gotten worse or made no improvement, handling, 423
 - headaches, standard C/S for, 33
 - heavy pressures, standard C/S for, 33
 - high TA, standard C/S for, 33
 - Int Correction Lists have been done and pc still has headaches or other Int troubles, 100, 104
 - interiorization, when to order a check on it, 39
 - Int RD C/Sing, 104
 - Int RD error is corrected as a first action, 98
 - Int RD, if reading, is done before review auditing, ruds or anything else, 42
 - Int session bogged, handling, 217
 - Int troubles persisting, 100, 104
 - mental actions do not work in the presence of drugs, 164
 - never let a prepared list not read and not F/N without finding what it is all about, 145
 - no or slow case gain handled by GF or EXGF 40RD, 262
 - no read auditors, reasons for, 145
 - offline case actions forbidden, 432
 - OTs are easier and faster to handle than non-OTs, 432
 - out-Int despite having had Int RD and it has been repaired, handling, 190
 - out-Int, handling, 190
 - out-Int, must not audit pc on anything else if Int is out, 190, 217, 220
 - overrepaired pcs, usual cause of, 255
 - pc continuously not making the expected gains from his processing, 166
 - pc exteriorizes in session but hasn't had an Int RD, handling, 38, 80, 99, 105
 - pc not continuing up the Bridge on his grades, 166
 - pc not making it in auditing, check for drug or alcohol history, 75
 - points a C/S should always look for in repairing cases, 38
 - preparing the majority of pcs for making optimum case gain, 164
 - programming; *see* programming
 - PTS RD which does not work, handling, 124
 - Purification Rundown and, 166, 177
 - Purification Rundown is for anyone, 164
 - Quad Dianetics C/Sing, 53, 55
 - repair; *see* repair
 - set-ups; *see* set-ups
 - still some other BPC or Bls after Crashing Mis-U Repair List done, handling, 357
 - unreading flows or items are not run, 253
 - unreading prepared list, handling, 164
 - untangling a case, 261
 - unusual solutions, cause of, 7
- Case Supervisor(s),
 - assessing GF Method 5 and sending to C/S for programming, 261
 - bonuses, deprivation of, 304
 - by the skill of his auditors you know the C/S, 106
 - calling in suspected Dianetic Clears for confirmation, 257

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- Case Supervisor(s) (*cont.*)
 - C/S Checklist of Int Errors, 217
 - C/Sing for a level for which he has not been trained, penalties for, 304
 - definition, 270
 - doing the training and cramming because there is no Qual Staff Training Officer or Cramming, 106
 - High Crime checkouts and, 375
 - Ivory Tower and, 7, 258
 - must be very sure auditors are fully checked out on things they are to run before running them, 106
 - Purification Rundown and, 177
 - Purification Rundown is always done under C/S supervision, 167
 - qualifications, 304
 - requirements to deliver Dianetic Clear Special Intensive, 344
 - unusual solutions and, 7
 - where nearly all a C/S's hard work comes from, 104
 - who can send pc through to attest to Dianetic Clear, 244
 - who doesn't get high or low TAs handled at once, handling, 100
 - why the C/S can't be the auditor supervisor and pc interviewer, 7
 - wins, how to have them as a C/S, 106
- cause, causative,
 - person must be at cause when he is expected to apply knowledge or skills, 379
 - producing causative, responsible graduates who can apply what they have learned, 382
 - putting the PTS person at cause over his situation, 290, 296
 - training at cause vs. training at effect, 379
- cell(s),
 - drug deposits inside cells, 170
 - niacin breaks up and unleashes LSD, marijuana and other drugs and poisons from the tissues and cells, 172
- certificate(s), certified,
 - certificate expiration if the person has not done the internship for the level he is certified for, 309
 - special certificate for those trained to administer Confessional procedure, 225
 - student may not be certified and may not be given a course completion until he had completed his twin, 381
 - suspension of certificates for missing a withhold, 242
 - suspension of certificate until auditor is retreaded, 52
- chain(s); *see also* engram running; Routine 3RA
 - basic has to be run for the chain or incident to erase, 44
 - do not end Int RD session with a chain partially run, 40
 - sickness caused by engram chains, 24
 - what happens if you only run incidents late on the, 44
 - what has to be run for a chain or incident to erase, 212
 - word chains, handling, 183
- challenging or accusative attitude, 353
- change,
 - Change the Civilization Eval, 307
 - continuing a process that has ceased to produce change is a crime, 5
 - pc anxious about change, handling, 69
- change of characteristic,
 - what a change of characteristic question is, 321
 - when it is followed up, 323
 - when it occurs, 320
- charge(d),
 - how uncharged areas are run and charged ones are missed, 155, 254
 - I never run a pc on things that aren't charged, 253
- charge(d) (*cont.*)
 - protesty because Int has been run or repaired when it wasn't charged, 191
 - read means there is charge present and available to run, 253
 - reality and, 37
 - things that don't read won't run, 37
 - what charge shows, 37
 - why you cannot run anything not charged (reading), 191
 - why you run what reacts on the meter, 1, 253
- checklist(s),
 - C/S Checklist of Int Errors, 217
 - Debug Tech Checklist, 408
 - How to Defeat Verbal Tech Checklist, 402
- checkout(s), starrate checkout(s),
 - auditors must be checked out and drilled on new materials, 52
 - C/S must be very sure auditors are fully checked out on things they are to run before running them, 106
 - correct way to do a starrate checkout, 103
 - double flunk defined, 382
 - False Data Stripping, Crashing Mis-U tech, O/W handling, and service facsimile tech must be employed where needed in checkouts, 375
 - giving tough standard checkouts, 381
 - High Crime checkouts and Word Clearing, 375
 - if a student flunks a Supervisor checkout on materials he's been passed on by his twin, both students get a flunk, 382
 - Int RD and, 103, 206
 - no auditor may audit materials or apply HCOBs on which he has not been starrated, 52
 - turn-about system applied to, 380
- checksheets must exist for staff courses, 427
- chemical(s),
 - chemical oriented society, 164
 - definition, 164
 - flushing poisons and chemical substances out of the body, 168
 - removal of life-hostile chemical substances from the body, 166
- chemists, belief that all life came from matter, 165
- chicken and egg problem, 128
- "children should be seen and not heard, —false data, 368
- chronic somatics, PTS RD handles, 92
- circulation,
 - LSD cuts off circulation, 165
 - running increases the, 167
 - running to work up circulation prior to going into sauna, 180
- civilization; *see* culture
- Class IV auditor, Int RD is done by a, 206
- Class IV Orgs, definition, 269
- Class VI Org, how the Saint Hill Orgs came about and what services they give, 271
- Class VIII Course, standard tech and, 272
- Class IX Course, what materials it contains, 272
- clay demonstration(s),
 - communication formula done in clay, 433-435
- Int RD materials and, 103, 206
- references, 103
- "clay table," handling the extensively mistrained glib student with, 132
- Clay Table auditing, auditor and Cramming assignment policies, 431
- Clay Table De-PTSing,
 - for a person who is PTS and dramatizing creating problems, 390
 - who can do it, 405
- clean,
 - do not leave prepared list questions merely clean, 71
 - That's clean or That reads is not said to pc, 70

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- Clear(s); *see also* Dianetic Clear,
 aberree vs, Clear on the meter, 1
 a very few thetans have never been anything but Clear,
 328
 can be achieved on Dianetics, 200
 comm-eval offense to coach pc with data about Clear
 in any way, 244
 comm-eval offense to feed or coax pc to any cogni-
 tion to make a, 200
 C/S 53 reads and, 221
 Date/Locate on the point he went Clear, 244
 definition of DianeticClear, 200
 Dianetic Clear is a, 200
 Dianetics not run on, 191, 198
 End of Endless Drug Rundowns Repair List and, 187
 Expanded Green Form 40RD and, 262
 failing to declare one who has made it is actionable,
 328
 Green Formand, 262
 has drugs reading on C/S 53, handling, 221
 has not had Int RD but Int reads on C/S 53, handling,
 220
 how fast we are making them, 266
 in last life, 243
 Int RD and, 32, 43, 79, 191, 197, 212
 Int Rundown Correction List Revised and, 84
 inval/eval and the state of Clear, 244
 Keyed-Out Clear, there is no such thing as, 200
 L3RF reads and, 144, 221
 New Grade IV and, 270
 out-Int and, 213
 overrun on the Clearing Course, 256
 pc goes Clear on a grade, handling, 252
 postulates of a Clear read as a surge, 257
 processes a Clear wouldn't respond to, 256
 PTS RD and, 91, 291
 someone who has not made Clear will *not* make it on
 the OT levels, 200
 unhandled drugs on a Clear, handling, 262
 unresolving Int problems and, 32
 went Clear before doing the Clearing Course, 256
 Clear cog, Dianetic Clear Special Intensive Assessment
 List and, 339, 343
 clearing,
 biochemical substances vs, 163
 drugs vs, 163
 one would have to de-aberrate a man before his whole
 social structure could be de-aberrated, 12
 what success in clearing this planet depends upon, 224
 clearing commands,
 Confessionals and, 246
 pc very upset and words of the Repair Correction List
 have not yet been cleared, handling, 214
 Clearing Course,
 Dianetic Clears and, 252, 272
 for those who did not make Dianetic Clear, 272
 went Clear before doing the, 256
 coach(s)(ing),
 based on producing the EP not based on opinion, 437
 giving tough standard coaching sessions, 381
 job of the coach and Supervisor is to make the student
 right, 143
 Mood Drills, coach's patter, 305
 TRs and, 143, 418, 419, 436
 TRs coached to wins, not losses, 436
 co-audit, definition, 269
 codeine, 165
 cognition(s),
 Clear cog and Dianetic Clear Special Intensive Assess-
 ment List, 339, 343
 do not interrupt pc's cognition, 192
 don't be engrossed in looking at the pc during, 9
 cognition(s) (*cont.*)
 feeding or coaxing a, 200
 F/N and, 7, 9
 when a pc is cogniting, look at the meter not the pc, 7
 cold, Vitamin C and, 161
 comm; *see* communication
 command(s), all Dianetics commands are used, 54
 Committee of Evidence; *see also* penalties
 Confessional for person refusing to come clean on a,
 246
 for coaching pc with data about Clear, 244
 for continued omission or refusal to get or support
 actions to get juniors hatted and trained, 428
 for feeding or coaxing a pc to any cognition to make
 a Clear, 200
 for missing a withhold, 242
 offenses Course Supervisor may be comm-eved for, 224
 communicate, communication(s), comm,
 being who can handle anyone with communication
 alone, 437
 communication grade is out, handling, 69
 conceptual understanding and, 425
 definition, 296
 formula; *see* communication formula
 importance of knowing how A and R and C interrelate,
 436
 inability to communicate, handling, 412
 lack of the ability to communicate probably underlies
 the causes for the current drug culture, 130
 out of communication due to Mis-U's, 130
 putting him back into communication with his fellow
 man, his family and the world at large, 250
 that can stand up faultlessly to any session or social
 situation no matter how rough, 437
 the true data on TRs and, 434
 TRs course brings about ability to, 307
 twinning puts students into communication, into
 doingness and participation, 378
 two-way comm; *see* two-way communication
 when words are understood, communication can't take
 place, 184
 communication cycle,
 cycle of action and cycle of communication, 348
 good auditor gets case gain on a pc on his TRs and
 comm cycle alone, 420
 Mis-U interrupts cycle of communication or under
 standing, 348
 TRs and, 142, 433
 communication formula,
 ARC triangle and, 434
 definition, 297
 doing it in clay, 433-435
 how to learn the, 435
 TRs and, 435
 TRs are drills on the various parts of the, 435
 TRs Course and, 434
 communication lag, poor or comm lag TR 2 in a Con-
 fessional, effects of, 250
 competence,
 morale is up when competence is demonstrated, 381
 reduced by false data, 374
 completion,
 handling all the factors that prevent the completion
 of cycles of action and products, 330
 high and genuine course completions, 385
 plummeting HGC Completion Statistic, 5
 student may not be certified and may not be given a
 course completion until he has completed his twin,
 381
 complexity, complexities,
 curing him of his additives, complexities, apathy and
 apparent stupidity on a subject, 370

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- complexity, complexities (*cont.*)
 - Man is a complex being, 274
 - misunderstoods and, 329
- complicate a subject, why a person has a tendency to, 311
- conceptual understanding, 133, 183, 425
- Confessional(s); *see also* Security Check
 - acknowledging the confession, 225, 227
 - adverse reaction to proclamation of forgiveness, handling, 227
 - auditor and Cramming assignment policies, 431
 - auditor attitude and TRs during, 250
 - auditor requirements, 246
 - auditors who miss withholds, penalty, 242
 - bad Exam Report after, handling, 250
 - clear the words backwards, 246
 - command for earlier similar, 248
 - commands for Suppress and Invalidate buttons, 247
 - commands to periodically check for M/W/H, 248
 - confessing one's overt acts is the first step toward taking responsibility for them, 225
 - C/Sing data, 249
 - dirty needles are followed up fully, 247
 - do not take up unreading questions, 247
 - don't take a rise as a change of characteristic, 247
 - errors that cause an incomplete confession, 227
 - ethics presence and, 242, 250
 - every reading question is F/Ned, 246
 - falls on his head after a Confessional, handling, 249
 - false read, handling, 247
 - false read, indicators of, 247
 - F/Ning the original question, 246, 247, 248
 - forgiveness and, 225
 - for person refusing to come clean on a Comm Ev, B of 1, etc, 246
 - helping person end cycle on the bad things he has done, 225
 - high or low TA at start, handling, 246
 - if any trouble, check for M/W/Hs, false reads and ARC breaks, in that order, 248
 - if pc not in session you won't get the withholds, 250
 - indicator that something has been missed, 227
 - is auditing and is kept confidential if not done for justice reasons, 246
 - is not a rote procedure, 247
 - is not auditing if done for justice reasons, 246
 - LCRC, when to use it, 248, 249
 - low responsibility causing person to F/N, 247
 - misdirections of the pc indicate he is withholding, 247
 - Now I'm supposed to's, 245
 - pc consistently immediately dives whole track on Confessional questions, handling, 248
 - pc gives three or four overts at once, handling, 247
 - permits person to again feel a part of his group, 246
 - person not being able to accept forgiveness or still feeling bad, handling, 227
 - poor or comm lag TR 2, effects of, 250
 - power to forgive, 225, 227, 249
 - power to forgive proclamation patter, 225, 249
 - procedure, 245
 - purpose of, 225
 - reads and, 246
 - rechecking the original question, 248
 - references, 245
 - religion and, 225
 - repair list, when to use it, 248, 249
 - R-Factor given to person, 246
 - rock slams, reporting and noting of, 248
 - ruds at the end of a, 249
 - Sec Checking, Integrity Processing and Confessionals are all the same procedure, 245
 - special certificate for those trained to administer Confessional procedure, 225
- Confessional(s) (*cont.*)
 - statement of forgiveness used at the end of a, 225
 - still stuck in the shame, blame and regret of the unconfessed overt or withhold, handling, 227
 - thought of one overt, but told a different overt, 227
 - tick is always noted, 246
 - trouble, handling, 248
 - TRs rough or choppy make pc feel accused, 250
 - unreading questions, handling, 247
 - what a Confessional clears up, 245
 - worksheets, routing of, 249
- confidence,
 - as a NED auditor, 210
 - how to build pc's confidence in the auditor, 250
 - judging oneself by one's successes breeds confidence in one's ability, 15
- confront(ing); *see also* TR 0
 - confront tech has to be part of the TR checksheet, 311
 - cycle of deterioration of ability to confront, 311
 - excessive blinking on TR 0, 419
 - how ability to confront is lessened, 311
 - inability to confront, cause of, 311
 - manifestations that show that a person is not confronting, 418
 - not confronting and duplicating in an area due to misunderstands, 330
 - person cannot be drilled into confronting, handling, 311
 - taking heavy drugs and alcohol because he had withholds and could not confront, 311
 - the less a person can confront, the more false data he has accumulated and will accumulate, 370
 - TRsCoursebringsaboutabilityto, 307
 - withholds causing inability to, 311
- confusion(s),
 - misunderstoods and, 329
 - MUs and confusions obscuring the Crashing MU, handling, 387
- consequences starting to take on a new meaning during Purification Rundown, 176
- context, how to clear a word when you don't know the, 183
- continuous overt(s),
 - committing continuous overts in life, handling, 63
 - continuously committing overts on Scientology, handling, 63
- control, auditor has more control over pc's reactive mind than the pc, 2
- correction lists; *see* prepared lists
- cost; *see* price
- couldn't have, definition, 94, 126
- counter-, definition, 298
- counter-Intention, handling, 415
- country, how to bring about a great political reform or improve a failing country, 12
- course(s); *see also* study; training
 - application; *see* application
 - completions; *see* completions
 - courses Class IV Orgs teach, 271
 - Dianetics Course; *see* Dianetics Course
 - eating or smoking in course room not allowed, 224
 - expanding courses, how to get, 427
 - failures because twinning had fallen into misuse or fallen out completely, 377
 - Flag courses, 274
 - group agreement in an out-ethics course room, 223
 - how a student or Supervisor goes into agreement with and contributes to an out-ethics course room, 223
 - how long it takes when I teach a, 307
 - ideal scene of, 223
 - incomplete course is not allowed by Supervisors, 385
 - in-ethics course defined, 223

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- course(s) (*cont.*)
 - items required in a standard course room, 427
 - keeping the course morale high, 382
 - late for course, 384
 - mandatory twinning on all practical courses, 377
 - mustering students, 224
 - new TRs Course at the beginning of major courses, 309
 - not getting students through their course and graduated, penalty for, 224
 - offenses Course Supervisor may be comm-eved for, 224
 - one is responsible for getting his twin through the, 381
 - out-ethics course defined, 223
 - permitting persons to come into the course room and
 - bother students for any reason is not allowed, 224
 - prices; *see* prices
 - reduction in course costs, 269
 - running it with totally standard tech and in-ethics, 223
 - Solo Auditors Course, 272
 - SSO responsibility for standard staff courses, 426
 - staff courses; *see* staff courses
 - student may not be certified and may not be given a
 - course completion until he has completed his twin, 381
 - Supervisor checks up on any students who have been
 - routed off course, 385
 - TRs Course; *see* TRs Course
 - twinning on practical courses, 378
 - twinning on practical sections of courses, 379
 - twinning reinstated, 377
 - two ways a course can be run, 223
 - when to twin, 379
- course supervision,
 - based on producing the EP not based on opinion, 437
 - TRs the Hard Way, supervision of, 436
- Course Supervisor(s),
 - answers to students' questions, 382
 - assigning twins, 379, 382
 - checks up on any students who have been routed off
 - course, 385
 - directs students with questions to the correct source
 - reference, 427
 - "double flunk" given by the, 382
 - Drug RD and Grade IV are prerequisites for, 309
 - duties of the Staff Course Supervisor, 427
 - failure of a twin to be a twin, handling, 382
 - High Crime checkouts and, 375
 - how he goes into agreement with and contributes to an
 - out-ethics course room, 223
 - incomplete course is not allowed by, 385
 - job of the coach and Supervisor is to make the student
 - right, 143
 - keeping the course morale high, 382
 - letting students study without dictionaries and demo
 - kits, 224
 - not fully applying study tech and using Word Clearing,
 - 224
 - not getting students through their course and graduated,
 - penalty for, 224
 - not making all materials available, 224
 - offenses he may be comm-eved for, 224
 - producing causative, responsible graduates who can
 - apply what they have learned, 382
 - responsibility to enforce twinning, 382
 - roll book and, 427
 - staff courses, putting them there, 426
 - Staff Course Supervisor, 427
 - standing around or sitting at his desk not actively
 - handling students who need help, penalty for, 224
 - Teacher or Supervisor Intensive, what it does, 270
 - teaming up students of comparable case level and
 - training and abilities, 378
 - verbal data and, 382
- Course Supervisor(s) (*cont.*)
 - why the C/S can't be the auditor supervisor and pc
 - interviewer, 7
- Court of Ethics,
 - for using verbal tech, 318
 - SSO empowered to call an Executive Court of Ethics,
 - 428
- cramming; *see also* errors
 - actions which are not OK and which are OK to do in
 - Cramming, 431
 - assignment policies, 431
 - audited pc past exterior, correcting the auditor, 100
 - auditor cannot make a prepared list read, reasons, 145
 - auditor can't F/N a C/S 53, handling, 222
 - checking an auditor's TRs, 418
 - crammed over out-ruds in the past, handling, 422
 - C/S doing the training and cramming because there
 - is no Qual Staff Training Officer or Cramming, 106
 - C/S who doesn't get high or low TAs handled at once,
 - handling, 100
 - don't need a C/S to fly somebody's ruds, 422
 - failing auditors ordered to do a complete modern TRs
 - Course, 309
 - flying ruds in, 421
 - folder check before, 423
 - gotten worse or made no improvement after cramming,
 - handling, 423
 - handling bogged students and their twins, 384
 - High Crime checkouts and, 376
 - Method 9 Word Clearing and, 376
 - natter about, 421
 - no read auditors, 145
 - offline case actions forbidden, 432
 - OTs are easier and faster to handle than non-OTs, 432
 - out-ruds and, 421
 - protest of, 421
 - remedy for auditors who make errors on the PTS RD,
 - 124
 - resistance to, 421
 - subjective vs. objective questions or actions, 432
 - TRs Course as the only acceptable first cramming
 - order, 309
 - TRs Course before correcting other auditor or admin
 - errors, 309
 - what the most usual and successful cramming action
 - is, 432
 - when to retread an auditor, 52
 - worksheets are put in pc folder and sent to C/S, 422
- Cramming Officer,
 - assignment policies, 431
 - correction lists he must get checked out on the use of,
 - 422
 - must get checked out on flying ruds and overts, 422
 - policy not to assign non-OT Cramming Officers to
 - OTs, 431
 - qualifications of, 422
- Crashing Misunderstood(s); *see also* misunderstood word
 - all methods of W/Cing utilized in Crashing Mis-U
 - finding, 349
 - ask for instances of practical usage from the person
 - you found a Crashing Mis-U on, 352
 - blocks to finding them, 386
 - bog or trouble during Crashing Mis-U finding, 357
 - cannot be found at all yet it obviously must be there,
 - handling, 350
 - can't find a useful definition, handling, 354
 - case histories to show how Crashing Mis-U finding
 - goes, 353
 - cautions for Crashing Mis-U finding, 356
 - cleared to F/N VGIs, 353
 - clear this word fully to VGIs (on the meter it would
 - F/N), 349

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- Crashing Misunderstood(s) (*cont.*)
- commands for finding the, 349, 353
 - commands for pulling O/Ws, 350, 388
 - command to handle service facsimile that is getting in the way of finding the, 389
 - cycle of action and, 347, 386, 387
 - cycle of understanding and, 348
 - debugging products with Crashing Mis-U tech, 348
 - debug tech and, 352
 - defense mechanism which would make it impossible to find the, 351
 - definition, 347, 360, 387
 - detecting if he's got it and if he hasn't got it cleared up, 352
 - dynamics and, 360
 - effects of, 356
 - elusive or Crashing Mis-U, 361
 - EP of Crashing Mis-U finding, 350, 352
 - example of using a meter to find a, 352
 - example of how to find a, 353
 - false data burying a Crashing MU, handling, 388
 - false data handled with two-way comm, 354
 - False Data Stripping, Crashing Mis-U tech, O/W handling and service facsimile tech must be employed where needed in checkouts, 375
 - finding Crashing Mis-U is not a substitute for full W/Cing, 347
 - getting off the track and clearing up a whole subject that has nothing to do with getting out his product, 356
 - handling, 387
 - having to do Method 2 and Method 4 on certain materials before the Crashing MU can then be located, 387
 - how Crashing Mis-U finding differs from regular W/Cing, 349
 - how it may not even read on the meter, 361
 - how you can tell someone has a, 356
 - importance of the Word Clearer knowing the correct definition of the word, 351
 - is not your ordinary common garden variety of Mis-U, 361
 - is straight on the subject-line that is giving trouble, 387
 - it must be found, no matter how arduous the search, 386
 - justifications and, 388
 - key to completed cycles of action and products, 347
 - manifestation of a withhold in addition to a, 356
 - marry up routine M9 with Crashing Mis-U finding and all of its steps where the person isn't making it otherwise, 362
 - may be right there in plain view, 361
 - may not be on the subject of the product itself but in an area related to the subject, 362
 - meter check after pulling withholds in nonmetered Crashing Mis-U finding, 351, 388
 - metered and nonmetered Crashing Mis-U finding, 348
 - MUs and confusions obscuring the Crashing MU, handling, 387
 - no Crashing Mis-U can be found on a subject yet it is obvious they exist, handling, 371, 386, 387
 - other MUs vs, 387
 - overt products and, 351
 - overts and withholds getting in the way of find the, 387
 - O/W handling in metered and nonmetered Crashing MU finding, 387
 - O/W phenomenon during Crashing Mis-U finding, 350
 - O/W pulling during Crashing Mis-U finding, 350, 388
 - person giving reasons why it is OK not to understand the subject or area, handling, 388
 - person PTS and dramatizing creating problems during Crashing MU finding, 390
- Crashing Misunderstood(s) (*cont.*)
- procedure for finding, 348
 - product and, 386, 387
 - pulling withholds in nonmetered Crashing Mis-U finding, 350, 388, 390
 - Reach and Withdraw run after finding the, 350
 - red tagged exam, handling, 357
 - references, 330, 361, 387
 - remedies for inability to locate the, 389
 - resistance to finding the Mis-U, examples of, 350
 - results, how to get them every time, 390
 - service facsimiles and, 389
 - shattered civilizations and, 348
 - still not getting out his products or completing cycles of action in his area after Crashing Mis-U finding, handling, 357
 - supposing everyone else has the same Crashing Mis-U, 356
 - theory, 348
 - totally blocks person's understanding of the subject, 387
 - 24-hour repair rule for bogged Crashing Mis-U finding, 356
 - unmetered Crashing Mis-U finding, example, 355
 - using the read to steer him to the area and find the Mis-U, 353
 - utilize all methods of W/Cing and whatever else it takes to find the, 362
 - what Crashing MUs can be buried by, 386, 390
 - will not always show up for what it is in M9ing, 361
 - Word Clearer's first action is to himself look up the definition and derivation of the word, 351
 - you've done full Crashing Mis-U and product debug procedure and still haven't gotten the Crashing Mis-U, handling 361
- Crashing Mis-U Repair List (LC1),
- form, 357
 - Method 3 or Method 5 used, 357
 - still some other BPC or BIs after Crashing Mis-U Repair List done, handling, 357
 - use of, 357
- creating,
- area or individual creating problems and demanding solutions to them, handling, 405
 - engaged in creating problems, 347
 - person PTS and dramatizing creating problems during Crashing MU finding, 390
- crime, drugs and, 164
- crimes In Scientology,
- changing or losing issues, 303
 - continuing a process that has ceased to produce change is a, 5
 - failing to report an unusual solution advised or used, 6
 - issuing issues in BTB or BPL form that contain incorrect or misleading data, 303
 - posting known criminals or incompetents to training posts, 303
 - references, 303
 - suppressive acts, examples of, 303
 - technical perversions, 303
 - unusual solutions and, 5
 - verbal tech, 303
- criminal, posting known criminals or incompetents to training posts, 303
- critical, M/W/H and, 248
- CRMU; *see* Crashing Misunderstood
- C/S; *see* case supervision; Case Supervisor
- C/S Checklist of Int Errors, 217
- C/S 53,
- as next action after Int RD or End of Endless Int RD, 197
 - assessing it and indicating the largest read, 220

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

C/S 53 (*cont.*)

auditor can't F/N a C/S 53, handling, 222
 blown students and pcs handled with, 220
 case analysis and, 221
 Clears, OTs, Dianetic Clears and, 221
 contains all the elements known to us that bring about case foul-ups, 221
 contains everything that can be wrong with a mind, 220, 264, 270
 determining whether Int is out, 190
 drugs reading on a Clear, OT, or Dianetic Clear, handling, 221
 "Endless C/S 53s," 222
 false TA pc and, 222
 F/Ning a, 221, 264
 form (long), 150
 form (short), 147
 if high or low TA persists use LIX Hi-Lo TA List Revised, 107
 instant F/Ns not taken up, 220
 Int reads, handling, 190, 220
 LIX Hi-Lo TA List Revised vs, 107
 long form vs, short form, 147, 150, 220
 major actions and, 264
 Method 5 and, 147, 150, 220, 221
 object of the, 220
 order in which reads are taken up, 149, 150, 153, 220
 pcs bugged by C/S 53s being done on them, handling, 222
 pc who does not require a C/S 53 to F/Ning list, 264
 primary purpose of, 221
 programming and handling reads, 220
 rough auditing handled with, 335
 set-up before major action must include C/S 53RL to F/Ning list, 264
 set-ups and, 264
 short form questions are less limited, 220
 2WCing the C/S 53 itself, 222
 two ways of using a, 220
 uneducated pcs and, 220
 use of, 147, 150, 220
 using it in a D of P interview, 221
 verifying that you actually have a read on Int, 190
 why it is written the way it is, 220
 C/S-1; *see* Dianetic C/S-1; PTS C/S-1
 culture(s), civilization(s), society; *see also* Man
 Change the Civilization Eval, 307
 chemical oriented society, 164
 First Dynamic world, 383
 how to bring about a great political reform or improve a failing country, 12
 linkage between drugs and breakdown of the social and industrial culture, 164
 Man has not necessarily properly defined everything in his technical sphere or culture, 349
 Method 9 is a great civilization saver, 141
 one would have to de-aberrate a man before his whole social structure could be de-aberrated, 12
 shattered civilizations and Crashing Mis-U's, 348
 there is no field in all the society where false data is not rampant, 367
 we have drifted down in literacy to a point where the culture can't read or hear, 128
 what probably underlies the causes for the current drug culture, 130
 why this technical culture is failing, 128
 cure(s),
 almost anything which comes later is a cure for something earlier, 23
 preassessing the unwanted pains or feelings before the cure, 22

cycle of action,
 completed cycle of action normally results in a product, 347
 Crashing Mis-U and, 347, 386, 387
 cycle of communication and, 348
 debugging a, 408
 definition, 347
 disease cycles of action, 159
 handling all the factors that prevent the completion of, 330
 insane person and, 404
 key to completed cycles of action and products, 347
 major reason people don't complete cycles of action, 347
 Mis-U and, 329, 347, 348
 SP and, 404
 still not getting out his products or completing cycles of action in his area after Crashing Mis-U finding, handling, 357
 won't complete a cycle of action, handling, 413
 cycle of communication; *see* communication cycle
 cycle of understanding, Crashing Mis-U and, 348

D

daily reports, Purification Rundown and, 177
 data; *see* datum
 Data Serles,
 handling a student who cannot grasp or retain the data of the, 56
 HC Out-Point-Plus-Point Lists cancelled, 56
 date(s), dating,
 calling the date found back to the pc, 83, 231
 Date to Blow—Locate to Blow steps, 81
 definition of dating, 228
 implant dates vs, actual dates, 230
 locating and, 228
 pc can be made more dependent or more independent of the meter, 229
 purpose of, 230
 theory of, 228
 use the system of time measurement that the pc uses, 230
 why you date and locate, 228
 wrong date for an incident, handling, 228
 Date/Locate,
 accept the pc's data, 231
 auditor must not go past a blow, 233
 auditor requirements before he attempts it, 374
 blow defined, 229
 blow is accompanied by a BD and F/N, 230
 bogs, handling, 235
 BPC manifestations, 236
 calling back a distance as an indication, 231
 calling the date found back to the pc, 83, 231
 calling the location back to the pc, 83
 changing from meter dating and meter locating to accepting and taking the pc's data, 232
 correct date will blow, 236
 correct location will blow, 236
 Dating Drill 1, 233
 Dating Drill 2, 233
 definitions of dating and locating, 228
 Dianetic Clear Special Intensive and, 336, 338
 errors that result in no blow, 231
 essence of, 83, 236
 False Data Stripping and, 374
 finding the data by metering, 234
 if you suspect a blow and pc hasn't volunteered this, handling, 233

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- Date/Locate (*cont.*)
 - implant dates vs, actual dates, 230
 - Int RD correction drill: Date to Blow/Locate to Blow, less, 211
 - Locating Drill 1, 233
 - Locating Drill 2, 234
 - locating is not done rotely, 232, 235
 - Natural Clear and 338
 - never let pc struggle if he can't find the data, 232
 - never resort to the meter if pc can find and give the data, 232
 - never use meter to challenge, 'correct' or invalidate pc's data, 232
 - no blow F/N when the full date is found, handling, 234
 - no blow occurs, handling, 81
 - no blow on locating, handling, 234
 - no blow on pc giving the date or auditor meter dating it, handling, 231
 - not a rote procedure, 229
 - not getting a blow on calling the location back to the pc as an indication, 231
 - not getting a blow on finding the direction and distance, 231
 - on the point he went Dianetic Clear, 244, 256
 - pc can be made more dependent or more independent of the meter, 229
 - pc is out of PT fixed by both date and location, 236
 - preliminary step, 232
 - procedure, 81, 233
 - purpose of dating, 230
 - purpose of locating, 231
 - reads of implant dates vs, actual dates, 230
 - reference, 336
 - R-Factor to give to pc, 232, 233
 - rule on metering, 229
 - situations that dating and locating handles, 228
 - summary, 236
 - systems of measurement of distance, 231
 - theory of, 228
 - two separate drills for dating and locating, 232
 - understanding the mechanics of, 83
 - uses of, 228
 - use the system of time measurement that the pc uses, 230
 - while locating, pc starts running the incident or gives too much "scene," handling, 236
 - why you date and locate, 228
- datum, data,
 - A=A=A is the way most people handle data, 326
 - accept the pc's data during dating, 231
 - collision of false data and true data, without the person knowing which is which, 370
 - false data, *see* false data
 - he cannot think with the data and it does not seem to apply, handling, 371
 - inability to think with data reason for, 368
 - never resort to the meter if pc can find and give the data (in dating), 232
 - true data vs, false data, 435
 - verbal data; *see* verbal data
 - why trying to teach a correct datum over a false datum on the subject does not work, 369
- dead horse list,
 - definition, 36
 - don't extend a, 36
 - handling, 36
- deafness, misunderstandings can act as perception shut-offs, 329
- death, dead,
 - death slide as a being, 311
 - exteriorization and, 44
- death, dead (*cont.*)
 - half dead as a being, 311
 - proof that Man is a spiritual being, timeless and death 79
- debate, Socratic equation and, 369
- debug, debugging, debug tech,
 - applies from the very small expected action to the huge expected project, 407
 - area or individual creating problems and demanding solutions to them, handling, 405
 - auditor and Cramming assignment policies for metered debug actions, 431
 - case histories to show how Crashing Mis-U finding goes, 353
 - Crashing Mis-U finding and, 347, 352
 - Crashing Mis-U may not be on the subject of the product itself but in an area related to the subject, 362
 - Crashing Mis-U tech is used in debugging products, 348
 - debugging a lack of products and accomplishments of an org or post, 408
 - Debug Tech Checklist, 408
 - definition, 400
 - do not go for an all-organize or an all-production handling, 406
 - EP, 352, 407, 409
 - evaluators and, 407
 - executives and, 401
 - false data handled with two-way comm, 354
 - faulty debugging, handling, 391, 406
 - finding a product that can be gotten out, 409
 - first action in debugging an area, 401, 409
 - getting off the track and clearing up a whole subject that has nothing to do with getting out his product, 356
 - gradient approach is used, 352
 - hating problems, debug of, 403
 - history of, 400
 - how long to continue debugging, 352
 - inadequate organization to get the product, handling, 406
 - inspecting his area as regards products, 349
 - inspecting the area, 401
 - inspection to see what is going on in terms of production, 409
 - list of things that could be standing in the way of production, 408
 - marry up routine M9 with Crashing Mis-U finding and all of its steps where the person isn't making it otherwise, 362
 - nonproducing areas, handling, 407
 - no organization, handling, 406
 - no products are coming out, handling, 402
 - orders, debugging of, 402
 - overt products, remedy of, 405
 - personnel problems, debug of, 403
 - procedure, 401
 - Product Clearing and, 352
 - Product Debug Repair List, 391
 - Product Debug Repair List, use of, 406
 - production and, 400, 401
 - purpose of debug tech, 352
 - references, 400
 - things one might expect to come across and have to handle in order to debug a cycle or product, 353
 - turning insolvency into solvency, 401
 - turning out a type of product nobody wants, handling, 405
 - use of, 401
 - use the whole debug tech procedure without trying to short cut it, 352

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- debug, debugging, debug tech (*cont.*)
 - wrong stat, debugging a, 404
 - wrong VFP or wrong product, debugging a, 404
 - you've done full Crashing Mis-U and product debug
 - procedure and still haven't gotten the Crashing Mis-U, handling, 361
- Debug Tech Checklist,
 - EP of debug actions, 409
 - form, 408
 - handling a bogortrouble on, 409
 - use of, 406, 408
- declare(s); *see also* attest
 - adjudication and declare of the state of Dianetic Clear, 200
 - Dianetic Clear false declares, 328
 - evaluating for or feeding a pc data to persuade him to declare Dianetic Clear, 328
 - false declares and Quickie Grades brought about by lack of Word Clearing, 375
- defense mechanism,
 - Crashing Mis-U finding and, 351
 - person can have a defense mechanism whereby he justifies having a Crashing MU, 388
 - what it consists of, 351
- deficiencies,
 - checking for vitamin and mineral deficiencies before Purification Rundown, 177
 - nutritional deficiencies, 170
 - why overdosing one vitamin can create a deficiency artificially of another vitamin, 173
- definition(s),
 - accurate definition for a word not given in any dictionary, 365
 - archaic definitions, when to clear them, 134, 182
 - asking "What is the definition of ?", 294
 - can't find a useful definition, handling, 354
 - clearing a word to conceptual understanding, 133, 183, 425
 - dissociation between the definition and the physical universe, 412
 - emotional reactions connected with a rejected definition, 366
 - example of how to clear a word, 182
 - false definition, 349, 363
 - false definition defined, 363
 - he is rejecting the material he is reading or the definition of the word he is clearing, handling, 371
 - homonymic definition, 129, 364
 - how long to use a word in sentences, 182
 - how to clear a word, 133, 182
 - how to clear a word when you don't know the context, 183
 - idiomshavetobecleared, 134, 182
 - importance of the Word Clearer knowing the correct definition of the word, 351
 - incomplete definition, example, 364
 - incorrect definition, example, 363
 - invented definition, example, 363
 - learn the full definition for a word as well as its synonyms, 365
 - Man has not necessarily properly defined everything in his technical sphere or culture, 349
 - missing from the dictionary, 349, 365
 - misunderstood word or symbol in the definition, handling, 134, 183
 - no-definition, example, 365
 - notes in dictionary on word usage must be cleared, 134, 183
 - obsolete definitions, when to clear them, 134, 182
 - omitted definition, example, 365
 - PTS C/S-1 definitions sheet, 296
 - rejected definition, example, 366
- definition(s) *cont.*
 - spending a lot of time clearing words within definitions of words, handling, 183
 - substitute definition, 365
 - synonyms, clearing of, 134, 182
 - technical or specialized definitions, when to clear them, 133, 182
 - types of misunderstandings, 129
 - unsuitable definition, example, 364
 - verbal hatting is the main source of false definitions and false data, 367
 - which definition to clear first, 133
 - Word Clearer's first action is to himself look up the definition and derivation of the word, 351
- delerium tremens, Vitamin B1 and, 171
- delivery,
 - Advanced Org services, 272
 - Class IV Org services, 269
 - Class VI Org (Saint Hill) services, 271
 - courses Class IV Orgs teach, 271
 - Flag services, 273
 - miracle results of Word Clearing make all the difference in the world to the quality of technical delivery, 375
- demo kits, Course Supervisor who lets students study without dictionaries and, 224
- dental therapy, pc had dental therapy, handling, 65
- dental treatment, pc has tooth decay, handling, 64
- depravity, freeing one from, 12
- derivation,
 - clearingthe, 133, 182
 - definition, 133, 182
 - looking up the, 351
- despair, Relief RD to handle, 267
- destimulation, illness and, 24
- devotion, what it requires, 20
- Dewey, John, false data and, 368
- Dianazene is remarkably workable today, 172
- Dianetic(s), New Era Dianetics; *see also* engram running; Routine 3RA
 - all commands are used, 54
 - Clear, Dianetic Clear or OT not to be run on, 191, 198
 - cost of 1950-1977 processing compared to NED, 265
 - description of NED, 265, 266
 - Dianetic Clear is not run on, 191, 198, 200, 266, 268
 - discoveries and advancements in tech in 1978, 266
 - "doesn't like Dianetics," handling, 74
 - drugs are handled first, reason, 75
 - EP, 48, 203
 - errors must be repaired with an L3RF, 190
 - former therapy handling, 65
 - Full Flow Dianetics; *see* Full Flow Dianetics
 - handling somebody whose "lumbosis" has not surrendered to, 26
 - how fast and thorough NED is, 265
 - illness handling, 24
 - is its own field of C/Sing, 55
 - NED rule, 210
 - pc run on Dianetics on the Int RD after Dianetic Clear, handling, 191, 198
 - people who don't go past track in Dianetics don't recover, 91
 - power of NED, 266
 - Prepared Assessment Form, what it handles, 267
 - Quadruple Dianetics; *see* Quadruple Dianetics
 - references to study and demo out what each R3RA command does, 210
 - remedies all remain in full use, 55
 - results of NED compared to old Dianetics, 269
 - results, what you have to know to get uniformly good results, 210
 - running past lives as "imaginary," 91

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- Dianetic(s), New Era Dianetics; (*cont.*)
 running the missing flows if reading, 57
 Single Dianetics; *see* Single Dianetics
 speed that NEDs are achieving, 265
 state of Clear can be achieved on, 200
 Triple Dianetics; *see* Triple Dianetics
 understanding the function and purpose of each of the
 R3RA commands in a Dianetic session, 210
 unreading questions and items, 36
- Dianetic auditor(s), NED auditor(s),
 can salvage a person in under 100 hours, 270
 confidence as a, 210
 must understand the function and purpose of each of
 the R3RA commands in a Dianetic session, 210
 references to study and demo out what each R3RA
 command does, 210
 requirements to run Quad Dianetics, 55
 what you have to know to get uniformly good results,
 210
 when to retread a, 52, 54
- Dianetic Clear(s); *see also* Clear
 adjudication and declare of the state, 200
 appearing to be no case gain, out-ethics case due to
 being audited past Dianetic Clear, 243
 attempting to attest in order to save money or for status
 reasons, 256
 attested to Dianetic Clear and has not had a formal
 auditing session to establish it, handling, 333
 attests, 243
 attests—additional data, 256
 audited past Dianetic Clear, manifestations of, 243
 auditing the, 332
 becoming inactive as a Scientologist due to being
 audited past Dianetic Clear, 243
 bonded by HCO before given access to confidential
 Dianetic Clear HCOBs, 344
 calling in suspected Dianetic Clears for confirmation,
 257
 checkouts to do before auditing Dianetic Clear or
 Natural Clear on the grades, 332
 Clearing Course and, 252, 272
 C/S 53 reads and, 221
 Date/Locate on when the pc went Dianetic Clear, 256
 declare of the state, 200
 declaring a Dianetic Clear “achieved in other prac-
 tices,” penalty for, 328
 definition, 200
 Dianetic Clear Repair List and, 244
 Dianetics is not run on, 191, 198, 200, 266, 268
 D of P interviews and, 333, 334
 do not try to convince pc he has gone Dianetic Clear,
 244
 drugs reading on C/S 53, handling, 221
 End of Endless Drug Rundowns Repair List and, 187
 End of Endless Int Repair RD and, 190
 establishing for the person who has not yet made Dia-
 netic Clear that he hasn’t, 334
 ethics trouble, low OCA or poor case gain due to un-
 acknowledged state of, 256
 ethics warning, 244
 evaluating for or feeding a pc data to persuade him to
 declare, 328
 Expanded Grades and, 270, 332
 Expanded Green Form 40RD and, 262
 false declares, 328
 feeding or coaxing pc to any cognition is a comm-eval-
 offense, 200
 folders must be clearly marked “Dianetic Clear,” 200
 Green Form and, 261
 incomplete on Grades 0-IV, handling, 252
 individual not doing well after an attempt to rehab the
 state of Dianetic Clear, handling, 333
- Dianetic Clear(s) (*cont.*)
 individual thinks he might be Dianetic Clear, hand-
 ling, 333
 Int is still out for some peculiar reason, handling, 191
 Int RD and, 32, 43, 79, 191, 198, 211
 Int Rundown Correction List Revised and, 84
 invalidation by running certain processes that a Clear
 wouldn’t respond to, 256
 is a Clear, 200
 item stating pc has gone Dianetic Clear reads on a
 correction list, handling, 333
 Keyed-Out Clear vs, 257
 L3RF reads and, 144, 221
 manifesting PTSness and illnesses due to being audited
 past Dianetic Clear, 243
 meter phenomena on a, 257
 NED for OTs can be given once he is OT III, 200
 not moving up the Bridge but remaining “parked”
 at some point due to being audited past Dianetic
 Clear, 243
 OT 1 and, 252, 272
 out-Int and, 213
 Quickie Grades and, 332
 past life Dianetic Clears, 243, 266, 268
 pc originates he has achieved Dianetic Clear, handling, 200
 pe run on Dianetics on the Int RD after Dianetic Clear,
 handling, 190, 192, 198
 person wanting to attest but clearly hasn’t made it,
 handling, 257
 person who has attested but hasn’t made it, handling,
 257
 Power, R6EW, Clearing Course and, 252
 programming for his next step, 252
 PTS RD and, 91, 292
 references, 333
 rehab of, 272
 R-Factor for person who has attested to but hasn’t
 attained Dianetic Clear, 257
 R3RA audited over and beyond Dianetic Clear as a
 primary reason for case bogs, 189
 running Dianetics on Dianetic Clear gives semblance
 of no case gain, 268
 Super Power and, 252, 271
 suppressive act to send pc through to attest to Dianetic
 Clear when he hasn’t truly made it, 244
 unacknowledged Dianetic Clear, handling, 256
 unacknowledged Dianetic Clears, recognition of, 243,
 256
 unhandled drugs on a Dianetic Clear, handling, 262
 went Dianetic Clear and never attested, 60
 went Dianetic Clear in his past life, 243
 what he can be audited on, 200
 what he is not run on, 200
 who can send pc through to attest to, 244
 who hasn’t truly made it will not make it on the OT
 levels, 244
 why the state has to be verified, 272
- Dianetic Clear Repair List, Dianetic Clear and, 244
 Dianetic Clear Routing Form, use of, 256
 Dianetic Clear Special Intensive,
 alternative choices to the, 334
 checking False and then Protest if necessary, 339
 C/Sing of, 335, 336
 Date/Locate step, 336
 Dianetic Clear Special Intensive Assessment List and,
 337, 339
 DTS stat for completion of a, 162
 EP, 337
 establishing for the person who has not yet made Dia-
 netic Clear that he hasn’t, 334
 evaluating for pc by asking what his abilities are in
 relation to his mental image pictures, 338

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- Dianetic Clear Special Intensive (*cont.*)
 FES is the first action, 334
 floating TA and, 337
 folder study and, 334
 HCOB on, 333
 if he has gone Dianetic Clear and you have to handle
 Int, lists or repair past auditing before doing the,
 335
 item stating pc has gone Dianetic Clear reads on a
 correctionlist, handling, 333
 messed up Date/Locate, effects and handling of, 338
 no interest and didn't go Clear in past auditing, hand-
 ling, 335
 Paid Completion points for, 162
 person hasn't yet attained Dianetic Clear, handling,
 337
 procedure, 335
 purchase of auditing for the, 334
 references, 333
 requirements for C/Ses and auditors delivering the,
 344
 situations it is designed to handle, 333
 two-way comm and, 335
 use of, 334
- Dianetic Clear Special Intensive Assessment List,
 EP, 339
 form, 339
 Method 3 and, 337, 339
 use of, 337, 339
 what to do if it shows up that the person didn't actually
 make Dianetic Clear, 339
- Dianetic C/S-1,
 done on unindoctrinated pc before Int RD, 100
 Drug Rundown and, 76
 isn't auditing, 100
- Dianetic Debug and Repair, mention of, 274
- Dianeticist, Clear in last life, 243
- Dianetic Item(s),
 do not check interest on drug items, 76
 Full Flow Table; *see* Full Flow Table
 multiple somatic items, do we Triple or Quad them,
 58
 unreading items are not run, 253
 unreading questions and items, 36
- Dianetic List(s),
 listing and marking reads in prior assessment, 22
 reads and, 36
 unreading questions and items, 36
- Dianetics Course,
 NED Course takes 3 weeks for the able student, 266
 pc who goes Ext in auditing being made to do the
 Hubbard New Era Dianetic Course before further
 auditing, 101
- Dianetics 55!*, TRs data and, 434, 436
- Dianetic Student Rescue Intensive, slow students han-
 dled with, 267
- diarrhea, Vitamin C causing, 171
- dictionary, dictionaries,
 accurate definition for a word not given in any diction-
 ary, 365
 archaic definitions, when to clear them, 134, 182
 Course Supervisor who lets students study without
 dictionaries and demo kits, 224
 derivation of word is cleared, 182
 dinky dictionaries and omitted definitions, 365
 dinky dictionary defined, 132
 example of how to clear a word, 182
Funk and Wagnalls Standard English Dictionary, 182
 how to clear a word, 133, 182
 idioms have to be cleared, 134, 182
 missing or false definition in the, 349
 dictionary, dictionaries, (*cont.*)
 misunderstood word or symbol in the definition, hand-
 ling, 134, 183
 notes in dictionary on word usage must be cleared,
 134, 183
 obsolete definitions, when to clear them, 134, 182
 omitted definition, example, 365
Oxford English Dictionary, The, 182
 recommended dictionaries, 182
Shorter Oxford Dictionary, 182
 simpler dictionary, get a, 183
 spending a lot of time clearing words within definitions
 of words, handling, 183
 synonyms, clearing of, 134, 182
 technical or specialized definitions, when to clear them,
 133, 182
 what kinds to have available, 132
 which definition to clear first, 133
 "word chains," handling, 183
- diet,
 formula for finding the exact and proper diet, 169
 Purification Rundown and, 169
- diet pills, 165
- Director of Processing, auditor-pc assignment policies,
 431
- Director of Review, handling bogged students and their
 twins, 384
- Director of Tech Senlces, stat for completion of Dianetic
 Clear Special Intensive, 162
- dirty needle(s),
 bad TRs causing, 10
 breaking Auditor's Code causing, 10
 causes of, 10
 Confessionals and, 247
 needle goes dirty in a rehab session, handling, 10
 persisting, what it means, 247
 R/S vs, 247
 will turn into an R/S or will fully clean, 247
 withholds causing, 10
- Disability Rundown, disability handled by, 267
- disassociation between the definition and the physical
 universe, 412
- disciplining them for going past Mis-Us, 356
- discomfort, standard C/S for, 33
- disconnect or handle, 290
- disease, pc has infectious diseases, handling, 64
- distance,
 calling back a distance (Date/Locate procedure), 231
 is basically a consideration, 231
 systems of measurement of, 231
- distractive, good auditor is never distractive to a pc, 419
- Division6, mild TRs Course in, 434
- divorce, out-ethics and, 224
- Dixie, waiting for the meter to play, 1
- D/L; *see* Date/Locate
- Dn; *see* Dianetics
- DN; *see* dirty needle
- do, doingness, done(s),
 not dones and half dones, reason for, 347
 people who have to have before they can do, 411
 SPs deny do and enforce unwanted do, 127
 TRs are adoiness, 433
 why ability to get things done in an area dwindles, 330
- doesn't want auditing, handling, 61
- D of P; *see* Director of Processing
- D of P Interview,
 Dianetic Clears and, 333
 nonstandard actions or interviews forbidden, 432
 out-tech to try audit or rehab in an interview, 333
 using a C/S 53 in a, 221
 "double flunk" defined, 382

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- DRD; *see* Drug Rundown
- dreams are essential in life, 401
- drill(s)(ed)(ing),
- auditors must be checked out and drilled on new materials, 52
 - dummy drilling Auditing by Lists, 72
 - E-Meter Drill 5RA—Can Squeeze, 312
 - E-Meter Drills, twinning on, 378
 - for reading through an F/N, 255
 - High Crime checkouts and, 375
 - Mood Drills procedure, 305
 - person cannot be drilled into confronting, handling, 311
 - TRs are, 418
 - TRs are gotten in by drilling them hard, 433
 - TRs, how they are taught and drilled, 436
 - twinning on practical sections of courses is mandatory, 379
 - two separate drills for dating and locating, 232
 - when he can't get through a specific drill or exercise in his training materials suspect false data, 368
 - Why Finding Drill—Two, cancellation of, 304
- drug(s); *see also* medicine; poison
- abilities to concentrate or to balance are injured by, 74
 - alcohol; *see* alcohol
 - Angel Dust; *see* Angel Dust
- antibiotics; *see* antibiotics
- aspirin, do not audit a pc who has taken, 27
 - attitude of a person changed by, 164
 - biochemistry and fluid balance of the body probably disarranged by, 165
 - biophysical processes do not work in the presence of, 165
 - breakdown of the social and industrial culture linked to, 164
 - can lodge in the tissues, 165
 - case gain prevented by, 163, 164, 165
 - Clear, OT or Dianetic Clear reading on drugs on C/S 53, handling, 221
 - Clear, OT or Dianetic Clear with unhandled drugs, handling, 262
 - compulsion to still use drugs, lessening of, 23
 - crime and, 164
 - curious about drugs, handling, 65
 - damage is only successfully handled by auditing, 74
 - damage to the brain or nerves, 178
 - definition, 74
 - deposits inside cells, 170
 - Dianetic pc who has had drug handling omitted, handling, 75
 - do not audit a pc who has taken aspirin or, 27
 - drug engrams, 74
 - endocrine system upset by, 174
 - flushing poisons and chemical substances out of the body, 167
 - handling, 73
 - high TA and drug case, 22
 - high TA caused by drug chain, 54
 - learning rate vs, 165
 - LSD; *see* LSD
 - medical drugs are included, 74
 - medicinal drugs have gone into "restim" years after they were taken, 165
- memory vs, 165
- mental actions do not work in the presence of, 164
- names of, 74
- niacin deficiency created by LSD and street drugs, 171
- nutritional deficiencies created by, 171
- Objective Processes do not work in the presence of, 165
- overwhelm and, 74
- pc not making it in auditing, check for drug or alcohol history, 75
- drug(s) (*cont.*)
- preventing widespread clearing, 163
 - prior assessment and, 22
 - Prior Assessment to Drugs is only a partial handling, 75
 - processing results attained on person who has been on heavy drugs vs. non-drug person, 165
 - production difficulties linked to, 164
 - program execution difficulties linked to, 164
 - Purification Rundown mandatory to any person who has been on LSD or heavy drugs, 166
 - reason person took drugs, 23
 - reason we are handling drugs and drug deposits in the body, 165
 - removal of life-hostile chemical substances from the body, 166
 - resistive cases and, 73
 - restim of whatever the effects of the drug or medicine were, 175
 - restimulation of a full-blown "trip," 168
 - seeking same thrill attained from drugs, handling, 64
 - somatic shut-off due to, 22
 - staying in the body and releasing themselves now and then, 221
 - sulfa drugs, 159
 - taking heavy drugs and alcohol because he had with holds and could not confront, 311
 - wants to continue to take drugs, handling, 64
 - what probably underlies the causes for the current drug culture, 130
 - whole track drugs not asked for on Drug RD, 76, 186
 - whole track pictures turned on by, 74
 - why drugs are handled first, 75
- drug case(s),
- afraid of running engrams, 74
 - blank periods and, 74
 - "can't run engrams" means a, 92
 - description, 73
 - detecting a, 74
 - does not make stable gains until the condition is handled, 74
 - drug personality secretly harboring hostilities and hatreds, 164
 - prior assessing and, 22
 - reason person took up drugs, 23
 - resistive cases and, 73, 74
 - seldom goes back track well, 73
 - seldom runs on any other type of engram, 73
 - TRs Course and, 437
- drug personality, 164
- Drug Rundown,
- cost of old DRD compared to NED DRDs, 265
 - do not check interest on drug items, 76
 - endless Drug RD, reason for, 185
 - end of endless Drug RDs, 185
 - End of Endless Drug Rundowns Repair List and, 185, 187
 - how fast and thorough NED is, 265
 - NED Drug RD requires only a few intensives, 186, 266
 - old DRD, getting it handled terminatedly, 267
 - prerequisite for Course Supervisor is Grade IV and, 309
 - prior assessment procedure, 77
 - procedure in full, 75
 - references, 73
 - steps in brief, 186
 - Sweat Out Program and, 75
 - TRs Course and, 437
 - whole track drugs not asked for, 76, 186
 - who the NED Drug RD is for, 186
 - why drugs are handled first, 75
 - why it can't be run flat, 185

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

dull feeling due to Mis-U, 130
 duplicating, duplication,
 false data standing in the road of, 373
 Mis-U keeps a person from duplicating what the written materials actually say, 129
 not confronting and duplicating in an area due to misunderstandings, 330
 person is not duplicating the material he has studied despite Word Clearing, handling, 371
 dynamic(s); *see also* various dynamics by number
 Conditions and Exchange by Dynamics, what it handles, 270
 Crashing Mis-U crashes something across the, 360

E

earlier beginning,
 command for R3RA, 48
 High TA and, 44
 why ignoring the earlier beginning of an engram causes TA to go up, 44
 earlier Incident,
 command for asking for earlier incident in narrative handling on drugs, 76
 command for R3RA, 48
 high TA and, 44
 earlier similar,
 asking for an earlier similar in Auditing by Lists, 71
 command for False Data Stripping, 372
 command for running Int with R3RA, 48
 command for use in Confessionals, 248
 Earth,
 psychologist's mission to make the population into controllable zombies, 435
 psychology is the most false subject on the planet, 435
 what success in clearing this planet depends upon, 224
 Eastern beliefs, handling pc who has held or is currently holding Eastern beliefs, 66
 Eastern rites, handling pc who has taken part in earlier Eastern rites, 67
 Eastman Kodak, 368
 eating, students not permitted to eat or smoke in the course room, 224
 EB; *see* earlier beginning
 economics, Lord Keynes treatises on, 368
 educating, education; *see also* study; training
 educating the PTS, 293
 effect of having gone past many, many Mis-U's in reading or education, 130
 factors missing in modern permissive education, 378
 John Dewey and, 368
 uneducated pcs and C/S Series 53 Long Form, 220
 uneducated pcs require flawless topnotch auditors, 1 01
 why trying to teach a correct datum over a false datum on the subject does not work, 369
 effect,
 how pc goes the effect of the antagonistic terminal, 276
 training at cause vs, training at effect, 379
 effectiveness reduced by false data, 374
 Egyptian priests, 165
 electrical resistance, mental mass and, 45
 electrodes; *see* cans
 electronic practices, handling pc who has taken part in earlier electronic practices, 67
 E-Meter(s), meter(s); *see also* reads
 all needle response is reactive, 2
 ARC Broke meter that won't record a read, 7
 believe your meter, 71
 E-Meter(s), meter(s) (*cont.*)
 cans; *see* cans
 can squeeze; *see* can squeeze
 carbon pot vs, wire wound pot, 323
 Clear's postulates read as a surge, 257
 Clear vs, aberree on the, 1
 Dianetic Clear meter phenomena, 257
 do not tell pc what meter is doing, 70
 electrical resistance of mental mass recorded on the, 45
 electrodes; *see* cans
 false data preventing misunderstandings from reading on the, 388
 getting a readable and workable meter, 316
 how an incorrect can squeeze gives an inaccurate, unreliable needle reaction, 312
 how to read through an F/N, 254
 if pc's case is improving he becomes more independent of the, 229
 is right even when it seems to make the pc wrong, except when it's a false read, 323
 loose connection in the leads or meter causing R/S, 321
 Mark V and Mark VI, 275
 Mark VI E-Meter, why it was needed, 275
 meter check after handling O/Ws in nonmetered Crash ing MU finding, 350, 388
 metering; *see* metering
 never resort to the meter if pc can find and give the data (in dating), 232
 never tell pc that it read, 71
 never use meter to challenge, 'correct' or invalidate pc's data when dating, 232
 only the meter knows, 2
 person will not necessarily read on something that he believes to be true, 371
 position of, 70, 145
 pulling withholds off the, 390
 reacts only on the reactive mind, 1
 read means there is charge present and available to run, 253
 responds instantly, 2
 sensitivity; *see* sensitivity
 speck of dust in the 'pot' causing R/S, 323
 "That's clean" or "That reads" is not said to pc, 70
 unmetered Crashing Mis-U finding, example, 355
 waiting for the meter to play Dixie, 1
 watching, 1
 what a read means, 253
 when a pc is cognizing, look at the meter not the pc, 7
 why a pc can have a broken leg, yet it might not read, 37
 why assessments are wrong sometimes, 1
 why you cannot run anything not charged (reading), 191
 why you only run what the meter says, 1
 why you run what reacts on the meter, 1, 253
 word not reading on the meter because the person "thinks he knows," 367
 E-Meter Drill 5RA—Can Squeeze, 312
 E-MeterDrills, twinning on, 378
 E-Meter Essentials, errata sheet, 319
 emotion(s), (al),
 emotional reactions connected with a rejected definition, 366
 fixed or stuck in a chronic mood, 305
 Mood Drills procedure, 305
 moods or emotions are usually "automatic," 305
 shut-off emotions reappearing on the Purification Rundown, 175
 encyclopedia(s),
 Method 9 Word Clearing and, 132
 used to clear up a misunderstood, 366

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- endocrine system,
 - drugs upsetting the, 174
 - minerals and, 174
- End of Endless Drug Rundowns Repair List,
 - Clears, OTs, Dianetic Clears and, 187
 - form, 187
 - Method 5 and, 187
 - old DRD handled terminatedly with, 266, 267
 - prerequisite is C/S 53RL, 185, 187
 - selling it as a rundown on the basis of one intensive, 267
 - use of, 266, 267
 - what it resolves, 185
 - who it is for, 185, 187
- End of Endless Int Repair Rundown,
 - auditors who should not be let near an, 99
 - cautions and C/S tips, 194
 - Clears, OTs, Dianetic Clears and, 79, 212
 - commands for running an Int button, 193
 - commands (Recall Quad Flows commands for Int buttons), 203
 - C/S 53 as next action after the, 197
 - description, 189
 - Dianetic Clears and, 79, 190, 212
 - EP, 221
 - false read on assessment of the Int buttons, causes of, 194
 - for Clear or OT who has not had an Int RD, 220
 - Int buttons list, 193
 - Int RD and, 189
 - Int Rundown Correction List Revised and, 84
 - Int Rundown Table and, 191, 198
 - is one of the most important single auditing actions an auditor can do, 189
 - Non-Interference Zone and, 190
 - not a substitute for Int RD or Int RD Correction List, 190
 - not to be sold or passed off as a method of exteriorizing a pc, 105
 - one-week wait, reason for, 194
 - pc has a large cog, F/N, GIs, handling, 192
 - pcs it can and can't be run on, 190
 - person run on End of Endless Int while on OT III, handling, 190
 - procedure, 192
 - purpose of, 190
 - reassessment of the buttons after the week wait, 194
 - Recalls, liabilities and advantages of running Recalls on Int, 213
 - revivification occurring during, 259
 - things that could go wrong, 194
 - uses of, 49, 189, 190
 - weak or ill pcs and, 79
 - what it handles, 269
 - when it could be used as a preliminary method for handling Int, 190
 - when it is complete, 192
 - when to run an, 190
 - will not repair flagrant Dianetic errors, 190
- End of Endless Int Rundown; *see* End of Endless Int Repair Rundown
- end of session, do not end Int RD session with a chain partially run, 40
- end phenomena,
 - clearing a word must end in an F/N and VGIs, 184
 - Crashing Mis-U finding EP, 350, 352
 - debug tech EP, 352, 407, 409
 - Dianetic Clear Special Intensive Assessment List EP, 339
 - Dianetic Clear Special Intensive EP, 337
 - Dianetic EP, 48, 203
 - End of Endless Int Repair RD EP, 221
 - end result of a well done Method 9, 141
 - end phenomena *fcont*,)
 - Expanded Green Form 40RD EP, 262
 - False Data Stripping EP, 373
 - F/N is one of the parts of, 322
 - GIs always accompany a real F/N, 71
 - Green Form EP, 262
 - Int RD EP, 48, 195
 - Int RD EP data, 213
 - Int RD EP vital data, 217
 - of each reading flow of each Problems Process on the Suppressed Person RD, 278, 280
 - prepared list and, 222
 - PTS RD EP, 92, 292
 - Purification Rundown EP, 178
 - Suppressed Person RD EP, 276, 277, 278, 280, 292
 - TRs EP, 437
 - two-way comm sessions EP, 41
 - when a pc is cogniting, look at the meter not the pc, 7
 - when pc exteriorizes in session it is the EP for that process or action, 80, 99, 105
- enemy, don't leave an enemy financed and solvent while you let your friends starve, 14
- enforced have, definition, 94, 126
- engram(s); *see also* incident; Routine 3RA
 - "can't run engrams," what it means, 92
 - chains; *see* chains
 - doesn't want to be run on engrams, handling, 74
 - drug engrams, 74
 - druggies and, 74
 - failing to erase, handling, 68
 - incident; *see* incident
 - revivification of an, 259
 - service facsimiles and, 389
 - sickness caused by engram chains, 24
 - Straightwire overdone can key in underlying engrams, 370
- engram running; *see also* chains; Routine 3RA
 - afraid of running engrams, 74
 - "can't run engrams," what it means, 92
 - check an item and flow for read before running, 37
 - Dianetic Clear is not run on Dianetics, 192, 198, 200, 266, 268
 - doesn't want to be run on engrams, handling, 74
 - Drug Rundown procedure in full, 75
 - earlier beginning; *see* earlier beginning
 - earlier incident; *see* earlier incident
 - engrams fail to erase, handling, 68
 - erasure; *see* erasure
 - Flow Zero, how to put it in on a Single or Triple pc, 53
 - former therapy handling, 65
 - people who don't go past track in Dianetics don't recover, 91
 - sick pc can easily be overwhelmed with, 92
 - unreadingflowsarenorun, 253
 - what happens if you only run incidents late on the chain, 44
 - what happens if you only run the end of incidents, 44
 - what happens when a flow not run on earlier items is run on later items, 57
 - why ignoring the earlier beginning of an engram causes TA to go up, 44
 - why we are safer entering Int RD by running engrams to begin with, 213
- enterovioform, 159
- environment(s),
 - handle by Suppressed Person Rundown, 271
 - pc is restimulated in current environment, handling, 62
 - restimulation caused by out-ruds plus a suppressive environment or situation, 24
 - rest, quiet and a safe environment for the PTS Type Three, 292
- EP; *see* end phenomena

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- equation, Socratic, 369
- erasure(s), erase(d); *see also* as-is; blowing
 - basic has to be run for the chain or incident to erase, 44
 - engrams failing to erase, handling, 68
 - first of a chain or the first part of an experience or a first experience has to be run for the chain or incident to erase, 212
 - how as-iness is brought about, 228
 - how to get any unwanted persisting thing to vanish, 228
 - that which is viewed in its own time, place, form and event can be as-ised, 228
 - what happens if you only run incidents late on the chain, 44
 - what happens if you only run the end of incidents, 44
- error(s), flub(s), goof(s), mlstake(s),
 - audited pc past exterior, correcting the auditor, 100
 - auditors who flub, handling, 52
 - auditors who miss withhold, penalty, 242
 - Bolivar's errors, 11
 - commonest error in Word Clearing, 366
 - C/S Checklist of Int errors, 217
 - C/S Series 53 Short Form contains every element that could be wrong with the mind, 220
 - C/S who doesn't get high or low TAs handled at once, handling, 100
 - dating errors which cause no blow, 231
 - Dianetic errors must be repaired with L3RF, 190
 - End of Endless Int RD, things that could go wrong on, 194
 - flubsmaranya auditing result, 41
 - incomplete confession, errors that cause it, 227
 - Int RD error is corrected as a first action, 98
 - Int RD errors, list of, 51, 98, 99, 217
 - Int RD flubbed, handling, 190
 - list errors; *see* out-lists
 - Manuela Saenz' mistakes, 16, 17, 18
 - offenses Course Supervisor may be comm-ev'd for, 224
 - out-tech- *see* out-tech
 - out-TRs, *see* out-TRs
 - pc who keeps on goofing, handling, 63
 - PTS RD errors, 124
 - PTS RD points of breakdown, 91
 - reason people apparently do not see, hear, notice or handle outnesses, 329
 - remedy for auditors who make errors on the PTS RD, 124
 - sensitivity errors, 154
 - TRs Course before correcting other auditor or admin errors, 309
 - TRs outnesses, handling, 434
 - unread and wrong items run or auditor changing pc's item or just deciding what to run on pc, 209
 - what leads to wild efforts, new processes and anything but cool standard procedure, 7
 - what prevents the EP of getting a prepared list to F/N, 222
 - when to retread a Dianetic auditor, 52, 54
 - when to retread an auditor, 52
 - Word Clearing errors, 238
- E/S; *see* earlier similar
- estimate, getting an estimate of a case, 261
- ethics; *see also* justice
 - any activity cannot be run semi-standardly or with "pretty much in-ethics," 223
 - auditors who fail to handle their pcs' ethics, 223
 - Committee of Evidence; *see* Committee of Evidence
 - Confessional done for justice reasons is not auditing, 246
 - Court of Ethics for using verbal tech, 318
 - ethics (cont.)
 - crimes; *see* crimes in Scientology
 - disciplining them for going past Mis-U's, 356
 - ethics trouble due to unacknowledged state of Dianetic Clear, 256
 - handling bogged students and their twins, 384
 - how standard tech slips down to "some of the tech being applied when we can," 223
 - how you get a gradually lowered ethics level, 223
 - if one twin goes to Review or Ethics the other goes, 381, 382, 383, 384
 - in-ethics and out-ethics courses, 223
 - out-ethics; *see* out-ethics
 - penalty; *see* penalty
 - solution is not to immediately shoot them for not getting out the product, 356
 - when an SP dominates an area, only ethics actions can handle, 8
 - Ethics Hearing for failing to report on unusual solution advised or used, 6
 - Ethics Officer,
 - Comm Ev offense to allow out-ethics activities in course rooms, 224
 - handling bogged students and their twins, 384
 - PTS handling, 90
 - R/S reported to, 391
 - ethics presence,
 - Confessionals and, 250
 - Sec Checking and, 242
 - Ethics Repair List,
 - indicator that something has been missed, 227
 - special certificate for any auditor trained to deliver the, 226
 - eucalyptus oil, 181
 - evaluation (In management),
 - debug tech and, 407
 - programming and, 407
 - where the full tech on how to execute and program is, 407
 - evaluative, statement (in assessing) can be accusative or evaluative, 419
 - evaluator, debug tech and, 407
 - exchange, product that has no exchange value, 405
 - Examiner,
 - high TA due to "audited over exterior," 32
 - student goes to Examiner at end of M9 session, 134
 - why the C/S can't be the auditor supervisor and pc interviewer, 7
 - Exam Report, bad Exam Report after Confessional, handling, 250
 - Exchange by Dynamics,
 - use in debugging an area, 405
 - what it handles, 270
 - Ex Dn; *see* Expanded Dianetics
 - execute, where the full tech on how to execute and program is, 407
 - executives~; *see also* leader
 - cracking the back of the most resistive nonproducing areas, 407
 - debugging a lack of products and accomplishments of an org or post, 408
 - debug tech is a vital executive tool, 401
 - have the task of getting out products, 408
 - how you get executives who will operate out-ethics, off-policy orgs, 223
 - out-ethics and, 223
 - products and, 408
 - responsibilities of leaders, 11
 - responsible for ensuring juniors are studying, 428
 - senior who doesn't make sure his staff puts in study time off production hours, 403
 - situation where someone does not organize any corner of

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

executive(s) (*cont.*)

his area or work or organization or lines, handling, 406

successful executive, one of the attributes of a, 428

who don't make their juniors study, 428

Executive or Businessman's Intensive, 270

exercise (physical),

Purification Rundown and, 167

sauna and, 180

exercises; *see* practices

Expanded Dianetics,

improvements in Ex Dn were undertaken in '78, 270

PTS RD not restricted to, 92

Expanded GF 40RD; *see* Green Form 40

Expanded Grades; *see also* grades

Dianetic Clears and, 270, 332

out-grades, handling, 69

what they handle, 270

expert, indulging in interpretations and even outright lies

to seem wise or expert, 367

Ext; *see* exterior

extend, don't extend on a list that is getting no item, 36

exterior, exteriorize(s), exteriorization; *see also* interiorization; Interiorization Rundown

"audited over exterior," symptoms of, 32

audited pc past exterior, correcting the auditor, 100

auditing past exterior, effects of, 34, 43, 98

auditing past exterior, references, 32

basics on Ext and Int (reference), 212

beginning of an exteriorization is the interiorization, 45, 212

blows caused by auditing past exterior, 34

death and, 44

definition, 44, 46, 210

fact which disproved that Man was an animal, 211

high TA due to "audited over exterior," 32

Int RD overrun when pc exteriorizes and auditor continues past exterior, 217

is not the EP of Int RD, 195

new pc who exteriorizes on Objectives and has not yet had a Dn C/S-1 or any NED auditing, handling, 190

pc exteriorizes in session but hasn't had an Int RD, handling, 38, 80, 99, 105

pc goes exterior during Int RD, handling, 48, 195

pc who goes Ext in auditing being made to do the Hubbard New Era Dianetics Course before further auditing, 101

proof that Man is a spiritual being timeless and deathless, 211

proof that the individual is not a body, 44, 211

PTS RD and, 92

references, 102

"Try not to be three feet back of your head," 212

what happens when you exteriorize somebody who hasn't had an Int RD, 45

when pc exteriorizes in session it is the EP for that process or action, 80, 99, 105

when to order a check on interiorization, 39

with full perceptions, 274

extroverted, twinning gets students extroverted, 378

eval, Change the Civilization Eval, 307

evaluation, evaluate,

by asking him what his abilities are in relation to his mental image pictures, 338

definition, 39

state of Clear and, 244

event, that which is viewed in its own time, place, form

and event can be as-is-ed, 228

evll Intention, R/S and, 321

eyesight,

evidence that auditor's eyesight is bad, 145

poor eyesight and misunderstands, 329

sight shut off by Mis-U words, 329

F

failure(s),

debugging a person's failures to produce the products required, 356

reason for failures to remain a power's power, 20

fall follows at once at the end of the last word of the question asked, 320

false data,

buries itself, 373

clogs up ability to think and learn, 374

collision of false data and true data, without the person knowing which is which, 370

competence and effectiveness reduced by, 374

Crashing MU buried by, 388

defense mechanism and, 351

duplication vs, 373

effects of, 388

examples of false texts, 368

finding a whole textbook false, 368

handling it with two-way comm, 354

hits directly up against the true data, 435

justifying his overts by accepting false data, 370

misunderstands prevented from reading on the meter by, 388

person who cannot be hatted at all has false data, 403

prone to accepting false data, 370

psychologists are loaded with, 435

psychology is the most false subject on the planet, 435

Socratic thesis-antithesis-synthesis philosophical equation, 369

sources of, 368

texts and, 368

the less a person can confront, the more false data he has accumulated and will accumulate, 370

there is no field in all the society where false data is not rampant, 367

TRs and, 434

untrainable on the subject due to false data, 435

verbal hating is the main source of, 367

when to suspect a person has, 368

why getting off false data on a subject works, 369

why trying to teach a correct datum over a false datum on the subject does not work, 369

won't necessarily read because person believes it to be true, 370

word not reading on the meter because the person "thinks he knows," 367

False Data Stripping,

auditor and Cramming assignment policies, 431

Auditor's Code applies to, 374

bogs, handling, 374

can be done on or off the meter, 368

can be repeated as often as necessary in any specific area of training, 369

cautions, 374

command for earlier similar, 372

commands, 371

Crashing MU buried by false data, handling, 388

Date/Locate and, 374

definition, 388

determining whether or not the person needs this procedure, 370

employed where needed in checkouts, 375

EP, 373

False Data Stripping Repair List, use of, 374

finding a whole textbook false, handling, 368

gradients and, 369

having a Mis-U just before he received the false data, handling, 373

if false datum does not blow on the Recall steps despite going earlier similar, handling, 374

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- False Data Stripping (*cont.*)
 - inability to learn and apply handled by, 374
 - misunderstoods often come up during, 373
 - new students to Scientology and, 374
 - out-ruds handled before you start, 374
 - overrun, 374
 - point past which one would not continue, 373
 - procedure, 370
 - recall step, how to avoid overrunning it, 374
 - repeated use of, 373
 - rudiments and, 374
 - solves the problem of inability to hat or train, 368
 - steps, 370
 - Straightwire and, 370
 - summary, 374
 - theory, 369
 - TR outnesses corrected with, 435
 - 24-hour repair rule, 374
 - use of, 368
 - using meter reads to steer the person, 372
 - who can do it, 368
 - why getting off false data on a subject works, 369
 - Word Clearing and, 373
- False Data Stripping Repair List, use of, 374
- false definition, 363
- false read(s),
 - command to check for a, 247
 - E-Meter is right even when it seems to make the pc wrong, except when it's a false read, 323
 - End of Endless Int Rundown and, 194
 - indicators of, 247
 - "overrepaired" pcs are usually pcs with actual reads missed and false readstaken up, 255
 - pc giving false read whenever Int is mentioned due to protest, 191
 - verifying that you actually have a read on Int, 191
 - when to check false and protest during a Dianetic Clear Special Intensive Assessment List, 339
- false TA pcs bugged by C/S 53 being done on them, 222
- family, overwhelmed by family connections, handling, 62
- fat,
 - all people have some fatty tissue, 170
 - cleaning up the fat tissue in the body, 170
 - exchanging good oil for bad fat in the body, 170
 - no such thing as a fat cell, 169
 - toxic substances tend to lock up mainly in the fat tissue, 170
- fees; *see* price
- FES; *see* Folder Error Summary
- FFD; *see* Full Flow Dianetics
- FFT; *see* Full Flow Table
- fiberglass, 445
- field staff members, why we have them, 7
- finance,
 - don't leave an enemy financed and solvent while you let your friends starve, 14
 - Simon Bolivar and, 13
- First Dynamic,
 - First Dynamic world, 383
 - twinning brings people out of their First Dynamic and onto the Third, 378
- Fixated Person Rundown, what it handles, 271
- Fixed Ideas, handling pc who has, 69
- Flag,
 - full case resolution at, 273
 - International Training Org and, 274
 - runs on the basis of results or else, 273
 - services given at, 273
 - top tech org of the world, 273
 - what you pay for at, 273
 - why Flag lower org services cost more, 273
- floating needle(s), F/N needle(s), F/N(s)ed(ing),
 - any item that would cause an F/N to "check" will be hot, 254
 - auditor backing off from having to F/N a list, reason, 146, 255
 - auditor can't F/N a C/S 53, handling, 222
 - bad indicators vs, 7
 - blow (in dating) is accompanied by a BD and, 230
 - can be more than one F/N per word, 184
 - clearing a word must end in an F/N and VGIs, 184
 - cognition and, 7, 9
 - Confessionals and, 246
 - Confessionals, F/Ning the original question, 246, 248
 - C/S 53 to F/Ning list, 221, 264
 - definition, 321
 - definition of F/Ning a prepared list, 144
 - drill for reading through an F/N, 255
 - EP and, 322
 - ethics chit for overrunning a, 5
 - failing to call F/Ns causing high TA, 149, 152
 - floating tone arm defined, 322
 - F/N everything, 70, 72
 - F/Ning prepared lists, 144, 222, 254
 - F/Ning students, 385
 - F/N packs up during assessment of prepared list, handling, 254
 - getting a suppressed read by not being able to read through an F/N, 145, 254
 - GIs always accompany a real F/N, 71
 - how an F/N can obscure a read, 254
 - how they can be obscured, 9, 149, 152
 - how to get free needles on a pc, 9
 - how you get a suppressed read in F/Ning prepared lists, 254
 - indicating an, 71
 - instant F/N and C/S 53, 220
 - Int button on the C/S 53, do not just F/N it and go on, 191
 - intending to F/N the list but the pc is on a big win, handling, 71
 - low responsibility causing person to F/N, 247
 - Method 3 used to F/N a prepared list, 144
 - mistaking an F/N right swing for a read, 149, 152
 - no free needles show up on a case at all, handling, 7
 - "nonreading, non-F/Ning" prepared lists, 144
 - nulling and F/Ning prepared lists, 144
 - overrun and, 5, 7, 9, 149, 152
 - overrun grades, rehabbing and, 9
 - overrunning F/Ns causing high TA, 149, 152
 - pc protest, upset or apprehension over extensive repair actions or a list having to be F/Ned, main cause of, 146
 - persistent F/N; *see* persistent F/N
 - prepared lists that do not read F/N, 145
 - reading through an, 145, 254
 - reasons a prepared list does not F/N, 145
 - recognizing a, 7
 - sensitivity too high can cause F/N to be obscured and mistaken for a read, 149, 152
 - sensitivity too high or too low, what it does to F/Ns, 6, 9, 315
 - smooth TRs get you free needles, 10
 - speeds up or slows down or does different things while still remaining an F/N, 254
 - things that can swallow up free needles, 7
 - thinking beginning F/Ns are reads, 155
 - wanting to get one and letting a pc have one, 10
 - when it most often occurs, 7
 - widening F/N, 322
 - floating TA, definition, 322

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- floating TA (*cont.*)
 - Dianetic Clear Special Intensive and, 337
 - Int RD and, 49, 195
- flow(s)
 - checking each flow for a read before running it, 37, 96, 253, 277, 280
 - complete any Int RD flow on any reading button in one session, 40, 48
 - definitions of the 4 flows, 58
 - five flows to run on PTS RD final step, 126
 - handling bogged and missing flows on the FFT, 53
 - having pc demonstrate the various flows, 192
 - inflow; *see* inflow
 - Int and flows, further data on, 212
 - outflow; *see* outflow
 - “reaction flow” and “re-reaction flow,” 212
 - running the missing Dianetic flows if reading, 57
 - stuck flow; *see* stuck flow
 - unreading flows are not run, 36, 96, 126, 253
 - unreading flows, references, 253
 - unreading questions and items, 36
 - what happens when a flow not run on earlier items is run on later items, 58
- Flow 0,
 - bringing all earlier Dianetic items into four flows, 57
 - definition, 58
 - effect of suddenly beginning Quad without catching the pc up, 53
 - fourth flow on Int, when it is audited, 54, 57
 - is not run for the first time on Int, 47, 54, 57, 103, 201
 - putting in Flow Zero, 53, 57
 - rule on running Flow Zero, 53
 - what happens when a flow not run on earlier items is run on later items, 57
- Flow 1, definition, 58
- Flow 2, definition, 58
- Flow 3, definition, 58
- flu symptoms may turn on with niacin, 173
- flubs; *see* errors
- flunk(s),
 - “double flunk” defined, 382
 - Hard Way TRs and, 436
 - if a student flunks a Supervisor checkout on materials he’s been passed on by his twin, both students get a flunk, 381
- F/N; *see* floating needle
- folder(s),
 - always bring your own folder or get it sent to the AO if you are going, 272
 - assessment sheets must be placed in pc folder, 391
 - Dianetic Clear Special Intensive and folder study, 334
 - folder check before starting a cramming or other Qual corrective action, 423
 - folder study and FES of the Int RD and any repairs of Int RD, 190
 - Int Rundown Table, where to keep it in the pc folder, 191, 198
 - locating person’s last life folder, 268
 - marking “Dianetic Clear” on them, 200
 - prepared list remains in the folder stapled to the worksheet, 70
 - R/Ses, noting of, 248
- Folder Error Summary,
 - data on unreading and wrong items run or auditor changing pc’s item or just deciding what to run on pc must be available, 209
 - Dianetic Clear Special Intensives and, 334
 - folder study and FES of the Int RD and any repairs of Int RD, 190
 - Int RD botched requires full FES of Int RD, 218
 - Inttroubles and, 197
- Folder Error Summary (*cont.*)
 - name of the auditor and C/S should be on it, 209
 - when to get Qual corrective actions FESed, 423
- food(s),
 - do not audit a pc who has not had sufficient food, 27
 - fads, 169
 - junk foods, 169
- food preservatives, 164
- forgive(ness),
 - adverse reaction to proclamation of forgiveness, handling, 227
 - Confessionals and, 225
 - person not being able to accept forgiveness or still feeling bad after Confessional, handling, 227
 - power to forgive, 225, 227
 - statement of forgiveness used at the end of a Confessional, 225, 249
- form, that which is viewed in its own time, place, form and event can be as-is, 228
- former therapy, handling, 65
- Four Seasons of Manuela, The*, 11
- free(ing),
 - freeing the individual up spiritually, 163
- from depravity, 12
 - no humanoid is free while aberrated in the body cycle, 12
- freeneedle; *see* floating needle
- Freud, Sigmund, false texts on the mind and, 368
- friends, don’t leave an enemy financed and solvent while you let your friends starve, 14
- Full Flow Dianetics,
 - cost and selling of, 59
 - offering the public FFD, 59
 - results, 59
 - shadowy remains of somatics blow with FFD, 59
- Full Flow Table,
 - example, 54, 58
 - form, 209
 - handling bogged and missing flows on the, 54
 - is done by the auditor in his admin time for well done time credits, 54
 - name of the auditor must be clearly noted on the, 209
 - what is listed on the, 54
- Fundamentals of Thought*, TRs data and, 434, 436
- Funk and Wagnalls Standard English Dictionary*, 182, 364

G

- gain; *see* case gain
- game(s),
 - all limited games come to end, 19
 - players fighting amongst themselves just to have a, 19
 - when the game or the show is over, there must be a new game or a new show, 20
- games condition(s),
 - twins getting into a, 382
 - withholds and, 245
- gastroenteritis,
 - definition, 173
 - turned on by niacin, 173
- gephalordlne, 157
- germ(s),
 - definition, 156
 - virus infections and germ infections, 156
- Gerontal, 160
- GF; *see* Green Form
- GF 40; *see* Green Form 40
- glands, minerals and, 174

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- glib(ness),
 - handling the extensively mistrained glib student, 132
 - is often trained into students by the current educational methods used in schools, 131
 - probable basic anatomy of the glib student, 369
 - spotting a glib student on M9, 131
 - goals, achievement of one's purposes and, 374
 - "go do's," 14
 - gonorrhea, cycle of action of, 159
 - good indicator(s), very good Indicator(s),
 - clearing a word must end in F/N and VGIs, 184
 - good indicators always accompany a real F/N, 71
 - withhold pulling must be thorough and go to real VGIs, 390
 - goofs; *see* errors
 - grade(s); *see also* levels
 - all grades below Grade VII are subject to overrun, 9
 - audited with prior grades out, handling, 68
 - bypassed wins on grades, handling, 69
 - can't rehab a grade that hasn't been run, 10
 - checkouts to do before auditing Dianetic Clear or Natural Clear on the, 332
 - Dianetic Clear incomplete on Grades 0-IV, handling, 252
 - Dianetic Clears and, 332
 - difficulties on OT levels prevented by pre-OT having grades really in, 332
 - Expanded Grades; *see* Expanded Grades
 - must not be delivered over persistent F/N, 332
 - must not be skimmed on in any way, 332
 - out-grades, handling, 69
 - pc goes Clear on a grade, handling, 252
 - pc has failed to attain other grades, handling, 69
 - pc not continuing up the Bridge on his grades, 166
 - pretending grades not attained, handling, 68
 - Quickie Grades; *see* Quickie Grades
 - rehabbing earlier grades, importance of, 5
 - rehabbing overrun grades, 9
 - what Quad Grades and Expanded Grades 0-IV handle, 270
 - Grade 0, communication grade is out, handling, 69
 - Grade I, problems grade is out, handling, 69
 - Grade II, O/W grade is out, handling, 69
 - Grade III,
 - pc anxious about change, handling, 69
 - persisting ARC breaks, handling, 69
 - Grade IV,
 - new Grade IV engran, running part cannot be run on Clears, 270
 - pc has service facs, handling, 69
 - prerequisite for Course Supervisor is Drug RD and, 309
 - Grade VII, all grades below Grade VII are subject to overrun, 9
 - gradient(s),
 - debug tech used with gradient approach, 352
 - false data comes off in, 369
 - HAS Course vs. Hard TRs, 436
 - running and, 167
 - TRs and, 142
 - graduated, not getting students through their course and graduated, penalty for, 224
 - grammar book, used to clear a word in M9, 137, 138
 - Green Form,
 - assessing GF Method 5 and sending to C/S for programming, 261
 - case still appears resistive after GF, handling, 261
 - Clears, OTs, Dianetic Clears and, 262
 - EP, 262
 - form, 27
 - Method 5 and, 27, 72, 261
 - Method 3 and, 27, 72, 261
 - Green Form (*cont.*)
 - Method 3 vs. Method 5, 72
 - no or slow case gain handled by, 262
 - protest sending the TA up, 72
 - Resistive Cases Assessment, 30, 60
 - ruds won't fly at start of session, handling with GF, 261
 - Study Green Form, what it does, 270
 - used for general review, 70
 - use of, 27, 261
 - Green Form 40, Expanded GF 40RD,
 - checking False and Protest, 262
 - Clears, OTs, Dianetic Clears and, 262
 - EP, 262
 - form, 60
 - item reads on Resistive Cases Assessment but not on EXGF 40RD, handling, 261
 - Method 5 and, 60
 - no change case and, 92
 - no or slow case gain handled by, 262
 - order of handling reads on the, 261
 - Resistive Cases Assessment and, 261
 - resistiveness of pc's case handled with, 262
 - slow gain handled by, 262, 270
 - unhandled drugs reading on a Clear, OT or Dianetic Clear, handling, 262
 - use of, 261
 - what it handles, 270
 - gross auditing error, looking expectantly at pc for an answer to an uncharged question, flow or item is a, 253
 - group,
 - Confessional permits person to again feel a part of his group, 246
 - group agreement in an out-ethics course room, 223
 - Group Auditors Handbook*, description, 325
 - Group Processing,
 - after an Intro Lecture, 269
 - use of, 269
 - guilt, unsticking person from preoccupation with his guilt for the bad things he has done, 225
- ## H
- Hagen, Victor W. von, 11
 - halfdone, reason for, 347
 - happiness, achievement of, 374
 - Hard TRs Course; *see* TRs Course
 - HAS Course, Hard TRs vs, 436
 - hat, hatted, hatting,
 - debugging hatting problems, 403
 - False Data Stripping and hatting, 368
 - False Data Stripping solves the problem of inability to hat or train, 368
 - handling absence of, 411
 - person who cannot be hatted at all has false data, 403
 - primary reason people cannot be hatted, 368
 - rising statistics do not continue rising for long in the face of neglected hatting and training, 429
 - SSO responsibility for standard staff courses, 426
 - verbal hatting is the main source of false definitions and false data, 367
 - hate(d), hatred(s),
 - drug personality and, 164
 - one is what one is, not what one is admired or hated for, 15
 - have, havingness,
 - definitions of "can't have," "couldn't have" and "enforced have," 94, 126
 - people who have to have before they can do, 411

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- have, havingness (*cont.*)
 - out-Int and havingness, 192
 - SPs deny Hav and enforce unwanted Hav, 127
- Have You Lived Before This Life?* has gone 5X the normal
 - best seller, 275
- HCOB; *see* Hubbard Communications Office Bulletin
- HCOPL; *see* Hubbard Communications Office Policy Letter
- HC Out-Point Plus-Point Lists,
 - cancelled, 56
 - use incorporated as a step of a RD currently under research, 300
- headache(s),
 - “audited over exterior” and, 32
 - Int Correction Lists have been done and pc still has headaches, handling, 100, 104
 - Int RD and, 80
 - standard C/S for, 33
- healing, calcium and, 174
- hear(ing),
 - Mis-U can prevent a person from understanding the remainder of what is heard or written, 329, 347
 - sound shut off by Mis-U words, 329
 - we have drifted down in literacy to a point where the culture can’t read or hear, 128
- heart, Purification Rundown and weak heart, 167
- heat exhaustion, 168
- hell, it’s an understatement of what really happens, 275
- heroin, can lodge in the tissues, 165
- HGC; *see* Hubbard Guidance Center
- High Crime, violating designated use of HC Out-Point Plus-Point Lists, 300
- High Crime checkouts,
 - False Data Stripping, Crashing Mis-U tech, O/W
 - handling and service facsimile tech must be employed where needed in checkouts, 375
 - references, 375
 - Word Clearing and, 375
- high TA,
 - alcohol case and, 22
 - auditing past exterior causing, 32, 43, 98
 - causes of, 44, 149, 152
 - C/S Series 53RK has been done and the high or low TA persists, handling, 107
 - C/S Series 53 to handle, 147, 150
 - detecting all the reasons for, 107
 - drug case and, 22
 - drug chain causing a, 54
 - earlier beginning and, 44
 - failing to call F/Ns causing, 149, 152
 - Int trouble is at once suspected, 100, 104
 - LIX Hi-Lo TA List Revised and, 107
 - LIC is not used on, 72
 - mechanics of, 44
 - medicine case and, 22
 - overrun and, 9, 44
 - overrunning F/Ns causing, 149, 152
 - protest sending the TA up, 72
 - Short Hi-Lo TA Assessment C/S to handle, 147
 - standard C/S for, 33
 - unrun or flubbed and unrepaired Int RD causes close to 100% of reasons for high TA, 99
 - why ignoring the earlier beginning of an engram causes TA to go up, 44
 - why Int sends the TA up, 195
- hives may turn on with niacin, 173
- homonym,
 - definition, 364
 - homonymic definition, 129
 - homonymic misunderstands, 364
- hostilities, hostile; *see also* antagonism
 - definition of hostile, 298
- hostilities, hostile (*cont.*)
 - drug personality and, 164
 - removal of life-hostile chemical substances from the body, 166
- hours,
 - cost of 1950-1977 processing compared to NED, 265
 - Full Flow Table is done in auditor’s admin time for well done time credits, 54
 - NED auditor can salvage a person in under 100 hours, 270
- Hubbard, L, Ron,
 - discovery of niacin’s biochemical reaction, 172
 - early discoverer and instigator of vitamin therapy, 170
 - how long it takes when I teach a course, 307
 - I never run a pc on things that aren’t charged, 253
 - what Ron would do as an SSO, 429
- Hubbard Communications Office Bulletin(s); *see also* technology
 - altering the content of, 318
 - bonded by HCO before given access to confidential Dianetic Clear HCOBs, 344
 - corrupting their intent, 318
 - giving out data which is contrary to, 318
 - interpreting HCOBs or P/Ls verbally or otherwise for another, 318
 - new HCOBs and High Crime checkouts, 375
 - obstructing their use or application, 318
 - only source of technical data is LRH HCOBs, books and tapes, 417
 - pretending to quote them without showing the actual issue, 318
- Hubbard Communications Office Policy Letter(s); *see also* policy
 - altering the content of, 318
 - corrupting their intent, 318
 - giving out data which is contrary to, 318
 - interpreting HCOBs or P/Ls verbally or otherwise for another, 318
 - obstructing their use or application, 318
 - pretending to quote them without showing the actual issue, 318
- Hubbard Guidance Center,
 - cancellation of HCO PL 23 Oct 76, Internship and HGC, 263
 - no auditor may audit in an HGC who has not done a Hard TRs Course, 302
 - plummeting HGC Completion Statistic, 5
 - rehabbing earlier grades, importance of, 5
 - tech recovery, 5
 - why we have them, 7
- human; *see* Man
- husband/wife auditing teams, possible reason they often fail, 242
- Hylan spaceflight, 231
- hypnotism, handling pc who has taken part in, 66

I

- ideal scene,
 - courses—their ideal scene, 223
 - professional and, 346
- Identity Rundown, detached people handled by, 267
- Idlom(s),
 - definition, 134, 182
 - have to be cleared, 134, 182
- Illness, 111; *see also* injury
 - antibiotics, administering of, 156
 - auditing past exterior causing, 98
 - body is ill, handling, 63
 - broken bones, handling, 63

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- Illness, 111 (*cont.*)
 cause of sickness, 24
 chronically ill person is always PTS, 124
 destimulation and, 24
 disease cycles of action, 159
 engram running can easily overwhelm sick pc, 92
 germ infections, 156
 handling a resistive situation, 26
 handling illness in Scientology, 24
 handling somebody whose "lumbosis" has not sur-
 rendered to Dianetics, 26
 hidden illness, handling, 64
 manifesting PTSness and illnesses due to being audited
 past Dianetic Clear, 243
 measles is a virus illness, 156
 most illness is precipitated by suppression, 271
 out-ruds and, 24
 pc receiving gains or benefits from being ill or dis-
 abled, handling, 63
 PTS RD, currently sick pcs should not be run on it,
 92
 rundown for beating an illness to death, 25
 seriously physically ill, handling, 63
 somatics; *see* somatics
 Straightwire removed locks and released illnesses with-
 out the pc ever having run an engram, 370
 temperature, antibiotics and, 157
 virus infections, 156
- Illustrations, fine arts vs, 331
- "Imagination," running past lives as, 91
- Immortality,
 key to, 42
 proof that Man is a spiritual being timeless and death-
 less, 44
- Impingement, evidence that auditor has no impingement
 with TR I, 145
- Implant(ing),
 implant dates vs, actual dates, 230
 pc has taken part in earlier implanting techniques,
 handling, 67
- Incident(s); *see also* engram; engram running; picture;
 Routine 3RA
 basic has to be run for the chain or incident to erase,
 44
 confusion on two incidents, thinking one happened
 before the other when it is actually vice versa, 228
 earlier incident; *see* earlier incident
 earlier similar incident; *see* earlier similar
 Int is a compound of stuck flows and prior incidents,
 212
 pc stuck in a past location, handling, 229
 stuck in the time of an incident which acts as PT for
 him, handling, 228
 two incidents collapsed into one, time-wise, handling,
 228
 what happens if you only run incidents late on the
 chain, 44
 what happens if you only run the end of incidents, 44
 what has to be run for a chain or incident to erase, 212
 why you date and locate, 228
 wrong date for an incident, handling, 228
 wrong or occluded location or a confusion between two
 or more locations, handling, 229
- Indicator(s),
 bad indicators vs, free needle, 7
 good indicators; *see* good indicators
 manifestation of a withhold in addition to a Crashing
 Mis-U, 356
 nonoptimum reactions that may show up in M9, 130
 total organize is an indicator of many misunderstandings
 in the area, 405
 when to check false and protest during a Dianetic
- Individual(s); *see also* being; case; Man; preclear; thetan
 area or individual creating problems and demanding
 solutions to them, handling, 405
 freeing the individual up spiritually, 163
 handling any insufficiency of viable products coming
 from an, 401
 proof that the individual is not a body, 44
- Individuation, transgression, withholds and, 245
- Indoctrinations, handling pc who has taken part in earlier
 indoctrinations, 67
- Industrial culture, breakdown of, 164
- Infections, germ infections and virus infections, 156
- Inflow; *see also* outflow
 balancing inflow with outflow in twinning, 379
 training and, 378
- Injury; *see also* illness
 broken bones, handling, 63
 pc has body parts missing, handling, 64
 pc has had body parts removed, handling, 64
 pc has physically damaged parts, handling, 64
- insane, Insanity,
 curing insanity with niacin, 172
 cycle of action, insane person normally will not com-
 plete a, 404
 "insane people" are seldom wild-eyed maniacs, 303
 quiet types who have little or nothing to say and speak
 softly, 303
- Insectbites, 175
- Insecticides, 178
- In session, if pc is not in session you won't get the with-
 holds, 250
- Insolvency, turning insolvency into solvency, 401
- Inspection(s),
 debug tech and, 401
 first action in debugging an area is an, 409
 how to do an investigation, 401
 inspecting an area for products, 349
 of products, 401
 seeing what is going on in terms of production, 409
- Instant F/N, C/S 53 and, 220
- Instant read(s),
 change of characteristic is followed up on a Sec Check
 if it is instant, 322
 definition, 70, 321
 fall follows at once at the end of the last word of the
 question asked, 320
 occur instantly on calling the command, 278
 valid R/Ses are not always instant reads, 249
- Insullin, remaining on it, 74
- Int; *see* interiorization
- intelligence, misunderstood words vs, 130
- Intensive(s),
 Dianetic Clear Special Intensive; *see* Dianetic Clear
 Special Intensive
 Executive or Businessman's Intensive, what it handles,
 270
 Marriage Intensive, what it handles, 270
 Money Processing Intensive, what it handles, 271
 NED DRD requires only a few intensives, 266
 Profession Intensive, what it handles, 271
 Teacher or Supervisor Intensive, what it handles, 270
- Intention, definition of a problem is intention versus
 intention, 279
- Interest,
 do not check interest on drug items, 76
 staff members lack of interest or no interest, handling,
 410
- Interiorization; *see also* exteriorization
 basics on Ext and Int (reference), 212
 beginning of an exteriorization is an, 45, 212
 birth and, 45
 cannot audit over anything else if Int or L & N lists are

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

Interiorization (*cont.*)

- do not try to fly ruds or word clear over out-Int or out-lists, 423
- further data on flows and, 212
- is a compound of stuck flows and prior incidents, 2 1 2
- out-Int and End of Endless Int Rundown, 190
- out-Int despite having had Int RD and it has been repaired, handling, 190
- out-Int, do not audit over, 190, 192, 217, 220, 334
- pcs on whom Int repeatedly kicks in, handling, 189
- references, 102
- stuck flow of obsessively going in, 212
- using the Recall system to run out-Int, 213
- when to order a check on interiorization, 39
- why Int sends the TA up, 195

Interiorization Rundown,

- audited over misunderstands on the, 98
- auditing Int RD successfully, 196
- auditor must understand fully the theory and commands he is running, 206
- auditor requirements, 46, 99, 100, 189, 206
- auditor requirements to do an Int RD correction, 80
- auditors who should not be let near an, 99
- auditor who can't smoothly audit Int RD, handling, 106
- auditor who should not be let near an Int pc, 197
- basics on Ext and Int (reference), 212
- beginning of an exteriorization is the interiorization, 45, 212
- breaks, rule regarding, 41
- button did not read yet was run, 98
- button read on an MU, handling, 79, 103
- buttons list, 46, 193
- buttons must be assessed before clearing, 103
- buttons not reading, handling, 47, 79, 103
- cannot audit over anything else if Int or L & N lists are out, 334
- Class IV auditor does it, 206
- clay demos that are required (list of), 207
- clearing only the button with the largest valid read, 218
- Clear or OT with unresolving Int problems, handling, 32
- Clears and, 32, 43, 79, 191, 197, 212
- command for going earlier must be given fully with the item, 206
- commands, 47
- commands must be cleared excellently for pc's understanding, 206
- commands (Quad commands for Int buttons), 201
- complete any flow on any reading button in one session, 40, 48
- completing before all flows are run, example, 196
- completing the RD on an intensive basis, 40
- correct Int RD error as a first action, 98
- correction drill: Date to Blow/Locate to Blow, 79
- correction list, form, 84
- C/S Checklist of Int Errors, 217
- C/S 53 as next action after the, 197
- C/S 53 Int section gets a read, handling, 191, 220
- C/Sing Int, 104
- C/S wins and, 106
- determining whether Int is out, 190
- Dianetic Clears and, 32, 43, 79, 212
- Dianetic Clear with Int still out for some peculiar reason, handling, 191
- Dianetic C/S-1 is done on unindoctrinated pc before Int RD, 100
- dissolving the obsessive stuck flow of going in, 213
- don't run anything else but Int when Int is out, and that includes two-way comm, 217
- End of Endless Int RD is not a substitute for the, 190
- End of Endless Int Repair Rundown and, 189

Interiorization Rundown (*cont.*)

- EP data, 213
- EP, vital data on, 195, 217
- errors, 98, 217
- errors (list of), 5 1, 99, 2 1 7
- errors (two major errors), 217
- exteriorization is not EP of the, 195
- false read whenever Int is mentioned due to protest, 191
- FES is done first if someone is having trouble with Int, 197
- FES required for botched Int RD, 218
- floating TA and, 49, 195
- flows and Int, further data on, 212
- Flow Zero is not run for the first time on Int, 47, 54, 57, 103, 201
- flubbed Int RD, handling, 190
- flubbing auditors and, 41
- folder study and FES of the Int RD and any repairs of Int RD, 190
- headaches, 80
- Int Correction Lists have been done and pc still has headaches or other Int troubles, handling, 100, 104
- Int is a compound of stuck flows and prior incidents, 212
- Int Rundown Table; *see* Int Rundown Table
- is a remedy, 105
- is done, if reading, before review auditing, ruds or anything else, 42
- is one of the most important single auditing actions an auditor can do, 189
- L1C and, 46
- materials must be checked out starrate and in clay before C/S permits auditor to run it, 103
- must be completed in as few sessions as possible, 40, 48
- must not audit a pc on Int if it is not charged, 190
- musts, 40
- not to be sold or passed off as a method of exteriorizing a pc, 105
- "Okay to Audit Int RD," form, 207
- "Okay to Audit Int Rundown" is required, 206
- OTs and, 32, 43, 79, 191, 198, 212
- out-Int and End of Endless Int Rundown, 190
- out-Int as a primary reason for case bogs, 189
- out-Int despite having had Int RD and it has been repaired, handling, 190
- out-Int, do not audit over out-Int, 190, 192, 217, 220, 334
- out-Int, handling, 191
- overflow, how it occurs, 79, 98, 103, 194
- overflow Int, commonest cause of, 194
- overflow when pc exteriorizes and auditor continues past exterior, 217
- pc exteriorizes in session but hasn't had an Int RD, handling, 38, 80, 99, 105
- pc goes exterior during the RD, handling, 48, 195
- pc run on Dianetics on the Int RD after Dianetic Clear, handling, 192, 198
- pcs on whom Int repeatedly kicks in, handling, 189
- pc stuck on the stuck flow of time, 212
- pcs who can't move back on the time track more than minutes, 212
- persistent F/N, handling, 47
- persisting Int troubles, handling, 100, 104, 199
- preassessment and AESPs are not used on, 104, 199
- preliminary method for handling Int on certain pcs, 190
- procedure, 38, 46
- protest because Int has been run or repaired when it wasn't charged, 191
- "reaction flow" and "re-reaction flow," 212
- reason for the, 34

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- Interiorization Rundown (cont.)
- Recalls, liabilities and advantages of running Recalls on Int, 213
 - references, 51, 102
 - Registrar selling unnecessary Int RD, 80, 105
 - rehabbing the point of completion, 196
 - repairing Int RD, clue to, 100
 - repairing pc run on Int RD by R3R or R3RA when he was a Dianetic Clear, 190
 - repair, most flagrant cause of, 196
 - repair of, 50, 104, 211
 - “repair of repair of repair” of Int, handling, 190
 - repair, where much of it stems from, 196
 - review auditing vs, 42
 - R-Factor to give pc before doing two-way comm step, 38
 - roller-coaster due to bad Int RD or Int repair, 92
 - ruds and, 40, 42, 46
 - rules for Int RD sessions, 40
 - running Int with R3RA, 48
 - shallow running pc, 213
 - simplicity of doing it, 101
 - starrate checkouts for, 206
 - starrate with clay demos on the theory and commands is required, 206
 - stuck flow of “going in” vanishes, 196
 - stuck flow of obsessively going in, 21 2
 - summary, 1 02
 - theory of, 34
 - timeitselfcanbeastuckflow, 212
 - trigger that puts pc into his Int engrams, 212
 - troubles, answer to, 189
 - trouble, where it comes from, 106
 - trouble with Int, correct action to take first, 197
 - two-way comm and, 38
 - two-way comm materials that auditor must checkout and drill on, 104
 - two-way comm on Int and Ext, reason for, 33
 - two-way comm on Int-Ext, procedure, 38
 - two-way comm session must follow Int RD by not less than a day nor more than a week, 41
 - two-way comm session, reason for, 41
 - two-way comm was omitted or not in a separate session, handling, 38
 - unnecessary Int RD, 79, 103, 105
 - unreadable item run, 98
 - unrun or flubbed and unrepaired Int RD causes close to 100% of reasons for high TA, 99
 - use of Recalls is ideal in the handling of repair of Int, 213
 - verifying that you actually have a read on Int, 191
 - weak or ill pc and, 190
 - what happens when you exteriorize somebody who hasn’t had an Int RD, 45
 - what it does for the pc, 80, 105
 - what it handles, 267
 - what it is designed to do, 105
 - what must be blown before you’re through with Int, 212
 - what you want to accomplish with it, 49
 - when handling Int you address only Int, nothing else, 199
 - when to order a check on interiorization, 39
 - when to run it, 38, 42, 54
 - why Int sends the TA up, 195
 - why we are safer entering Int RD by running engrams to begin with, 213
- International Training Org, training and, 274
- internship(s),
- cancellation of HCO PL 23 Oct 76, Internship and HGC, 263
 - certificate expiration if the person has not done the internship for the level he is certified for, 309
- Interpretation(s), Interpreting,
- indulging in interpretations and even outright lies to seem wise or expert, 367
 - interpreting HCOBs or P/Ls verbally or otherwise for another, 318
- Interview; see D of P interview; PTS interview
- Int RD; see Interiorization Rundown
- Intro Lecture, Group Processing after an, 269
- Int Rundown Correction List,
- auditors who should not be let near an, 99
 - Clears, OTs, Dianetic Clears and, 84
 - do not go to another question leaving one that has not F/Ned, 86
 - End of Endless Int RD is not a substitute for an, 190
 - form, 84
 - Int Correction Lists have been done and pc still has headaches or other Int troubles, handling, 100, 104
 - Int Rundown Table and, 191, 198
 - persisting Int troubles, handling, 100, 104
 - purpose of, 84
 - R-Factor to give pc, 85
 - use of, 84, 190
 - when to end off, 85
- Int Rundown Table,
- form, 198
 - out-Int handling and, 191, 198
 - where to keep it in the pc folder, 1 91, 1 98
- Invalidate(s), Invalidated, Invalidation,
- by running certain processes that a Clear wouldn’t respond to, 256
 - never use meter to challenge, ‘correct’ or invalidate pc’s data when dating, 232
 - one should not invalidate having known certain people before, 91
 - past lives being invalidated, 91
 - state of Clear and, 244
- Invalidate button,
- command for putting in Invalidate button, 247
 - commands to put in “Suppress” and “Invalidate,” 37
 - ensure nothing was suppressed, invalidated or misunderstood before leaving an unreadable item, flow or question, 253
 - if Suppress or Invalidate reads, handling, 247
 - no read after putting in “Suppress” and “Invalidate,” 37
 - nulling unrun original items on the list with Suppress and Invalidate buttons, 77
 - putting in Suppress, Invalidation or Misunderstood Words on the prepared list, 145
 - when to put it in, 42
- Investigation, how to do an, 401
- Investigatory procedure, Crashing Mis-U finding is an, 349
- IQ Test, Purification Rundown and, 166, 176
- is, is what one is, not what one is admired or hated for, 15
- item(s),
- check questions, flows or items before running any thing, 253
 - Dianetic item; see Dianetic item
 - don’t extend on a list that is getting no item, 36
 - hanging pc with a wrong/uncharged item, 190
 - item “me” must be accepted on any S & D list, 237
 - item “me” must never be represented, 237
 - never prepcheck an item that doesn’t read, 36
 - original item; see original item
 - running item; see running item
 - test items for read before running them, 37
 - unreadable items are not run, 253
 - unreadable questions and items, 36
 - what to do when pc lists the item “me,” 237

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

Ivory Tower,
Case Supervisor and, 7, 258
reason C/S lives in an, 7

J

Joburg assessment procedure, 3
junk foods, 169
Justice; see also ethics
Confessional done for justice reasons is not auditing, 246
disciplining them for going past Mis-U's, 356
justification(s), Justify(ing),
Crashing MU and, 388
defense mechanism and, 351
handling of, 406
justifying his overts by accepting false data, 370
references, 388
service facsimiles are cousins to, 389
Justinian II, 17

K

Keyed-Out Clear,
Dianetic Clear vs, 257
no such thing as, 200
Keynes, John Maynard, 368
key-out
Recall Processes and, 194
three to ten day key-out period, 194
kidney conditions, Purification Rundown and, 167
know(ing), known,
collision of false data and true data, without the person knowing which is which, 370
one should not invalidate having known certain people before, 91
what is wrong with the pc is not known to the pc, 1
knowledge, person must be at cause when he is expected to apply knowledge or skills, 379
Kodak, Eastman, 368

L

Ls, when they can be delivered, 274
L & N; see listing and nulling
late for course, 384
"latent reads," sensitivity too high causing, 154
LC1; see Crashing Mis-U Repair List
LCRC, when to use it, 249-250
bader(s); see also executive
Bolivar and, 11
don't leave an enemy financed and solvent while you let your friends starve, 14
foremost law, if one's ambition is to win, is of course to win, 18
frailty of single solutions, 12
having the bravery needed to trust inferior minds and stand by their often shocking blunders, 15
how real powers are developed, 20
how to move off a point of power, 19
if you have power use it or delegate it, 20
making far too heavy use of a skill simply because it is easy, 12
responsibilities of, 11

leader(s) (*cont*)
tragedy of Manuela Saenz, 16
workable formula used "instinctively" by most successful practical political leaders, 12
you can't live in a world where even the great leaders can't lead, 21
leads, loose connection causing R/S, 321
learn(ed)(ing),
drugs vs, learning rate, 165
false data clogs up ability to think and learn, 374
inability to learn and apply, handling, 374
when he can't seem to apply what he has "learned," suspect false data, 368
with the ability to learn comes stability and the production of valuable products, 374
Learning Drills, twinning on, 379
level(s); see also grades
certificate expiration if the person has not done the internship for the level he is certified for, 309
C/Sing for a level for which he has not been trained, penalties for, 304
OT levels; see OT levels
there are other OT levels above VIII, 269
when upper levels above VIII will probably be released, 273
Level II, special certificate for those trained to administer Confessional procedure, 226
L4BRA used for list errors, 70
life, living,
a life form is a combination of life itself and the physical universe, 166
belief that all life came from matter, 165
dreams are essential in, 401
drug residues stop a person's life, 165
mechanism of overrun of life subjects, 9
once his TRs are "in" they will stay in for life, 143
overwhelmed by life, handling, 62
past life; see past life
pretending attainments in life not really attained, handling, 6, 8
rehab of life situations of overrun, 7
removal of life-hostile chemical substances from the body, 166
why the human race often reacts so oddly to, 272
you can't live in a world where even the great leaders can't lead
life ruds, commands, 25
liquids, Purification Rundown and, 168
List(s); see also listing and nulling
Auditing by Lists, 70
correction; see out-lists
correction lists; see prepared lists
Dianetic lists; see Dianetic lists
don't extend on a list that is getting no item, 36
errors, see out-lists
item "me" on an L & N list must be accepted as the item, 237
out-lists; see out-lists
prepared lists; see prepared lists
unreading questions and items, 36
Listing and nulling,
avoid listing the same question twice on PTS RD, 127
converting the PTS RD 2wcs for items into L & N questions, 127
errors- see out-lists
item "me" must never be represented, 237
never list a listing question that doesn't read, 36
unreading items are not run, 253
what to do when pc lists the item "me," 237
literacy, we have drifted down in literacy to a point where the culture can't read or hear, 128
literal rather than literate, 425

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- Livingness Repair is often the first action taken on a person by a professional auditor, 269
 - LIX HI-Lo TA List Revised,
 - C/S 53RK vs, 107
 - form, 107
 - Method 5 and, 107
 - order of handling reads, 107
 - location, locating; *see also* Date/Locate
 - calling the location back to the pc, 83
 - Date to Blow-Locate to Blow steps, 81
 - dating and locating, 228
 - definition of locating, 228
 - Locating Drill 1, 233
 - Locating Drill 2, 234
 - pc out of PT fixed by both date and location, 236
 - purpose of locating (Date/Locate), 231
 - stuck viewpoint of location, handling, 229
 - systems of measurement of distance, 231
 - that which is viewed in its own time, place, form and event can be as-is-ed, 228
 - theory of dating and locating, 228
 - why you date and locate, 228
 - wrong or occluded location or a confusion between two or more locations, handling, 229
 - locks, Straightwire and, 370
 - logic, Socratic equation and, 369
 - LIC,
 - done if needle goes dirty in a rehab session, 10
 - Int RD and, 46
 - Method 3 and, 72
 - not used on high or very low TAs, 72
 - used for ARC breaks, 70
 - "Look at me, Who am I?", 61
 - look don't listen, 401
 - losses, Relief RD to handle 267
 - love, what obsessive attraction is based on, 93
 - low TA,
 - auditing past exterior causing, 98
 - caused by overwhelming TRs and incomplete actions, 149, 152
 - C/S Series 53RK has been done and the high or low TA persists, handling, 107
 - C/S Series 53 to handle, 147, 150
 - detecting all the reasons for, 107
 - general cause of, 149, 152
 - Int trouble is at once suspected, 100, 104
 - LIC is not used on high or very low TAs, 72
 - Short Hi-Lo TA Assessment C/S to handle, 147
 - LSD,
 - Angel Dust and LSD are the worst, 74
 - burns up B1 and B Complex, 171
 - can stay in the body and release itself now and then, 221
 - circulation cut off by, 165
 - developed to poison and paralyze whole cities, 267
 - giving the person unpredictable "trips," 165
 - is basically wheat rust, 165
 - lodging in the tissues, 165
 - niacin breaks up and unleashes LSD from the tissues and cells, 172
 - niacin deficiency created by, 171
 - Purification Rundown mandatory to any person who has been on LSD, 166
 - remaining in the body, 221, 267
 - restimulation and, 165
 - restimulation of an LSD trip, 168, 175
 - L7, Method 3 and, 72
 - L10, Method 6 is an L10 action, 70
 - L3RF,
 - Clears, Dianetic Clears, OTs and, 144, 221
 - Dianetic errors must be repaired with an, 190
 - indicating the read to a Clear, OT or Dianetic Clear, L3RF (*cont.*)
 - Method 3 and, 72
 - requires processes, not E/S to get an F/N, 72
 - L12, exterior with full perceptions, 274
 - lungs, eucalyptus oil and, 181
- ## M
- magic, Suppressed Person RD and, 276
 - magnesium; *see also* Cal-Mag
 - calcium and, 174
 - nerves and, 174
 - magnesium carbonate, 175
 - major action(s),
 - C/S 53 and, 264
 - End of Endless Int Repair RD is a, 190
 - Int RD is a, 49
 - pc must be set up before a, 264
 - set-ups and, 264
 - Man; *see also* culture; individual
 - competence and effectiveness reduced by false data, 374
 - fact which disproved that Man was an animal, 211
 - false data clogs up his ability to think and learn, 374
 - increasing the ability of individuals and the human race, 374
 - is a complex being, 274
 - no humanoid is free while aberrated in the body cycle, 12
 - one would have to de-aberrate a man before his whole social structure could be de-aberrated, 12
 - proof of the existence of a thetan, 44
 - proof that Man is a spiritual being, timeless and deathless, 211
 - taking responsibility for one's fellow man, 384
 - twinning gets students to take responsibility for their fellow man, 378
 - why the human race often reacts so oddly to life, 272
 - marijuana,
 - can lodge in the tissues, 165
 - niacin's effect on, 172
 - markets, org which never markets or advertises their products, 405
 - marriage(s),
 - cheating on your spouse, 223
 - marital difficulties, handling, 270
 - out-ethics and, 223
 - Marriage Intensive, what it handles, 270
 - mass(es), mental mass(es),
 - auditing past exterior causing heavy masses to come in, 98
 - electrical resistance and mental mass, 45
 - material(s); *see also* technology
 - auditors must be checked out and drilled on new materials, 52
 - Course Supervisor not making all materials available, 224
 - twin always refers his fellow student to source materials, 383
 - when he can't get through a specific drill or exercise in his training materials, suspect false data, 368
 - matter,
 - belief that all life came from, 165
 - building blocks of, 164
 - "me," as an L & N list item, 237
 - mean reputation pcs, 267
 - measles is a virus illness, 156
 - media, false data and, 368
 - medical doctor, Purification Rundown and, 167
 - Medical Officer, Purification Rundown and, 167, 177
 - medical treatment, medical,

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- medical treatment, medical (*cont.*)
 - pc had medical therapy, handling, 65
 - pc has infectious diseases, handling, 64
- medicine(s), medication,
 - allergy to, 74
 - high TA and medicine case, 23
 - medicinal drugs have gone into “restim” years after they were taken, 165
 - no medication of a medical nature during Purification Rundown, 176
 - prior assessment and, 22
 - Purification Rundown mandatory to any person who has a heavy medical drug history, 166
 - reason person took up medicine, 22
 - restim of whatever the effects of the drug or medicine were, 175
 - somatic shut-off due to, 22
- meditation, handling pc currently practicing, 66
- memory,
 - drugs vs, 165
 - returning on the Purification Rundown, 176
- mental exercises, handling pc currently doing, 66
- mental Image pictures, evaluating for pc by asking what his abilities are in relation to his mental image pictures, 338
- mentally ill pc, handling, 63
- mental mass; *see* mass
- meter; *see* E-Meter
- metering,
 - Date/Locate metering rule, 229
- definition of mismetering, 146
 - how to read through an F/N, 254
 - rabbitingcausedbyout-TRsorshakymetering, 146
 - Suppressed Person RD and, 278
 - wrong assessments due to bad metering, 194
- Method 3,
 - CrashingMis-U RepairListand, 357
 - definition, 72
 - Dianetic Clear Special Intensive Assessment List and, 337, 339
 - F/Ning a prepared list using Method 3, 144
 - Green Form and, 27, 72, 261
 - how you handle a list Method 3, 144
 - lists that are best done Method 3, 72
 - procedure, 70
- Product Debug Repair List and, 391
- Word Clearing Correction List and, 238
- Method 5,
 - Crashing Mis-U Repair List and, 357
 - C/S 53 and, 147, 150, 220, 221
 - definition, 72, 220
 - End of Endless Drug Rundowns Repair List and, 187
 - Expanded Green Form 40RD and, 60
 - Green Form and, 27, 72, 261
 - LIX Hi-Lo TA List Revised and, 107
 - Method 3 not Method 5 for F/Ning a list, 144
 - protest and, 144
 - Repair Correction List and, 214
 - Resistive Cases Assessment and, 60
 - Word Clearing Correction List and, 238
- Method 6 is an L10 action, 70
- mind(s),
 - C/S 53 contains everything that can be wrong with a 220, 264, 270
 - having the bravery needed to trust inferior minds and stand by their often shocking blunders, 15
 - reactive mind, *see* reactive mind
 - Sigmund Freud’s texts on the, 368
- mineral(s),
 - checking for vitamin and mineral deficiencies before Purification Rundown, 177
 - endocrine system and, 174
- minerals (*cont.*)
 - multi-minerals and Purification Rundown, 171
 - Purification Rundown and, 174
 - vegetables and, 169
- minister, power to forgive, 225, 227
- miracles, Crashing Mis-U finding and, 356
- misemotional, missing a withhold causing person to be misemotional or blow, 388
- missed wlthhold(s); *see also* rudiments; withholds
 - auditor missing a withhold, what it stems from, 242
 - auditors who miss withholds, penalty, 242
 - blows and, 242, 388
 - commands to check for M/W/H in Confessional, 248
 - critical pc and, 248
 - definition, 297
 - fatal to miss a withhold on someone, 390
 - 15 manifestations of (reference), 248
 - misemotional person and, 388
- mutual out-ruds and, 242
 - out-ethics and, 242
 - upset caused by missing a withhold, 351
- mistakes; *see* errors
- Mis-U; *see* misunderstood
- misunderstood(s), mlsunderstood word(s); *see also* confusions; definitions; Word Clearing
 - ask for instances of practical usage from the person you found a Crashing Mis-U on, 352
 - audited over misunderstands on the Int RD, 98
 - blankness and, 366
 - can prevent a person from understanding the remainder of what is heard or written, 329, 347
 - can’t find a useful definition, handling, 354
 - clearing a word to conceptual understanding, 133, 183, 425
 - comes earlier in time and is more basic than the withhold, 387
 - complete understanding depends on freedom from, 376
 - complexity and, 328
 - conceptual understanding, 133, 183, 425
 - confusions and, 328
 - Crashing Mis-U; *see* Crashing Misunderstood
 - Crashing Mis-U is not your ordinary common garden variety of Mis-U, 361
 - Crashing MU obscured by MUs and confusions, handling, 387
 - cycles of action and, 329, 347
 - deafness and, 329
 - definition, 363
 - definition of a cleared word, 183
 - definition omitted from dictionary, example, 365
 - detecting if he has or hasn’t got the Crashing Mis-U cleared up, 352
 - disassociation between the definition and the physical universe, handling, 412
 - disciplining them for going past Mis-Us, 356
 - dull feeling and, 130
 - effect of having gone past many, many Mis-Us in reading or education, 130
 - effects of, 129, 130
 - elusive or Crashing Mis-U, 361
 - emotional reactions connected with a rejected definition, handling, 366
 - encyclopedia used to clear up a, 366
 - example of how to clear a word, 182
 - example of when you wouldn’t ask him for his Mis-U in M9, 140
 - examples of student reactions and correct handlings on M9, 135
 - false data preventing misunderstands from reading on the meter, 388
 - False Data Stripping and, 373

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- misunderstood(s), misunderstood word(s) (*cont.*)
 getting a student much more aware of and able to
 find and handle his own misunderstands, 132
 getting into a lot of think-think and unnecessary signif-
 icance due to, 330
 handling MUs on orders, 403
 he is rejecting the material he is reading or the defini-
 tion of the word he is clearing, handling, 371
 homonymic definition, 364
 homonymic misunderstands, 364
 how long to use the word in sentences, 133
 how to clear a word, 133, 182
 how to clear a word when you don't know the context,
 183
 if the twin has missed the student's goof, he must have
 misunderstands of his own, 381
 incomplete definition, example, 364
 incorrect definition, example, 363
 indicators of a, 130
 Int button read on an MU, handling, 79
 intelligence vs, 130
 interrupts not only the cycle of communication or
 understanding, but also interrupts the motion or
 action, 348
 invented definition, example, 363
 it is the earlier word or symbol which has caused the
 stumble or twitch or blink or omit or mispronuncia-
 tion or what have you, 128
 keeps a person from duplicating what the written
 materials actually say, 129
 lacking a sense of organization lies below the level of
 Mis-U's, overts and withholds and PTSness, 330, 406
 learn the full definition for a word as well as its syno-
 nyms, 365
 made to look up words he understood, handling (in
 M9), 140
 Man has not necessarily properly defined everything
 in his technical sphere or culture, 349
 misunderstood word or symbol in the definition, hand-
 ling, 134
 M9 brings about ability of student to find and clear
 his own Mis-U's, 132
 nonoptimum reaction equals Mis-U, 133
 nonoptimum reactions that may show up, 130
 no organize and, 330
 no-product situations due to, 329
 not confronting and duplicating in an area due to,
 330
 "not-understood" and, 363
 "not-understood" word or symbol, example, 365
 organize, organize, organize due to, 330
 out of communication due to, 130
 overts and withholds stem from, 350
 overts, withholds and, 387
 pc had misunderstands in auditing, handling, 69
 pc had trouble in auditing because of misunderstands,
 handling, 69
 pc had trouble understanding an auditor, handling,
 69
 pc had trouble understanding what was going on in a
 session, handling, 69
 people who don't complete cycles of action on certain
 subjects have a Mis-U on them, 329
 people who have no idea of concept get bogged into
 terms and mechanics, 425
 perception shut-offs due to, 329
 person can go blank after he passes a word or symbol
 he doesn't understand, 130
 person is not duplicating the material he has studied
 despite Word Clearing, handling, 371
 person will not necessarily read on something that he
 believes to be true, 371
- Misunderstood(s), Misunderstood word(s) (*cont.*)
 poor eyesight and, 329
 read caused by an MU, handling, 47, 191, 198
 reason for people not studying is always misunder-
 stands, 428
 rejected definition, example, 366
 resistance to finding the Mis-U, examples of, 350
 robot or zombie due to, 130
 sight, sound and even touch can be shut off by, 329
 student must discipline himself not to go past mis-
 understood words, 366
 substitute definition, 365
 suppressing reactions to, 131
 synonyms, clearing of, 134, 183
 text book used to clear up a, 366
 total organize is an indicator of many misunderstands
 in the area, 405
 twin must have a misunderstood himself if he missed
 the other student's goof, 381
 types of, 129, 363
 unsuitable definition, example, 364
 using the read to steer him to the area and find the,
 353
 what happens when the Word Clearer has misunder-
 stands on the material being word cleared, 134
 what happens when the word that was misunderstood
 is located, 131
 where there is a student reaction, a misunderstood
 word will be found, usually just before the point he
 reacted, 140
 withholds and, 350, 387
 Word Clearer's Mis-U's, 134, 351
 word not reading on the meter because the person
 "thinks he knows," 367
- Misunderstood Words button,
 ensure nothing was suppressed, invalidated or mis-
 understood before leaving an unreading item, flow
 or question, 253
 getting in Suppress, Invalidate and Misunderstood, 47
 putting in Suppress, Invalidation or Misunderstood
 Words on the prepared list, 145
- M9; *see* Word Clearing Method 9
- Model Session, all auditing actions except the CCHs are
 now done in, 3
- moneg; *see* price
- Money Processing Intensive, what it handles, 271
- Mood Drills,
 50 Foot Mood Drills, 306
 handling if a mood is too hard for the student to
 master, 305
 procedure, 305
 when they should be done, 306
- morale,
 achievement of one's purposes and goals, high morale
 and happiness, 374
 getting roaring, high-morale production, 407
 is up when competence is demonstrated, 381
 keeping the course morale high, 382
 low morale, cause of, 408
 production and, 381
 production is the basis of, 408
 shooting morale up, 429
 twinning and, 381
- motion, Mis-U interrupts not only the cycle of communi-
 cation or understanding, but also interrupts the motion
 or action, 348
- MU; *see* misunderstood word
- multiple somatic Items, do we Triple or Quad them, 58
- muscles, preventing sore muscles, 175
- muster, Course Supervisor and, 224
- mutual rudiments between twins, 384
- M/W/H; *see* missed withhold

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

N

Narconon, Purification Rundown and, 163
 narrative(s), narrative running,
 Drug Rundown narrative handling on drugs, 76
 prior assessment and, 22
 Natural Clear,
 a very few thetans have never been anything but Clear, 328
 checkouts to do before auditing Dianetic Clear or Natural Clear on the grades, 332
 Date/Locate and, 338
 Quickie Grades and, 332
 verifying the state of, 338
 NED (New Era Dianetics); *see* Dianetics
 NED (Rundown) for OTs,
 auditor and Cramming assignment policies, 431
 description, 268
 Dianetic Clears and, 200
 for person run on End of Endless Int while on OT III, 190
 is a prerequisite for OT VIII, 273
 OT VIII and, 269, 273
 Second Wall of Fire, 268
 when it can be given, 269
 needle; *see also* various needle reactions by name
 all needleresponseisreactive, 2
 how an incorrect can squee~e gives an inaccurate, unreliable needle reaction, 312
 meter responds instantly, 2
 question or item alone changes the needle pattern, 320
 question that stops a rising needle, 321
 nerve(s),
 drug damage to the, 178
 magnesium and, 174
 nervous system, calcium and, 174
 New Era Dianetics; *see* Dianetics
 newspaper, false data and, 368
 New Vitality Rundown, what it handles, 274
 New World Corps,
 Mark VI E-Meter and, 275
 requirements for, 267, 301
 niacin,
 allergies run out by, 173
 background history, 172
 breaks up and unleashes LSD, marijuana and other drugs and poisons from the tissues and cells, 172
 caution, 173
 curinginsanitywith, 172
 deficiency brought about by drugs, 171
 flush turned on by, 172
 increasing niacin and other vitamin quantities, 173
 Purification Rundown and, 172
 Purification Rundown dosage, 171
 radiation run out by, 172
 skin cancer run out by, 173
 sunburn run out by, 172
 taken after a meal or with yoghurt or milk, 173
 theory, 173
 things that it may turn on, 173
 upset stomach or a fearful or terrified condition may turn on with, 173
 Vitamin C increased in proportion to, 171
 what it does, 172
 what turns it on will turn it off where niacin is concerned, 173
 niacinamide is worthless, 172
 nightmares, Vitamin B1 and, 171
 no case gain; *see* case gain
 Non-Interference Zone,
 definition, 190
 End of Endless Int Repair RD and, 190

not done, reason for, 347
 "not-understood," 363
 novocaine, 165
 "Now I'm supposed to's," 245
 nulling and F/Ning prepared lists, 144
 nutrition(al); *see also* food; vitamins
 body holding on to something it is short of, 170
 junk foods, 169
 nutritional deficiencies, 170
 Purification Rundown and, 169
 why overdosing one vitamin can create a deficiency artificially of another vitamin, 173
 NVRD; *see* New Vitality Rundown

O

Objective(s), Objective Process(es)(ing),
 Clear, OT or Dianetic Clear with unhandled drugs is handled with Sweat Program and, 262
 definition of objective, 432
 do not work in the presence of drugs, 165
 drug case and, 74
 Drug Rundown and, 74, 78
 new pc who exteriorizes on Objectives and has not yet had a Dn C/S-1 or any NED auditing, handling, 190
 Purification Rundown being followed by, 178, 179
 TRs Course and, 437
 twinning is mandatory on any Upper Indoc and Objectives Course, 379
 undercut by Purification Rundown, 179
 Objective ARC, Drug Rundown and, 74
 Objective Havingness, PTS RD and, 95, 126
 Obnosis Drills, twinning on, 379
 obsessive attraction, what it is based on, 93
 OCA Test,
 low due to unacknowledged state of Dianetic Clear, 256
 Purification Rundown and, 166, 176
 ohms, definition, 45
 oil,
 diarrhea because of too much oil, 170
 exchanging good oil for bad fat in the body, 170
 four essential oils, 169
 polyunsaturated oil, 169
 Purification Rundown and, 169
 quantity needed on Purification Rundown, 170
 OK to audit,
 "Okay to Audit Int RD" form, 207
 starrate checkouts for "Okay to Audit Int RD," 206
 onions, Vitamin C and, 171
 Operating Thetan(s); *see also* OT levels
 are far easier and faster to handle than non-OTs, 432
 C/S 53 reads and, 221
 Dianetics is not run on, 191, 198
 drugs reading on C/S 53, handling, 221
 End of Endless Drug Rundowns Repair List and, 187
 Expanded Green Form 40 RD and, 262
 Green Form and, 262
 has not had Int RD but Int reads on C/S 53, handling, 220
 Int RD and, 32, 43, 79, 191, 198, 212
 Int RD would not be run on an, 191, 198
 Int Rundown Correction List Revised and, 84
 L3RF and, 144, 221
 make very fast and spectacular gains, 432
 Mark VI E-Meter and, 275
 out-Int and, 213
 overrun on the Clearing Course, 256

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- Operating Thetan(s) (cont.)
 - policy not to assign non-OT Cramming Officers to OTs, 431
 - pre-OTs; *see* pre-OTs
 - PTS RD and, 91, 292
 - unhandled drugs on an OT, handling, 262
 - unresolving Int problems and, 32
 - why auditors of lower case level aren't assigned to pre-OTs, 431
- Op Pro by Dup, Drug Rundown and, 78
- order(s),
 - cross orders, handling, 409
 - debugging orders, 402
 - How to Defeat Verbal Tech Checklist and, 402
 - if it isn't written it isn't true, 402
 - illegal orders, handling, 410
 - MUs on orders, handling, 403
- organization(s), Org(d)
 - Advanced Organizations; *see* Advanced Organizations
 - area or individual creating problems and demanding solutions to them, handling, 405
 - areas where staff aren't studying regularly are, in most cases, the bugged and nonproducing areas, 428
 - building a sauna, 439
 - Class VI Org (Saint Hill) services, 271
 - courses Class IV Orgs teach, 271
 - cracking the back of the most resistive nonproducing areas, 407
 - debugging a lack of products and accomplishments of an org or post, 408
 - definition of Class IV Orgs, 269
 - delivery; *see* delivery
 - down statistic, handling, 8
 - executives; *see* executives
 - handling any insufficiency of viable products coming from an, 401
 - how you get executives who will operate out-ethics, off-policy orgs, 224
 - policy when an SP is discovered and declared in an, 6
 - primary indicator of the presence of an SP in an, 8
 - production; *see* production
 - public; *see* public
 - Saint Hill Organizations; *see* Saint Hill Organizations
 - services which Class IV Orgs can give, 271
 - situation where someone does not organize any corner of his area or work or organizations or lines, handling, 406
 - staff; *see* staff
 - statistics; *see* statistics
 - which never markets or advertises their products, 405
- organize, organization (as an action),
 - counting up the amount of wasted time simply because of the disorganization of a place, 402
 - do not go for an all-organize or an all-production handling, 406
 - inadequate organization to get the product, handling, 406
 - irrational demands to only produce, 330, 406
 - lacking of a sense of, 406, 416
 - lack of a sense of organization lies below the level of MUs, overts and withholds and PTSness, 330, 406
 - misunderstood resulting in organize, organize, organize, 330
 - no grasp of the concept of, 416
 - no organization, handling, 406
 - no organize and misunderstands, 330
 - overts and withholds which prevent organizing, 330
 - total organize, handling, 405
 - total organize is an indicator of many misunderstands in the area, 405
- Original Assessment Sheet, Drug Rundown and, 75
- original Items, nulling unrun original items on list with Suppress and Invalidate buttons, 77
- OT; *see* Operating Thetan
- OT I, Dianetic Clear and, 252, 272
- OT III, person run on End of Endless Int while on OT III, handling, 190
- OT VIII,
 - prerequisite for, 269, 273
 - there are other OT levels above VIII, 269
 - when upper levels above VIII will probably be released, 273
- other Intentionedness, handling, 415
- OT level(s),
 - Dianetic Clear who hasn't truly made it will not make it on the, 244
 - grades really in prevents pre-OT difficulties on the, 332
 - someone who has not made Clear will not make it on the, 200
 - there are other OT levels above VIII, 269
 - when upper levels above VIII will probably be released, 273
 - why the person has to learn to audit himself on OT auditing, 272
- OT Level Debug and Repair, what it handles, 274
- OT TR 0,
 - being able to do a faultless 2 hour OT TR 0, 143
 - cycling through TRs, 142
- out-ethics; *see also* ethics
 - agreed upon out-ethics, 223
 - appearing to be no case gain, out-ethics case due to being audited past Dianetic Clear, 243
 - definition of in-ethics and out-ethics course, 223
 - divorce and, 223
 - group agreement in an out-ethics course room, 223
 - handling, 405, 415
 - how you get a gradually lowered ethics level, 223
 - how you get executives who will operate out-ethics, off-policy orgs, 223
 - marriages and, 223
 - M/W/Hs and, 242
 - O/W write-ups and, 405
 - student involved in some sort of out-ethics situation, handling, 384
- outflow; *see also* inflow
 - balancing inflow with outflow in twinning, 379
 - "stuck flow" phenomenon whereby he can't outflow the subject, 378
- out-Int; *see* interiorization; Interiorization Rundown
- out-List(s), list errors; *see also* wrong item
 - auditing must be very limited if a list is out, 220
 - cannot audit over anything else if Int or L & N lists are out, 334
 - do not try to fly ruds or word clear over out-Int or out lists 423
 - L4BRA for list errors, 70
 - roller-coaster due to, 92
- outnesses, reason people apparently do not see, hear, notice or handle outnesses, 329
- outofvalence, handling, 68
- out-rudiment(s),
 - audited with ruds out, handling, 61
 - crammed over out-ruds in the past, handling, 422
 - cramming and, 421
 - Cramming Officer must not try to cram over out-ruds, 421
 - False Data Stripping and, 374
 - illness and, 24
 - mutual out-ruds, 242
 - restimulation caused by, 24

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- out-tech,
 - M/W/Hs and, 242
 - Quickie Grades and, 332
 - trying to audit or rehab in an interview is, 333
- out-TRs,
 - idea that one's TRs could go out overnight, 418
 - no reads on prepared lists as evidence of, 145
 - rabbiting caused by, 146
- overrun(s), overrunning,
 - all grades below Grade VII are subject to, 9
 - Clearing Course overrun, 256
 - ethics chit for overrunning a free needle, 5
 - False Data Stripping and, 374
 - F/N and, 5, 6, 9, 149, 152
 - free needle vanishing and, 6
 - having to find whole track overruns on some pcs in rehab of grades, 9
 - high TA and, 44
 - hitting the purpose that was overrun, 7
 - Int overrun, commonest cause of, 194
 - Int RD overrun, how it occurs, 79, 98, 103, 194
 - Int RD overrun when pc exteriorizes and auditor continues past exterior, 217
 - life can be an, 7
 - mechanism of overrun of life subjects, 9
 - never use a new process to cure an, 10
 - policy on rehabilitations, 6
 - purpose overrun, 9
 - rehabbing overrun grades, 9
 - rehab of life situations of overrun, 7
 - tackling the highest overrun first, 7
 - TA going up and, 9
 - TRs and "overrun?", 417
- overt(s), overt act(s); *see also* O/Ws; rudiments
 - asking for overts or withholds during nonmetered Crashing MU finding, 388
 - audited over an overt, handling, 62
 - blows caused by, 34
 - confessing one's overt acts is the first step toward taking responsibility for them, 225
 - continuous overts; *see* continuous overts
 - Crashing MUs and, 387
 - definition, 297
 - helping person end cycle on the bad things he has done, 225
 - justifying his overts by accepting false data, 370
 - lack of a sense of organization lies below the level of MUs, overts and withholds and PTSness, 330, 406
 - misunderstood word or symbol and, 387
 - pc gives off another's overt in Confessional, handling, 247
 - pc gives three or four overts at once in Confessional, handling, 247
 - person who has overts and withholds on a subject cannot perform in that area, 311
 - power to forgive and, 225, 227
 - prone to accepting false data stems from, 370
 - stem from Mis-Us, 350
 - still stuck in the shame, blame and regret of the unconfessed overt or withhold, handling, 227
 - thought of one overt, but told a different overt, 227
 - why he began to commit overts, 311
- overt product(s),
 - Crashing Mis-Us and, 351
 - definition, 405
 - handling, 414
 - has no exchange value, 404
 - looking for overt products, 405
 - remedy of, 405
 - resources wasted by, 405
 - sector in which you most frequently get them, 330
- overt product(s) (*cont.*)
 - subproduct can be an overt product and block final products, 402
- overwhelm(ed)(ing),
 - by auditing, handling, 62
 - by family connections, handling, 62
 - by life, handling, 62
 - drugs and, 74
 - engram running can easily overwhelm sick pc, 92
 - low TA caused by overwhelming TRs, 149, 152
 - on post, handling, 62
- O/Ws,
 - commands for handling O/Ws in metered Crashing MU finding, 350, 388
 - Crashing Mis-Us and, 350, 388
 - False Data Stripping, Crashing Mis-U tech, O/W handling and service facsimile tech must be employed where needed in checkouts, 375
 - handling O/Ws in metered and nonmetered Crashing MU finding, 388
 - O/W write-ups, 405, 415
- Oxford Capacity Analysis; *see* OCA Test
- Oxford English Dictionary, The*, 182, 364

P

- Paid Completion Points for Dianetic Clear Special Intensive, 162
- pain pills, 164
- past life, past lives,
 - Clear in last life, 243
 - Dianetic Clear in past life, 243, 266, 268
 - invalidation of, 91
 - locating person's last life folder, 268
 - one should not invalidate having known certain people before, 91
 - pc has been audited in an earlier life, handling, 64
 - people who were only famous characters, 91
 - running them as "imaginary," 91
 - using "I knew you when you were ____" for 2D advantage, 91
 - we discovered past lives, 275
- patch-up; *see* repair
- pay, raising staff pay, 429
- pc; *see* preclear
- pc folder; *see* folder
- peace,
 - antagonistic terminal seeking to make peace with the PTS pc, 276
 - what productive peace is, 14
- penalty, penalties,
 - auditors who miss withholds, penalty, 242
 - C/Sing for a level for which he has not been trained, penalties for, 304
 - declaring a Dianetic Clear "achieved in other practices," penalty for, 328
 - disciplining them for going past Mis-Us, 356
 - verbal tech penalties, 318
- penicillin,
 - antibiotics, administering of, 156
 - blood leveling time, 157
 - is growing less effective, 160
 - oral penicillin, workability of, 160
 - oral vs, injections, 160
 - procaine penicillin, 160
 - usually no good for virus infections, 160
- perception,
 - difficulties, 329
 - misunderstoods can act as perception shut-offs, 329

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- permissiveness, disease of, 378
- persistent F/N,
 - effect of auditing over a, 280
 - grades must not be delivered over a, 332
 - leaving pc off auditing until persistent F/N dies down, son RD, 276
 - on a prepared list, handling, 71
- persisting, how to get any unwanted persisting thing to vanish, 228
- personnel; *see* staff
- Peru, Bolivar and, 14
- penersions, technical, 303
- pesticides, 164, 165, 178
- philosophical, Socratic thesis-antithesis-synthesis philosophical equation, 369
- physical illness; *see* illness
- physical universe,
 - a life form is a combination of life itself and the, 166
 - handling disassociation between the definition and the, 412
- picture(s); *see also* incident
 - drugs turning on whole track pictures, 74
 - evaluating for pc by asking what his abilities are in relation to his mental image pictures, 338
 - stuck picture; *see* stuck picture
- pinch test, procedure, 316
- planet; *see* Earth
- plant, 256
- players fighting amongst themselves just to have a game, 19
- pneumonia, cycle of action of, 159
- poison(s), toxin, toxic; *see also* drugs
 - calcium and toxic substances, 174
 - flushing poisons and chemical substances out of the body, 167
 - intake of chemical poisons, 164
 - nutritional deficiencies created by toxins and drugs, 171
 - remaining in the body for years, 165
 - restimulation caused by toxic substances, 166
- policy; *see also* Hubbard Communications Office Policy Letter
 - auditor assignment policies, 431
 - Cramming assignment policies, 431
- political,
 - how to bring about a great political reform or improve a failing country, 12
 - workable formula used "instinctively" by most successful practical political leaders, 12
- polyunsaturated oil, 169
- post(s),
 - debugging a lack of products and accomplishments of an org or post, 408
 - having difficulty in an area or on a post, suspect false data, 368
 - not organizing any corner of his area or work due to misunderstood words, 330
 - pc overwhelmed on post, handling, 62
 - posting known criminals or incompetents to training posts, 303
- postulate(s),
 - Clear's postulates read as a surge, 257
 - definition, 297
 - mean reputation pcs keep right on being mean until they get the postulate off, 267
 - time is basically a postulate or consideration, 230
- 'pot,' carbon vs. wire wound, 323
- potassium,
 - depletion of, 168, 180
 - Purification Rundown and, 168
 - "salt substitute," 168
- potatoes, Vitamin C and, 171
- potential trouble source(s), PTS(es)(ness); *see also* PTS Rundown; roller-coaster; Search and Discovery; Suppressed Person Rundown
 - accidents and, 415
 - antagonistic terminal handled with Suppressed Per 277
 - auditing or training must not be continued over an unhandled PTS situation, 290
 - Can We Ever Be Friends?* used in handling PTS situations, 291
 - chronically ill person is always PTS, 124
 - coaching him along to see how he himself actually precipitated the PTS condition, 291
 - Clay Table De-PTSing; *see* Clay Table De-PTSing C/S-1; *see* PTS C/S-1
 - definition, 90, 299
 - down statistic caused by SP or PTS situation, 8
 - educating the PTS, 293
 - flying ruds and overts Triple or Quad Flow on the antagonistic terminal, 292
 - gains vs., 90
 - good roads, good weather approach to the antagonistic terminal, 290
 - handle or disconnect, 290
 - handling PTSness, first thing you must do, 293
 - handling PTSness, outline of, 290
 - handling PTSness, references, 291
 - handling PTSness, three stages of, 90
 - handling the SP person or group and its relationship in the real universe to the pc, 91
 - having known the person before this life, 91
 - how pc goes the effect of the antagonistic terminal, 276
 - interview; *see* PTS interview
 - interview by the Ethics Officer as a PTS handling, 90
 - issues to be read by the PTS student or pc, 295
 - lack of a sense of organization lies below the level of MUs, overts and withholds and PTSness, 330, 406
 - manifesting PTSness and illnesses due to being audited past Dianetic Clear, 243
 - only PTS situation that is serious and lasting and can cause a roller-coaster, 91
 - pc connected to someone hostile or antagonistic to Scientology, handling, 63
 - person PTS and dramatizing creating problems during Crashing MU finding, 390
 - person who roller-coasters is always PTS, 124
 - processing or study under the duress of suppression will not produce results, 290
 - program to handle the situation is given to the PTS person, 291
- PTS C/S-1 must be done before any sort of PTS interview, 294
- putting him at cause over the situation, 290, 296
- references, 291, 293, 296
- rest, quiet and a safe environment for the PTS Type Three, 292
- roller-coaster and, 90, 91, 124
- roller-coaster caused by, 90
- rules of, 124
- rundown; *see* PTS Rundown
- temporarily or momentarily PTS, 290
- What Is Scientology?* book sent to antagonistic person, 291
- power(s),
 - abandoning power utterly is dangerous indeed, 20
 - auditing, power of, 244
 - delegating power, 15, 18, 20
 - don't ever feel weaker because you work for some body stronger, 20
 - failures to remain a power's power, reason for, 20
 - forgive, power to, 225, 227
 - how real powers are developed, 20

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- power(s) (*cont.*)
 how to live in the shadow or employ of a power, 20
 how to move off a point of power, 20
 if you have power use it or delegate it, 20
 one cannot hold power and not use it, 15
 push power in the direction of anyone on whose power
 you depend, 20
 seven things about power, 19
 Power Process(es)(ing); *see also* Super Power
 alternate step to Power specially designed for Dianetic
 Clears, 252
 Dianetic Clears are not run on, 252
 is for those who didn't go Dianetic Clear, 252, 267, 271
 Super Power vs, 267
 practical,
 ask for instances of practical usage from the person
 you found a Crashing Mis-U on, 352
 mandatory twinning on all practical courses, 377
 twinning on practical courses, 378
 twinning on practical sections of courses, 379
 practices, exercises,
 declaring a Dianetic Clear "achieved in other prac-
 tices," penalty for, 328
 earlier practices, handling, 66
 handling pc currently doing exercises, 66
 handling pc doing some exercise between sessions, 67
 pc has taken part in earlier electronic practices, hand-
 ling, 67
 pc has taken part in earlier exercises, handling, 66
 pc has taken part in earlier scientific practices, hand-
 ling, 67
 pc has taken part in earlier spiritual practices, hand-
 ling, 67
 pc has taken part in earlier thought practices, hand-
 ling, 67
 practitioner, why the individual practitioner breaks
 down, 7
 preassessment,
 do not run preassessment or AESPs on Int, 104, 199
 Drug Rundown preassessment procedure, 76
 prior assessment and, 22
 preclear(s); *see also* case; individual; thetan
 accept thepc's data during dating, 231
 asking pc not to say a word throughout the assess-
 ment, 2
 attested to Dianetic Clear but hasn't made it, hand-
 ling, 257
 audited in an earlier life, handling, 64
 auditor has more control over pc's reactive mind than
 the pc, 2
 auditor-pc assignment policies, 431
 being someone else, handling, 68
 blown pcs, handling with C/S 53, 220
 body; *see* body
 consistently not making the expected gains from his
 processing, 166
 Dianetic pc who has had drug handling omitted, hand-
 ling, 75
 difficult looking pc because of wrong sensitivity set-
 tings, 155
 dislikes talking to an auditor, handling, 61
 doesn't want auditing, handling, 61
 ethics trouble due to unacknowledged state of Dia-
 netic Clear, 256
 folder; *see* folder
 former therapy, handling, 65
 free needles, how to get them on a pc, 9
 goofing, how to handle pc who keeps on goofing, 63
 has not had auditing, handling, 64
 here for reasons not disclosed, handling, 62
 if pc knows all about it, it isn't wrong with him, I
 Int repeatedly kicks in, handling, 189
 preclear(s) (*cont.*)
 leaving pc off auditing until persistent F/N dies down,
 277
 letting pc have his win, 277
 literal rather than literate, 425
 low OCA due to unacknowledged state of Dianetic
 Clear, 256
 mean reputation, 267
 not continuing up the Bridge on his grades, 166
 not making it in auditing, check for drug or alcohol
 history, 75
 originates he has achieved Dianetic Clear, handling,
 200
 OTs are easier and faster to handle than non-OTs, 432
 "overrepaired" pcs, usual cause of, 255
 people who don't study, 428
 preparing the majority of pcs for making optimum
 case gain, 164
 pretending pc, handling, 68
 receiving gains or benefits from being ill or disabled,
 handling, 63
 running reading items, flows and questions is the only
 way to make a pc better, 253
 set-ups and C/S 53, 264
 shallow running pc on Int RD, 213
 stuck on the stuck flow of time, 212
 uneducated pcs and C/S Series 53 Long Form, 220
 uneducated pcs require flawless topnotch auditors, 101
 what is wrong with the pc is not known to the pc, I
 when a pc is cogniting, look at the meter not the pc, I
 who can't move back on the time track more than
 minutes, 212
 who does not require a C/S 53 to F/Ning list, 264
 who goes Ext in auditing being made to do the Hub
 bard New Era Dianetics Course before further
 auditing, 101
 why you only run what the meter says, 1
 Pre-Havingness Scale (Prehav Scale),
 assessment procedure, 2
 is a picture of reactive thought, 1
 why it is in the order it is in, 1
 pre-OT(s),
 grades really in prevents pre-OT difficulties on OT
 levels, 332
 why auditors of lower case level aren't assigned to, 431
 Prepared Assessment Form, what it handles, 267
 prepared List(s); *see also* various prepared lists by name
 any item that would cause an F/N to "check" will be
 hot, 254
 assessment sheets must be placed in pc folder, 391
 Auditing by List procedure, 70
 auditor back-off or protest on F/Ning a list, cause of,
 146
 auditor indicates when he has finished with the, 72
 BIs present on the subject of repair or prepared lists,
 handling, 214
 BPC on improperly done or unnecessary prepared lists
 or repair actions, handling, 214
 calling the list complete when pc has a big win, 71
 call questions without looking at the pc, 70
 correction lists Cramming Officer must get checked
 out on use of, 422
 Crashing Mis-U RepairList, form, 357
 C/S Series 53RL LF, form, 150
 C/S Series 53RL SF, form, 147
 Debug Tech Checklist, form, 408
 definition, 144
 Dianetic Clear Special Intensive Assessment List,
 form, 339
 do not leave questions merely "clean," 71
 do not take up things that don't read, 71
 dummy drilling Auditing by Lists, 72

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- prepared List(s) (*cont.*)
 - earlier similar, asking for an, 71
 - End of Endless Drug Rundowns Repair List, form, 187
 - EP of getting a prepared list to F/N, 222
 - errors that prevent the EP of getting a prepared list to F/N, 222
 - Expanded GF 40RD, form, 60
 - F/N can be obscured and mistaken for a read if sensitivity too high, 149, 152
 - F/Ning a prepared list defined, 144
 - F/Ning prepared lists, 144, 221, 254
 - F/N packs up during assessment, handling, 254
 - getting a suppressed read by not being able to read through an F/N, 145, 254
 - Green Form, form, 27
 - Hi-Lo TA List Revised, form, 107
 - how auditor misses charged items and takes up uncharged items, 254
 - intending to F/N the list but the pc is on a big win, handling, 71
 - Int Rundown Correction List Revised, form, 84
 - LC1, form, 357
 - lists that are best done Method 3, 72
 - lists that do not read F/N, 145
 - marking reads, procedure, 70
 - maximum time between questions, 2
 - Method 3 assessment; see Method 3
 - Method 3 handling of a list, 144
 - Method 5 assessment; see Method 5
 - mistaking an F/N right swing for a read, 149, 152
 - "nonreading, non-F/Ning" lists, 144
 - nulling and F/Ning prepared lists, 144
 - pc protests a prepared list or repair action, handling, 214
 - pc protest, upset or apprehension over extensive repair actions or a list having to be F/Ned, main cause of, 146
 - pcs bugged by C/S 53s being done on them, handling, 222
 - pc very upset and words of the Repair Correction List have not yet been cleared, handling, 214
 - pc volunteers some answer to an unreading question, handling, 71
 - persistent F/N on a prepared list, handling, 71
 - procedure for Auditing by Lists, 70
 - Product Debug Repair List, form, 391
 - putting in Suppress, Invalidation or Misunderstood Words on the list, 145
 - rabbiting from F/Ning a list, 146
 - reading through an F/N, procedure, 145, 254
 - reasons a list does not F/N, 145
 - remains in the folder stapled to the worksheet, 70
 - RepairCorrectionList, form, 214
 - Short Hi-Lo TA Assessment C/S, form, 147
 - "That's clean" or "That reads" is not said to pc, 70
 - unreading questions and items, 36, 71
 - what to do if list reveals that more needs to be handled, 144
 - what to do when an item stating pc has gone Dianetic Clear reads on a, 333
 - Word Clearing Correction List, form, 238
- Prepcheck(ing),
 - never prepcheck an item that doesn't read, 36
 - S & D and, 25
- presence, session and social presence of a professional auditor, 437
- present time; see *also* environment
 - Date/Locate to bring the pc to PT, 83
 - out of present time, handling with Date/Locate, 228
 - pc out of PT fixed by both date and location, 236
 - stuck in the time of an incident which acts as PT for him, handling, 228
- present time problem(s); see *also* problem; rudiments
 - audited over a problem, handling, 61
 - breaks not taken during Int RD unless pc has a physical PTP, 41
 - only a PTP will halt the progress of a case, 276
- preservatives, food, 1 64, 1 65, 1 78
- pressures, standard C/S for heavy pressures, 33
- pretending pc, handling, 68
- price(s), pricing,
 - cost and selling of Full Flow Dianetics, 59
 - cost of 1950-1977 processing compared to NED, 265
 - getting processing cheaply, 271
 - reduction in course costs, 269
 - Saint Hill services cost a bit more than Class IV Org services, 271
 - why Flag lower org services cost more, 273
- Primary Rundown, twinning on the, 380
- Primary Scale, assessment procedure, 2
- prior assessment,
 - harmful "cures" handled by, 23
 - Prior Assessment to Drugs is only a partial handling, 75
 - procedure, 22
 - procedure on Drug Rundown, 77
 - reads and, 22
 - reads, order of handling, 22
 - when it is done, 22
- problem(s); see *also* present time problem; rudiments
 - area or individual creating problems and demanding solutions to them, handling, 405
 - auditing over a problem, effect of, 220
 - consists of two or more purposes opposed, 279
 - definition, 279, 297
 - engaged in creating problems, 347
 - lots of unsolvable problems in the area, handling, 415
 - person creating problems and demanding solutions to them, handling, 415
 - person PTS and dramatizing creating problems during Crashing MU finding, 390
 - problems grade is out, handling, 69
- Problems of Work*, TRs data and, 434, 436
- Problems Process(es),
 - commands, 280
 - EP of each reading flow of each Problems Process on the Suppressed Person RD, 278, 280
 - references, 279
 - R1-11 commands, 288
 - R2-20 commands, 288
 - R2-71 commands, 284
- process(es); see *also* various processes by name
 - biophysical processes do not work in the presence of drugs, 165
 - changing process while TA shows good motion will leave pc with BPC, 319
 - continuing a process that has ceased to produce change is a crime, 5
 - mental actions do not work in the presence of drugs, 165
 - never use a new process to cure an overrun, 10
 - processes a Clear wouldn't respond to, 256
 - TRs are not processes, 418
 - what leads to wild efforts, new processes and anything but cool standard procedure, 7
- processing; see auditing
- product(s); see *also* production
 - completed cycle of action normally results in a, 347
 - Crashing Mis-U may not be on the subject of the product itself but in an area related to the subject, 362
 - Crashing Mis-U tech is used in debugging products, 348
 - Crashing MU and, 386, 387
 - debugging a lack of, 408

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- product(s) (*cont.*)
 - debug tech being used until products are now rolling, 352
 - definition, 401
 - executives and, 408
 - finding a product that can be gotten out, 409
 - getting off the track and clearing up a whole subject that has nothing to do with getting out his product, 356
 - getting out products, 405
 - getting products of the expected quality and quantity, 352
 - getting the person producing the products he wasn't able to produce before, 350
 - handling all the factors that prevent the completion of, 330
 - having no exchange value, handling, 414
 - how the distance between amateur junk and an effective product is accomplished, 326
 - inadequate organization to get the product, handling, 406
 - inspecting an area for products, 349
 - inspection of, 401
 - insufficiency of viable products, handling, 401
 - justifications for failure to understand a subject or inability to turn out professional products, 389
 - key to completed cycles of action and, 347
- Mis-Us causing no-product situations, 329
 - no idea at all that products should be gotten out, handling, 413
 - no idea of the product, handling, 414
 - no products, handling, 329, 348, 357, 402
 - no products, reason for, 329, 347
 - org which never markets or advertises their products, 405
 - overt product; see overt product
 - people who don't get products have low morale, 408
 - Product Debug Repair List, use of, 406
 - professionals and, 346
 - rules have everything to do with the value of the, 326
 - solution is not to immediately shoot them for not getting out the, 356
 - still not getting out his products or completing cycles of action in his area after Crashing Mis-U finding, handling, 357
 - subproduct can be an overt product and block final products, 402
 - trying to turn out a product that has no exchange value, 405
 - turning out a type of product nobody wants, handling, 405
 - with the ability to learn comes stability and the production of valuable products, 374
 - wrong product, handling, 414
 - wrong VFP, handling, 414
 - wrong VFP or wrong product, debugging a, 404
- Product Clearing,
 - anybody who thinks it's just an odd idea that you just follow the rules should get Short Form Product Clearing, 326
 - debug tech and, 352
- Product Debug Repair List,
 - form, 391
 - Method 3 and, 391
 - purpose of, 391
 - R/S turning on on the list, 391
 - use of, 406
- production, produce, producing; *see also* products
 - areas where staff aren't studying regularly are, in most cases, the bugged and nonproducing areas, 428
 - busy busy busy and totally unaware of the fact that they were producing absolutely nothing, handling, 408
- production, produce, producing (*cont.*)
 - craeking the baek of the most resistive nonproducing areas, 407
 - debugging production, 400
 - demanding production, 402
 - do not go for an all-organize or an all-production handling, 406
 - exterior influence stopping production, handling, 404
 - getting roaring, high-morale production, 407
 - if you don't know what you're trying to produce it can't take forever, 437
 - increasing production, 429
 - inspecting an area for products and, 401
 - inspection to see what is going on in terms of production, 409
 - irrational demands to only produce, 330, 406
 - is the basis of morale, 408
 - linkage between drugs and production difficulties, 164
 - list of things that could be standing in the way of, 408
 - lots of unsolvable problems in the area, handling, 415
 - morale and, 408
 - morale depends on production, 381
 - overt products and, 330
 - people who have to have before they can do, 411
 - total organize is an indicator of many misunderstandings in the area, 405
 - with the ability to learn comes stability and the production of valuable products, 374
 - wrong stat, handling, 413
- professional(s),
 - art and, 346
 - be a professional in whatever you do, 327
 - becoming a, 326
 - definition, 346
 - ideal scene and, 346
 - justifications for failure to understand a subject or inability to turn out professional products, 388
 - only thinks in terms of getting out an actual product, 346
 - product and, 346
 - rules and, 346
 - session and social presence of a professional auditor, 437
 - viewpoint of a, 346
 - what separates a member of the audience from a, 346
 - when you have a, 326
- Profession Intensive, what it handles, 271
- program(s), programming (admin),
 - bugged targets and, 400
 - debugging programs or targets, 400
 - evaluation and, 407
 - linkage between drugs and difficulties with program execution, 164
 - where the full tech on how to execute and program is, 407
- program(s), programming (tech),
 - assessing GF Method 5 and sending to C/S for programming, 261
 - biophysical processes do not work in the presence of drugs, 165
 - bringing the person up to the level where he is now ready for processing, 179
 - cannot audit over anything else if Int or L & N lists are out, 334
 - Clear, OT or Dianetic Clear reading on drugs on C/S 53, handling, 221
 - C/S 53 as next action after Int RD or End of Endless Int RD, 197
 - C/S 53 reads, programming and handling of, 220, 221
 - Dianetic Clears, what they can be audited on, 200, 252
 - don't run anything else but Int when Int is out, and that includes two-way comm, 217

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- program(s), programming (tech) (*cont.*)
 - End of Endless Int Repair Rundown, uses of, 190
 - handling a student who cannot grasp or retain the data of the Data Series, 56
 - HC Out-point Plus-point Lists, use of, 300
 - Int Correction Lists have been done and pc still has headaches or other Int troubles, 100, 104
 - Int RD error is corrected as a first action, 98
 - Int RD, if reading, is done before review auditing, ruds or anything else, 42
 - Int troubles persisting, handling, 100, 104
 - Livingness Repair is often the first professional auditing action taken on a person, 269
 - Ls, when they can be delivered, 274
 - mental actions do not work in the presence of drugs, 165
 - NED Drug RD, who it is for, 186
 - NED for OTs, when it can be given, 273
 - Objective Processing after Purification Rundown, 178, 179
 - out-Int despite having had Int RD and it has been repaired, handling, 190
 - out-Int, do not audit over out-Int, 190, 192, 217, 220, 334
 - out-list, auditing must be very limited if a list is out, 220
 - pc exteriorizes in session but hasn't had an Int RD, handling, 38, 80, 99, 105
 - preparing the majority of pcs for making optimum case gain, 164
 - PTS handling, outline of, 290
 - PTS RD, when it is done, 292
 - Purification Rundown and, 166, 167
 - rundown that undercuts every case, 164
 - set-ups and C/S 53, 264
 - substituting Suppressed Person RD for the engram part of the PTS RD, 276
 - Suppressed Person RD, when it is done, 277
 - Suppressed Person RD, who it can be done on, 278, 292
 - TRs Course prerequisite, 437
 - unreading flows and items are not run, 253
- progress; *see* case gain
- progress board, Purification Rundown and, 176
- project(s),
 - debugging projects, 407
 - debug tech and, 400
- Project Operators, bugged targets and, 400
- promotion of Quad Dianetics, 55
- protest(s)(ing),
 - auditor back-off or protest on F/Ning a list, cause of, 146
 - false read whenever Int is mentioned due to protest, 191
 - main cause of pc protest, upset, or apprehension over extensive repair actions or a list having to be F/Ned, 146
 - Method 5 and, 144
 - pc protests a prepared list or repair action, handling, 214
 - probable main reason for pc upset or protest against "overrepair," 255
 - protesting auditing, handling, 61
 - TA up on a GF due to, 72
 - verifying that a read on Int is not a false read or protest read, 191
 - when to check False and Protest during a Dianetic Clear Special Intensive Assessment List, 339
- psychiatrist, belief that all life came from matter, 165
- psychiatry,
 - desperate measures and, 7
 - pc had psychiatric therapy, handling, 65
 - people don't go Clear in garbage eating or, 328
- psychologist(s),
 - belief that all life came from matter, 165
 - falsedata and, 435
 - mission to make the population into controllable zombies, 435
 - took longest to get through TRs Course, 435
- psychology,
 - handling pc who had psychology therapy, 65
 - most false subject on the planet, 435
- PT; *see* present time
- PTP; *see* present time problem
- PTS; *see* potential trouble source
- PTS C/S-1,
 - definitions sheet, 296
 - must be done before any other PTS handling is begun, 290
 - must be done before any sort of PTS interview, 294
 - procedure, 294
 - PTS RD includes PTS C/S-1, 124
 - purpose of, 294
 - R-Factor to give, 294
 - study section, 295
 - what it does for the person, 290
 - who it can be done by, 294
- PTS Interview,
 - nonstandard actions or interviews forbidden, 432
 - PTS C/S-1 must be done before, 294
 - references, 290
 - SPC cannot easily be found, handling, 290
 - what to do if difficulty is encountered, 290
- PTS Rundown; *see also* Search and Discovery; Suppressed Person Rundown
 - avoid listing the same question twice, 127
 - behavior of RD, 92
 - "can't have" and "enforced have" defined, 94, 126
 - case conditions not previously remedied can be remedied by, 92
 - check each flow of each process for a read before it is run, 96
 - chronic somatics handled by, 92
 - Clears, OTs, Dianetic Clears and, 91, 291
 - commands, 93
 - commands for final step, 126
 - converting the PTS RD 2WCs for items into L & N questions, 127
 - currently sick pcs should not be run on, 92
 - development of, 91
 - do not run unreading flows, 126
 - EP, 92, 292
 - errors, 92-93, 124
 - errors in C/Sing and auditing it are especially rough, 92
 - exteriorization is not the EP, 92
 - final step, 126
 - flows, wording of, 92
 - four points of breakdown of the, 91
 - if pc does not recover, handling, 93
 - L & N for places and planets should be restricted to planets only on VA pcs, 127
 - notes, 127
 - not restricted to Ex Dn, 92
 - Objective Havingness and, 127
 - one should not invalidate having known certain people before, 91
 - only reasons PTS RD does not work, 124
 - pc gets ill or roller-coasters after the RD, handling, 97
 - prerequisites (for pcs), 92
 - procedure, 93
 - re-dos, 93
 - references, 90, 93
 - relapse after PTS RD, handling, 125
 - remedies if PTS RD does not work, 124

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- PTS Rundown (*cont.*)
- remedy for auditors who make errors on the, 125
 - repair of, 96
 - S & Ds and, 90
 - set-up includes PTS C/S-1, 124
 - set-up not complete, 92
 - substituting Suppressed Person RD for the engram
 - part of the, 276
 - theory, 127
 - three stages of handling PTSness, 91
 - valence shifts and, 92
 - when to run one, 92, 292
 - who does it, 91
- PTS/SP Detection, Rouffng and Handling Course, study of the, 294
- PTS Type Three, handling, 292
- public,
- Intro Lecture and Group Processing for raw public, 269
 - public services which Class IV Orgs can give, 269
 - staff courses are not mixed in with public courses, 427
- Purification Program In Charge, duties of, 176
- Purification Rundown; *see also* Sweat Program
- additions, 180
 - administration of the, 176
 - "All Blend" oil and, 169
 - always done under C/S supervision, 167
 - anemic and, 167, 177
 - becoming more aware on the, 176
 - blood pressure and, 167, 177
 - blood pressure test and, 177
 - Cal-Mag and, 174
 - Cal-Mag dosage, 171
 - case gain and, 164
 - case supervision of, 166, 177
 - Case Supervisor and, 177
 - circulation and, 167
 - cleaning up the fat tissue in the body, 170
 - cold shower and, 180
 - consequences starting to take on a new meaning, 176
 - C/S okay to begin the program is required, 177
 - daily reports and, 177
 - definition, 164
 - diarrhea because of too much oil, 170
 - diets not required, 169
 - done 5 hours a day, 166, 168, 179
 - drug deposits inside cells, 170
 - emotions that have been shut off may start to reappear, 175
 - EP, 178
 - errata and additions, 180
 - eucalyptus oil and, 181
 - exchanging good oil for bad fat in the body, 170
 - exercise and, 167, 180
 - 5-hour period is NOT 50% exercising and 50% sauna, 180
 - freeing the individual up spiritually, 163
 - getting too warm or feeling faint in the sauna, 180
 - handling anyone not keeping to his schedule, 177
 - having a hard time spending consecutive hours in the sauna, handling, 180
 - heart conditions and, 167
 - heat exhaustion and, 168
 - high blood pressure and, 167
 - how to build a sauna, 439
 - idea underlying the original Sweat Program, 165
 - increasing niacin and other vitamin quantities, 173
 - insect bites turning on, 175
 - is for anyone, 164, 166
 - is not a substitute for any kind of processing, 164
 - is not done concurrently with auditing, 167
 - kidney conditions and, 167
 - Purification Rundown (*cont.*)
 - length of program, 179
 - letdown occurring after the, 178
 - liquids and, 168
 - manifestations that turn up on the, 175
 - Medical Officer okay required, 177
 - Medical Officer or medical doctor okay required, 167
 - memory can return on the, 176
 - minerals and, 174
 - multi-minerals and, 171
 - musters and roll calls, 177
 - Narconon and, 163
 - niacin and, 172
 - no medical recommendations or claims for it, 163
 - no medication of a medical nature during the, 176
 - nutritional deficiencies and, 170
 - nutritional failure slowing down the, 174
 - nutrition and, 169
 - Objective Processing after the, 178, 179
 - OCA and IQ Test and, 166
 - oil and, 169, 170
 - overheating in the sauna, 180
 - partner or twin and, 168
 - persons it is mandatory for, 166
 - potassium depletion, 168, 180
 - product of this program, 178
 - programming of, 166, 167
 - progress board and, 176
 - properly ordered personal schedule and, 166, 168
 - purpose of, 178
 - release or quit claim signed, 176
 - restimulation of an LSD trip turning on, 175
 - restimulation of past drugs can and often does occur, 168
 - rubberized or vinyl-type sweat suit, when it is not used, 180
 - running is done on a daily basis, 180
 - running to get the blood circulating, 167
 - running to work up circulation prior to going into sauna, 180
 - salt and, 168
 - salt depletion, 168, 180
 - "salt substitute," 168
 - sauna and, 164, 168, 180
 - sauna not available, handling, 180
 - schedule and, 166, 168
 - showers and locker rooms would be located near the sauna, 440
 - sleep and, 168
 - solution to any manifestation on the, 176
 - steam baths vs. sauna, 181
 - stupidity can be blown through on the, 176
 - summary, 179
 - supervision of the, 176
 - Sweat Program replaced by, 163
 - temperature ranges in the sauna, 168
 - tests continued periodically as person goes through program, 167
 - tests done before the, 176
 - thin people and, 170
 - those who must do a program of a much lower gradient, 167
 - trips during the, 176
 - TRs Course and, 437
 - twinning up individuals on the, 177
 - undercut to all training and processing, 437
 - vegetables and, 169
 - vitamin and mineral deficiencies checked for, 177
 - vitamin rations would have to be in proportion to one another, 174
 - vitamins and dosages to take, 171
 - vitamins continued after the, 178

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

Purification Rundown (*cont.*)

- vitamins taken after meals or with yoghurt, 172
- warning, 167
- water and, 168, 169
- weak heart and, 167
- weight changes and, 170
- what it includes, 166
- when it is done, 166

purpose(s),

- achievement of one's purposes and goals, high morale and happiness, 374
- hitting the purpose that was overrun, 7
- overrun of, 9
- problem consists of two or more purposes opposed, 279
- staff members are the most on-purpose Scientologists around, 427

Q

Quadruple Dianetics,

- all commands are used, 54
- bringing all earlier Dianetic items into four flows, 57
- cost and selling of, 59
- C/Sing it, 53, 55
- effect of suddenly beginning Quad without catching the pc up, 53
- Flow Zero is not run for the first time on Int, 47, 54, 57, 103, 201
- handling bogged and missing flows on the FFT, 54
- multiple somatic items, do we Triple or Quad them, 58
- offering the public FFD, 59
- promotion of, 55
- putting in Flow Zero, 53
- rehab of a flow already run to EP, 53
- requirements for an auditor to run it, 55
- result of, 55
- rule on running Flow Zero, 53
- running the missing flows if reading, 57
- shadowy remains of somatics blow with FFD, 59
- unreading flows are not run, 253
- use of, 53, 57
- warning about chain the pcs says are gone, 59
- what happens when a flow not run on earlier items is run on later items, 58

Quadruple Grades,

- Dianetic Clears and, 332
- what they handle, 270

Qualifications Division, Qual,

- Cramming assignment policies, 431
- folder check before starting a cramming or other Qual corrective action, 423
- had Qual corrective actions and has gotten worse or made no improvement, handling, 423
- High Crime checkouts and, 375
- nattery about Qual correction actions or Qual, handling 421
- protest of Qual corrective actions, 421
- resistance to Qual corrective actions, 421
- rules regarding worksheets apply to cramming and any other Qual corrective actions, 422
- SSO responsibility for standard staff courses, 426
- staff courses in the Staff Section in Qual, 426
- standard staff courses and, 426
- teeh recovery and, 5
- Word Clearing requirements, 375

quarrels or upsets on M9, handling, 140

query, handling students with questions, 382, 427

question(s); see also commands

- call prepared list questions without looking at the pc, 71

question(s) (*cont.*)

- change of characteristic question, 320
- check questions, flows or items before running anything, 253
- fall follows at once at the end of the last word of the question asked, 320
- getting one auditing question answered before you ask a second question, 248
- pe volunteers some answer to an unreading prepared list question, handling, 71
- question or item alone changes the needle pattern, 320
- students with questions, handling, 382, 427
- subjective vs. objective questions or actions, 432
- test questions for read before running them, 37
- unreading questions and items, 36
- unreading questions are not run, 253

Quickie Grade(s),

- brought about by lack of Word Clearing, 375
- Dianetic Clear and, 332
- out-tech and, 332

quiet types who have little or nothing to say and speak softly, 303

quit claim, Purification Rundown and, 176

R

rabbit(ing),

- buttons, 146
- cause of rabbiting, 146
- definition (verb), 145
- examples, 146

radiation, niacin and, 172

RD; see rundown

Reach and Withdraw, Crashing Mis-U finding and, 350

reaction(s),

- examples of student reactions and correct handlings on M9, 135
- nonoptimum reaction in M9 equals Mis-U, 133
- nonoptimum reactions that may show up in M9, 130
- "reaction flow" and "re-reaction flow," 212
- suppressing reactions to Mis-U's, 131
- where there is a student reaction, a misunderstood word will be found, usually just before the point he reacted, 140

reactive mind, bank,

- auditor has more control over pe's reactive mind than the pe, 2
- composed of mass, energy, space, time and thought, I
- E-Meter reacts only on the, I
- responds instantly on data a billion years ago, I
- there is no time in the, 2

reactivity, auditing is aimed at, 253

read(s), (ing); see also E-Meter; various E-Meter reads by name

- all needle response is reactive, 2
- always mark the read an item gave on the worksheet, 37
- any item that would cause an F/N to "cheek" will be hot, 254
- ARC broke meter that won't record a, 7
- auditor cannot make a prepared list read, reasons for, 145
- can fiddle can fake or upset reads, 70
- change of characteristic, 320, 323
- cheek an item and flow for read before running Recalls or engrams, 37
- checking each flow for a read before running it, 37, 96, 253, 277, 280
- check questions, flows or items before running anything, 253
- Clear's postulates read as a surge, 257

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- read(s), (ing) (*cont.*)
- commands to put in “Suppress” and “Invalidate,” 37
 - Confessionals and, 246
 - C/S 53 reads, programming and handling of, 221
 - definition, 36
 - Dianetic lists and, 36
 - do not take up things that don’t read, 71
 - do not tell pc what meter is doing, 70
 - don’t audit things that don’t read, 42
 - effect of having gone past many, many Mis-U’s in reading or education, 130
 - fall follows at once at the end of the last word of the question asked, 320
 - false data preventing misunderstandings from reading on the meter, 388
 - false datum won’t necessarily read because person believes it to be true, 370
 - false reads; *see* false reads
 - F/N can be obscured and mistaken for a read if sensitivity too high, 149, 152
 - getting a readable and workable meter, 316
 - getting a suppressed read by not being able to read through an F/N, 145, 254
 - how an F/N can obscure a read, 254
 - how an incorrect can squeeze gives an inaccurate, unreliable needle reaction, 312
 - how auditor misses charged items and takes up uncharged items, 254
 - how to read through an F/N, 145, 254
 - implant dates usually only give small reads, 230
 - indicating L3RF reads to a Clear, OT or Dianetic Clear, 221
 - instant read; *see* instant read
 - Int button read on an MU, handling, 79, 103
 - Int buttons not reading, handling, 103
 - “latent reads” and sensitivity too high, 154
 - longest read chosen in Dianetics, 22
 - means there is charge present and available to run, 253
 - meter responds instantly, 2
 - Method 9 on common reading materials, 132
 - mistaking an F/N right swing for a, 149, 152
 - misunderstood causing a read, handling, 47, 191, 198
 - never list a listing question that doesn’t read, 36
 - never prepcheck an item that doesn’t read, 36
 - never tell pc that it read or didn’t read, 72
 - “nonreading, non-F/Ning” prepared lists, 144
 - no read after putting in “Suppress” and “Invalidate,” 37
 - no read auditors, reasons for, 145
 - noted clearly in the worksheets, 335
 - order of handling Expanded Green Form 40RD reads, 261
 - “overrepaired” pcs are usually pcs with actual reads missed and false reads taken up, 255
 - pc volunteers some answer to an unreading prepared list question, handling, 71
 - person will not necessarily read on something that he believes to be true, 371
 - prepared lists that do not read F/N, 145
 - prior assessment and, 22
 - putting in Suppress, Invalidation or Misunderstood Words on an unreading prepared list, 145
 - question or item alone changes the needle pattern, 320
 - reality and, 37
 - rings and finger jewelry causing unusual reads, 315
 - running the missing Dianetic flows if reading, 57
 - sensitivity too low or too high obscures reads and F/Ns, 315
 - test an item for read before prepchecking, 37
 - “That’s clean” or “That reads” is not said to pc, 70
 - things that don’t read won’t run, 37
 - thinking beginning F/Ns are reads, 155
- read(s), (ing) (*cont.*)
- “tick” or a “stop” is not a, 36
 - too low a sensitivity obscuring reads, 154
 - unreading flows are not run, 36, 96, 126, 253
 - unreading flows, references, 253
 - unreading prepared list, handling, 144
 - unreading questions and items are not run, 36, 253
 - using meter reads to steer the person in False Data Stripping, 372
 - using the read to steer him to the area and find the Mis-U, 353
 - verifying that you actually have a read on Int, 191
 - what a read means, 253
 - what is a read, 36
 - why a pc can have a broken leg, yet it might not read, 37
 - why you cannot run anything not charged (reading), 190
 - why you only run what the meter says, 1
 - why you run what reacts on the meter, 1, 253
 - word not reading on the meter because the person “thinks he knows,” 367
- reading,
- Mis-U can prevent a person from understanding the remainder of what is heard or written, 329, 347
 - nonoptimum reactions that may show up in M9, 130
 - we have drifted down in literacy to a point where the culture can’t read or hear, 128
- reality,
- charge and, 37
 - definition, 296
 - importance of knowing how A and R and C interrelate, 436
 - reads and, 37
- Recall(s), Recall Process(es),
- check an item and flow for read before running, 36
 - False Data Stripping recall step, how to avoid overrunning it, 374
 - key-outs and, 194
 - liabilities and advantages of running Recalls on Int, 213
 - use of Recalls is ideal in the handling of repair of Int, 213
 - using the Recall system to run out-Int, 213
- recover, people who don’t go past track in Dianetics don’t recover, 91
- recovery, tech, 5
- reform, how to bring about a great political reform or improve a failing country, 12
- Registrar selling unnecessary Int RD, 80, 105
- regret, still stuck in the shame, blame and regret of the unconfessed overt or withhold, handling, 227
- rehab(s), rehabbed, rehabbing, rehabilitation,
- are not a substitute for processes, 10
 - can’t rehab a grade that hasn’t been run, 10
 - Dianetic Clear rehab, 272
 - having to find whole track overruns on some pcs in rehab of grades, 9
 - importance of rehabbing earlier grades, 5
 - Int RD and, 196
 - life situations of overrun, rehab of, 7
 - needle goes dirty in a rehab session, handling, 10
 - never use a new process to cure an overrun, 10
 - no free needles show up on a case at all, handling, 7
 - not doing well after an attempt to rehab the state of Dianetic Clear, handling, 333
 - out-tech to try audit or rehab in an interview, 333
 - overrun grades and, 9
 - policy on rehabilitations, 6
 - rehabbing “from the top down,” 7
 - tackling the highest overrun first, 7
 - when to rehab a Dianetic flow already run to EP, 53

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- release signed before doing Purification Rundown, 176
- Relief Rundown, what it handles, 267
- religion(s),
 - Confessionals and, 225
 - hell is an understatement of what really happens, 275
 - pc has taken part in earlier religions, handling, 66
- remedy, remedies; *see also* repair
 - all Dianetic remedies remain in full use, 55
 - for inability to locate the Crashing MU, 389
 - for PTS RD which does not work, 124
- RD is a, 105
- Repair; *see also* prepared lists; remedy
 - auditor requirements to do an Int RD, correction, 80
 - BIs present on the subject of repair or prepared lists, handling, 214
 - BPC on improperly done or unnecessary prepared lists or repair actions, handling, 214
 - case who has had rough auditing, handling, 264
 - Crashing Mis-U finding, repair of, 356
 - Dianetic Debug and Repair, 274
 - False Data Stripping and, 374
 - faulty debugging, handling, 406
 - folder study and FES of the Int RD and any repairs of Int RD, 190
 - how auditor misses charged items and takes up uncharged items, 254
 - Int RD error is corrected as a first action, 98
 - Int RD, if reading, is done before review auditing, ruds or anything else, 42
 - Int RD repair, 49, 104
 - Int RD repair, clue to, 100
 - Int repair, most flagrant cause of, 196
 - Int repair, where much of it stems from, 196
 - OT Level Debug and Repair, 274
 - out-Int despite having had Int RD and it has been repaired, handling, 190
 - “overrepaired” pcs, usual cause of, 255
 - pc protests a prepared list or repair action, handling, 214
 - pc protest, upset or apprehension over extensive repair actions or a list having to be F/Ned, main cause of, 146
 - pc run on Int RD by R3R or R3RA when he was a Dianetic Clear, handling, 190
 - points a C/S should always look for in repairing cases, 38
 - probable main reason for pc upset or protest against “overrepair,” 255
 - PTS RD repair, 96
 - PTS RD which does not work, remedies, 124
 - “repair of repair of repair” of Int, handling, 190
 - roller-coaster due to bad Int repair, 92
 - 24-hour repair rule; *see* twenty-four repair rule
 - use of Recalls is ideal in the handling of repair of Int, 213
- Repair Comction List,
 - form, 214
 - Method 5 and, 214
 - pc very upset and words of the list have not yet been cleared, handling, 214
 - use of, 214
- represented, item “me” must never be represented, 237
- resistance,
 - mental mass and, 45
 - TA actually measures resistance, 45
- resistive case(s),
 - drug case is one of the, 73
 - drugs or alcohol make a, 74
 - EXGF 40RD handles resistiveness of pc’s case, 262
 - Green Form and, 30
 - still appears resistive after GF, handling, 261
- Resistive Cases Assessment,
 - Expanded Green Form 40RD and, 60, 261
 - Green Form and, 30
 - item reads on Resistive Cases Assessment but not on EXGF 40RD, handling, 261
- resources, overt products waste resources, 405
- responsibility,
 - cannot take responsibility for his withholds and he is not benefiting casewise from giving them up, 311
 - confessing one’s overt acts is the first step toward taking responsibility for them, 225
 - leaders, responsibilities of, 11
 - low responsibility causing person to F/N, 247
 - one is responsible for getting his twin through the course, 381
 - SSO responsibility for standard staff courses, 426
 - taking responsibility for one’s fellow man, 378, 384
 - twins, responsibility of, 381
 - we don’t buy the idea that everybody is totally irresponsible for everybody else, 383
- rest; *see also* sleep
 - do not audit a pc who has not had sufficient rest, 27
 - PTS Type Three needs rest, quiet and a safe environment, 292
- restimulate(d), restimulation,
 - cause of, 24
 - drugs and, 168
 - LSD and, 165
 - LSD trip, restimulation of an, 168, 175
 - medicinal drugs have gone into “restim” years after they were taken, 165
 - pc is restimulated in current environment, handling, 62
 - toxic substances causing, 166
- result(s),
 - auditor can’t get results without TRs, 420
 - Crashing MU finding results, how to get them, 390
 - Flag runs on the basis of results or else, 273
 - flubs mar any auditing result, 41
 - Full Flow Dianetics results, 59
 - NED auditor, what he has to know to get uniformly good results, 210
 - NED results compared to old results, 269
 - permissive TRs, results of, 436
 - processing or study under the duress of suppression will not produce results, 290
 - processing results attained on person who has been on heavy drugs vs. non-drug person, 165
 - Quad Dianetics and, 55
 - speed that NED pcs are achieving results, 265
 - technical results, what they depend on, 376
- retrain, for auditor who can’t smoothly audit Int RD, 106
- retread,
 - cram or retread auditor who can’t F/N a C/S 53, 222
 - when to retread a Dianetic auditor, 52, 54
 - when to retread an auditor, 52
- return,
 - definition, 259
 - revivification differentiated from, 259
- review(s),
 - Green Form is used for general review, 70
 - Int RD vs. review auditing, 42
- Review Section,
 - handling bogged students and their twins, 384
 - if one twin goes to Review or Ethics the other goes, 381, 382, 383, 384
- revivification,
 - definition, 259
 - End of Endless Int Repair Rundown and, 259
 - return differentiated from, 259
- rewards, payment of, 13

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- R-Factor,
 - Confessionals and, 246
 - for person wanting to attest to Dianetic Clear but hasn't made it, 257
 - for person who attested to Dianetic Clear but hasn't made it, 257
 - Int RD Correction List R-Factor, 86
 - Int RD two-way comm R-Factor, 38
 - PTS C/S-1 R-Factor, 294
 - Suppressed Person Rundown and, 277
 - when doing a Date/Locate, 232, 233
- right,
 - glowing things right, 12
 - job of the coach and Supervisor is to make the student right, 143
 - making himself right and others wrong, 389
 - pc concerned about being right or wrong, handling, 69
- ring(s),
 - R/S and, 321
 - unusual reads caused by, 315
- rite(s),
 - handling pc currently practicing, 66
 - pc has taken part in earlier Eastern rites, handling, 67
 - pc has taken part in earlier rites, handling, 66
- robotic,
 - glib student on M9 sounds and looks robotic when he reads, 131
 - Mis-Us causing one to become a, 130
 - never go robotic or rote on M9 procedure, 140
 - student reading like a robot and suppressing everything, handling, 131
- rock slam(s),
 - definition, 321
 - dirty needle will turn into an R/S or will fully clean, 247
 - evil intention and, 321
 - loose connection in the leads or meter causing, 321
 - Product Debug Repair List and, 391
 - reported to Ethics Officer, 391
 - reporting and noting of, 248
 - rings causing, 321
 - speck of dust in the 'pot' causing, 323
- R/Ses are not always instant reads, 249
 - what it means, 321
 - width of a, 321
- Rodriguez, Slmon, 13
- roll book, 427
- roller-coaster(s); *see also* potential trouble source
 - bad Int RD causing, 92
 - bad Int repair causing, 92
 - BPC causing, 92
 - cause of, 90
 - causes of (besides PTS), 92
 - definition, 90, 298
 - out-lists causing, 92
 - person who roller-coasters is always PTS, 124
 - PTS RD EP is a pc who is getting and keeping case gains and never again roller-coasters, 292
- Ron's Journal 30, 265, 301
- rote,
 - Confessional is not a rote procedure, 247
 - never go robotic or rote on M9 procedure, 140
 - "round robin," 383
 - R1-11, commands, 288
 - R2-20, commands, 288
 - R2-71, commands, 284
- Routine 3R, repairing a pc run on Int RD by R3R or R3RA when he was a Dianetic Clear, 190
- Routine 3RA; *see also* chains; engram; engram running; incident; narrative
 - Routine 3RA (cont.)
 - command for asking for earlier incident in narrative handling on drugs, 76
 - command for earlier beginning, 48
 - command for earlier incident, 48
 - command (running item list question for Drug Run down), 76
 - commands for Int RD, 47
 - Dianetic Clear, adjudication and declare of the state of, 200
 - Drug Rundown procedure in full, 75
 - earlier beginning; *see* earlier beginning
 - earlier incident; *see* earlier incident
 - erasure; *see* erasure
 - Int running with R3RA, 48
 - narrative handling on drugs, procedure, 76
 - NED auditor must understand the function and purpose of each of the R3RA commands in a Dianetic session, 210
 - references to study and demo out what each R3RA command does, 210
 - repairing pc run on Int RD by R3R or R3RA when he was a Dianetic Clear, 190
 - R3RA audited over and beyond Dianetic Clear as a primary reason for case bogs, 189
 - understanding the basic laws of the time track and how R3RA commands handle and control the time track, 210
 - what has to be run for a chain or incident to erase, 212
- Routine 6 End Words (R6EW),
 - definition, 272
 - Dianetic Clear is not run on, 252
 - what it does, 272
- R/S; *see* rock slam
- rudiment(s), ruds; *see also* ARC break; missed withhold; overt; problem; present time problem; withhold
 - commands for life ruds, 25
 - commands for PTS RD use, 94
 - commands to fly ruds in cramming, 421
 - Confessional end ruds, 249
 - Cramming Officer must get checked out on flying ruds and overts, 422
 - do not try to fly ruds or word clear over out-Int or out valid lists, 423
 - don't need a C/S to fly somebody's ruds, 422
 - False Data Stripping and, 374
 - flying ruds and overts Triple or Quad Flow on the antagonistic terminal, 292
 - flying ruds in cramming, 421
 - Green Form when ruds won't fly at start of session, 261
 - Int RD and, 40, 42, 46
 - mutual out-ruds, 242
 - mutual rudiments between twins, 384
 - out-Int and, 192
 - out-ruds; *see* out-rudiments
- rule(s),
 - have everything to do with the value of the product, 326
 - professional knows the, 346
 - why we follow the, 326
- rundown(s),
 - Auditor Rundown, what it handles, 270
 - Bright Think Rundown HCOBs withdrawn, 260
 - Drug Rundown procedure in full, 75
 - End of Endless Drug Rundowns Repair List as a, 185, 187, 266
 - End of Endless Int Repair Rundown, 189
 - Fixated Person Rundown, what it handles, 271
 - for beating an illness to death, 25
 - New Vitality Rundown, what it handles, 274

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- rundown(s) (*cont.*)
 - Purification Rundown, 163
 - set-up for Flag RDs, what it must include, 264
 - Student Booster Rundown—a fast study remedy, 273
 - Suppressed Person RD, 271, 276, 279
 - that undercuts every case, 164
 - running,
 - gradient and, 167
 - partner or twin and, 168
 - Purification Rundown and, 167, 180
 - rubberized or vinyl-type sweat suit and, 180
 - running Item(s); *see also* Dianetic item
 - Drug Rundown and, 77
 - running item list question (Drug Rundown), 76
 - running things In auditing; *see* auditing
- S**
- sad, “Feel Sad” = ARC break of long duration, 148, 152
 - sad effect, auditing over an ARC break puts pc in sad effect, 220
 - Saenz, Manuela, 11, 16
 - Saint Hill Organization(s),
 - how they came about, 271
 - services cost a bit more than Class IV Org services, 271
 - services given at, 271
 - where they are located, 271
 - Saint Hill Special Briefing Course, what happens on it, 272
 - salt,
 - depletion of, 168, 180
 - “salt substitute,” 168
 - salvaged, what really happens to a thetan who is not salvaged or processed and goes on down the route, 275
 - S & D; *see* Search and Discovery
 - sauna,
 - additional safety measures, 447
 - advisable not to fall asleep in the, 181
 - benches, 446
 - cold shower and, 180
 - curing the, 447
 - definition, 439
 - door recommended for the, 446
 - dry sauna vs. wet sauna, 168
 - eucalyptus oil and, 181
 - exercise and, 180
 - finishing of the walls, 445
 - foundation and flooring, 445
 - getting too warm or feeling faint in the, 180
 - having a hard time spending consecutive hours in the, 180
 - how to build a, 439
 - how to take a, 447
 - hygiene, 447
 - insulation, 445
 - jewelry removed before going into the, 447
 - location of the, 439, 440
 - one for men and one for women, 447
 - overheating in the, 180
 - prefabricated saunas, 447
 - Purification Rundown and, 164, 168, 180
 - reference books giving further data, 447
 - running and, 167, 180
 - running to work up circulation prior to going into sauna, 180
 - salt depletion symptoms, 168
 - scrubbing the room at least once, or oftener, daily, 181
 - shower before and after, 447
 - showers and locker rooms would be located near the, 440
 - sauna (*cont.*)
 - size of, 441
 - source of heat to be used, 441
 - steam baths vs., 181
 - stones, 443
 - sweating in the, 168
 - sweat suit is never worn in the, 168
 - temperature range, 168
 - type of heat source to be used, 439
 - ventilation, 446
 - what to do when a sauna is not available for the Purification Rundown, 180
 - what to wear in the, 447
 - wiring, 446
 - say or ask, when not to use it, 339
 - schedule(s),
 - Purification Rundown and, 166, 168
 - staff study schedules, 427
 - schools, glibness is often trained into students by the current educational methods used in schools, 131
 - Science of Survival*, Theta-MEST theory and, 165
 - scientific practices, pc has taken part in earlier scientific practices, handling, 67
 - Scientologist(s),
 - becoming inactive as a Scientologist due to being audited past Dianetic Clear, 243
 - Clear in last life, 243
 - staff members are the most on-purpose Scientologists around, 427
 - Scientology,
 - discoveries and advancements in tech in 1978, 266
 - handling illness in, 24
 - organization; *see* organization
 - primary targets in our technology for anyone seeking to mess it up, 6
 - public; *see* public
 - staff; *see* staff
 - technology; *see* technology
 - we discovered past lives, 275
 - we operate on the Third Dynamic, 384
 - What Is Scientology?*, 251
 - What Is Scientology?* answers questions about, 275
 - what success in clearing this planet depends upon, 224
 - what we’re salvaging people from, 275
 - Scn; *see* Scientology
 - scurvy,
 - traditional remedy for, 171
 - Vitamin C deficiency and, 171
 - Search and Discovery; *see also* PTS Rundown; Suppressed Person Rundown
 - commands, 25
 - definition, 299
 - item “me” must be accepted on any S & D list, 237
 - prechecking any item that does not F/N, 25
 - procedure for three S & Ds, 25
 - PTS Rundown and, 90, 93
 - still valid, 90
 - 3 S & Ds procedure for PTS RD, 95
 - Secondary Scale, assessment procedure, 2
 - Second Dynamic,
 - cheating on your spouse, 223
 - husband/wife auditing teams, possible reason they often fail, 242
 - marriage and out-ethics, 223
 - using “I knew you when you were _____” for 2D advantage, 91
 - what obsessive attraction is based on, 93
 - Second Wall of Fire, 268
 - Security Check(s)(ing); *see also* Confessional
 - auditors who miss withholds, penalty, 242
 - change of characteristic is followed up if it is instant, 322

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- Security Check(s)(ing) (*cont.*)
 ethics presence and, 242, 250
 Sec Checking, Integrity Processing and Confessionals
 are all the same procedure, 245
- self-auditing, handling, 64
- selling Full Flow Dianetics, 59
- senior(s); *see also* executive
 responsible for ensuring juniors are studying, 428
 who don't make their juniors study, 428
- sensitivity,
 convulsive or incorrect can squeeze, effects of, 154
 E-Meter Drill 5RA—Can Squeeze, 312
 errors, 154
 F/N can be obscured and mistaken for a read if sensitivity too high, 149, 152
 F/Ns and, 6, 9, 149, 152, 154, 315
 importance of getting the correct sensitivity setting
 for each pc at each session, 315
 is different for almost every pc and can change, session
 to session, even for one pc, 154
 "latent reads" and sensitivity too high, 154
 procedure for determining the, 4, 312, 319
 reads obscured by sensitivity too low, 154, 315
 set for 1/3 of a dial drop on the can squeeze, 4, 9, 70,
 155, 312, 315, 316, 320
 setting sensitivity at the beginning of each session, 4
 there is no set sensitivity for a pc at any grade level, 4
 too low vs. too high, 6, 9, 154, 315
- sentences, how long to use the word in, 133, 182
- service(s),
 Advanced Org services, 272
 Class IV Org courses, 271
 Class IV Org services, 269
 Class VI Org (Saint Hill) services, 271
 Flag services, 273
 prices; *see* prices
 why Flag lower org services cost more, 273
- service facsimile(s); *see also* defense mechanism
- Crashing MU and, 389
 definition, 389
 engrams and, 389
 False Data Stripping, Crashing Mis-U tech, O/W
 handling and service facsimile tech must be employed
 where needed in checkouts, 375
 justifications are cousins to, 389
 pc has service facs, handling, 69
 references, 389
 the most universally present aberration of making
 others wrong, 307
- session(s),
 auditor seated closer to the door, 246
 breaks; *see* breaks
 common denominator of flunked video TR sessions,
 435
 complete any Int RD flow on any reading button in
 one session, 40, 48
 how the earliest session blows the later sessions, 58
 Int RD session rules, 40
 meter position while Auditing by List, 70
 pc doing some exercises between sessions, handling, 67
 pc had trouble understanding what was going on in a
 session, handling, 69
 sensitivity can change, session to session, even for one
 pc, 154
 session and social presence of a professional auditor,
 437
 start of session; *see* start of session
 when pc exteriorizes in session it is the EP for that
 process or action, 80, 99, 105
- set, readjusting the needle to set, 312
- set-up(s),
 Advanced Orgs and, 272
 set-up(s) (*cont.*)
 C/S 53 and, 264
 major actions and, 264
 most thorough way to set up a case, 264
 PTS RD and, 92
 what it consists of, 264
- shallow running pc on Int RD, 213
- shame, still stuck in the shame, blame and regret of the
 unconfessed overt or withhold, handling, 227
- shock treatment, pc had electric shock, handling, 65
- Shorter Oxford Dictionary*, 182
- Short Form Product Clearing; *see* Product Clearing
- shut-off(s),
 misunderstandings can act as perception shut-offs, 329
 somatic shut-off due to drugs, medicine or alcohol, 22
- sickness; *see* illness
- sight; *see* eyesight
- significance, getting into a lot of think-think and unnec
 essary significance, 330
- simplicities, simple,
 all fundamental discoveries are essentially simple ones,
 50
 why a person cannot get down to a subject's basic
 simplicities, 311
- Single Dianetics,
 putting in Flow Zero on a Single pc, 53
 running the missing flows if reading, 57
- sins, power to forgive, 225, 227
- sinuses, eucalyptus oil and, 181
- skill, making far too heavy use of a skill simply because
 it is easy, 12
- skin cancer, run out by niacin, 173
- sleep; *see also* rest
 advisable not to fall asleep in the sauna, 181
 Purification Rundown and, 168
- smoking in the course room, 224
- society; *see* culture
- Socrates, thesis-antithesis-synthesis philosophical equa
 tion, 369
- sodium chloride; *see* salt
- Solo auditing,
 OT Level Debug and Repair, what it handles, 274
 why the person has to learn to audit himself on OT
 auditing, 272
- Solo Auditors Course,
 Advanced Orgs and, 272
 taking lower level professional auditor courses before
 the, 272
- solution(s),
 frailty of single solutions, 12
 lots of unsolvable problems in the area, handling, 415
 unusual solution; *see* unusual solution
- solvency, solvent,
 debug tech and, 401
 don't leave an enemy financed and solvent while you
 let your friends starve, 14
- somatic(s),
 auditing past exterior causing, 43
 multiple somatic items, do we Triple or Quad them, 58
 shadowy remains of somatics blow with FFD, 59
 shut-off due to drugs, medicine or alcohol, 22
- SOP 8C, Drug Rundown and, 78
- sound shut off by Mis-U words, 329
- source, twin always refers his fellow student to source
 materials, 383
- South America, Simon Bolivar and, 11
- SP; *see* suppressive person
- spaceship, Hylan, 231
- spasms, calcium and, 174
- Special Rundown, 274
- spectatorism, 378
- spells, handling pc who has cast spells, 67

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- spiritually, freeing the individual up spiritually, 163
- spiritual practices, handling pc who has taken part in earlier spiritual practices, 67
- spot-checking,
 - definition, 133
 - example, 136
- squirrel(ing),
 - suppressively validating squirrel practices or groups by stating they are producing Dianetic Clears, 328
 - where it stems from, 7
- SSO; *see* Staff Section Officer
- stability, with the ability to learn comes stability and the production of valuable products, 374
- staff (member), personnel,
 - absence of altitude, handling, 412
 - absence of hatting, handling, 411
 - area or individual creating problems and demanding solutions to them, handling, 405
 - areas where staff aren't studying regularly are, in most cases, the bugged and nonproducing areas, 428
 - are the most on-purpose Scientologists around, 427
 - busy busy and totally unaware of the fact that they were producing absolutely nothing, handling, 408
 - can't get out the products expected of him, handling, 408
 - conditions contributory to staff not studying, 427
 - counter-intention, handling, 415
 - creating problems and demanding solutions to them, handling, 415
 - day staff vs, Foundation staff study schedules, 427
 - debugging personnel problems, 403
 - doesn't attend study, handling, 411
 - don't ever feel weaker because you work for somebody stronger, 20
 - how to live in the shadow or employ of a power, 20
 - inability to communicate, handling, 412
 - lack of interest or no interest, 410
 - lack of organization, handling, 416
 - lack of technical know-how, handling, 412
 - list of things that could be standing in the way of production, 408
 - no idea at all that products should be gotten out, handling, 413
 - no idea of the product, handling, 414
 - not organizing any corner of his area or work due to misunderstood words, 330
 - other-intentionedness, handling, 415
 - out-ethics, handling, 405
 - people who don't get products have low morale, 408
 - people who don't study, 428
 - people who have to have before they can do, 411
 - person who cannot be hatted at all has false data, 403
 - push power in the direction of anyone on whose power you depend, 20
 - raising staff pay, 429
 - responsibilities of leaders, 11
 - scarcity of personnel, handling, 411
 - schedules for study, 427
 - senior who doesn't make sure his staff puts in study time off production hours, 403
 - situation where someone does not organize any corner of his area or work or organizations or lines, handling, 406
 - solution is not to immediately shoot them for not getting out the product, 356
 - SSO responsibility for standard staff courses, 426
 - staff courses are not mixed in with public courses, 427
 - won't complete a cycle of action, handling, 413
- staff course(s),
 - are not mixed in with public courses, 427
 - checksheets must exist for, 427
 - staff course(s) (*cont.*)
 - getting staff courses attended, 427
 - putting staff courses there, 426
 - schedules, 427
 - SSO responsibility for, 426
 - standard staff courses are mandatory, 426
- Staff Course Admin, 427
- Staff Course Supervisor, 427
- Staff Section, staff courses in the, 427
- Staff Section Officer,
 - authority of the SSO regarding staff study, 428
 - getting executive support in maintaining regular staff study, 427
 - purpose of, 426
 - responsibility for standard staff courses, 426
 - responsibility of ED to make sure that an SSO is posted, 426
 - what Ron would do as an SSO, 429
- standard technology
 - Class VIII Course and, 272
 - how it slips down to "some of the tech being applied when we can," 223
 - nonstandard actions or interviews forbidden, 432
 - what leads to wild efforts, new processes and any thing but cool standard procedure, 7
- Shnlsavsky, Konstantin, 368
- starrate checkout; *see* checkout
- start of session,
 - Green Form when ruds won't fly at start of session, 261
 - high TA due to "audited over exterior," 32
 - setting sensitivity at the beginning of each session, 4
- state, letting pc attest to a state he achieved, 258
- statlstatl(s), stat(s),
 - down statistic, handling, 8
 - DTS stat for completion of Dianetic Clear Special Intensive, 162
 - failing to recover after an SP is spotted in a department, handling, 6
 - only active suppression can drive a stat down, 8
 - plummeting HGC Completion Statistic, 5
 - raising stats, 429
 - rising statistics do not continue rising for long in the face of neglected hatting and training, 429
 - SPs and, 6, 8
 - "upstats" is no excuse to not study, 429
 - wrong stat, debugging a, 404, 413
- steam bath,
 - eucalyptus oil and, 181
 - sauna vs, 181
- steer,
 - using meter reads to steer the person in False Data Stripping, 372
 - using the read to steer him to the area and find the Mis-U, 353
- stomach,
 - upset stomach or a fearful or terrified condition may turn on with niacin, 173
 - Vitamin C causing stomach problems, 171
 - vitamins causing stomach burn, 172
- stop is not a read, 36
- Straightwire,
 - False Data Stripping and, 370
 - locks and, 370
 - removed locks and released illnesses without the pc ever having run an engram, 370
 - when overdone it can key in underlying engrams, 370
 - why it is called Straightwire, 370
- streptomycin, 157
- stuck,
 - Date/Locate used to handle stuck points, 228

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- stuck (cont.)
 - getting the exact “when” and “where” will unstick him, 228
 - pc stuck in the time of an incident which acts as PT for him, handling, 228
 - still stuck in the shame, blame and regret of the unconfessed overt or withhold, handling, 227
 - stuck viewpoint in time, handling, 229
 - stuck viewpoint of location, handling, 229
- stuck flow,
 - blowing the stuck flow of “going in,” 196
 - dissolving the obsessive stuck flow of going in (on Int RD), 213
 - Int is a compound of stuck flows and prior incidents, 212
 - pc stuck on the stuck flow of time, 212
 - “stuck flow” phenomenon whereby he can’t outflow the subject, 379
 - time itself can be a, 212
- stuck picture(s),
 - handling, 116
 - having him recall a time before it and recall a time after it, 116
- student(s); *see also* study; training
 - abandoning one’s twin, 381
 - answers to students’ questions, 382
 - balancing inflow with outflow, 379
 - becoming much more aware of and able to find and handle his own misunderstandings, 132
 - blown student is recovered by his twin, 381, 382
 - blown students, handling with C/S 53, 220
 - cannot grasp or retain the data of the Data Series, handling, 56
 - Course Supervisor who lets students study without dictionaries and demo kits, 224
 - disciplining himself not to go past misunderstood words, 366
 - examples of student reactions and correct handlings on M9, 135
 - F/Ning students, 385
 - glibness; *see* glibness
 - handling bogged students and their twins, 384
 - handling students with questions, 427
 - handling the extensively mistrained glib student, 132
 - how he goes into agreement with and contributes to an out-ethics course room, 223
 - inability to learn and apply, handling, 374
 - late for course, 384
 - may not be certified and may not be given a course completion until he has completed his twin, 381
 - morale and production, 381
 - mustering students, 224
 - not getting students through their course and graduated, penalty for, 224
 - one is responsible for getting his twin through the course, 381
 - out-ethics situation and, 384
 - participation and action levels brought up by twinning, 378
 - permitting persons to come into the course room and bother students for any reason is not allowed, 224
 - producing causative, responsible graduates who can apply what they have learned, 382
 - reading like a robot and suppressing everything, handling, 131
 - responsibility of twins, 381
 - slow student, handling, 267
 - “stuck flow” phenomenon whereby he can’t outflow the subject, 379
 - Supervisor checks up on any students who have been routed off course, 385
- student(s) (cont.)
 - talking or goofing off during course hours, 224
 - teach students to a win, 308, 310
 - teaming up students of comparable case level and ability, 378, 380
 - those who find study difficult, handling, 267
 - training at cause vs, training at effect, 379
 - twin must have a misunderstood himself if he missed the other student’s goof, 381
 - twinning on a turn-about basis, 380
 - twinning, what it does for students, 378
 - twin word clears his fellow student, 381
 - when to twin, 379
 - where there is a student reaction, a misunderstood word will be found, usually just before the point he reacted, 140
- StudentBoosterRoundup, a fast study remedy, 273
- Student Correction List, what it handles, 270
- study(ing); *see also* courses; student; training
 - areas where staff aren’t studying regularly are, in most cases, the bugged and nonproducing areas, 428
 - auditing or training must not be continued over an unhandled PTS situation, 290
 - conditions contributory to staff not studying, 427
 - courses—their ideal scene, 223
 - Course Supervisor who lets students study without dictionaries and demo kits, 224
 - day staff vs, Foundation staff study schedules, 427
 - dictionaries, names of those recommended, 182
 - doesn’t attend study, handling, 41 1
 - executive responsibility for ensuring juniors are studying, 427
 - he cannot think with the data and it does not seem to apply, handling, 371
 - how to clear a word, 182
 - inability to learn and apply, handling, 374
 - Mis-U can prevent a person from understanding the remainder of what is heard or written, 329, 347
 - morale and, 381
 - participation and action levels brought up by twinning, 378
 - people who don’t study, 428
 - “permissive” teaching, 378
 - person backing off from applying data he is studying despite standard Word Clearing, handling, 371
 - person is not duplicating the material he has studied despite Word Clearing, handling, 371
 - person who has overts and withholds on a subject can not perform in that area, 311
 - processing or study under the duress of suppression will not produce results, 290
 - reason for people not studying is always misunderstandings, 428
 - senior who doesn’t make sure his staff puts in study time off production hours, 403
 - “stuck flow” phenomenon whereby he can’t outflow the subject, 379
 - subjects; *see* subjects
 - texts, choosing of, 368
 - there is no field in all the society where false data is not rampant, 367
 - those who find study difficult, handling, 267
 - turn-about system described, 380
 - twinning on practical sections of course, 379
 - twinning reinstated, 377
 - “upstats” is no excuse to not study, 429
 - when he can’t seem to apply what he has “learned,” suspect false data, 368
 - when to twin, 379
 - why trying to teach a correct datum over a false datum on the subject does not work, 369

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- Study Green Form, what it does, 270
- study tech, Course Supervisor not fully applying study tech and using Word Clearing, 224
- stupidity,
 - curing him of his additives, complexities, apathy and apparent stupidity on a subject, 370
 - Purification Rundown and, 176
- subject(s),
 - asking for his withhold on the subject during Crashing Mis-U finding, 350
 - choosing a text on a, 368
 - Crashing Mis-U may not be on the subject of the product itself but in an area related to the subject, 362
 - Crashing MU is a MU that crashes a subject and crashes a person, 387
 - Crashing MU totally blocks person's understanding of subject, 387
 - curing him of his additives, complexities, apathy and apparent stupidity on a subject, 370
 - examples of false texts, 368
 - getting off the track and clearing up a whole subject that has nothing to do with getting out his product, understood before leaving an unreading item, flow how his thinkingness locks up on the subject, 369
 - justifications for failure to understand a subject or inability to turn out professional products, 388
 - mechanism of overrun of life subjects, 9
 - no Crashing Mis-U's can be found on a subject yet it is obvious they exist, handling, 371, 386, 387
 - people who don't complete cycles of action on certain subjects have a Mis-U on them, 329
 - person giving reasons why it is OK not to understand the subject or area, handling, 388
 - person who has overts and withholds on a subject cannot perform in that area, 311
 - psychology is the most false subject on the planet, 435
 - sources of false data on a subject, 368
 - "stuck flow" phenomenon whereby he can't outflow the subject, 379
 - there is no field in all the society where false data is not rampant, 367
 - untrainable on the subject due to false data, 435
 - why a person cannot get down to a subject's basic simplicities, 311
 - why a person has a tendency to complicate a subject, 311
 - why getting off false data on a subject works, 369
 - why trying to teach a correct datum over a false datum on the subject does not work, 369
 - withholds on a subject, effects of, 388
- subjective,
 - definition, 432
 - TRs are not a "think" action nor a subjective action, 433
- subproduct(s),
 - can be an overt product and block final products, 402
 - how to compile a subproducts list, reference, 405
- successes, judging oneself by one's successes breeds confidence in one's ability, 15
- sulfadruugs, 159
- sulfathiazole, 160
- sunburn run out by niacin, 172
- Super Power,
 - description, 267
 - Dianetic Clears and, 252, 271
 - for a student who cannot grasp or retain the data of the Data Series, 56
 - New World Corps and, 267
 - Power Processing vs, 267
 - requirements for auditors or C/Ses to be trained to deliver it, 301
- Supervisor; *see* Case Supervisor; Course Supervisor
- Supervisor Intensive, what it handles, 270
- suppress(ed)(ion)(ive),
 - definition, 298
 - examples of suppressive acts, 303
 - getting a suppressed read by not being able to read through an F/N, 145, 254
 - how suppression works, 276
 - Mis-U's, suppressing reactions to, 131
 - most illness is precipitated by, 271
 - only active suppression can drive a stat down, 8
 - pe who has been suppressed by another, handling, 63
 - processing or study under the duress of suppression will not produce results, 290
 - restimulation caused by out-ruds plus a suppressive environment or situation, 24
 - student reading like a robot and suppressing every the thing, handling, 131
 - suppressed physical condition and person on drugs, drink or medicine, 22
- Suppress button,
 - command, 37, 247
 - ensure nothing was suppressed, invalidated or mis 356
 - or question, 253
 - getting a suppressed read by not being able to read through an F/N, 145, 254
 - if Suppress or Invalidate reads, handling, 247
 - no read after putting in "Suppress" and "Invalidate," 37
 - nulling unrun original items on the list with Suppress and Invalidate buttons, 77
 - putting in Suppress, Invalidation or Misunderstood Words on the prepared list, 145
 - when toputin, 42
- Suppressed Person Rundown,
 - all Problems Processes must be run on the antagonistic terminal, 278
 - antagonisticterminal handledwith, 276
 - checking each flow for a read before running it, 277, 280
 - commands for Problems Processes, 280
 - dominant operating action of this RD, 279
 - EP, 276, 277, 278, 280, 292
 - EP of each reading flow of each Problems Process on the RD, 278, 280
 - first and most vital action to begin the, 279
 - handles the other person connected to the pc, 91
 - HCOB on, 276, 279
 - how it works, 276
 - how long it is continued, 276
 - important not to underrun the, 277
 - magical new rundown, 276
 - metering and, 278
 - only time it doesn't work, 277, 278, 280
 - persistent F/N and, 280
 - procedure, 277
 - references, 279
 - result it produces, 276
 - R-Factor given to pc, 277
 - substituting it for the engram part of the PTS RD, 276
 - target of this RD, 278
 - what it handles, 271
 - when the RD is done, 277
 - who it can be done on, 278, 292
- suppressive groups, definition, 298
- suppressive person(s),
 - cycle of action and, 404
 - definition, 298
 - denying do and enforcing unwanted do, 127
 - denying Hav and enforcing unwanted Hav, 127

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- suppressive person(s) (*cont.*)
 - handling the SP person or group and its relationship in the real universe to the pc, 91
 - offenses that can result in a Course Supervisor being declared SP, 224
 - plummeting statistic and, 8
 - policy when an SP is discovered and declared in an org, 6
 - primary indicator of the presence of an SP in an org, 8
 - primary targets in our technology for anyone seeking to mess it up, 6
 - PTS Type Three and apparent SPs, 292
 - references, 293
 - seeking peace without ever having been contacted, 271
 - statistic failing to recover after an SP is spotted in a department, handling, 6
 - statistics and, 5, 6, 8
 - Suppressed Person RD and, 276
 - suppressive act to send pc through to attest to Dianetic Clear when he hasn't truly made it, 244
 - tech statistic and, 5
 - when an SP dominates an area, only ethics actions can handle, 8
 - why his case doesn't improve, 8
 - why SPs are SPs, 127
 - suspended certificate until auditor is retreaded, 52
 - sweating, sauna and, 168
 - Sweat Program; *see also* Purification Rundown
 - Clear, OT or Dianetic Clear with unhandled drugs is handled with Objectives and, 262
 - Drug Rundown and, 75
 - for Clears, OTs and Dianetic Clears with drugs reading on C/S 53, 221
 - idea underlying the, 165
 - incomplete on the, 166
 - Purification Program replaces the, 163
 - references, 75
 - sweat sult,
 - is never worn in the sauna, 168
 - rubberized or vinyl-type, 167, 180
 - symbol(s),
 - misunderstood word or symbol and overts and withholds, 387
 - misunderstood word or symbol defined, 363
 - misunderstood word or symbol in the definition, handling, 134, 183
 - "not-understood" word or symbol, example, 365
 - synonym(s),
 - clearing of, 134, 183
 - definition, 129, 365
 - learn the full definition for a word as well as its synonyms, 365
 - synthesis, definition, 369
 - syphilis, cure of, 159
- Teacher or Supervisor Intensive, what it handles, 270
- technology, technical; *see also* materials
 - all Dianetic remedies and general technology remain in full use, 55
 - all of it remains very valid, 275
 - auditors who misapply tech, how you get them, 223
 - changing or losing issues, 303
 - crime to alter and pervert tech or procedure to prevent discovery of withholds, 303
 - debug tech; *see* debug tech
 - discoveries and advancements in tech in 1978, 266
 - exact application depends on complete understanding, 376
 - HCOBs; *see* Hubbard Communications Office Bulletins
 - if it isn't written it isn't true, 317, 402
 - issuing issues in BTB or BPL form that contain in correct and misleading data, 303
 - lack of technical know-how, handling, 412
 - Man has not necessarily properly defined everything in his technical sphere or culture, 349
 - only source of technical data is LRH HCOBs, books and tapes, 417
 - out-tech; *see* out-tech
 - perversions, 303
 - primary targets in our technology for anyone seeking to mess it up, 6
 - recovery of, 5
 - results, what they depend on, 376
 - standard tech; *see* standard tech
 - verbal tech; *see* verbal tech
 - why this technical culture is failing, 128
 - works when it is applied exactly, 376
- temperature,
 - getting the temperature subnormal with antibiotics, 156
 - glass of water or cigarette before temperature taking gives a false report, 157
 - lowered temperature, what it means, 157
 - rising temperature, what it means, 157
 - what normal temperature is, 157
- tension, high, 174
- terminal, how he snaps in and becomes the, 245
- testing, Purification Rundown and, 176
- textbook(s),
 - examples of false texts, 368
 - false data and, 368
 - finding a whole textbook false, 368
 - used to clear up a misunderstood, 366
 - which ones to choose, 368
- therapy,
 - handling former therapy, 65
 - L, Ron Hubbard as an early discoverer and instigator of vitamin therapy, 170
 - thesis, definition, 369
- Theta-MEST theory, *Science of Survival* and, 165
- thetan(s); *see also* being; case; individual; Man; preclear
 - a life form is a combination of life itself and the physical universe, 166
 - a very few thetans have never been anything but Clear, 328
 - fact which disproved that Man was an animal, 211
 - judging oneself by one's successes breeds confidence in one's ability, 15
 - one is what one is, not what one is admired or hated for, 15
 - proof of the existence of a, 44, 211
 - proof that Man is a spiritual being, timeless and deathless, 211
 - toxic substances in the body causing restimulation, 166
 - what really happens to a thetan who is not salvaged or processed and goes on down the route, 275

T

- TA; *see* tone arm
- talking, pc dislikes talking to an auditor, handling, 61
- tanglefoot, 425
- tapes, only source of technical data is LRH HCOBs, books and, 417
- target(s),
 - bugged targets, 400
 - debugging programs or, 400
- teach(ing); *see also* study; training
- "permissive" teaching, 378
 - teach students to a win, 308, 310
 - why trying to teach a correct datum over a false datum on the subject does not work, 369

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- think(ing), thinkingness; *see also* thought
 - false data clogs up ability to think and learn, 374
 - he cannot think with the data and it does not seem to apply, handling, 371
 - how thinkingness locks up on a subject, 369
 - inability to think with data, reason for, 368
 - TRs are not a “think” action nor a subjective action, 433
- think-think, misunderstands and, 330
- Third Dynamic, we operate on the, 384
- thought, pc has taken part in earlier thought practices, handling, 67
- tick(s),
 - is always noted, 246
 - is not a read, 36
 - too low a sensitivity making reads look like, 154
- time,
 - can be a stuck flow, 212
 - counting up wasted time because of disorganization, 402
 - gettingstuckonthestuckflowof, 212
 - is basically a postulate or consideration, 230
 - no absolute system for measuring time, 230
 - proof that Man is a spiritual being, timeless and deathless, 211
 - stuck in the time of an incident which acts as PT for him, handling, 228
 - stuck viewpoint in time, handling, 229
 - systems for measuring time, 230
 - that which is viewed in its own time, place, form and event can be as-ised, 228
 - there is no time in the reactive mind, 2
 - two incidents collapsed into one, time-wise, handling, 228
- time track; *see also* whole track
 - pcs who can’t move back on the time track more than minutes, 212
 - understanding the basic laws of the time track and how R3RA commands handle and control the, 210
- tissue(s),
 - all people have some fatty tissue, 170
 - cleaning up the fat tissue in the body, 170
 - drugs can lodge in the, 165
 - getting rid of the accumulation of biochemical deposits, 167
 - LSD lodging in the, 165
 - niacin breaks up and unleashes LSD, marijuana and other drugs and poisons from the tissues and cells, 172
 - toxic substances tend to lock up mainly in the fat tissue, 170
- tone arm,
 - false TA pcs bugged by C/S 53 being done on them, 222
 - floating TA; *see* floating TA
 - high TA; *see* high TA
 - low TA; *see* low TA
 - resistance is measured by, 45
- tone arm action, tone arm motion,
 - changing process while TA shows good motion will leave pc with BPC, 319
 - noted clearly in the worksheets, 335
- tone level(s),
 - changing a chronic tone level, 305
 - handling fixed, uncontrolled or unsuitable tone levels in an auditor, 305
- tooth, teeth,
 - pc has tooth decay, handling, 64
 - problems due to Vitamin B1 taken without calcium, 175
 - Vitamin C and, 161, 174
- touch shut off by Mis-U words, 329
- Touch Assist, out-Int and, 192
- toxin(s), toxic; *see* poison
- track; *see* time track; whole track
- training; *see also* checkouts; course; Course Supervisor; drills; internship; student; study; teaching; TRs
 - answers to students’ questions, 382
 - balancing inflow with outflow, 379
 - cause vs, effect in training, 379
 - course failures because twinning had fallen into mis use or fallen out completely, 377
 - courses Class IV Orgs teach, 271
 - courses—their ideal scene, 223, 224
 - C/S doing the training and cramming because there is no Qual Staff Training Officer or Cramming, 106
 - factors missing in modern permissive education, 378
 - False Data Stripping and, 368
 - False Data Stripping solves the problem of inability to hat or train, 368
 - getting training cheaply by taking the training route, 271
 - how you get executives who will operate out-ethics, off-policy orgs, 224
 - inability to learn and apply, handling, 374
 - incomplete course is not allowed by Supervisor, 385
 - inflow and, 379
 - late for course, 384
 - making the seemingly untrainable trainable, 437
 - mandatory twinning on all practical courses, 377
 - must not be continued over an unhandled PTS situation, 290
 - participation and action levels brought up by twinning, 378
 - “permissive” teaching, 378
 - person must be at cause when he is expected to apply knowledge or skills, 379
 - posting known criminals or incompetents to training posts, 303
 - pretendingtraining not attained, handling, 68
 - prices; *see* prices
 - processing or study under the duress of suppression will not produce results, 290
 - producing causative, responsible graduates who can apply what they have learned, 382
 - reduction incoursecosts, 269
 - rising statistics do not continue rising for long in the face of neglected hatting and training, 429
 - SSO responsibility for standard staff courses, 426
 - “stuck flow” phenomenon whereby he can’t outflow the subject, 379
 - student may not be certified and may not be given a course completion until he has completed his twin, 381
 - Supervisor checks up on any students who have been routed off course, 385
 - teaming up students of comparable case level and ability, 378
 - texts, choosing of, 368
 - turn-about system described, 380
 - twinning on practical courses, 379
 - twinningreinstated, 377
 - undercut to all training and processing, 437
 - untrainable on the subject due to false data, 435
 - when he can’t get through a specific drill or exercise in his training materials, suspect false data, 368
 - when person comes to apply the tech, he is already able to outflow if he was trained using twinning, 379
 - when to twin, 379
 - why trying to teach a correct datum over a false datum on the subject does not work, 369
- tranquilizers, 164
- transgression, individuation and, 245

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- trip(s),
 - during the Purification Rundown, handling, 176
 - LSD and, 165
 - restimulation of an LSD trip, 168, 175
- Triple Dianetics,
 - do we Triple or Quad multiple somatic items, 58
 - fourth flow is never suddenly introduced on Int if pc has been run on Triples, 54, 57
 - putting in Flow Zero on a Triple pc, 53
 - running the missing flows if reading, 57
- TRs,
 - ARC and, 435
 - ARC triangle and, 435, 436
 - are drills on the various parts of the comm formula, 435
 - are not a “think” action nor a subjective action, 433
 - are not processes, 418
 - auditor attitude and TRs during a Confessional, 250
 - auditor can’t get results without TRs, 420
 - auditors not required to “drill TRs” in the morning or evening as TRs do not fall out, 309
 - auditors whose TRs are out, handling, 302
 - basics resurrected, 433
 - basics underlying the, 434
 - being able to do a faultless 2 hour TR 0, 143
 - being who can handle anyone with communication alone, 437
 - blinking and, 418, 419
 - cancellation of destructive BTBs and BPLs on, 417
 - challenging or accusative attitude, 353
 - checking an auditor’s TRs in Cramming, 418
 - coaches and, 418, 419
 - coaching TRs, 143
 - coach to wins, not losses, 436
 - common denominator of flunked video TR sessions, 435
 - communication cycle and, 143, 435
 - communication formula and, 435
 - cycling through TRs, 142, 307, 308, 419
 - cycling through TRs, new definition, 419
 - “dailyTRs,” 419
 - definition, 435
 - definition of TRs the Hard Way, 436
 - dirty needle caused by bad TRs, 10
 - doingness, TRs are a, 433
 - each button is flattened before it is left, 436
 - EP, 437
 - false data and, 434
 - False Data Stripping to correct TR outnesses, 435
 - flunks and, 436
 - getting an honest TRs tape passed by competent authority before he audits anybody, 302
 - good auditor gets case gain on a pc on his TRs and comm cycle alone, 420
 - gotten in by drilling them hard, 433
 - gradients in TRs, 142, 418
 - Hard TRs Course; *see* TRs Course
 - Hard TRs make an auditor, 436
 - Hard Way TRs, 419, 420
 - Hard Way TRs vs, permissive TRs, 436
 - HAS Course vs, Hard TRs, 436
 - how long TRs are drilled, 418
 - I can get somebody through TRs in three days, 420
 - idea that interns and auditors do daily TRs, 418
 - idea that one’s TRs could go out overnight, 418
 - importance of knowing how A and R and C interrelate, 436
 - low TA caused by overwhelming TRs, 149, 152
 - “Major Stable Win” and, 418
 - manifestations that show that a person is not confronting, 418
 - Mood Drills and, 305
- TRs (cont.)
 - once an auditor’s TRs are in, they are in, 418
 - once an auditor’s TRs are “IN” they don’t go out, 302
 - once his TRs are “in” they will stay in for life, 143
 - outnesses, handling, 435
 - out-TRs, *see* out-TRs
 - “overrun?” and, 417
 - “pat-a-cake” TRs, 418, 419
 - “permissive TRs,” 420
 - permissive TRs, results of, 436
 - preliminary step to drilling TRs, 435
 - procedure, 142, 417-420
 - reactive body motions and, 419
 - reasonTRsaredone, 142
 - references, 433
 - rough or choppy TRs in Confessional make pc feel accused, 250
 - session and social presence of a professional auditor, 437
 - should sound live and interested and natural, 305
 - smooth TRs get you free needles, 10
 - student hangs up or fails on a later TR, handling, 419
 - study of the theory upon which the TRs are based, 434
 - teaching of, 433, 436
 - the true data on communication and, 435
 - twinning is mandatory on any Upper Indoc and Objectives Course, 378
 - understanding the use of, 435
 - valuable final products of, 437
 - video-taped TRs, 433
 - way to master TRs, 436
 - why TRs are done, 434
 - wins and, 142, 309, 418
 - Word Clearing Method 9 and, 140
 - wriggling around, moving, fidgeting and, 418
- TR 0; *see also* confronting
 - being able to do a faultless 2 hour TR 0, 143
 - “blinkless TR 0,” definition, 419
 - cycling through TRs, 142
 - excessive blinking and, 419
 - manifestations that show that a person is not confronting, 418
- TR 1,
 - cycling through TRs, 142
 - evidence that auditor has no impingement with TR 1, 145
 - Mood Drills and, 305
- TR 2; *see also* acknowledgements
 - cycling through TRs, 143
 - poor or comm lag TR 2 in a Confessional, effects of, 250
- TR 3, cycling through TRs, 143
- TR 4, cycling through TRs, 143
- TRs Course, Hard TRs Course,
 - ability to confront and communicate are brought about on the, 307
 - ARC triangle and, 434
 - cancellation of destructive BTBs and BPLs on TRs, 417
 - Change the Civilization Eval and, 307
 - checksheet for the TRs Course which is studied before the student does his TRs, 308
 - communication formula in clay as the beginning part of, 434
 - confront tech has to be part of the TR checksheet, 311
 - definition of TRs the Hard Way, 436
 - drug case and, 74, 437
 - Drug RD and, 74, 437
 - EP, 437
 - failing auditors and, 309
 - fast, successful TRs Courses, 143

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- TRs Course, Hard TRs Course (*cont.*)
- Hard TRs Course as an Academy prerequisite, 418
 - issued very soon in unalterable book form, 434
 - length of time to do a, 418, 420
 - mandatory twinning on the, 377
 - mild TRs Course in Division 6, 434
 - new TRs Course at the beginning of major courses, 309
 - no auditor may audit in an HGC who has not done a HardTRsCourse, 302
 - Objectives and, 437
 - omitted checksheet, 434
 - out-basics, 434
 - prerequisite, 437
 - preventing horrible slows on, 435
 - psychologists took longest to get through, 435
 - Purification Rundown and 437
 - references on Hard TRs Course, 76
 - time it takes to do one, 437
 - twinning is mandatory on, 379
 - when it comes to making auditors, there is no substitute for Hard TRs, 434
- truth, true,
- as-isness and, 228
 - doesn't bruise very easily 275
 - if it isn't written it isn't true, 317, 402
 - person will not necessarily read on something that he believes to be true, 371
- "Try not to be three feet back of your head," 212
- turn, what turns it on will turn it off, 172
- turn-about system, 380
- TV, false data and, 368
- twenty-four hour Repair rule,
- Crashing Mis-U finding and, 356
 - False Data Stripping and, 374
- twin(s), twinning, (*cont.*)
- abandoning one's twin, 381
 - assigning twins, 379, 380
 - background, 378
 - balancing inflow with outflow, 379
 - blown student is recovered by his twin, 381, 383
 - brings people out of their First Dynamic and onto the Third, 378
 - bugs on, 383
 - cancellation of issues cancelling twinning, 377
 - courses twinning is mandatory on, 379
 - definition, 377
 - done on a "turn-about" basis, 380
 - "double flunk," when it is given, 382
 - E-Meter Drills and, 378, 379
 - essence of, 379
 - failure of a twin to be a twin, handling, 382
 - "games condition" and, 382
 - gets students extroverted, 378
 - gets students to take responsibility for their fellow man, 378
 - handling bogged students and their twins, 384
 - if one twin goes to Review or Ethics the other goes, 381, 382, 383, 384
 - if one twin should blow, the other twin must go and get him, 381
 - if the twin has missed the student's goof, he must have misunderstands of his own, 382
 - morale and, 381
 - mutual rudiments between twins, 384
 - one does not musical chair twins, 379
 - one is responsible for getting his twin through the course, 381
 - participation and action levels brought up by, 378
 - permissive teaching vs, 378
 - practical courses and, 377, 379
 - Primary Rundown and, 380
 - Purification Rundown and, 177
 - twin(s), twinning (*cont.*)
 - puts students into communication, into doingness and participation, 378
 - reasons for, 378
 - responsibility of twins, 381
 - "round robin," 383
 - rule of, 380
 - Supervisor's responsibility to enforce twinning, 382
 - teaming up students of comparable case level and ability, 378, 380
 - turn-about system described, 380
 - turning a twinship into a trio, 383
 - twin always refers his fellow student to source materials, 383
 - verbal data and, 382
 - what twinning does, 378
 - when person comes to apply the tech, he is already able to outflow if he was trained using twinning, 379
 - when to twin, 379
 - why twinning is so vital, 378
 - Word Clearing and, 379
 - Word Clearing Method 9 and, 378, 379, 380
- two-way communication(s),
- auditor requirements before being allowed to do any two-way comm, 104
 - converting the PTS RD 2WCs for items into L & N questions, 127
 - Dianetic Clear Special Intensive and, 335
 - don't run anything else but Int when Int is out, and that includes two-way comm, 217
 - EP of all two-way comm sessions, 41
 - Int RD two-way comm session must follow Int RD by not less than a day nor more than a week, 41
 - Int RD two-way comm was omitted or not in a separate session, handling, 38
 - on Int and Ext, 33, 38
 - procedure for two-way comm on Int-Ext, 38
 - 2WCing the C/S 53 itself, 222
- 2WC; *see* two-way communication

U

- undercut,
- rundown that undercuts every case, 164
 - to all training and processing, 437
- understand(ing),
- ARC and, 435
 - clearing a word to full conceptual understanding, 183
 - complete understanding depends on freedom from misunderstood words, 376
 - conceptual understanding, 133, 183, 425
 - Crashing Mis-U and cycle of understanding, 348
 - Crashing MU totally blocks person's understanding of the subject, 387
 - cycle of action and cycle of understanding, 348
 - detecting if he has or hasn't got the Crashing Mis-U cleared up, 352
 - exact application depends on complete understanding, 376
 - justifications for failure to understand a subject or inability to turn out professional products, 389
 - misunderstood words; *see* misunderstood words
 - person giving reasons why it is OK not to understand the subject or area, handling, 388
 - when words are understood, communication can't take place, 184
- universe; *see* physical universe
- unusual solution(s),
- Case Supervisor and, 7

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

unusual solution(s) (cont.)
 definition, 6
 policy on, 6
 Upper Indoc, twinning is mandatory on any Upper Indoc and Objectives Course, 379
 upset(s),
 auditing past exterior causing, 43, 98
 pc protest, upset or apprehension over extensive repair actions or a list having to be F/Ned, main cause of, 146
 probable main reason for pc upset or protest against "overrepair," 255
 quarrels or upsets on M9, handling, 140

V

valence, PTS RD and valenees shifts, 92
 valuable final product(s),
 debugging a wrong VFP or wrong product, 404
 of TRs, 437
 wrong VFP, handling, 414
 vegetables, Purification Rundown and, 169
 verbal data, verbal tech,
 auditors who give and accept verbal data, how you get them, 223
 categories which constitute verbal tech, 318
 Course Supervisor and, 382
 handling, 410
 how to defeat verbal tech, 317, 402
 How to Defeat Verbal Tech Checklist, 402
 penalties for, 318
 referenees, 382
 technical perversion and, 303
 twinning and, 382
 verbal hating is the main source of false definitions and false data, 367
 very good Indicators; *see* good indicators
 VFP; *see* valuable final product
 video taped TRs, 433
 viewPoint,
 stuck viewpoint in time, handling, 229
 stuck viewpoint of location, handling, 229
 vinegar, calcium and, 175
 virus,
 definition, 156
 germ infections and virus infections, 156
 penicillin is usually no good for virus infections, 160
 Vitamin(s); *see also* nutrition
 antibiotics and, 158, 161
 checking for vitamin and mineral deficiencies before Purification Rundown, 177
 continued after the Purification Rundown, 178
 increasing niacin and other vitamin quantities, 173
 in proportion to one another, 173
 L. Ron Hubbard as an early discoverer and instigator of vitamin therapy, 170
 taken after meals or with yoghurt, 172
 why overdosing one vitamin can create a deficiency artificially of another vitamin, 173
 Vitamin A, Purification Rundown dosage, 171
 Vitamin B Complex,
 creating a deficiency in C by administering B and calcium, 174
 deficiency brought about by drugs, 171
 LSD burns it up, 171
 Purification Rundown dosage, 171
 trips during Purification Rundown handled with, 176
 Vitamin B1,
 alcohol vs., 171
 antibiotics and, 161

Vitamin B1 (cont.)
 Cal-Mag balancing out the, 175
 delirium tremens and, 171
 LSD burns it up, 171
 nightmares and, 171
 Purification Rundown dosage, 171
 teeth problems due to Vitamin B1 taken without calcium, 175
 Vitamin B2 for stomach and bowels complaints, 161
 Vitamin C,
 creating a deficiency in C by administering B and calcium, 174
 deficiencies result in scurvy, 171
 deficiency brought about by drugs, 171
 deficiency characteristics of C, 174
 diarrhea can be caused by, 171
 for helping colds and infections, 161
 for sore teeth or gums, 161
 increased in proportion to the niacin given, 171
 "live C" from raw onions or raw potatoes, 171
 Purification Rundown dosage, 171
 stomach problems caused by, 171
 teeth and, 161, 174
 trips during Purification Rundown handled with, 176
 Vitamin D, Purification Rundown dosage, 171
 Vitamin E, Purification Rundown dosage, 171

W

war only brings anarchy, 14
 water, Purification Rundown and, 168, 169
 W/Cing; *see* Word Clearing
 weight changes on the Purification Rundown, 170
 well done, Full Flow Table is done in auditor's administration time for well done time credits, 54
 W/H; *see* withhold
 "What could you say?," 61
What is Scientology?
 answers questions asked about Scientology, 275
 description, 251
 sending a copy to antagonistic persons, 291
 what turns it on will turn it off, 173
 wheat rust, 165
 whole track, backtrack; *see also* time track
 drug ease seldom goes back track well, 73
 drugs turning on whole track pictures, 74
 pc consistently immediately dives whole track on Confessional questions, handling, 248
 people who don't go past track in Dianetics don't recover, 91
 whole track drugs not asked for on Drug RD, 186
 whole track system of measuring distance, 231
 Why Finding, auditor and Cramming assignment policies, 431
 Why Finding Drill—Two, cancellation of, 304
 wife, possible reason husband/wife auditing teams often fail, 242
 win(s),
 bypassed wins on grades, handling, 69
 calling the prepared list complete when pc has a big win, 71
 foremost law, if one's ambition is to win, is of course to win, 18
 giving pc an appropriate acknowledgement on his win, 258
 how to have them as a C/S, 106
 intending to F/N the list but the pc is on a big win, handling, 71
 letting pc attest to a state he achieved, 258

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- win(s) (*cont.*)
 letting pc have his win, 277
 teach students to a, 308, 310
 TRs and, 142, 309, 418
- witchcraft, handling pc who has practiced, 67
- withdrawn Dymptoms, Hard TRs Course and Objectives to handle, 74
- withhold(d)ing); *see also* missed withholds; O/Ws
 audited over a W/H, handling, 62
 auditing over a withhold, effect of, 220
 auditor missing a withhold, what it stems from, 242
 auditors who miss withholds, penalty, 242
 Crashing MUs and, 387
 crime to alter and pervert tech or procedure to prevent discovery of withholds, 303
 definition, 297
 dirty needle caused by, 10
 effects of withholds on a subject, 388
 fatal to miss a withhold on someone, 390
 games conditions and, 245
 if pc not in session you won't get the, 250
 individuation and, 245
 lack of a sense of organization lies below the level of MUs, overts and withholds and PTSness, 330, 406
 manifestation of a withhold in addition to a Crashing Mis-U, 356
 misdirections of the pc indicate he is withholding, 247-248
 misunderstood word comes earlier in time and is more basic than the withhold, 387
 misunderstood word or symbol and, 387
 person who has overts and withholds on a subject cannot perform in that area, 311
 power to forgive, 225, 227
 pulling withholds in nonmetered Crashing Mis-U finding, 350, 388, 390
 pulling withholds must be thorough and go to real VGIs, 390
 pulling withholds off the meter, 390
 stem from Mis-U's, 350
 still stuck in the shame, blame and regret of the unconfessed overt or withhold, handling, 227
 taking heavy drugs and alcohol because he had withholds and could not confront, 311
 upset caused by missing a, 351
 what withholds add up to, 245
- word(s); *see also* misunderstood word
 can be more than one F/N per word, 183
 clearing a word to conceptual understanding, 133, 183, 425
 definition of a cleared word, 183
 made to look up words he understood, handling (in M9), 140
 misunderstood word or symbol defined, 363
 notes in dictionary on word usage must be cleared, 134
 "not-understood" word or symbol, example, 365
 "word chains," handling, 183
- Word Clearer(s),
 first action is to himself look up the definition and derivation of the word, 351
 High Crime checkouts and, 376
 importance of the Word Clearer knowing the correct definition of the word, 351
 Mis-U's and, 134, 351
 what happens when the Word Clearer has misunderstands on the material being word cleared, 134
- Word Clearing; *see also* misunderstood words
 all methods of W/Cing are utilized in Crashing Mis-U finding, 349
 archaic definitions, when to clear them, 134, 182
 ask for instances of practical usage from the person you found a Crashing Mis-U on, 352
- Word Clearing (*cont.*)
 bogs, handling, 238
 can be more than one F/N per word, 184
 can't find a useful definition, handling, 354
 clearing a word must end in an F/N and VGIs, 184
 clearing a word to full conceptual understanding, 133, 188, 425
 commonest error in, 366
 consequences of no Word Clearing, 375
 Course Supervisor not fully applying study tech and using Word Clearing, 224
 Crashing Mis-U finding vs, regular W/Cing, 349
 definition of a cleared word, 183
 derivation of word is cleared, 182
 detecting if he has or hasn't got the Crashing Mis-U cleared up, 352
 dictionaries, names of those recommended, 182
 disassociation between the definition and the physical universe, 412
 do not try to fly ruds or word clear over out-Int or out-lists, 423
 emotional reactions connected with a rejected definition, handling, 366
 errors, 238
 example of how to clear a word, 182
 examples of student reactions and correct handlings on M9, 135
 False Data Stripping and, 373
 false declares brought about by lack of, 375
 finding Crashing Mis-U's is not a substitute for full W/Cing, 347
 folder check before starting a cramming or other Qual corrective action, 423
 getting a simpler dictionary, 183
 had Qual corrective actions and has gotten worse or made no improvement, handling, 423
 handling MUs and confusions which are obscuring the Crashing MU, 387
 he is rejecting the material he is reading or the definition of the word he is clearing, handling, 371
 High Crime checkouts and, 375
 how long to use the word in sentences, 133, 182
 how to clear a word, 133, 182
 how to clear a word when you don't know the context, 183
 idioms have to be cleared, 134, 182
 importance of the Word Clearer knowing the correct definition of the word, 351
 learn the full definition for a word as well as its synonyms, 365
 made to look up words he understood, handling (in M9), 140
 marry up routine M9 with Crashing Mis-U finding and all of its steps where the person isn't making it otherwise, 362
 Method 9 is probably the top key method of Word Clearing today, 375
 miracle results of Word Clearing make all the difference in the world to the quality of technical delivery, 375
 misunderstood word or symbol in the definition, handling, 134, 183
 natter about Qual corrective actions or Qual, handling, 422
 notes in dictionary on word usage must be cleared, 134, 183
 obsolete definitions, when to clear them, 134, 182
 out-Int and, 192, 423
 people who have no idea of concept get bogged into terms and mechanics, 425
 person backing off from applying data he is studying despite standard Word Clearing, handling, 371

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

- Word Clearing (*cont.*)
- person is not duplicating the material he has studied despite Word Clearing, handling, 371
 - person will not necessarily read on something that he believes to be true, 371
 - Qualifications Division and, 375
 - Quickie Grades brought about by lack of, 375
 - responsibility of twins during, 381
 - spending a lot of time clearing words within definitions of words, handling, 183
 - synonyms, clearing of, 134, 182
 - technical or specialized definitions, when to clear them, 133, 182
 - twinning on, 379
 - twin word clears his fellow student, 381
 - using the read to steer him to the area and find the Mis-U, 353
 - utilize all methods of W/Cing and whatever else it takes to find the Crashing Mis-U, 362
 - what happens when the Word Clearer has misunderstoods on the material being word cleared, 134
 - which definition to clear first, 133
 - "word chains," handling, 183
 - word not reading on the meter because the person "thinks he knows," 367
 - worksheets, contents and handling of, 140
 - you've done full Crashing Mis-U and product debug procedure and still haven't gotten the Crashing Mis-U, handling, 361
- Word Clearing Correction List,
- form, 238
 - Method 5 and, 238
 - Method 3 and, 238
 - use of, 238
- Clearing Method 1,
- how to clear a word when you don't know the context, 183
 - new Method 1 Word Clearing, 270
- Word Clearing Method 2, having to do Method 2 and Method 4 on certain materials before the Crashing MU can then be located, 387
- Word Clearing Method 4, having to do Method 2 and Method 4 on certain materials before the Crashing MU can then be located, 387
- Word Clearing Method 5, how to clear a word when you don't know the context, 183
- Word Clearing Method 6, how to clear a word when you don't know the context, 183
- Word Clearing Method 8,
- how to clear a word when you don't know the context, 183
 - M9 preceded by, 129, 132
 - twinning on, 380
- Word Clearing Method 9,
- brings about ability of student to find and clear his own Mis-U's, 132
 - common reading materials, M9 on, 132
 - cramming and, 375
 - Crashing Mis-U will not always show up for what it is in M9ing, 361
 - definition, 129
 - does not uncover false data, Crashing Mis-U's, overts, withholds or PTSness, 361
 - done on a turn-about basis by the students themselves, 128
 - end result of a well done Method 9, 141
 - example of finding a Mis-U with M9, 131
 - example of when you wouldn't ask him for his Mis-U, 140
 - examples of student reactions and correct handlings, 135
 - first versions of M9 were not correctly written up, 128
- Word Clearing Method 9 (*cont.*)
- glib student on M9, spotting him, 131
 - grammar book used to clear a word, 137, 138
 - handling the extensively mistrained glib student, 132
 - High Crime checkouts and, 375
 - history of, 128
 - how long to use the word in sentences, 133
 - how to clear a word, 133
 - how to learn Method 9, 129
 - is a great civilization saver, 141
 - is probably the top key method of W/Cing today, 128, 375
 - it is the earlier word or symbol which has caused the stumble or twitch or blink or omit or mispronunciation or what have you, 128
 - made to look up words he understood, handling, 140
 - marry up routine M9 with Crashing Mis-U finding and all of its steps where the person isn't making it other wise, 362
 - M8 preceding M9, 129, 132
 - meter not required for, 129
 - Mis-U may be in an area related to the subject and the word itself doesn't appear in the text you're handling, 362
 - M9 Picture Book, 128, 141
 - never go robotic or rote on M9 procedure, 140
 - nonoptimum reaction equals Mis-U, 133
 - nonoptimum reactions that may show up, 130
 - procedure, 128, 132
 - quarrels or upsets on M9, handling, 140
 - right way to do it, 128
 - spot-checking the student during, 133
 - student goes to Examiner at end of M9 session, 134
 - student reading like a robot and suppressing everyWord thing, handling, 131
 - take the materials one paragraph or section at a time and M9 each other on it, 134
 - teaching M9, 129, 140
 - TRs and, 140
 - twinning on, 378, 379, 380
 - usefulness of, 129
 - virtue of, 129
 - what happens when the Word Clearer has misunderstoods on the material being word cleared, 134
 - what happens when the word that was misunderstood is located, 131
 - what is present when M9 doesn't work, 361
 - where there is a student reaction, a misunderstood word will be found, usually just before the point he reacted, 140
 - which definition to clear first, 133
 - why Method 9 works, 130
 - why one uses it, 129
 - you've M9ed the person on his post materials and he's not getting it or making it, handling, 362
- work(ing),
- don't ever feel weaker because you work for somebody stronger, 20
 - not organizing any corner of his area or work due to misunderstood words, 330
 - working only to be thanked or admired, 15
- worksheet(s),
- always mark the read an item gave on the, 37
 - Confessional worksheets, routing of, 249
 - definition, 140
 - prepared list remains in the folder stapled to the, 70
 - reads, TA action, BDs and needle behavior noted clearly in the, 335
 - rules regarding worksheets apply to cramming and any other Qual corrective actions, 422
 - Word Clearing worksheets, contents and handling of, 140

SUBJECT INDEX—1978/1979

writers, people who go to writing courses in college

almost never become writers, 307

written, if it isn't written it isn't true, 317, 402

wrong,

if pc knows all about it, it isn't wrong with him, 1

making himself right and others wrong, 389

pc concerned about being right or wrong, handling,
69

the most universally present aberration of making

others wrong, 307

what is wrong with the pc is not known to the pc, 1

wrong Item(s); *see also* out-lists

due to failing to test the question for read, 36

hanging pc with a wrong/uncharged item, 190

W/S; *see* worksheet

Wundt, Wilhelm, false texts and, 368

Y

year, definition, 230

yoga, handling pc currently practicing, 66

yoghurt,

antibiotics and, 161

niacintakenwith, 173

vitamins taken with, 172

Z

Zombie

Mis-Us causing one to become a, 130

psychologist's mission to make the population into
controllable zombies, 435

